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∗This presentation is based on “The Macroeconomic Consequences of Natural Rate Shocks: An Empirical Investigation,” by Stephanie Schmitt-Grohé and Martin Uribe (2024).
Natural Rate Supercycles
U.S. annual data 1900–2023

Notes. The natural rate, $r_t^*$, is defined the permanent component of the short-term real interest rate. The figure shows the two-sided Kalman smoothed out path, normalized by adding a constant to match the observed sample mean of $i_t - \pi_{t+1}$ (1.05 percent per year). Source: Schmitt-Grohé and Uribe (2024), “The Macroeconomic Consequences of Natural Rate Shocks: An Empirical Investigation.”
**Impulse Response to a 1% Decline in the Natural Rate of Interest ($r_t^*$)**

Source: Schmitt-Grohé and Uribe (2024). Notes. Solid lines display the posterior mean response to a negative natural rate shock (a decrease in $r_t^*$) that lowers the real interest rate by 1 annual percentage point in the long run. Broken lines are asymmetric 95-percent confidence bands computed using the Sims-Zha (1999) method from 100,000 randomly picked draws from an MCMC chain of length 50 million.
Theory: Drivers of $r_t^*$

- Productivity: secular changes in the growth rate of productivity and hence of per capita income, $g_t$?

\[(1 + g_t)\sigma = \beta(1 + r_t^*)\] (1)

Comment: per capita income growth is stationary so unlikely to explain secular changes in $r_t^*$.

- Demographics: (i) secular changes in population growth; (ii) An aging population? Comment: (i) population growth rate does not enter (1); (ii) Maybe, but American population has been aging steadily since 1900 whereas $r_t^*$ has not declined steadily since 1900.

- Secular stagnation? Maybe, but negative $r_t^*$ shocks estimated to depress trend path of output even outside the ZLB.

- Secular changes in financial frictions? Potentially promising: can explain positive comovement between trend level of per capita output and $r^*$; and, in the data, declines in $r^*$ were particularly large during financial crises.