Dialogue Meeting with the ESCB

The view by the industry

21st June 2022
BRUSSELS, 30 October 2018 – The European Banking Federation is calling on financial sector authorities in the European Union to create an integrated and standardized framework for data reporting in order to improve the quality of data while reducing the reporting burden.
Progress has been reached

Openness by authorities to engage in dialogue

Improve collaboration and data sharing between authorities

Earlier engagement with the industry

Agreement on basic pillars of integration

Work in progress

Still room for improving industry-authorities collaboration: informal Joint Committee

More openness to find the solutions together

Higher awareness of how the industry produces the regulatory reporting

Lack of a clear roadmap to the Integrated Reporting
Ensure harmonization with Members States to prevent national specific requirements (as much as possible) from achieving a truly Define Once and Report Once.

Key idea on level of granularity.

Structure choices for the IReF model, notably regarding data dictionary, should be lasting choices taken in coordination with all relevant authorities.

Clear and detailed operational roadmap of the IReF project in operational terms: phase in deployment, parallels, etc.

Design of a “future proof” framework for which there is need for commitment by authorities that IReF is a scalable model and will not be limited to statistical reporting.

INTEGRATED REPORTING FRAMEWORK (IReF)
Seeking of a Single Dictionary for all reporting duties

Collaborative approach authorities-industry: like in successful initiatives such as IT PUMA

Common "language" within the EU reporting actors (authorities, NCBs, banking industry)

Clear calendar of the project to have visibility on the different stages to facilitate the integration of the project into the banks' information systems development programme

Information on the interconnection between the BIRD project data dictionary with other European centralised data collection projects, i.e., IReF, Integrated Reporting System, EUCLID that are projects with an implementation horizon of about 2022 - 2024
INTEGRATED REPORTING SYSTEM (EBA FS)

- EBA final report seems very much in line with Define Once, Report Once, etc. principles
- No clear roadmap nor intermediate milestones: what’s next?
- Integration with IReF and how to scale or align initiatives and dictionaries
- Level of granularity, level of matching with anchor (accounting) values, which templates will remain as templates. A lot of things opened for further discussion
- Central Data Hub, aggregation rules, ad-hocs; governance issues opened
Banks internal reporting processes must be revamped echoing this integration initiatives

1. **SINGLE REGULATORY DICTIONARY**
   - Semantic layer
   - Syntactic layer
   - Technical layer

2. Extract Transform Load
3. **Regulatory Data Base** (Single Regulatory Dictionary compliant)
4. Accounting anchor values
5. Data Quality rules
6. Output Transformation
   - Validation rules

1. **Informational Database**
   
(1)This is the internal bank integrated TDS under own bank LDM and catalogs used for internal management for RISK and Finance

European Authorities and NCAs

Central Data Collection Point

Banks

National Authorities

EU Authorities

Reporting User Monitoring Tool and XBRL converter
### Questions to be discussed

1. **Single Regulatory Dictionary:**
   - with business, metadata and technical definitions with a LDM agreed with the authorities.
   - Includes one (or many) output layer to draw the templates and datasets and DQ rules.

   **Design how to implement this dictionary into bank’s data architecture.**

2. **ETL process:**
   - transformation of the bank’s informational database catalogs and LDM into SRD catalogs and LDM to create a Regulatory Data Base compliant with SRD.

3. **Bank’s SRD Regulatory Data Base:**
   - SRD morphology and catalogs with all the data needed for all the regulatory reporting.

   **New regulatory TDS.**

4. **Anchor values:**
   - treatment of accounting figures not available at granular level and mismatches between accounting figures and granular (aggregated) ones.

5. **Data Quality rules at different levels:**
   - internal DQ rules and authorities DQ rules.

   **How to implement them, how to design meaningful DQi, etc.**

6. **SRD dictionary should include an output layer to assign attributes and figures from the step 3 Regulatory Data Base to templates and final datasets. Final internal validation rules for every template or dataset before sending the info/data to the authorities.**

   **Aggregation rules and data allocation rules usable tool for reporting agents. Implementation of VRs and design last mile DQi.**