In 2009 all ECB publications feature a motif taken from the €200 banknote.
### Country Breakdown of Participating Banks in 2009

#### 1.1 Number of Participating Banks per Country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Number of banks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>169</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: A detailed list of participating banks can be found in Annex I.
THE UNSECURED MARKET

2.1 TURNOVER ANALYSIS

Chart 1 Average daily turnover in unsecured cash lending and borrowing
(index: cash lending volume in 2002 = 100)

Note: The panel comprised 85 credit institutions in 2000 and 2001 and 105 credit institutions thereafter.
2.2 Maturity Analysis

Chart 2 Maturity breakdown for average daily turnover in unsecured lending (index: cash lending volume in 2002 = 100)

Chart 3 Maturity breakdown for average daily turnover in unsecured borrowing (index: cash borrowing volume in 2002 = 100)

Note: The panel comprised 85 credit institutions in 2000 and 2001 and 105 credit institutions thereafter.

Chart 4 Maturity-weighted breakdown for average daily turnover in unsecured lending (percentages of total)

Chart 5 Maturity-weighted breakdown for average daily turnover in unsecured borrowing (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 169 credit institutions.
2.3 MARKET STRUCTURE

Chart 6 Geographical counterparty breakdown for unsecured average daily turnover in 2008 (percentages of total)

- 32.5% national
- 46.9% euro area
- 20.6% other

Chart 7 Geographical counterparty breakdown for unsecured average daily turnover in 2009 (percentages of total)

- 34.3% national
- 42.0% euro area
- 23.7% other

Note: The panel comprised 169 credit institutions.
2.4 QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT

Chart 8 Is the unsecured segment in your opinion efficient? (percentages of total)

Chart 9 Has the market liquidity in the unsecured market changed with respect to last year? (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.

Chart 10 Counterparty structure of unsecured transactions (percentages of total)

Chart 11 Trading structure of unsecured transactions (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.
3.1 TURNOVER ANALYSIS

Chart 12 Average daily turnover in secured cash lending and borrowing
(index: cash lending volume in 2002 = 100)

Note: The panel comprised 85 credit institutions in 2000 and 2001 and 105 credit institutions thereafter.
3.2 Maturity Analysis

Chart 13 Maturity breakdown for average daily turnover in secured lending and borrowing (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.

Chart 15 Maturity-weighted breakdown for average daily turnover in secured lending (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 169 credit institutions.
3.3 MARKET STRUCTURE

Chart 17 Trading structure breakdown (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.

Chart 18 Geographical collateral breakdown for bilateral repos in 2008 (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 169 credit institutions.
Chart 20 Total repo market breakdown (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.

3.4 BILATERAL REPOS

Chart 21 Maturity breakdown for average daily turnover in the bilateral repo market in 2009 (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 169 credit institutions.
3.5 TRIPARTY REPOS

Chart 22 Maturity breakdown for average daily turnover in the triparty repo market (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 169 credit institutions.
3.6 QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT

Chart 23 Is the secured segment in your opinion efficient? (percentages of total)

Chart 24 Has the market liquidity in the secured market changed with respect to last year? (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.

Chart 25 Counterparty structure of secured transactions (percentages of total)

Chart 26 Trading structure of secured transactions (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.
THE DERIVATIVES MARKETS

4.1 THE OTC DERIVATIVES MARKET: TURNOVER ANALYSIS

Chart 27 Average daily turnover in the various OTC derivatives markets
(index: OTC derivatives volume in 2002 = 100)

Note: The panel comprised 85 credit institutions in 2001 and 105 credit institutions thereafter.
4.2 THE OTC DERIVATIVES MARKET: MATURITY ANALYSIS

Chart 28 Average daily turnover in the OIS segment
(index: OIS volume in 2002 = 100)

Note: The panel comprised 85 credit institutions in 2000 and 2001 and 105 credit institutions thereafter.

Chart 29 Maturity-weighted breakdown for average daily turnover in the OIS segment
(percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 169 credit institutions.
**Chart 30 Average daily turnover in the other IRS segment**
(index: other IRS volume in 2002 = 100)

Note: The panel comprised 85 credit institutions in 2000 and 2001 and 105 credit institutions thereafter.

