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AnaCredit Reporting Manual – Part II

1 Contents of Part II

1.1 Overview of Part II

This document, AnaCredit Reporting Manual – Part II, forms part of the AnaCredit Reporting Manual (hereinafter referred to as “the Manual”). As stated in the introduction, the Manual provides detailed information and guidance on AnaCredit reporting requirements. It does not contain any additional requirements and has no binding legal status. Regulation (EU) 2016/867 of the European Central Bank of 18 May 2016 on the collection of granular credit and credit risk data (ECB/2016/13) (hereinafter referred to as “the AnaCredit Regulation”) is the sole legally binding act.

Whereas Part I of the Manual describes the general methodology, Part II focuses on the specific data attributes of the reported datasets.

In particular, the reporting frequency is discussed for each dataset, while the reporting qualification, the definition, the applicable values, the general reporting instructions and some examples of specific cases are provided for each data attribute.

The structure of Part II, which is based on the AnaCredit logical data model presented in Part I, Chapter 6 of the Manual (see also Section 1.3 below), is as follows.

The instrument entity table is discussed first of all. This entity table comprises the following datasets:

- instrument dataset (Chapter 3);
- financial dataset (Chapter 4);
- accounting dataset (Chapter 5).

Next, in Chapters 6 and 7, the counterparty-instrument entity table is presented, which includes the following datasets:

- counterparty-instrument dataset;
- joint liabilities dataset.

The instrument-protection received entity table is then discussed in Chapter 8, while the protection received entity table is presented in Chapter 9.

Finally, the counterparty entity table is described. It includes the following datasets:

- counterparty default dataset (Chapter 10);
- counterparty risk dataset (Chapter 11);
• counterparty reference dataset (Chapter 12).

For a better understanding of the information provided below, the reader is expected to be familiar with the general AnaCredit methodology as explained in Part I of the Manual.

1.2 Structure of the Manual

The Manual is organised into three parts following a top-down approach.

Part I explains the general AnaCredit methodology. It provides information about the reporting population, about the credit instruments subject to reporting and about setting up the reporting, with a general description of the underlying data model.

Part II concentrates on describing the datasets, including their attributes.

Part III presents various case studies and in particular covers special scenarios that require more in-depth explanations.

The conceptual data model and the logical data model for AnaCredit, introduced in Part I, are particularly important for understanding Part II.

The AnaCredit conceptual data model is fully described in Part I, Chapter 6.1, "Conceptual data model" (page 89). The AnaCredit conceptual data model establishes a broad view of what should be considered in the AnaCredit reporting, as the data model includes the distinct data entities and the relationships between them. However, the conceptual data model specifies neither data attributes nor primary keys. Yet, choosing a conceptual data model means that dimensions, measures and the granularity of data have been defined.

Chart 15 of Part I, "AnaCredit conceptual data model – the observed agent’s perspective", provides a comprehensive overview of the conceptual data model (page 95).

The AnaCredit logical data model is introduced in Part I, Chapter 6.2, "Logical data model – the reporting agent’s perspective" (page 97). The logical data model contains more details than the AnaCredit conceptual data model. In particular, the logical data model:

• includes all entities and relationships between them;
• specifies data attributes for each entity;
• specifies a primary key for each entity;
• specifies foreign keys, which identify the relationship between different entities.

Chart 16 of Part I, "AnaCredit logical data model – the reporting agent’s perspective", provides a comprehensive overview of the logical data model (page 99).
The entity tables of the logical data model are divided into datasets. This is described in Part I, Chapter 6.3, "Reportable datasets and reporting timeliness" (page 130).

Chart 17 of Part I, "AnaCredit logical data model and reportable datasets – the reporting agent’s perspective" provides a comprehensive overview of the logical data model and the datasets into which the entity tables are divided (page 132). The table below gives an overview of the available documentation about the datasets.

**Table 1 Entity tables, templates and datasets: available documentation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section 6.2 Logical data model, entity table</th>
<th>Subsection</th>
<th>Section 6.3. Reportable Datasets</th>
<th>Dataset name</th>
<th>Template</th>
<th>Chapter in AnaCredit Manual Part II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instrument entity table</td>
<td>6.2.2.1</td>
<td>Instrument dataset</td>
<td>Instrument data</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Financial dataset</td>
<td>Financial data</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Accounting dataset</td>
<td>Accounting data</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counterparty-instrument entity table</td>
<td>6.2.2.2</td>
<td>Counterparty-instrument dataset</td>
<td>Counterparty-instrument data</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Joint liabilities dataset</td>
<td>Joint liabilities data</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument-protection received entity table</td>
<td>6.2.2.3</td>
<td>Instrument-protection received dataset</td>
<td>Instrument-protection received data</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection received entity table</td>
<td>6.2.2.4</td>
<td>Protection received dataset</td>
<td>Protection received data</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counterparty risk/default entity table</td>
<td>6.2.2.5</td>
<td>Counterparty default dataset</td>
<td>Counterparty default data</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Counterparty risk dataset</td>
<td>Counterparty risk data</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counterparty reference data entity table</td>
<td>6.2.2.6</td>
<td>Counterparty reference dataset</td>
<td>Counterparty reference data</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.3 Structure of Part II and of the chapters on datasets

Part II of the Manual is structured according to the logical data model of AnaCredit, which is presented in Part I, Chapter 6.2, "Logical data model – the reporting agent’s perspective" and shown in Table 1 above.

One chapter is dedicated to each of the ten datasets (Chapters 3 to 12).

Each chapter on datasets is organised into four sections:

- the first section describes general aspects of the dataset concerned and also looks at specific aspects depending on the scope and complexity of the dataset;
- the second section discusses the level of granularity of the dataset;
The third section provides information on the reporting frequency of the dataset;

- the fourth section introduces the data attributes belonging to the dataset, beginning with a comprehensive table that lists the relevant data attributes, and provides some other important information regarding the dataset.

Most of the identifying attributes by nature belong to more than one entity table or dataset respectively. Therefore, the description of the identifying attributes and their allocation to datasets is summarised in a dedicated chapter (Chapter 2).

1.4 Structure of the sections on data attributes

The most extensive section of each chapter on datasets is the fourth section, which covers data attributes. This fourth section breaks down into subsections, each dedicated to a specific data attribute.

Each of these subsections begins with a description of the data attribute.

Where applicable, this is followed by a description of the conditions under which the data attribute is reported (under the heading “Reporting qualification”).

Next, the eligible values for an attribute are described under the heading “Values”.

Finally, under the heading “Reporting instructions, examples and specific cases”, instructions are provided that relate specifically to the attribute in question. Examples and specific cases are also provided where necessary and meaningful.

1.5 Guidance on the use of “Non-applicable” and “Not required”

In general, all data attributes referred to in the AnaCredit Regulation are mandatory (although some may be exempted under certain circumstances). However, certain data attributes do not apply in some situations, and/or there may be no information available even in relation to data attributes that are to be reported.

In this connection, the special reporting values “Non-applicable” and “Not required” are shown for several data attributes throughout this document. The general use of these special reporting values is explained in Part I, Section 2.3.8 of the Manual.

Please note in particular that “Non-applicable” and “Not required” are simply aliases used throughout the Manual to indicate that in certain circumstances no proper value is reported for the data attribute or that the reporting of the data attribute has been waived; the exact technical values that will actually be delivered in place of “Non-applicable” and “Not required” may vary across data attributes and will be specified by national central banks (NCBs) in a technical specification of the data transmission protocol.
Please also note that in any event reporting a data attribute as “Non-applicable” means that the data attribute has been carefully considered in a given situation and it has been confirmed that the data attribute does not apply.

### 1.6 General rules regarding monetary amounts

In accordance with the AnaCredit reporting requirements set out in the AnaCredit Regulation, all monetary amounts are reported in euro and rounded up to two digits after the decimal point. All foreign currency amounts are converted into euro at the respective ECB euro foreign exchange rates (i.e. the mid-rate) on the reporting reference date.

This means that, as a general rule, an amount is converted into euro at the respective mid-rate at the date to which the amount refers. The vast majority of the amount data attributes refer to the reporting reference date, although there are a few exceptions.

In connection with the above, the exchange rate that is applied to convert non-euro amounts into euro is taken as of the date to which the amount refers. For example, for the original protection value, it is the exchange rate as of the date of original protection value, while for the annual turnover, it is the exchange rate as of the date to which the annual turnover amount refers (e.g. typically a year-end date on which the financial statements are prepared).

However, as regards the data attribute “protection value”, the value reported may refer either to the reporting reference date or to the valuation date of the protection, depending on whether the protection is valued at its notional amount. Please refer to Section 9.4.4, Example 57, for further details.

Please note that amount data attributes which are reported as amounts and refer to a date other than the reporting reference date are considered static in the sense that once reported they need not be updated, even when the respective ECB euro foreign exchange rates change.
Table 2 provides an overview of all the data attributes that are reported as amounts, showing the date to which they refer (i.e. the date of the respective ECB euro foreign exchange rate applied for the conversion).

Table 2 Overview of data attributes that are reported as amounts in euro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data attribute</th>
<th>Static amounts</th>
<th>Date of the respective ECB euro foreign exchange rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance sheet total</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Date to which the amount reported in the data attribute “balance sheet total” refers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual turnover</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Date to which the amount reported in the data attribute “annual turnover” refers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment amount at inception</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Inception date as reported in the data attribute “inception date”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value changes due to changes in credit risk before purchase</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Date of the purchase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original protection value</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Date of original protection value as reported in the data attribute “date of original protection value”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection value (only if the type of protection value is not “notional amount”)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Date of protection value as reported in the data attribute “date of protection value”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection value (only if the type of protection value is “notional amount”)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Reporting reference date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred amount</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting reference date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrears for the instrument</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting reference date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding nominal amount</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting reference date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-balance-sheet amount</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting reference date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued interest</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting reference date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated write-offs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting reference date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated impairment amount</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting reference date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated changes in fair value due to credit risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting reference date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions associated to off-balance-sheet exposures</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting reference date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative recoveries since default</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting reference date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying amount</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting reference date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint liability amount</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting reference date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection allocated value</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting reference date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third party priority claims against the protection</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting reference date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that for the data attributes marked as static in the above table and which are originally denominated in currencies other than euro are converted into euro applying the respective exchange rate of the date to which the amounts refer. These data generally do not change.
2 Internal identifiers

2.1 Overview of internal identifiers

The reporting requirements of AnaCredit involve ten interrelated reporting datasets. Each dataset stores individual records and consists of a number of data attributes (please refer to Part I, Chapter 6 of the Manual dealing with the data model).

In addition to the data attributes, each reporting dataset includes a number of internal identifiers. These identifiers are a key part of the AnaCredit data model and generally have no meaning outside AnaCredit. They ensure that each entry can be (uniquely) identified by one or a combination of identifiers in the dataset. In this way they help to maintain data integrity and identify the relationship between the datasets.

An overview of the internal identifiers for each reporting dataset is provided in Table 3 below.

Table 3 Overview of internal identifiers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting dataset</th>
<th>Instrument data</th>
<th>Financial data</th>
<th>Accounting data</th>
<th>Counterparty-instrument data</th>
<th>Joint liabilities data</th>
<th>Instrument protection received data</th>
<th>Protection received data</th>
<th>Counterparty risk data</th>
<th>Counterparty default data</th>
<th>Counterparty reference data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporting agent identifier</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed agent identifier</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counterparty identifier</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract identifier</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument identifier</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection provider identifier</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection identifier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Please note that the protection provider identifier appearing in the protection received dataset is the counterparty identifier for the protection provider.

1 Please note that, in some cases, an internal identifier may be replaced by an external one. For example, NCBs may already require reporting agents to use counterparty identifiers which have been assigned by the relevant NCB (e.g. unique internal NCB code).
2.2 Description

2.2.1 Reporting agent identifier

Definition: Counterparty identifier for the reporting agent.

The reporting agent identifier is the counterparty identifier of the reporting agent which reports the data.

2.2.2 Observed agent identifier

Definition: Counterparty identifier for the observed agent.

The observed agent identifier is the counterparty identifier of the observed agent (i.e. an institutional unit of the reporting agent) whose data are reported by the reporting agent.

2.2.3 Counterparty identifier

Definition: An identifier applied by the reporting agent to uniquely identify each counterparty. Each counterparty has a unique and exclusive counterparty identifier. This means that this value will not change over time and can never be used as the counterparty identifier for any other counterparty.

In general, the reporting agent specifies the counterparty identifier and ensures that it meets the above criteria. However, in countries where an entity register has already been established by the NCB (e.g. for the purpose of the running the national credit register) and a unique counterparty identifier has been assigned to each counterparty by the NCB, this identifier is used by all reporting agents at national level.

Reporting qualification

The counterparty identifier is to be reported for all counterparties.

Values

Alphanumeric: a code consisting of alphabetical and numerical symbols.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The counterparty identifier is used by a reporting agent to refer to each counterparty (both resident and non-resident) when transmitting AnaCredit data to the respective NCB. Reporting agents use these identifiers consistently across all data transmissions and do not use the same code for more than one counterparty.
Counterparty identifiers consist of a consecutive series of alphanumeric symbols that are assigned exclusively to a specific counterparty. Even if a counterparty no longer falls under the AnaCredit reporting requirements, is no longer active or is to be deleted from the system for any reason, its counterparty identifier will not be assigned to any another counterparty (principle of exclusivity).

As specified in Annex I to the AnaCredit Regulation, NCBs may require reporting agents to use counterparty identifiers which have already been assigned by the relevant NCB (e.g. unique internal NCB codes).

Note that the above guidance does not limit the national discretion of the relevant NCBs in the identification process for resident counterparties.

Please also note that changes in the counterparty reference data (such as a change in legal form of a company) do not generally result in a new identification code being assigned to the counterparty.

### 2.2.4 Contract identifier

**Definition:** An identifier applied by the reporting agent to uniquely identify each contract. Each contract must have one contract identifier. This value will not change over time and cannot be used as the contract identifier for any other contract.

The contract identifier refers to the credit agreement between two or more parties under which the instrument is created. In particular, the contract identifier refers to the legal contract under which instruments are extended by the creditor to the debtor and conditions of the instruments are specified. In accordance with the definition, the contract identifier uniquely identifies a contract within the scope of a reporting agent. This means that each contract identifier is unique for each contract reported by the same reporting agent and, conversely, that a reporting agent always consistently identifies a given contract by the same contract identifier, irrespective of observed agents in relation to which the data are reported.

In essence, the contract identifier makes it possible to record one or more instruments arising in relation to the same credit contract.
2.2.5 Instrument identifier

Definition: An identifier applied by the reporting agent to uniquely identify each instrument under a single contract. Each instrument must have one instrument identifier. This value will not change over time and cannot be used as the instrument identifier for any other instrument under the same contract.

The instrument identifier refers to an instrument created under a contract with a given contract identifier. The instrument identifier together with the contract identifier uniquely identifies an instrument reported in AnaCredit within the scope of a reporting agent as the instrument identifier cannot refer to more than one instrument within the same contract.

Please note that both the contract identifier and the instrument identifier are required for all the types of instrument as referred to in Article 1(23) of the AnaCredit Regulation.

Please refer to Part III of the Manual for more guidance on contract and instrument identifiers in the case of credit cross limits and similar complex structures under which credit facilities exist with multiple instruments.

If more than one contract gives rise to the same instrument, the data are reported at the instrument level, associating the instrument with a single contract (i.e. referring to just one of the several contracts).

Please note that while the instrument identifier (in combination with the contract identifier) is used to identify the instrument in the AnaCredit data, the data attribute “type of instrument” categorises the instrument (e.g. revolving credit, deposits, financial leases or trade receivables).

2.2.6 Protection identifier

Definition: An identifier applied by the reporting agent to uniquely identify each protection used to secure the instrument. Each protection must have one protection identifier. This value will not change over time and cannot be used as the protection identifier for any other protection.

The definition of the protection identifier set out in Annex IV to the AnaCredit Regulation further clarifies that the protection identifier is applied by the reporting agent to uniquely identify each protection used to secure an instrument.

Consequently, each record in the protection received dataset represents an individual protection item and is uniquely identified by a protection identifier at the level of the reporting agent. This means that each protection identifier is unique for each protection item reported by the same reporting agent and, conversely, that a reporting agent always consistently identifies a given protection item by the same protection identifier, irrespective of observed agents in relation to which the data are reported. This protection identifier is not reused by the same reporting agent at any
point in time to identify a different protection item, including when it relates to a
different observed agent.

### 2.2.7 Protection provider identifier

**Definition:** Counterparty identifier for the protection provider.

The protection provider identifier is the counterparty identifier of the counterparty that
grants protection against a contractually agreed negative credit event and that is
obliged to make payments to the creditor if the debtor fails to meet the obligation to
make repayments arising under the instrument secured by the protection item (i.e.
when the negative credit event under Article 1(13) of the AnaCredit Regulation
occurs).

For instance, in these specific cases, the protection provider is:

- the owner of the physical item/real estate;
- the holder of the security pledged as a protection (and not the issuer
  of the security itself);
- the policy holder in the case of life insurance policies issued by an
  insurance company.

Please note that the protection provider identifier is always reported as “Non-
applicable” if the only protection provider of the protection item reported in the
protection received dataset is a natural person.

For a more specific overview of protection providers whose counterparty identifier is
reported as the protection provider identifier, please refer to Section 9.4.1 of this
Manual.

### Reporting qualification

If the only protection provider is a natural person, the protection provider identifier is
reported as “Non-applicable”.

3 Instrument dataset

This chapter provides explanations of the definitions in the AnaCredit Regulation in relation to the instrument dataset, including the concepts of “contract” and “instrument”. Examples of several product types are used to illustrate the definitions.

3.1 General aspects

3.1.1 Contract

In the context of AnaCredit, a contract is a credit agreement between two or more entities where at least one entity acts as the debtor and at least one other entity acts as the creditor providing the debtor with credit of any amount.

Specific features referred to in a contract which gives rise to an instrument are recorded at the instrument level.

3.1.2 Instrument

In AnaCredit, a credit contract can give rise to one or more instruments. The contract may be managed as a whole even if the instruments under the contract, or the constituent parts of the instruments, have different characteristics.

AnaCredit considers instruments in the way they are typically managed by credit institutions, i.e. it considers instruments as banking products with outstanding balances and credit limits. In this connection, an instrument is a specific instance of credit arising under a contract, with specified characteristics, enabling the debtor to receive from the creditor funds to an amount or value regulated in the contract. Consequently, instruments are typically associated with an account.

In particular, if an observed agent considers a loan under which several drawings are made (possibly with different characteristics, such as different interest rates, etc.) to be one instrument, then the agent reports the loan accordingly without compromising the AnaCredit data structure. However, if an observed agent considers the different parts of the loan to be different instruments, then the agent reports multiple instruments (which typically exist under the same contract) to AnaCredit, again without compromising the structure of AnaCredit reporting.

In AnaCredit, any instance of credit (i.e. any instrument) that is held or serviced by the observed agent is potentially subject to reporting. However, only those instruments that meet the conditions in Articles 1, 4 and 5 of the AnaCredit Regulation are actually reported.

From the perspective of a credit institution, instruments may have a positive or credit balance where the credit institution owes funds to the counterparty; or a negative or debit balance where the counterparty owes funds to the credit institution. This is...
reflected in the balance sheet, i.e. debit balances are on the assets side while credit balances are on the liabilities side. AnaCredit focuses on the assets side of the balance sheet.

Generally, instruments which are opened with the purpose of holding credit balances are referred to as deposit accounts (not to be confused with the type of instrument “deposits”), while instruments opened with the purpose of holding debit balances are referred to as loan accounts. Some instruments can switch between credit and debit balances (e.g. current accounts, revolving credit other than overdraft and credit card).

### 3.1.3 Credit limits

A credit limit is the maximum debit balance allowed to stand on an account at any given moment under the terms of the credit agreement.

For details and examples regarding limit structures and multiple instruments with a common credit limit, please refer to Part III of the Manual regarding credit facilities and cross-limit structures.

For a given instrument reported to AnaCredit, the individual outstanding balances under the instrument are reported in the data attribute “outstanding nominal amount” in the financial dataset, whereas the remaining amount by which the outstanding balance can be still increased within the credit limit associated with the instrument (or group of instruments, following the limit structure of which the instrument is a part) is reported in the data attribute “off-balance-sheet amount” in the financial dataset.

Whether or not there is any undrawn amount (off-balance-sheet amount) for an instrument depends on the credit limit associated with the instrument and the drawing possibilities agreed on in relation to the instrument. In this respect, the following distinction is made:

(a) a revolving credit is an instrument under a contract whereby the outstanding balances are permitted to fluctuate based on the decisions of the debtor to borrow and repay, up to an agreed limit;

(b) a non-revolving credit is a fixed-sum credit under a contract that may be disbursed in one amount or by instalments/tranches, such that the debtor is enabled to receive credit that does not replenish after payments are made (whether in one amount or by instalments/tranches).

### 3.1.4 Instruments in debit

The requirements of AnaCredit are interpreted so that, subject to certain exceptions which are thoroughly explained in the relevant sections of the Manual (e.g. as regards transferred assets, certain off-balance-sheet items, etc.), the instruments that are assets for the observed agent meet the definition in Article 1(23) of the AnaCredit Regulation referring to the data attribute “type of instrument”.

The outstanding nominal amount is the amount drawn under the instrument; the off-balance-sheet amount measures the remaining amount that is contractually agreed and that can be drawn so that the credit limit is not exceeded.
More specifically, the distinction between instruments subject to AnaCredit reporting and those not subject to reporting is based on the difference between instruments with intrinsic off-balance-sheet amounts (i.e. undrawn amounts), and instruments which are strict off-balance-sheet items. The former are instruments where a drawn amount – the outstanding nominal amount – and an undrawn amount – the off-balance-sheet amount – are part of the same instrument.

Certain types of instrument reported to AnaCredit can only have a positive outstanding nominal amount, but there are also types of instrument for which the outstanding nominal amount may be 0. However, not all instruments reported to AnaCredit may have a positive off-balance-sheet amount. Thus, it may be the case that an instrument reported to AnaCredit has a zero outstanding nominal amount and a positive off-balance-sheet amount, as long as a positive outstanding nominal amount can be drawn on the basis of the credit contract under which the instrument arises, e.g. a credit card where no amount has been drawn.

Instruments which are strict off-balance-sheet items are those where no outstanding amount may exist in combination with the off-balance-sheet amount, rendering this instrument a fully off-balance-sheet item at any time and under any condition. These are commitments which under specific circumstances may be called upon and converted into instruments for which an outstanding nominal amount may be shown, e.g. a guarantee provided by a credit institution.

Strict off-balance-sheet items such as loan commitments, financial guarantees and other commitments as defined in paragraphs 57, 58 and 59 of Part 2 of Annex V to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 680/2014 of 16 April 2014 (hereinafter referred to as “the ITS”), which do not have outstanding balances, are not considered to be any of the types of instruments referred to in Article 1(23) of the AnaCredit Regulation.

### 3.1.5 Starting date from which an instrument is reported

As regards the date when an instrument is reported, Part I, Section 4.6.6 of the Manual clarifies that in the general case an instrument first becomes subject to reporting at the moment at which the creditor enables the debtor to draw funds or disburse funds to the debtor after entering into a legally binding contract with the debtor.

This means that the instrument is subject to reporting as soon as the instrument is actually created (i.e. when an instrument identifier is assigned, as opposed to when the instrument is originated) by, for example, opening and making operational a dedicated bank account, irrespective of whether or not any funds have been drawn on that date.

The date on which the debtor draws funds for the first time under the instrument, or when funds are disbursed to the debtor for the first time, after entering into a legally binding contract with the debtor, is reported in the data attribute “settlement date”.