**Chart 31 Maturity-weighted breakdown for average daily turnover in the other IRS segment**
(percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 169 credit institutions.
**Chart 32 Average daily turnover in the FRA segment**
(index: FRA volume in 2002 = 100)

Note: The panel comprised 85 credit institutions in 2001 and 105 credit institutions thereafter.

**Chart 33 Maturity-weighted breakdown for average daily turnover in the FRA segment**
(percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 169 credit institutions.
Chart 34 Average daily turnover in the FX swap segment
(index: FX swap volume in 2002 = 100)

Note: The panel comprised 85 credit institutions in 2000 and 2001 and 105 credit institutions thereafter.

Chart 35 Maturity-weighted breakdown for average daily turnover in the FX swap segment
(percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 169 credit institutions.
**Chart 36 Average daily turnover in the Xccy swap segment**
(index: Xccy swap volume in 2002 = 100)

Note: The panel comprised 85 credit institutions in 2000 and 2001 and 105 credit institutions thereafter.

**Chart 37 Maturity-weighted breakdown for average daily turnover in the Xccy swap segment**
(percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 169 credit institutions.
4.3 QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT

Chart 38 Is the OIS segment in your opinion efficient? (percentages of total)

Chart 39 Has the market liquidity in the OIS market changed with respect to last year? (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.

Chart 40 Counterparty structure of OIS transactions (percentages of total)

Chart 41 Trading structure of OIS transactions (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.
Chart 42 Is the other IRS segment in your opinion efficient? (percentages of total)

Chart 43 Has the market liquidity in the other IRS market changed with respect to last year? (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.

Chart 44 Counterparty structure of other IRS transactions (percentages of total)

Chart 45 Trading structure of other IRS transactions (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.
Chart 46 Is the FRA segment in your opinion efficient? (percentages of total)

Chart 47 Has the market liquidity in the FRA market changed with respect to last year? (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.

Chart 48 Counterparty structure of FRA transactions (percentages of total)

Chart 49 Trading structure of FRA transactions (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.
Chart 50 Is the FX swap segment in your opinion efficient? (percentages of total)

- Limitedly
- Sufficiently
- Significantly
- Extremely

Chart 51 Has the market liquidity in the FX swap market changed with respect to last year? (percentages of total)

- Has worsened
- Has not changed
- Has improved slightly
- Has improved significantly

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.

Chart 52 Counterparty structure of FX swap transactions (percentages of total)

- National
- Euro area
- Other

Chart 53 Trading structure of FX swap transactions (percentages of total)

- Direct trading
- Voice broker
- Electronic trading

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.
Chart 54 Is the Xccy swap segment in your opinion efficient? (percentages of total)

Chart 55 Has the market liquidity in the Xccy swap market changed with respect to last year? (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.

Chart 56 Counterparty structure of Xccy swap transactions (percentages of total)

Chart 57 Trading structure of Xccy swap transactions (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.
Chart 58: Is the options segment in your opinion efficient? (percentages of total)

Chart 59: Has the market liquidity in the options market changed with respect to last year? (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.

Chart 60: Is the futures segment in your opinion efficient? (percentages of total)

Chart 61: Has the market liquidity in the futures market changed with respect to last year? (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.
5.1 Turnover Analysis in the Secondary Market

Chart 62 Average daily turnover in outright transactions
(index: outright transaction volume in 2002 = 100)

Note: The panel comprised 85 credit institutions in 2000 and 2001 and 105 credit institutions thereafter.
5.2 QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT

Chart 63: Is the short-term securities segment in your opinion efficient? (percentages of total)

Chart 64: Has the market liquidity for short-term securities changed with respect to last year? (percentages of total)

Chart 65: Counterparty structure of short-term securities transactions (percentages of total)

Chart 66: Trading structure of short-term securities transactions (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 105 credit institutions.
6.1 Turnover Analysis

Chart 67 Aggregated average daily turnover of the euro money market (index: aggregated average daily turnover volume in 2002 = 100)

Note: The panel comprised 85 credit institutions in 2000 and 2001 and 105 credit institutions thereafter.