For an illustration of the first moment when an instrument is subject to AnaCredit reporting, please consider the example below.
Please note that instruments arising under (multi-product) cross-limits are also subject to reporting only after they have been actually created. For more details please refer to Part III of the Manual.
3.1.6 End date after which an instrument need not be reported

In principle, an instrument stops being reported to AnaCredit if it is no longer held or serviced by the observed agent. In such cases, the requirement to report the instrument ceases on the day on which the observed agent ceases to hold or service the instrument, and the requirement to report until the end of the quarter does not apply. In particular, a fully paid-off instrument is not reported to AnaCredit after the redemption date. In other words, non-existent instruments are not reportable.

However, as an exception to this principle, if a write-off takes place, then the instrument is reported at least until the end of the quarter, even if the instrument is neither held nor serviced by the observed agent after the write-off.

Even if the legal final maturity date of an instrument has passed, this does not necessarily imply that the instrument is terminated and is hence no longer subject to AnaCredit reporting. For example, consider a defaulted instrument which is not paid off by the legal final maturity date: the instrument remains subject to reporting for as arrears exist, because it is still held and/or serviced in this case.

3.1.6.1 Treatment of written-off instruments

As explained above, instruments in relation to which a write-off takes place are reported until at least the end of the quarter in which the write-off occurs. Furthermore, the reporting requirements in relation to such instruments generally depend on whether or not the instrument is still held or serviced by the observed agent.

In particular, a written-off instrument is reported beyond the end of the quarter only if the instrument is still held or serviced by the observed agent and the debtor’s commitment amount is equal to or exceeds the reporting threshold of €25,000 (i.e. when the debtor of the written-off instrument also has other instruments vis-à-vis the observed agent and the instruments are subject to reporting). In this case, the written-off instrument is reported reflecting the actual situation, i.e. all the counterparties involved are reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset, etc., although the accounting-related data attributes generally do not apply.

On the other hand, an instrument that is no longer held or serviced by the observed agent (for example, when it is sold to another counterparty or debt forgiveness applies) and in relation to which a write-off has taken place is reported only until the end of the quarter in which the observed agent ceases to hold or service the instrument. In this case, after the instrument ceases to exist, the relevant data attributes to report are “outstanding nominal amount”, “cumulative recoveries since default” and “accumulated write-offs” – the vast majority of data attributes do not apply.

For an illustration of the reporting requirements in relation to such instruments, please refer to Part III of the Manual containing examples of complete datasets for a number of instruments.
3.2 Level of granularity

The instrument dataset is compiled at the level of the instrument itself from the perspective of an observed agent whose data are reported by the reporting agent.

3.3 Reporting frequency

The instrument dataset is reported according to the on-change method. This means that initially the instrument dataset is reported to AnaCredit for all reportable instruments, but subsequently the instrument dataset is only reported for (a) new instruments or (b) instruments for which any of the data attributes in the dataset has changed compared with the data reported previously.\(^2\)

In accordance with the general reporting principle, the information contained in the instrument dataset is considered valid as of the reporting reference date to which the instrument refers.

Please note that, with the passage of time, values of certain data attributes that were previously reported to AnaCredit may become outdated, and an update may therefore be necessary. This means that the data available at a reporting reference date accurately describe an instrument as of the reporting reference date.

Generally, whether or not an observed agent holds or services an instrument as of a reporting reference date is implied by the information reported in the financial dataset. This means that, for an instrument reported in the financial dataset, a record for this instrument is sought in the instrument dataset. Conversely, if an instrument is not reported in the financial dataset as of a reporting reference date, then the instrument is assumed not to be subject to AnaCredit reporting, even if a record for the instrument was submitted previously and exists in the instrument dataset.

In particular, if at a given reporting reference date, an instrument is reported in the financial dataset, the instrument dataset contains a record which describes the instrument as of the reporting reference date.

If the instrument is further reported in the financial dataset as of a subsequent reporting reference date, and the information in the instrument dataset still accurately describes the instrument as of that date (although the information was only submitted to complement the part of the instrument described in the financial dataset in respect of an earlier reporting reference date), there is no need to report a record in the instrument dataset (as the information is already present and accurate in AnaCredit).

However, if later on one or more features of the instrument changes (e.g. the interest rate spread/margin is increased), then in order to have up-to-date information describing the instrument reported in the financial dataset as of the reporting

---

\(^2\) Please note that this applies to all AnaCredit datasets which are reported according to the on-change method, and not only to the instrument dataset.
reference date following the change, an update of the instrument dataset is submitted to AnaCredit.

For an illustration of how the instrument dataset is reported vis-à-vis the financial dataset, please consider the following example.

**Example 2: Updating the instrument dataset**

At a given reporting reference date, the financial dataset reported in relation to observed agent Bank A with counterparty identifier “Cpty#A” includes an instrument with instrument identifier “Inst#123” arising under a contract with contract identifier “Cntrct#A#2016”. The instrument dataset contains a record which describes the instrument as of the reporting reference date.

Instrument “Inst#123” is reported for the first time as of 30 September 2019. In particular, the following record presented in Table 6 is entered in the financial dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A#2016</td>
<td>INST#123</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The information in AnaCredit describing the instrument as of 30 September 2019 needs to be up to date. Therefore, a record is submitted to the instrument dataset in a timely manner so that it can be updated with the information valid as of 30 September 2019. Table 7 illustrates the reporting of the instrument dataset as of 30 September 2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Interest rate spread/margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A#2016</td>
<td>INST#123</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Instrument “Inst#123” continues to be reported as of 31 October 2019. In particular, the following record is transmitted to AnaCredit in the financial dataset as of 31 October 2019 (Table 8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2019</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A#2016</td>
<td>INST#123</td>
<td>45,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, as no change has actually taken place in relation to any of the data attributes in the instrument dataset since the last time the information was submitted to AnaCredit (i.e. to accompany the financial dataset as of 30 September 2019), the relevant information available in AnaCredit in respect to these attributes is still up to date and need not be sent again. Instead, in order to describe the instrument reported in the financial dataset as of 31 October 2019, AnaCredit copies the instrument information that was available as of 30 September 2019 (i.e. no record is submitted if no change has occurred in the information already available in AnaCredit).
In other words, the information in the instrument dataset is submitted in a timely manner in order to keep it up to date at each reporting reference date. As this information accompanies the information reported regularly in the financial dataset, it is consequently necessary to update the dataset so that records which are outdated or new records are submitted to AnaCredit no later than the time of the regular transmission (in which, inter alia, the financial dataset is submitted) for the reporting reference date to which they refer. In this way, any change in the actual situation that takes place between two consecutive reporting reference dates (i.e. between moments T and T+1) and is still valid at T+1 is accurately reflected in the instrument dataset that refers to the reference date T+1.

3.4 The instrument dataset – data attributes

This dataset is for instruments reported in the financial dataset where for each combination of contract and instrument identifier a record exists in the instrument dataset describing the instrument – either because such a record was submitted in a previous period and all the data attributes contained therein are still up to date, or because such a record is submitted to accompany, inter alia, the record in the financial dataset. In particular, the following data attributes are available for an instrument on a reporting reference date.

Instrument “Inst#123” continues to be reported as of 30 November 2019, and the following record is entered in the financial dataset.

Table 9 Indication of the financial dataset on 30 November 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/11/2019</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A#2016</td>
<td>INST#123</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, the interest rate spread/margin of the instrument (as captured in the instrument dataset) changed in November, and the record of the instrument dataset available in AnaCredit is not up to date. Consequently, an up-to-date record of the instrument dataset (Table 10) needs to be submitted to AnaCredit to accompany the information submitted in the financial dataset with reference to 30 November 2019.

Table 10 Indication of the instrument dataset that is sent to AnaCredit as of 30 November 2019 when a change takes place

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Interest rate spread/margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/11/2019</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A#2016</td>
<td>INST#123</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 11 Overview of data attributes in the instrument dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data attribute</th>
<th>Internal identifier</th>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Section in Part II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporting agent identifier</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed agent identifier</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract identifier</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument identifier</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of instrument</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>3.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inception date</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>3.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortisation type</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>3.4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>3.4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiduciary instrument</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>3.4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End date of interest-only period</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>3.4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate cap</td>
<td></td>
<td>Numeric value</td>
<td>3.4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate floor</td>
<td></td>
<td>Numeric value</td>
<td>3.4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate reset frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>3.4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate spread/margin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Numeric value</td>
<td>3.4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate type</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>3.4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal final maturity date</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>3.4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment amount at inception</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>3.4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>3.4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project finance loan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>3.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>3.4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recourse</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>3.4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference rate</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>3.4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlement date</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>3.4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subordinated debt</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>3.4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syndicated contract identifier</td>
<td></td>
<td>String</td>
<td>3.4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment rights</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>3.4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value changes due to changes in credit risk before purchase</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>3.4.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.1 Type of instrument

Definition: Classification of the instrument according to the type of contractual terms agreed between the parties.

This section provides a detailed description of the instrument categories into which reporting agents classify individual financial instruments reported under the AnaCredit Regulation. The classification put forward in the AnaCredit Regulation does not constitute an exhaustive list of all financial instruments that exist but only categorises eligible instruments.

Instruments of any of the types discussed below are reported to AnaCredit on condition that they fulfill the general criteria triggering the reporting obligation at a given reporting reference date, as explained in Part I, Chapter 5 of the Manual, “Criteria triggering the reporting obligation”.
Values

For each instrument reported, one of the following values is reported in this data attribute to provide a qualification of the type of the instrument.

Deposits other than reverse repurchase agreements

Definition: Deposits as defined in paragraph 5.79 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013 other than reverse repurchase agreements.

Deposits other than reverse repurchase agreements are transferable deposits as defined in paragraph 5.80 and other deposits as defined in paragraph 5.85 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.

Please note that this type of instrument includes (short-term) money market lending to other financial institutions.

Similarly, this type of instrument also covers cash collateral posted by the observed agent, on condition that the counterpart is a financial institution.

Any deposits, as defined in Regulation (EU) No 549/2013, which meet the definition of reverse repurchase agreements in paragraph 5.41 of Part 2 of Annex V to the ITS, are not to be included in this type of instrument.

This type of instrument includes instruments in the form of deposits placed by observed agents. In no case do deposits received by observed agents fall within the scope of this item.

In no case do deposits received by the observed agent fall within the scope of this item. Notably, any inter-bank positions between monetary financial institutions are in general referred to as deposits (cf. paragraph 5.119 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) 549/2013). Taking the perspective of the observed agent, only deposits in debit are subject to reporting (i.e. a debtor owes funds to the observed agent).

Conversely, in line with the requirements laid down in Articles 1, 4 and 5 (and as discussed in Part I, Chapter 4 Part I of the Manual regarding instruments within the scope of AnaCredit), deposits which are owed to creditors by the observed agent do not comply with the type of instrument as referred to by the definition.

Note that current accounts of the observed agent held with other credit institutions, i.e. *nosto* account balances, fall into the category of deposits and are reported to AnaCredit if the other credit institution owes funds to the observed agent.

The type of instrument for cash balances at central banks will also be “deposits other than reverse repurchase agreements”.

This type of instrument includes instruments in the form of deposits placed by observed agents. In no case do deposits received by observed agents fall within the scope of this item.

This type of instrument includes instruments in the form of deposits placed by observed agents. In no case do deposits received by observed agents fall within the scope of this item.
Overdraft

Definition: Overdraft as defined in point 2(1)(c) of the Table in Part 2 of Annex II to Regulation (EU) No 1071/2013 (ECB/2013/33).

In accordance with Regulation (EU) No 1071/2013 (ECB/2013/33) overdrafts are “debit balances on current accounts”. Overdrafts are funds provided to debtors in the form of balances on current accounts (unless they are provided to other monetary financial institutions, in which case they are classified as deposits other than reverse repurchase agreements).

An instrument (a debit balance) classified as an overdraft is necessarily one that arises on a current account, i.e. an account created with the primary goal of allowing credit balances mainly, although regular debit balances are also allowed on such an account. By contrast, a regular loan account or a revolving credit other than an overdraft is primarily intended only to have debit balances.

Please note that the requirement for an overdraft to be associated with a current account distinguishes an overdraft (with a credit limit) from a revolving credit other than an overdraft. In other words, if a credit limit under which the debtor may use and repay funds (i.e. a credit limit of a revolving nature) is not directly assigned to a current account, then such a credit limit is considered a revolving credit other than an overdraft.

Debit balances on current accounts arise with regard to:

- current accounts with a credit limit;
- current accounts with no credit limit.

Where a credit limit is associated with a current account, it is understood that this credit limit was granted under a credit contract which specifies the conditions on which the funds may be used. Such a contract may either be made at the same time as a current account is created or may be an addendum to the current account agreement made after the current account was created.

As regards current accounts which are not associated with a contractually agreed credit limit, debit balances may arise on such accounts in a number of cases, including:

- unauthorised debits;
- nostro/vostro accounts between two financial institutions other than deposits and reverse repurchase agreements.

Regarding overdrafts which arise on current accounts with an agreed credit limit (which are of a revolving nature), please note that:

- the inception date is the date on which the credit limit was contractually agreed, rather than the date on which the current account was created;
- the settlement date is the date on which the debtor draws funds under the credit limit for the first time; in cases where no funds have
been drawn before or at a reporting reference date, the settlement date is reported as “Non-applicable”;

- the legal final maturity date is the date on which the credit limit will be revoked in accordance with the contract of the credit limit; in cases where the contract does not specify such a date, “Non-applicable” is reported.

In the case of overdrafts which arise on current accounts that are not associated with an agreed credit limit as such, please note that:

- the inception date is the date when the debit balance (outstanding as at the reporting reference date) arose, rather than the date on which the current account was created;
- the settlement date coincides with the inception date, which is the date when the debit balance is considered to have been disbursed;
- the legal final maturity date does not in principle exist, as it is not contractually specified (although it is not excluded that such a date may exist in certain cases).

Please note that credit balances on current accounts are not subject to AnaCredit reporting. However, a distinction is drawn between:

- credit balances on current accounts with an agreed credit limit;
- credit balances on current accounts which are not associated with an agreed credit limit.

The reporting of current accounts with an agreed credit limit is triggered by the existence of the credit limit, irrespective of whether the balance on the current account is debit or credit. However, the credit balance is set to 0 (zero) when reported to AnaCredit.

Meanwhile, current accounts which are not associated with an agreed credit limit are subject to AnaCredit reporting only if they are in debit at a reporting reference date. Otherwise, when in credit, they are not overdrafts in accordance with Article 1(23) of the AnaCredit Regulation.

Current accounts with a credit limit are generally considered to be a banking product (of a revolving nature) that enables the debtor to draw funds directly from the current account up to the specified credit limit amount. Therefore, it is irrelevant whether or not the credit limit has been exceeded, and the total debit balance outstanding on a current account with a credit limit is reported, irrespective of whether or not the specified credit limit has been exceeded, in the data attribute “outstanding nominal amount” in accordance with the AnaCredit Regulation.

Funds that can, in accordance with the contract (under which the instrument arises), still be drawn in addition to the outstanding nominal amount, so that the credit limit is not exceeded, are reported as the data attribute “off-balance-sheet amount”. In particular, if the outstanding nominal amount exceeds the instrument’s credit limit, the off-balance-sheet amount is reported as €0.
The commitment amount at inception for debit balances on current accounts with a credit limit is the credit limit as at the inception date.

As regards debit balances with no credit limit, these typically arise without a specific credit contract being created. Nevertheless, having regard to the general terms of the current account agreement, the agreement typically provides that, if a debit balance arises on the current account, conditions favouring the creditor typically come into operation – for instance, the debtor is required to repay this (unauthorised) debt immediately. The debit balance is reported as the outstanding nominal amount. As no credit limit exists as such, the off-balance-sheet amount is reported as “Non-applicable” because by definition there is no amount that could be additionally drawn by or disbursed to the debtor vis-à-vis the instrument without changing the contract.

The commitment amount at inception for debit balances on current accounts with no credit limit is reported as “Non-applicable”.

Debit balances on current accounts where the debtor is a monetary financial institution are not be classified as overdrafts but are instead classified as deposits.

Credit card debt

Definition: Credit granted via delayed debit cards, i.e. cards providing convenience credit, or via credit cards, i.e. cards providing convenience credit and extended credit.

Credit card debt comprises credit granted via either of the following two types of card:

- delayed debit cards, i.e. cards providing convenience credit (credit granted at an interest rate of 0% in the period between the payment transactions made with the card during one billing cycle and the date at which the debit balances from this specific billing cycle become due);
- credit cards, i.e. cards providing convenience credit and extended credit (credit granted after the due dates of the previous billing cycles have passed, i.e. debit amounts on the card account that have not been settled when this was first possible, for which an interest rate or tiered interest rates usually greater than 0% are charged).

Credit card debt is generally considered to be a revolving credit instrument where funds can be repeatedly repaid and drawn up to an agreed credit limit.

The balance outstanding under this instrument is reported in the data attribute “outstanding nominal amount”.

Funds that can be still drawn under this instrument, on top of the outstanding nominal amount, so that the credit limit is not exceeded, are to be reported as the data attribute “off-balance-sheet amount”. If the outstanding nominal amount exceeds the credit limit of the instrument, the off-balance-sheet amount to be reported is €0.
As a general practice, credit card debt is recorded on dedicated card accounts and therefore not evident on current accounts, although in certain cases the credit may be debited to a current account of the debtor. In both cases, irrespective of whether or not credit card debt is linked to a current account, credit card debt is reported as a separate instrument. For an illustration of the reporting and how the outstanding nominal amount is determined in the case of a credit card debt linked to a current account, please consider the following example.

Example 3: Credit card debt linked to a current account

DBTR#CC has credit vis-à-vis observed agent OA#1, where DBTR#CC has a current account with a credit limit of 25,000 (OVRDRFT#1) originated under contract Cntr#Ovr and a credit card limit of 5,000 (CC#2) originated under contract Cntr#CC linked to the same current account. According to the billing cycle any amounts outstanding on the credit card on the 21st day of each month are debited to the current account. In the course of March and April, the following takes place:

- on 28 February, both OVRDRFT#1 and CC#2 have outstanding nominal amounts of €0;
- DBTR#CC has used the credit card to the effect that on 21 March has an outstanding balance of €1,200, which is then debited to the current account;
- thereafter, DBTR#CC continues using the credit card so that on 31 March the card’s outstanding balance amounts to €950;
- on 15 April DBTR#CC draws an amount of €5,000 directly from the current account;
- in the period between 1 and 21 April, DBTR#CC further uses the credit card so that on 21 April the outstanding balance increases to €3,500, which is then debited to the current account;
- DBTR#CC does not use the credit card for the rest of April;
- in the entire period considered, DBTR#CC neither draws any other amounts from nor pays amounts to the current account.

Both OVRDRFT#1 and CC#2 are reported as separate instruments, with the type of instrument being “overdraft” for the first instrument and “credit card debt” for the second instrument. An overview of the outstanding nominal amount and the off-balance-sheet amount is provided in Table 12.

Table 12 Overview of the amounts across the debtor’s instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Off-balance-sheet amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28/02/2019</td>
<td>CNTR#OVR</td>
<td>OVRDRFT#1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/02/2019</td>
<td>CNTR#CC</td>
<td>CC#2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>CNTR#OVR</td>
<td>OVRDRFT#1</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
<td>23,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>CNTR#CC</td>
<td>CC#2</td>
<td>950.00</td>
<td>4,050.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>CNTR#OVR</td>
<td>OVRDRFT#1</td>
<td>8,500.00</td>
<td>16,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>CNTR#CC</td>
<td>CC#2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Typically, credit card debt is unsecured, meaning that no protection specific to the credit card is provided. In such cases, no entry in the instrument-protection received dataset is made for a credit card debt instrument.

The debtor to these forms of credit is the entity liable to eventually repay the amounts outstanding on the credit card account in accordance with the credit contract, which not necessarily coincides with the cardholder – for example, in the case of company credit cards, it is typically the company that is liable for the debt as opposed to the person who holds the credit card.

**Revolving credit other than overdrafts and credit card debt**

Definition: Credit that has the following features: (i) the debtor may use or withdraw funds up to a pre-approved credit limit without giving prior notice to the creditor; (ii) the amount of available credit can increase and decrease as funds are borrowed and repaid; (iii) the credit may be used repeatedly; (iv) it is not credit card debt or overdrafts.

Revolving credit other than overdrafts and credit card debt is any instrument, other than a current account with a credit limit or a credit card debt, where funds can be repeatedly repaid and drawn again up to an agreed credit limit. This means that a credit limit is granted, and the debtor is enabled to receive funds from the creditor to an amount such that, taking into account payments made by or to the credit of the debtor, the credit limit is not exceeded. Current accounts with a credit limit and credit card debt, which are both of a revolving nature, are not classified under this item.

Please note that in the context of AnaCredit, only the following types of instruments are in principle considered to be revolving:

- current accounts with an agreed credit limit (classified as overdrafts in accordance with Article 1(23) of the AnaCredit Regulation);
- credit card debt;
- revolving credit other than overdrafts and credit card debt.

The remaining types of instruments as referred to in Article 1(23) of the AnaCredit Regulation are not in principle deemed to be revolving. This includes credit lines other than revolving credit which are not revolving despite the fact that these instruments may have an undrawn part that may be utilised by the debtor.

As an indication, a revolving credit granted on the basis of pledged trade receivables is typically classified as “revolving credit other than overdrafts and credit card debt”. However, a fixed-sum credit which does not entail any off-balance-sheet amount granted on the basis of pledged trade receivables is typically classified as “other loans”.

Often, multi-product credit facilities under which a number of individual instruments exist, each with possibly different characteristics, are of a revolving nature. Notwithstanding their revolving nature, such multi-product credit facilities are not considered “revolving credit other than overdrafts and credit card debt”. Please note
that the reporting of credit facilities under which different individual instruments may
be created is clarified in a case study in Part III of the Manual dealing specifically
with credit cross-limit structures (multi-product credit facilities). Additionally, general
rules regarding such multi-product credit facilities are elaborated on in Part I, Section
4.6.3 of the Manual.

Credit lines other than revolving credit

Definition: Credit that has the following features: (i) the debtor may use or withdraw
funds up to a pre-approved credit limit without giving prior notice to the creditor; (ii)
the credit may be used repeatedly; (iii) it is not revolving credit, credit card debt or
overdrafts.

In the context of AnaCredit, “credit lines other than revolving credit” are (as the term
makes explicit) instruments which are not of a revolving nature, i.e. which do not
allow funds to be repaid and drawn again. In fact, the amount of available credit can
only decrease as funds are drawn, and repaying funds does not increase the
available amounts.

Credit lines other than revolving credit involve a credit limit, i.e. the maximum debit
balance which is allowed to stand on the instrument’s account. The debtor may
receive the maximum debit balance in one amount or by instalments (or tranches);
however, in contrast to revolving credits, any amount once paid to the debtor always
reduces the funds still available to the debtor under the instrument, even though it
has been repaid by the debtor. Consequently, credit lines other than revolving credit
may not have any off-balance-sheet amount available from a certain moment in time
onwards even though such amounts were available in earlier periods of the lifecycle
of such instruments.

Please refer to the section on overdrafts and revolving credit above for guidance
regarding the difference between those instruments and credit lines other than
revolving credit.

Please also note that credit lines other than revolving credit do not include multi-
instrument/multi-product credit facilities that cover different kind of instruments in
which the off-balance-sheet amount is shared between some or all instruments
belonging to such a credit facility.

Funds that can still be drawn under this type of instrument are reported into the data
attribute “off-balance-sheet amount”. If the total available credit has been completely
drawn, either in one amount or by instalments, the off-balance-sheet amount is
reported as €0.

For an illustration of how the outstanding nominal amount and off-balance-sheet
amount are reported for this type of instrument, please consider the following
example.
Any instrument that satisfies the conditions referred to in the definition above is classified as “credit lines other than revolving credit”. For instance, a non-revolving
credit granted on the basis of pledged trade receivables is typically classified as “credit lines other than revolving credit”. Similarly, a non-revolving credit granted to finance projects is typically classified as “credit lines other than revolving credit”, even when the disbursement of funds for projects depends on the progress of the project. This is because, from the credit risk perspective, there is a possibility that the funds will be disbursed even though prior notice to and the approval of the creditor will typically be necessary.