Chart 68 Average daily turnover in various money market segments (index: unsecured transaction volume in 2002 = 100)

Chart 69 Average daily turnover in various money market segments (index: unsecured transaction volume in 2002 = 100)

Note: The panel comprised 85 credit institutions in 2000 and 2001 and 105 credit institutions thereafter.
Chart 70 Maturity breakdown for various money market segments in 2009
(index: unsecured volume = 100)

Note: The panel comprised 169 credit institutions.
### 6.3 Market Structure

#### Table 1: Concentration of unsecured market activity in 2009

(Percentages of total)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lending</th>
<th>Borrowing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top 5 credit institutions</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top 10 credit institutions</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top 20 credit institutions</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>61.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 2: Concentration of secured market activity in 2009

(Percentages of total)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bilateral repos</th>
<th>Bilateral repos, CCP only</th>
<th>Bilateral repos, CCP only</th>
<th>Triparty repos</th>
<th>Total repos</th>
<th>Total repos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top 5 credit institutions</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top 10 credit institutions</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>61.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top 20 credit institutions</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>83.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 3: Concentration of activity in the OTC derivatives markets and short-term securities in 2009

(Percentages of total)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ST-securities</th>
<th>OISs</th>
<th>Other IRs</th>
<th>FRAs</th>
<th>FX swaps</th>
<th>Xccy swaps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top 5 credit institutions</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top 10 credit institutions</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>86.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top 20 credit institutions</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>96.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: For Tables 1, 2 and 3 the panel comprised 169 credit institutions.
Chart 7.1 Lorenz curve: concentration of activity in various market segments in 2009

(x axis: percentage of market participants; y axis: percentage of activity)

Note: The panel comprised 169 credit institutions.
6.4 QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT

Chart 72 Is the euro market (for the different segments) in your opinion efficient? (percentages of total)

Chart 73 Has the market liquidity in the euro money market changed with respect to last year? (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 169 credit institutions.

Chart 74 Counterparty structure of various money market segments in 2009 (percentages of total)

Chart 75 Trading structure of various money market segments in 2009 (percentages of total)

Note: The panel comprised 169 credit institutions.
ANNEX I
CREDIT INSTITUTIONS PARTICIPATING IN THE 2009 EURO MONEY MARKET SURVEY