Please note that multi-product credit facilities under which instruments are created are not classified as credit lines other than revolving credit. The reporting of credit facilities under which different individual instruments may be created is clarified in a case study in Part III of the Manual dealing specifically with credit cross-limit structures (multi-product credit facilities). Additionally, general rules regarding such multi-product credit facilities are elaborated on in Part I, Section 4.6.3 of the Manual.

Reverse repurchase agreements


Reverse repurchase agreements (or reverse repos) are interpreted as defined in Part 2 points 14.91 and 14.92 of Annex V to the ITS, where reverse repurchase agreements are transactions in which the credit institution loans out cash in exchange for financial assets sold at a given price under a commitment to repurchase the same (or identical) assets at a fixed price on a specified future date.

Amounts loaned out by the credit institution in exchange for financial assets transferred by a third party are classified under “reverse repurchase agreements” where there is a commitment to reverse the operation and not merely an option to do so. In the context of AnaCredit, “reverse repurchase agreements” means any transaction in which the observed agent loans out cash in exchange for any assets where there is a commitment to reverse the operation and not merely an option to do so. It is clarified that in all such cases the type of instrument is reported as “reverse repurchase agreements”.

In particular, reverse repurchase agreements include:

(a) amounts loaned out in exchange for securities temporarily transferred to a third party in the form of securities lending against cash collateral;

(b) amounts loaned out in exchange for securities temporarily transferred to a third party in the form of sale/buy-back agreement.

Whether a given reverse repurchase agreement regards securities or other assets is made explicit on the basis of the protection item linked to the instrument as reported in the instrument-protection received dataset and in the protection dataset. If the assets serving as protection to a reverse repurchase agreement are securities, it is concluded that the reverse repurchase agreement is a transaction in securities.
In all cases, a commitment to repurchase the assets (or assets of the same description) by the transferor (i.e. the counterparty selling the assets) at a specified price on a future date is key for this type of instrument (i.e. constitutes a specific contractual relationship). In other words, a temporary purchase of assets will be classed as “reverse repurchase agreements” only if there is a contractual commitment to reversing the operation and not merely an option to do so.

Consequently, in the context of AnaCredit, a reverse repo transaction is reported as follows.

- The cash loaned out in exchange for assets is reported as an instrument. The type of instrument is “reverse repurchase agreements”.
- The assets underlying the reverse repo transaction, which in essence collateralise the instrument, are recorded as protection; if the assets are securities, the type of protection is reported as “securities”, while if the operation involves the temporary transfer of cash against gold, the type of protection is “gold”. Otherwise, if the assets are commodities, the type of protection to be reported is “other physical collaterals”.
- The counterparty receiving the assets (the credit institution) is the instrument’s creditor, whereas the counterparty receiving the loan is the instrument’s debtor.

Please note that, generally, all instruments’ outstandings are measured without netting of collateral, even in the case of 100% cash-backed instruments. Similarly, when reverse repurchase agreements are reported to AnaCredit, any netting agreements are left out of consideration.

The off-balance-sheet amount for reverse repurchase agreements reported to AnaCredit is reported as “Non-applicable”.

Forward-starting reverse repurchase agreements, in which no cash has yet been loaned out, are not subject to reporting to AnaCredit until the cash is actually loaned out.

As regards the reporting of a pool of assets (as opposed to a single asset) exchanged under a reverse repurchase agreement in the protection received dataset, they may be reported at the pool level or at the individual asset level, depending on the valuation approach applied by the observed agent. Please refer to Section 9.2 dealing specifically with the level of granularity of protection in the protection received dataset.

For more details regarding the reporting of reverse repurchase agreements, please refer to Part III of the Manual.
Trade receivables

Definition: Trade receivables as defined in paragraph 5.41(c) of part 2 of Annex V to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 680/2014.

Pursuant to paragraph 5.41(c), the type of instrument “trade receivables” covers not only factoring transactions (both with and without recourse) but also outright purchase of trade receivables, forfaiting and discounting of invoices, bills of exchange, commercial papers and other claims on the condition that the credit institution buys the trade receivables.

However, account receivables stemming from sales of non-banking products by credit institutions are not considered trade receivables in the context of AnaCredit. The type of instrument “trade receivables” is distinguished from financing against trade receivables.

While “trade receivables” means purchasing trade receivables (the factoring client sells the trade receivable), in financing against trade receivables the credit institutions typically advance funds against a pool of receivables which serve as protection (the producer of the goods keeps the receivable and books the cash that it gets from the credit institution, which is offset with a credit). In other words, financing against trade receivables is an instance of credit that involves the use of the trade receivables as protection for the credit (i.e. the trade receivables are pledged as a form of funded protection).

Where a credit institution provides financing against trade receivables – i.e. the trade receivable is merely pledged but not purchased – the type of instrument reported to AnaCredit for this financing would not be trade receivables. Please refer to the section above dealing with revolving credit other than overdrafts and credit card debt for more information.

The reporting of factoring transactions and other trade receivables – irrespective of whether they are with or without recourse – is clarified in a case study on factoring and other trade receivables in Part III of the Manual.

Financial leases

Definition: Financial leases as defined in paragraphs 5.134 to 5.135 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013

Under IFRS or compatible National GAAP, “financial leases” correspond to “finance leases” as defined in IAS 17. The definition is in line with FINREP (cf. Part 2, para. 41(d) of Annex V to the ITS).

In the context of AnaCredit, financial leases are loans from the lessor (i.e. the legal owner of an asset (for example a durable good) to the lessee (the party to whom the lessor lends this asset) enabling the lessee to rent the durable good.
The asset which has been lent to the lessee is recorded as protection in the protection received dataset, and the appropriate type of protection is assigned to the protection item. In addition, the holder of the asset is reported as the protection provider.

The “financial leases” type of instrument applies to all instruments which meet the definition as set out in paragraphs 5.134 to 5.135 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013, irrespective of whether the debtor has the right to acquire possession of the asset at the end of the lease period.

The lessor is recorded as the creditor to the instrument whereas the lessee is the debtor to the instrument.

Please note that operating leases (being such from the perspective of the lessee) are not subject to AnaCredit reporting at the current stage of AnaCredit.

Other loans

Definition: Other loans not included in any of the categories listed above. Loan has the same meaning as defined in paragraphs 5.112, 5.113 and 5.114 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.

This instrument type is assigned to instruments which meet the definition of a loan as set out in paragraphs 5.112, 5.113 and 5.114 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013 and are not any of the following types of instruments: deposits other than reverse repurchase agreements, overdrafts, credit card debt, revolving credit other than overdrafts and credit card debt, credit lines other than revolving credit, reverse repurchase agreements, trade receivables or financial leases as defined in the AnaCredit Regulation.

Any instruments classified as “other loans” are considered to be of a non-revolving nature (as otherwise they would be classified as either “overdrafts” (i.e. current accounts with credit limits), “credit card debt” or “revolving credit other than overdrafts and credit card debt”).

Generally, this type of instrument primarily includes lump-sum credits (where the total credit is paid out in one instalment). It also includes “outstanding balances stemming from credits as a result of called and unpaid guarantees”, “amounts due as a result of unsettled transactions in derivatives”, or in general loans arising from off-balance-sheet transactions which were converted into on-balance exposures and do not meet the criteria of any of the types of instrument (as otherwise they would be reported as the relevant type of instrument). In particular, a fixed-sum credit with no off-balance-sheet amount which is entirely disbursed in one instalment is classified as “other loans”.

“Other loans” may also include:

- inventory financing;
- asset-based lending;
- accounts receivable financing;
• rental and purchase order financing;
• equipment financing;
• instruments resulting from converting off-balance-sheet exposures into on-balance-sheet exposures (e.g. if a guarantee given by the observed agent is called and the guarantor is obliged to pay the debtor, an instrument is created in which the guarantor now acts as debtor).

Please note that “other loans” does not include:

• operating leases and sale and leaseback agreements;
• non-revolving loans that are disbursed in two or more instalments, as these are generally considered to be credit lines other than revolving credit;
• treasury products including foreign exchange credit exposures, interest rate swaps and government;
• bonds;
• debt securities (including purchased securities issued as a substitute to extending a loan);
• derivatives including CDSs, options and equity trackers;
• financial guarantees;
• multi-product/multi-instrument credit facilities;
• forward deposits;
• accounts receivable of the observed agent resulting from the sales of non-banking products.

For an illustration of the reporting obligation in relation to off-balance-sheet exposures once they are converted into instruments that are subject to AnaCredit reporting, please consider the following example.

**Example 5: Reporting in the case of called guarantees (given by the observed agent)**

Counterparty CUST#1, a customer of Bank#A, engages in a commercial relationship with counterparty CPTY#2. In this connection, CUST#1 and Bank#A concluded a contract (CNTRT#1) on 25 November 2018 on the basis of which Bank#A extended a loan (LOAN#1) to its customer (CUST#1) and issued a guarantee (GUA#2) in favour of beneficiary CPTY#2. This is an obligation of Bank#A to pay a sum of money to the beneficiary on behalf its customer (CUST#1) in the event that CUST#1 does not pay the beneficiary (consider, for example, a standby letter of credit).

From the perspective of Bank#A, acting as an observed agent, LOAN#1 (€225,000 disbursed on 12 December 2018) is reportable to AnaCredit; however, the guarantee, which is an off-balance-sheet exposure, is not subject to AnaCredit reporting. Table 16 and Table 17 illustrate the instrument and financial datasets reported as of December 2018.

Please note that the reporting does not cover the guarantee GUA#2 provided in favour of CPTY#2.
Table 16 Indication of the instrument dataset reported as of 31 December

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Type of instrument</th>
<th>Inception date</th>
<th>Settlement date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CNTRT#1</td>
<td>LOAN#1</td>
<td>Credit line other than revolving credit</td>
<td>25/11/2018</td>
<td>12/12/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 17 Indication of the financial dataset reported as of 31 December

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CNTRT#1</td>
<td>LOAN#1</td>
<td>225,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In January CUST#1 does not pay CPTY#2 for the delivery of goods and the letter of credit becomes payable. Consequently, an amount of €145,000 is paid under the guarantee by Bank#A to the beneficiary (CPTY#2) on 27 January 2019. Upon the payment by Bank#A under the guarantee, CUST#1 has an obligation to reimburse Bank#A. In this connection, Bank#A recognises the obligation as an instrument reportable to AnaCredit. Bank#A determines that the newly recognised instrument (LOAN#GUA#2), which in fact arises from off-balance-sheet transactions, meets the definition of “Other loans”. To reflect this, a record of the instrument dataset concerning LOAN#GUA#2 is reported to AnaCredit as of 31 January.

Table 18 Indication of the instrument dataset reported after the guarantee is called

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Type of instrument</th>
<th>Inception date</th>
<th>Settlement date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/01/2019</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CNTRT#1</td>
<td>LOAN#GUA#2</td>
<td>Other loans</td>
<td>25/11/2018</td>
<td>27/01/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After the guarantee is called, two instruments are reported in relation to the debtor (CUST#1). This is illustrated in the financial dataset (Table 19).

Table 19 Indication of the financial dataset reported after the guarantee is called

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/01/2019</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CNTRT#1</td>
<td>LOAN#01</td>
<td>225,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/01/2019</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CNTRT#1</td>
<td>LOAN#GUA#2</td>
<td>145,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that before the guarantee is called, the guarantee constitutes an off-balance-sheet exposure and is not subject to reporting. However, after the guarantee is called and the guaranteed amount paid by the bank, the customer’s obligation to reimburse the payment to the bank is recognised as an instrument reportable to AnaCredit. The fact that the customer (CUST#1) acts as debtor to the instrument is covered in the counterparty-instrument dataset (not shown in this example).

3.4.2 Project finance loan

Definition: Identification of project finance

This data attribute is defined at the instrument level for the purposes of identification of project finance loans.
In particular, the “project finance loan” data attribute includes loans that meet the characteristics of specialised lending exposures as defined in Article 147(8) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and the Council of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms and amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 (hereinafter referred to as “the CRR”).

Please note that project finance includes object/asset-based finance on the conditions that:

- the loan is granted to an entity which was created specifically to finance or operate physical assets or is an economically comparable loan;
- the contractual arrangements give the creditor a substantial degree of control over the assets and the income that they generate;
- the primary source of repayment of the obligation is the income generated by the assets being financed, rather than the independent capacity of a broader commercial enterprise.