AT Allgemeine Sparkasse Oberösterreich Bank AG
AT Erste Group Bank AG
AT Oberbank AG
AT Österreichische Volksbanken-AG
AT Raiffeisen Zentralbank Oesterreich AG
AT Raiffeisenlandesbank Niederösterreich-Wien AG
AT Raiffeisenlandesbank Oberösterreich AG
AT Raiffeisen-Landesbank Steiermark AG
AT UniCredit Bank Austria AG
AT UniCredit CAIB AG
BE Dexia Banque Belgique
BE Fortis Banque
BE KBC Bank NV
BG BNP Paribas S.A.
BG DSK Bank
BG Eurobank EFG Bulgaria
BG United Bulgarian Bank
CH UBS AG
CY Bank of Cyprus Public Company Ltd
CY Hellenic Bank Public Company Ltd
CY Marfin Popular Bank Public Co Ltd
CZ Česká spořitelna, a. s.
CZ Česká spořitelna, a. s.
CZ Citibank Europe plc
CZ HSBC Bank plc
CZ ING Bank N.V.
CZ Komercni banka, a. s.
CZ UniCredit Bank Czech Republic a. s.
DE Bayerische Hypo- und Vereinsbank AG
DE Bayerische Landesbank
DE BHF-BANK AG
DE Commerzbank AG
DE DekaBank Deutsche Girozentrale
DE Deutsche Bank AG
DE Deutsche Postbank AG
DE DZ BANK AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank
DE Hamburger Sparkasse AG
DE HSH Nordbank AG
DE Landesbank Baden-Württemberg
DE Landesbank Berlin AG
DE Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen Girozentrale
DE Landwirtschaftliche Rentenbank
DE SEB AG
DE WestLB AG
DE WGGZ BANK AG Westdeutsche Genossenschafts-Zentralbank
DK Danske Bank A/S
DK Nordea Bank Danmark A/S
ES Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A. (BBVA)
ES Banco Cooperativo Español, S.A.
ES Banco de Sabadell, S.A.
ES Banco Español de Crédito, S.A.
ES Banco Pastor, S.A.
ES Banco Popular Español, S.A.
ES Banco Santander, S.A.
ES Bankinter, S.A.
ES Barclays Bank, S.A.
ES Caixa d'Estalvis de Catalunya
ES Caja de Ahorros de Galicia
ES Caja de Ahorros del Mediterráneo
ES Caja de Ahorros y M.P. de Madrid
ES Caja de Ahorros y Pensiones de Barcelona
ES Confederación Española de Cajas de Ahorros
ES ING Direct, N.V. S.E
FI Nordea Bank Finland Abp
FI Pohjola Pankki Oyj
FR BNP Paribas
FR Bred - Banque Populaire
FR Calyon
FR Crédit Agricole S.A.
FR Crédit Industriel et Commercial - CIC
FR HSBC France
FR Natixis
FR Société Générale
GB Abbey National Treasury Services plc
GB ABN AMRO Bank N.V.
GB Banco do Brasil SA
GB Banco Espirito Santo SA
GB Barclays Bank plc
GB BNP Paribas
GB Calyon
GB Citibank NA
GB Credit Suisse
GB Deutsche Bank AG
GB Dexia Banque Internationale à Luxembourg SA
GB Goldman Sachs International Bank
GB HBOS Treasury Services plc
GB HSBC Bank plc
GB JP Morgan Chase Bank
GB Lloyds TSB Bank plc
GB The Royal Bank of Scotland plc
GR Alpha Bank, S.A.
GR ATE Bank, S.A.
GR BNP Paribas
GR EFG Eurobank Ergasias, S.A.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Bank Name &amp; Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>Emporiki Bank of Greece, S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>HSBC Bank plc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>National Bank of Greece, S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>Piraeus Bank, S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>ING Bank N.V. (Magyarország) Zrt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>K and H Bank Zrt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>UniCredit Bank Hungary Zrt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>Allied Irish Banks plc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>DePfa-Bank plc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>Irish Life &amp; Permanent plc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>Rabobank Ireland plc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>The Governor and Company of the Bank of Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>UniCredit Bank Ireland plc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Banca IMI Spa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena Spa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Banca Nazionale del Lavoro Spa (BNL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>BNP Paribas S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Dexia Crediop Spa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Intesa Sanpaolo Spa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>UniCredit Spa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT</td>
<td>AB SEB bankas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT</td>
<td>AB bankas SNORAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT</td>
<td>Swedbank, AB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LU</td>
<td>Banque et Caisse d'Epargne de l'Etat, Luxembourg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LU</td>
<td>HVB Banque Luxembourg S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LU</td>
<td>KBL European Private Bankers S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV</td>
<td>Parex banka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV</td>
<td>Rietumu Banka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV</td>
<td>SEB banka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV</td>
<td>Swedbank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>Bank of Valletta plc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>BAWAG Malta Bank Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>HSBC Bank Malta plc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>Volksbank Malta Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>ABN AMRO Bank N.V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>Bank Nederlandse Gemeenten N.V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>Coöperatieve Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleenbank B.A. (Rabobank)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>F. van Lanschot Bankiers N.V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>ING Bank N.V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Bank BPH S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Bank Gospodarstwa Krajowego</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Bank Handlowy w Warszawie S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Bank Polska Opioki S.A. (Bank Pekao S.A.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Bank Zachodni WBK S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Deutsche Bank Polska S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Kredyt Bank S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Powszechna Kasa Oszczedności Bank Polski S.A. (Bank PKO BP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Societe Generale S.A. Oddzial w Polsce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Banco BPI, SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Banco Comercial Português, SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Banco do Brasil AG - Sucursal em Portugal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Banco Espírito Santo, SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Banco Finantia, SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Banco Itâu Europa, SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Banco Santander Totta, SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>BANIF-Banco Internacional do Funchal, SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Barclays Bank plc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>BPN - Banco Português de Negócios, SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Caixa Central - Caixa Central de Crédito Agrícola Mútuo, CRL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Caixa Económica Montepio Geral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Caixa Geral de Depósitos, SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Deutsche Bank (Portugal), SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>Banca Comerciala Romana S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>BRD - Groupe Societe Generale S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>RBS Bank (Romania) S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB (publ) (SEB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Svenska Handelsbanken AB (publ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Swedbank AB (publ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Abanka Vipa D.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Nova Ljubljanska Banka d.d., Ljubljana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>UniCredit Banka Slovenija d.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>Československá obchodná banka, a.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>Slovenská sporiteľňa, a.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>Všeobecná úverová banka, a.s. (VUB)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANNEX 2

COORDINATION OF THE 2009 ECB EURO MONEY MARKET SURVEY

The 2009 ECB Euro Money Market Survey was conducted by a working group comprising staff members from the ECB and NCBs, which reported to the ESCB’s Market Operations Committee.