Project finance may take the form of financing of the construction of a new capital installation, or refinancing of an existing installation, with or without improvements.

In such transactions, the creditor is usually paid solely or almost exclusively out of the money generated by the contracts for the facility's output, such as the electricity sold by a power plant. The debtor is usually a special purpose entity (SPE) that is not permitted to perform any function other than developing, owning and operating the installation. The consequence is that repayment depends primarily on the project's cash flow and on the collateral value of the project's assets.

More details regarding project finance loans are provided in Part III of the Manual.

Values

For each instrument reported to AnaCredit, one of the two values is reported.

Project finance loan

The value “project finance loan” is to be used if the instrument is a project finance loan in accordance with Part 2 of Annex V to the ITS.

Project finance loans are a form of financing that is recovered solely from the income of the project financed. Although this form of financing can regard any of the types of instruments, it is typically relevant for "credit lines other than revolving credit". This financing may be also provided as "revolving credit other than overdrafts and credit card debt".

In the context of AnaCredit, project finance loans also include object/asset-based finance. In such cases, the financed object is reported as a protection item in the protection received dataset. The type of protection to be reported for this protection item depends on the type of object that is financed by the project finance loan; in most cases, the type of protection is “other physical collaterals”.

Values
Non-project finance loan

For instruments which are not project finance loans, the data attribute is reported as "non-project finance loan".

Please note that finance leases are not project finance loans.

3.4.3 Currency

Definition: currency denomination of instruments, in accordance with the ISO 4217 standard.

This data attribute identifies the currency that is the unit of account, i.e. the currency in which the debt instrument is effectively denominated.

Values

For every instrument reported, the currency is specified by one of the values set out in the ISO 4217 standard.

Note that in the context of AnaCredit, an instrument is defined at such a level that there is only one currency in which the instrument is denominated. Credit agreements which include multiple tranches denominated in different currencies are reported as different instruments within a single contract, and the data attribute currency is the currency in which the instrument is denominated.

Instruments with both principal and interest indexed to a currency are classified and treated as though they are denominated in that currency.

For instruments denominated in one currency but settled in another currency, the currency is the currency in which the instrument is denominated.

This data attribute identifies the currency in which the instrument is denominated and not the currency in which the instrument is reported to AnaCredit (note that in AnaCredit all amounts are reported in euro).

For more information on how foreign currencies are converted into euro please refer to Section 1.6 above.

3.4.4 Inception date

Definition: The date on which the contractual relationship originated, i.e. the date on which the contract agreement become binding for all parties

The inception date refers to the date of the legal contract which led to the creation of the instrument.
The inception date is the same as the date on which the contract which gives rise to the instrument becomes binding, which is considered for practical reasons to be the signature date rather than the documentation completion date. In this connection, it is clarified that although the information is provided at the level of an instrument, it generally means the date on which the contract is originated that gives rise to the instrument.

The inception date does not change for an existing instrument, even if an amendment to the contract which has given rise to the instrument is made (for instance if the credit limit of an instrument is increased or decreased), and the amendment date is reported under the data attribute “date of the forbearance and renegotiation status”. Consider for example instruments where the initial contract is modified and the running instruments are adjusted accordingly, rather than a new contract being created and new instruments being issued to replace the existing ones.

The contract may also indicate a future date T+1 on which the instrument will be conceived, in which case, at the moment T+1 when the instrument becomes relevant for reporting, the date when the contract was signed (i.e. T+0) is considered as the date when the responsibilities became binding (example: forward repurchase agreements).

However, in the case of roll-overs or restructuring, when the existing contract is superseded by a new contract (i.e. where all or some of the conditions have changed), the new instrument that arises is entered as a new record in the instrument dataset (under the new contract). In this record, the inception date on which the new contract was made is reported.

Loan acquisitions in which the economic transfer of the instrument takes place from a transferor to the observed agent, achieved either by transfer of ownership or by sub-participation, do not affect the inception date of the instrument as such. Thus the initial inception date remains unchanged.

**Example 6: Inception date in the case of loan acquisition**

Bank#1 originated on 1 June 2016 (contract identifier Con#1) a loan (BnkLn#1) that was disbursed on 25 June 2016. Later, the loan became non-performing, and Bank#1 sold it on 14 December 2018 on the basis of sale contract SiCntr#1 to Bnk#2, which is an observed agent. Bnk#2, which purchased this loan, identifies the loan as NPLn#4. Table 20 provides an illustration of how Bnk#2 reports the loan in the instrument dataset as of 31 December 2018.

**Table 20 Indication of the instrument data reported as of 31 March**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Inception date</th>
<th>Settlement date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>Bnk#2</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>NPLN#4</td>
<td>01/06/2016</td>
<td>25/06/2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that the inception date for the instrument is the original inception date rather than the date on which Bnk#2 acquired the loan.
The inception date for any debit balances on current accounts where there is no contractual agreement on a credit limit supporting the debit balance is reported as the actual settlement date on which the debit balance occurred.

3.4.5 Settlement date

Definition: The date on which the conditions specified in the contract are or can be executed for the first time, i.e. the date on which financial instruments are initially exchanged or created.

The settlement date of an instrument is the date on which the instrument was used or drawn for the first time after the instrument's inception date. In that sense, it is the date on which (a part or all) funds are disbursed.

Please note that the settlement date refers to the instrument rather than to the contract on the basis of which the instrument is created. In other words, in contrast to the inception date, which is specified in the contract, the settlement date is instrument-specific based on the actual usage of the terms specified under the contract.

In particular, the settlement date for the fixed sum credit arrangements is the date of the first pay-out of the amounts, if such pay-outs have already taken place.

Figure 1 Settlement date for a fixed sum credit

Further, the settlement date for revolving credit instruments, where the debit balance can be replenished by the debtor, is the first date on which the debtor has taken advantage of the funds.

Figure 2 Settlement date for an instrument of a revolving nature
The settlement date for debit balances on current accounts with no credit limit is the date on which the debit balance (as outstanding at the reporting reference date) arose. In this particular case the inception and settlement date are the same.

**Figure 3 Settlement date for unauthorised debit balances**

In cases where no funds have even been drawn or disbursed under an instrument in the period between the instrument’s inception date and the reporting reference date, the settlement date is reported as “Non-applicable”.

For an illustration of how the settlement date is reported for reporting reference dates before and after an instrument’s first usage, please refer to Table 13 and Table 14 in **Example 4** where a credit line other than revolving credit is presented. For further examples of the settlement date, please refer also to **Example 7** below.

**Example 7: Determining the settlement date**

Bank A had entered into contract CNT#1 on 5 March for the following three instruments for the reporting period with reference date 31/03/2019:

- a loan (Inst#1) where a fixed sum of €50,000 was disbursed to the customer on 15 March and repayments are made quarterly;
- a financing arrangement with a line of credit (Inst#2) where the instrument has been physically created and funds were made available totalling €100,000 but the client has made no withdrawals yet;
- a revolving line of credit (Inst#3) totalling €150,000 which was first activated on 7, whereas the client made two withdrawals on 9 and 23 March in the amounts of €30,000 and €40,000 respectively.

Following the stated logic, Table 21 illustrates the settlement dates for the three instruments.

**Table 21 Determining the settlement date across products as of 31 March**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount (financial dataset)</th>
<th>Off-balance-sheet amount (financial dataset)</th>
<th>Inception date (instrument dataset)</th>
<th>Settlement date (instrument dataset)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>CNT#1</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
<td>05/03/2019</td>
<td>15/03/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>CNT#1</td>
<td>INST#2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>05/03/2019</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>CNT#1</td>
<td>INST#3</td>
<td>70,000.00</td>
<td>80,000.00</td>
<td>05/03/2019</td>
<td>07/03/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As can be seen, the determination of the settlement date varies depending on the type of instrument.
3.4.6 Legal final maturity date

Definition: The contractual maturity date of the instrument, taking into account any agreements amending initial contracts.

The legal final maturity date is the date by which any funds drawn under the instrument are contractually to be ultimately paid or repaid and any undrawn funds can no longer be drawn.

The legal final maturity date refers to the maturity date specified (if any) in the contract (i.e. valid as of the reporting reference date) that gives rise to the instrument.

Generally, the contract specifies conditions for all instruments that may arise under the contract. Nevertheless, the legal final maturity date may be specifically provided for in the contract for individual instruments.

Note that certain instruments (e.g. some credit cards, stock lending or open reverse repurchase agreements) have no legal final maturity date defined in the contract owing to the fact that the instruments are of a perpetual nature or have an embedded optionality. Therefore, for instruments with no maturity date, the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

For instruments repayable on demand or at short notice, the value “Non-applicable” is reported. However, if a legal final maturity date is specified for such instruments, then the date is reported.

By convention, for any debit balances on current accounts with no credit limit, the value “Non-applicable” is reported as the legal final maturity date.

The legal final maturity date does not determine the final reporting of an instrument to AnaCredit (i.e. an instrument is reported after its legal final maturity if it meets the criteria for being reported).

In contrast with the inception date, the legal final maturity date may change over the lifetime of an instrument as, by amending the contract, the legal final maturity date may be put forward or backward compared with the date originally set. In such cases, the previously reported legal final maturity date is updated, and the change is duly flagged in the data attributes “status of forbearance and renegotiation” and “date of the forbearance and renegotiation status”. However, a change in the legal final maturity date can only be effected by a change in the contract. In the absence of a contractual change, the legal final maturity date is not changed.

In particular, as regards late payments which occur before the legal final maturity date to the effect that the instrument is not paid back by the legal final maturity date, please consider the following example as an illustration of how such late payments affect the legal maturity date.
3.4.7 Recourse

Definition: Classification of instruments based on the creditor’s rights to seize assets other than any protection pledged to secure the instrument.

No recourse

For a non-recourse instrument, the value “no recourse” is reported.
An instrument other than trade receivables is non-recourse instrument if its recovery is limited only to the value of the protection pledged to secure the instrument. In particular, if the debtor defaults, the creditor can seize and sell the collateral which is pledged to secure the loan, but if the collateral sells for less than the debt, the creditor cannot seek that deficiency balance from the debtor.

For instruments which are trade receivables, please refer to Part III of the Manual which deals specifically with factoring and other trade receivables.

Non-recourse debt is typically used to finance commercial real estate, shipping, or other projects with high capital expenditures, long loan periods.

The loans used in project finance are in principle non-recourse loans. In the event that the project defaults, the creditors can use the proceedings out of the received protection for compensation, but have no right to seek compensation beyond the protection received.

Recourse

“Recourse” is reported for recourse instruments.

An instrument other than trade receivables is a recourse instrument if it is not a non-recourse instrument.

For trade receivables please refer to Part III of the Manual which deals specifically with factoring and other trade receivables.

Recourse instruments are those where the creditor has the right to seize the debtor’s assets other than any protection pledged to secure the instrument. With a recourse loan (or recourse debt), the debtor is liable for any unpaid debt, and if the debtor defaults the creditor can take action to collect – even after seizing collateral.

3.4.8 Interest rate type

Definition: Classification of credit exposures based on the base rate for establishing the interest rate for each payment period.

This data attribute identifies the applicable interest rate type.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is reported for every instrument reported in the financial dataset. Where the relevant NCB decides, in accordance with Article 7 of the AnaCredit Regulation, not to collect this data attribute, then the value “Not required” is reported.

Values

Unless this data attribute is not required or does not apply, one of the following values is reported.
Fixed

Definition: Scheme defining the interest rates during the life of the exposure which only includes constant rates – numeric constant rate known with certainty at the inception of the exposure – and where the interest rates apply to the whole exposure. The scheme may contain more than one constant interest rate to be applied at different periods during the life of the exposure (e.g. loan with a constant interest rate during the initial fixed rate period, which then changes to a different interest rate, which is still constant, and which was known at the inception of the exposure).

Variable

Definition: Scheme defining the interest rates during the life of the exposure which only includes interest rates based on the evolution of another variable (the reference variable) and where the interest rate applies to the whole exposure.

Mixed

Definition: Other interest rate type not included in any of the categories listed above.

A loan where for limited periods of time both fixed and variable interest rates interchange can be classified as a mixed interest rate loan.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The interest rate type can be changed during the lifetime of an instrument by amending the contract under which the instrument is created. The change is reported to AnaCredit accordingly. However, an instrument classified at inception date as “mixed interest rate” does not change later to a “fixed” or “variable” interest rate when the interest rate of the loan changes from fixed to variable or vice versa.

In cases where none of the above interest rate types applies to an instrument, the value “Non-applicable” is reported. In particular, in the case of overdrafts with no agreed credit limit, the value “Non-applicable” is reported unless an interest rate type was assigned when the debit balance arose, in accordance with the contract set by the creditor.

If a fixed interest rate instrument has an option to be renegotiated within a foreseeable future for the purpose of determining a new fixed interest rate, such an instrument is considered to have fixed interest rate type, irrespective to the change in the rate of interest.
### 3.4.9 Interest rate reset frequency

**Definition:** Frequency at which the interest rate is reset after the initial fixed-rate period, if any.

This data attribute identifies the interest rate reset frequency.

**Reporting qualification**

This data attribute is always reported, i.e. there can be no derogations in respect of this data attribute.

For instruments where no interest rate reset applies, the value “Non-applicable” is reported. In particular, “Non-applicable” is reported for instruments which do not include a contractual agreement to change the interest rate.

Otherwise, one of the following values is reported.

**Values**

**Overnight**

**Definition:** Instrument with a contractual agreement to change the interest rate on a daily basis.

“Overnight” is reported if in accordance with the contract the interest rate can be changed on a daily basis.

**Monthly/quarterly/semi-annual/annual**

**Definition:** Instrument with a contractual agreement to change the interest rate on a monthly/quarterly/semi-annual/annual basis.

Please note that Annex IV to the AnaCredit Regulation refers to these four values, and that each is a value in its own right. However, as the values are reported in the same way (where one of the four interest rate reset frequencies is reported), they are described in the Manual within the same category.

For example, “Monthly” is reported if in accordance with the contract the interest rate can be changed on a monthly basis.

**At creditor discretion**

**Definition:** Instrument with a contractual agreement by which the creditor has the right to establish the interest rate reset date.

This value is reported if in accordance with the contract the creditor has the right to establish the interest rate reset date.


**Other frequency**

Definition: Instrument with a contractual agreement to change the interest rate at a frequency other than any of the categories listed above.

“Other frequency” is reported if in accordance with the contract the interest rate can be changed at a frequency other than overnight, monthly, quarterly, semi-annual, annual or at creditor discretion.

**General reporting instruction, specific cases and examples**

Note that for instruments which do not include a contractual agreement to change the interest rate, including overnight loans (one day loans) and instruments whose interest rate type is fixed, the value in the data attribute “interest rate reset frequency” is reported as “Non-applicable”.

An instrument with a mixed interest rate is reported as “Non-applicable” during the period of time for which it has a fixed interest rate and with the corresponding value during the period of time for which it has a variable interest rate.

Note that for instruments for which the interest rate is not resettable, the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

**3.4.10 End date of interest-only period**

Definition: The date on which the interest-only period ends. Interest-only instruments are those for which, for a contractually set period, only the interest on the principal balance is paid, with the principal balance remaining unchanged.

For interest-only instruments where, for a set term, the debtor is enabled to pay only the interest on the principle balance, the date reported is the date on which the interest-only period ends and beyond which the debtor is obliged to repay the principal balance. Even if the interest-only period end date has been reached, the original value of the end date is reported unchanged in this field.

For instruments which are not interest-only instruments, the value “Non-applicable” is reported.
3.4.11 Reference rate

Definition: Reference rate used for the calculation of the actual interest rate. The reference rate code is a combination of the reference rate value and maturity value.

The following reference rate values are used: EURIBOR, USD LIBOR, GBP LIBOR, EUR LIBOR, JPY LIBOR, CHF LIBOR, MIBOR, OTHER SINGLE REFERENCE RATES, OTHER MULTIPLE REFERENCE RATES.

The following maturity values are used: OVERNIGHT, ONE WEEK, TWO WEEKS, THREE WEEKS, ONE MONTH, TWO MONTHS, THREE MONTHS, FOUR MONTHS, FIVE MONTHS, SIX MONTHS, SEVEN MONTHS, EIGHT MONTHS, NINE MONTHS, 10 MONTHS, 11 MONTHS, 12 MONTHS. The reference rate code is formed by combining the reference rate value with the maturity value

EONIA is reported as “EURIBOR - OVERNIGHT”.

In the case of a maturity longer than 12 months, the maturity value “12 MONTHS” is reported.

Single reference rates which are not EURIBOR, USD LIBOR, GBP LIBOR, EUR LIBOR, JPY LIBOR, CHF LIBOR or MIBOR, are registered using the value “OTHER SINGLE REFERENCE RATE”.

Instruments using multiple reference rates are registered using the value “OTHER MULTIPLE REFERENCE RATES”.

For instruments with fixed interest rate, that the value “Non-applicable” is reported as the reference rate.

In particular, this attribute is reported in accordance with the following example of EURIBOR of six months.

The EURIBOR of 6 months is reported as “EURIBOR – SIX MONTHS”, which is a result of concatenating “EURIBOR”, “–” and “SIX MONTHS”, all in block letters.

3.4.12 Interest rate spread/margin

Definition: Margin or spread (expressed as a percentage) to add to the reference rate that is used for the calculation of the interest rate in basis points.

The value to be reported is the margin/spread added to the reference rate to account for the applied interest rate. This in particular regards interest rates changed for fixed-interest loans. The margin or spread is reported with a negative sign when it is deducted from the reference rate.
The margin is expressed as a numerical expression and is reported in basis points as a numerical value. One basis point is equal to one one-hundredth of 1%, or 0.01%, and is used to denote the percentage in a financial instrument.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage terms</th>
<th>Basis points</th>
<th>Value to report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that the presented values do not reflect the technical standards for the reporting, meaning that the NCB may require a different degree of precision for the value.

Otherwise, if no interest rate spread/margin applies, then the value to be reported is “Non-applicable”.

3.4.13 Interest rate cap/floor

Definition: Maximum/minimum value for the interest rate charged.

This is the maximum (interest rate cap) and the minimum (interest rate floor) interest rate per annum that can be charged on the outstanding nominal amount (or parts thereof).

Interest rate cap/floor values are reported in basis points with the same convention as for the attribute “interest rate spread/margin”, i.e. they are expressed as a numerical value.

For instruments where the “interest rate cap” or “interest rate floor” values do not apply, the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

Table 25 below shows the interest rates for various interest rate cap and floor structures.
3.4.14 Purpose

Definition: Classification of instruments according to their purpose.

As a general rule, the value of the attribute “purpose” refers to the contract running at the reporting reference date. In particular, if the initial contract has been changed, the purpose refers to the changed contract.
Please note that a change qualifies only if it is a contractual change, i.e. when the contract is changed.

If the same instrument is used for several purposes, the most relevant one, as determined by the reporting agent, is expected to be reported.

For every instrument reported in the instrument dataset, one of the following values is reported.

**Values**

### Residential real estate purchase

**Definition:** Financing of residential property. Residential property is defined in Article 4(1)(75) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

According to Article 4(1)(75) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013, "residential property" means a residence which is occupied by the owner or the lessee of the residence, including the right to inhabit an apartment in housing cooperatives located in Sweden.

Consequently, the value “residential real estate purchase” is reported for instruments extended for the purpose of purchasing residential property or investing in residential property, including buildings and refurbishments thereof. The value is reported irrespective of the protection used to secure the instrument (i.e. whether the instrument used for the purchase of residential property is secured by the purchased residential property, whether it is secured by other forms of assets, or whether it is unsecured).

It is clarified that refurbishment of existing residential real estate is included under this category.

Please note that loans to construction companies for the building of residential property are reported as “construction investment” rather than “residential real estate purchase”.

### Commercial real estate purchase

**Definition:** Financing of real estate property other than residential property.

The value “commercial real estate purchase” is reported for instruments extended for the purpose of purchasing commercial property or investing in commercial property, including buildings and refurbishments thereof. The value is reported irrespective of whether the instrument used for the purchase of commercial property is secured by the purchased commercial property or whether instruments for commercial property purchase are secured by other forms of assets or whether they are unsecured.

It is clarified that refurbishment of existing commercial real estate is included under this category.
Margin lending
Definition: Instruments in which an institution extends credit in connection with the purchase, sale, carrying or trading of securities. Margin lending instruments do not include other loans that are secured by collateral in the form of securities.

Debt financing
Definition: Financing of outstanding or maturing debt. This includes debt refinancing.

If the instrument is extended for the purpose of debt financing, the value “debt financing” is reported, irrespective of the initial purpose for which the refinanced instrument was extended.

The value “debt financing” is not used when the terms and conditions of the instrument are modified as a consequence of forbearance measures. In this case, the original purpose remains unchanged.

Debt financing covers cases in which the debtor is “switching” creditors as well as cases in which the debtor is extending an expiring credit agreement, for example working capital finance, or to gain access to more favourable financing conditions, such as lower interest rates.

Imports/Exports
Definition: Financing of goods and services (purchases, barter and/or gifts) from non-residents to residents/residents to non-residents.

Please note that this section refers to two separate values of the attribute “purpose”, namely:

- imports;
- exports.

Note that for a mixed case where both export and import needs are being addressed, the value “working capital facility” is reported.

Construction investment
Definition: Financing of construction of buildings, infrastructure and industrial facilities.

For instruments extended for the purpose of investing in construction, including the purchase of the land on which the building, infrastructure and industrial facilities are constructed, the value “construction investment” is reported.

As construction investments constitute financing for the purpose of construction, meaning the object does not exist at the time of financing, they are inherently different from the category of “commercial real estate purchase”, which refers to the acquisition/refurbishment of an already existing infrastructure.
Please note that, besides financing towards real estate and project finance, construction investment also concerns other construction investments, such as:

- shipping finance;
- aviation finance;
- financing of energy or infrastructure sector on a long term basis;
- all other types of construction investment (industrial plant, pipeline and others).

**Working capital facility**

**Definition:** Financing the cash flow management of an organisation.

This value is for any working capital facility, excluding financing of imports or exports.

However, if the financing is provided for both export and import, then the value “working capital facility” is reported.

**Other purposes**

**Definition:** Other purposes not included in any of the categories listed above.

For instruments extended for purposes different from any of the purposes listed above, the value “other purposes” is reported. The value “other purposes” is also reported in the case of debit balances for overdrafts which are current accounts with no credit limit.

### 3.4.15 Amortisation type

**Definition:** Type of amortisation of the instrument including principal and interest.

This data attribute identifies the amortisation type applicable to the instrument at the reporting reference date.

**Values**

For each instrument in the instrument dataset, one of the following values is reported.

**French**

**Definition:** Amortisation in which the total amount – principal plus interest – repaid in each instalment is the same.
German
Definition: Amortisation in which the first instalment is interest-only and the remaining instalments are constant, including capital amortisation and interest.

Fixed amortisation schedule
Definition: Amortisation in which the principal amount repaid in each instalment is the same.

Bullet
Definition: Amortisation in which the full principal amount is repaid in the last instalment.

Other
Definition: Other amortisation type not included in any of the categories listed above.

The amortisation types not included in the above values (for example balloon) are reported as “Other”. This in particular regards instruments for which no amortisation applies, for example in the case of overdrafts with no agreed credit limit.

3.4.16 Payment frequency
Definition: Frequency of payments due, either of principal or interest, i.e. number of months between payments.

This data attribute identifies the payment frequency applicable to the instrument at the reporting reference date.

In the context of AnaCredit, the payment frequency is the frequency of the instalments in which the principal and the interest is repaid.

Values
For each instrument in the instrument dataset, one of the following values is reported.

Monthly/quarterly/semi-annual/annual
Definition: On a monthly/quarterly/semi-annual/annual basis.

Please note that Annex IV to the AnaCredit Regulation refers to these four values, and each is a value in its own right. However, as the values are reported in the same way (where one of the four values is reported in accordance with the actually applied payment frequency) they are described in the Manual within the same category.
If the payment frequency is neither “bullet” nor “zero coupon”, and the frequency of both the principal payments and the interest payments is monthly or quarterly or semi-annual or annual, then the respective value is reported as payment frequency.

Otherwise, if the payment frequency is neither “bullet” nor “zero coupon” and the frequencies of principal and interest payments differ but are both regular, and the higher of the two payment frequencies is monthly or quarterly or semi-annual or annual, then that value is reported in the data attribute “payment frequency”.

Please note that “with a higher frequency” means “more often”. Hence, from among the four categories, monthly is the highest frequency while annually is the lowest.

**Bullet**

**Definition:** Amortisation in which the full principal amount is repaid in the last instalment regardless of the interest payment frequency.

**Zero coupon**

**Definition:** Amortisation in which the full principal amount and interest is repaid in the last instalment.

**Other**

**Definition:** Other payment frequency not included in any of the categories listed above.

If the payment frequency is not any of the payment frequencies referred to in the previous points then the payment frequency to be reported is “Other”. In particular, the value “Other” is reported in the case of overdrafts with no agreed credit limit.

**General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples**

This data attribute is reported considering the following logical steps.

- If the repayment schedule of the instrument meets the definition of “bullet” or “zero coupon” payment as defined above, then the corresponding value is reported.

- Otherwise, if the payment frequency of the instrument is neither “bullet” nor “zero coupon”, then if the payment frequency is such that the frequency of principal payments and the frequency of interest payments are both the same and are either monthly or quarterly, or semi-annual, or annual, the respective value is reported.

- Otherwise, if the payment frequency of the instrument is neither “bullet” nor “zero coupon”, and the payment frequency of principal payments is not the same as the frequency of interest payments, then the higher of the two payment frequencies is considered. In particular, if the higher frequency is either monthly or quarterly, or semi-annual, or annual, the respective value is reported.

- Otherwise, the value “other” is reported.
3.4.17 Syndicated contract identifier

Definition: “Contract identifier” applied by the lead arranger of the syndicated contract to uniquely identify each contract. Each syndicated contract will have one “syndicated contract identifier”. This value will not change over time and cannot be used by the lead arranger as the contract identifier for any other contract. All creditors participating in the syndicated contract use the same “syndicated contract identifier”.

The purpose of this identifier is for AnaCredit to be able to combine the individual shares of the members of the syndicated loan into one loan.

This identifier does not generally need to be the AnaCredit contract identifier as used by the lead arranger (if the lead arranger is subject to AnaCredit reporting). Rather, it is a common identifier that all members of the same syndicated loan use.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is reported only if the instrument is a syndicated loan. Otherwise, if the instrument is not a syndicated loan, the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

In the context of AnaCredit, syndicated loans are single loan agreements, in which several institutions participate as creditors. The syndicated loan is usually arranged and coordinated by one institution (often called the “lead arranger”) and is actually granted by various participants in the syndicate. Participants, including the lead arranger, all report their share of the loan vis-à-vis the debtor, i.e. not vis-à-vis the lead arranger.

In the case of syndicated loans, the value to be reported is the identifier of the contract of the syndicated loan as agreed by all the members of the syndicate, irrespective of whether or not the lead arranger reports to AnaCredit. This means that the observed agents that participate in a syndicated loan report the same syndicated contract identifier to AnaCredit.

The syndicated contract identifier does not need to be the contract identifier reported by the lead arranger to AnaCredit under the data attribute “contract identifier” where the lead arranger is an observed agent in the context of AnaCredit.

Although different shares of a syndicated loan are held by different creditors, it is expected that the instruments (i.e. the parts of the syndicated loan) that are reported to AnaCredit (i.e. the creditor thereof is subject to AnaCredit reporting) will share certain characteristics. For example, it is expected that the separately reported parts of the syndicated loan will have the same inception date.

For more details regarding this attribute, please refer to Part III of the Manual dealing specifically with the subject of syndicated loans.
3.4.18 Subordinated debt

Definition: Identification of subordinated debt. Subordinated debt instruments provide a subsidiary claim on the issuing institution that can only be exercised after all claims with a higher status (e.g. deposits/loans) have been satisfied.

This data attribute identifies loans which are subordinated debt.

Reporting qualification

This attribute is always reported with either of the values below.

Values

Subordinated debt

Definition: The instrument is a subordinated debt in accordance with the Table in Annex II to Regulation (EU) No 1071/2013 (ECB/2013/33).

Subordinated debt instruments provide a subsidiary claim on the issuing institution that can only be exercised after all claims with a higher status (e.g. deposits/loans) have been satisfied. This means that if an instrument held by an observed agent and reported to AnaCredit is classified as "subordinated debt", the claim arising from this instrument can be exercised by the observed agent only if "more senior" claims have been satisfied by others.

If the instrument is a subordinated debt instrument in accordance with the given definition, the value to be reported in the data attribute "subordinated debt" is "subordinated debt".

Note that in the context of AnaCredit, the identification of subordinated debt relates to the instruments held or serviced by the observed agent and is thus entirely unrelated to subordinated debt issued by the observed agent.

Please note that the value "subordinated debt" is also reported in the case of partially subordinated amounts.

Non-subordinated debt

Definition: The instrument is not a subordinated debt in accordance with the Table in Annex II to Regulation (EU) No 1071/2013 (ECB/2013/33).

If the instrument is not a subordinated debt in accordance with the given definition, the value to be reported is "non-subordinated debt".
3.4.19 Repayment rights

Definition: Classification of credit exposures according to the creditor’s rights to claim the repayment of the exposure.

Generally, if an instrument can be claimed payable at the sole discretion of the creditor then it is reported as “on demand or short notice”.

Reporting qualification

For every instrument subject to AnaCredit, one of the two following values is reported.

On demand or short notice

Definition: Instruments which are repayable on demand or at short notice at the request of the creditor.

On demand and short notice type of instrument includes balances receivable on demand (call), at short notice (by close of business on the day following that on which the demand was made), current accounts and similar balances including loans that are overnight deposits for the borrower (loans to be repaid by close of business on the day following that on which they were granted), regardless of their legal form. It also includes “overdrafts” that are debit balances on current account balances.

In particular, overnight balances, which are receivable by the creditor, are reported as “on demand and short notice”. These include cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits, depending on the sector of the counterparty.

For overdrafts which are debit balances on current accounts with no credit limit and which are payable on demand, the value “on demand or short notice” is reported. Meanwhile, debit balances on current accounts with a credit limit which are not claimed payable on demand or short notice are reported as “other”. This includes cases where the credit limit is exceeded and where the excess alone may be claimed payable on demand, but the instrument as a whole is not payable on demand. Generally, as regards revolving instruments, the repayment rights do not regard (a part of) the current balance outstanding but the right to use the product.

Other

Definition: Instruments subject to repayment rights other than on demand or at short notice.

Otherwise, instruments are reported as subject to “other” repayment rights.
3.4.20 Fiduciary instrument

Definition: Identification of instruments in which the observed agent acts in its own name but on behalf of and with the risk borne by a third party.

All instruments will be reported as fiduciary, with the observed agent acting in its own name but for the account and at the risk of its customers, irrespective of whether the observed agent additionally provides other services.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is always reported, i.e. every instrument subject to AnaCredit reporting is flagged as either fiduciary or non-fiduciary.

Value

One of the two values is reported.

Fiduciary instrument

Definition: Value to be used if the instrument is placed in a fiduciary capacity.

The value “fiduciary instrument” is used if the instrument is placed in a fiduciary capacity.

“Fiduciary instrument” refers to the activities where the observed agent acts in its own name but for the account and at the risk of its customers, i.e. are instruments made in the name of the observed agent (the trustee) on behalf of a third part (the trustor). With fiduciary instruments, the observed agent provides services such as custody asset management for a structured entity or portfolio management on a discretionary basis. All fiduciary instruments are labelled “fiduciary instrument”, irrespective of whether the observed agent additionally provides other services.

Note that the observed agent is reported as the servicer to a fiduciary instrument, whereas the trustor is reported as the creditor to a fiduciary instrument.

Typically, fiduciary instruments are instruments that have been used in a fiduciary capacity since inception (i.e. have been originated as fiduciary), although an instrument may be placed in a fiduciary capacity by a contractual change after the inception.

Instruments serviced by the observed agent where at a certain moment in the lifetime of the instrument the observed agent ceases to act as creditor do not become fiduciary instruments.
Non-fiduciary instrument

Definition: To be used if the instrument is not placed in a fiduciary capacity.

Otherwise, if an instrument is not a fiduciary instrument, the value “non-fiduciary instrument” is reported in the data attribute. In particular, instruments subject to (traditional) securitisation do not become fiduciary and are to be reported as “non-fiduciary”, unless they have been fiduciary instruments since the origination.

3.4.21 Commitment amount at inception

Definition: Observed agent’s maximum exposure to credit risk on the inception date of the instrument, without taking into account any protection held or other credit enhancements. Total commitment amount on the inception date is established during the approval process and is intended to restrict an observed agent’s amount of credit risk to a given counterparty for the relevant instrument.

The amount reported in this data attribute is the amount committed by the creditor under the instrument. In particular, it is the agreed credit limit (if any), that is contractually agreed between the debtor and the creditor, and above which the debit balances of the instrument/instruments may not rise in accordance with the contract.

For fixed-sum credits, the commitment amount is the fixed sum specified in the contract giving rise to the instrument, irrespective of whether the amount is drawn in one amount or by instalments (tranches).

Contractual changes in the commitment amount are not subject to AnaCredit reporting in the sense that the commitment amount at inception is not updatable. For example, consider a fixed-sum credit where at inception a commitment amount was contractually agreed but the debtor later decides to adjust this amount downwards. And although the contract is amended accordingly, the change does not trigger any updates of the commitment amount at inception.
For multiple instruments drawn under the so-called limit structures, the commitment amount at inception is allocated to each such instrument according to allocation logic of the reporting agent. To this extent the sum of allocated amounts is not expected to be higher than the total commitment amount at inception of the limit structure. For more details regarding reporting multiple instruments arising under a multi-instrument credit facility, refer to Part III of the Manual, which deals specifically with this subject.

For debit balances without an agreed credit limit the value “Non-applicable” is reported.
3.4.22 Fair value changes due to changes in credit risk before purchase

Definition: The difference between the outstanding nominal amount and the purchase price of the instrument at the purchase date. This amount should be reported for instruments purchased for an amount lower than the outstanding amount due to credit risk deterioration.

The variable is reported for any instrument that was purchased (by the creditor holding the instrument at the reporting reference date) at a discount and the discount was due to the instrument’s higher credit risk at the purchase date, irrespective of whether or not the instrument is still non-performing as of the reporting reference date, and irrespective of the accounting portfolio in which the instrument is classified.

In such cases, the value to be reported is the outstanding nominal amount at the purchase date (disregarding any write-offs made up to the purchase date) minus the purchase price. If not readily available, the value to be reported may be replaced by the outstanding nominal amount at the purchase date minus the initial accounting recognition amount.

The amount of fair value changes due to changes in credit risk before purchase is a positive number (i.e. greater than 0), clearly indicating the decreased value of the instrument at the purchase date.

In the case of a pool of instruments transferred according to an overall purchase price, the fair value changes due to changes in credit risk are suitably allocated to each instrument in the pool.

Otherwise, if the instrument was not purchased (but was originated by the reporting agent) or the instrument was not purchased at a discount due to credit risk, the data attribute is reported as “Non-applicable”.

It is clarified that a discount endorsed by any previous creditor (which is typically not available to the current creditor) is not accounted for in this data attribute. More specifically, in the case of instruments that had been purchased (at a discount) by a previous creditor and later on re-purchased, also at a discount, by the observed agent, it is only the latter discount, i.e. the discount of the observed agent, that is covered in the fair value changes due to changes in credit risk before purchase.

For an illustration of how this data attribute is reported, refer to Example 14 in Section 4.4.3, which deals with a purchase of a non-performing loan.
4 Financial dataset

4.1 General aspects

The financial data describe the instrument's financial development.

The data reported are the actual data reflecting the situation of the instrument at the reporting reference date.

4.2 Level of granularity

The level of granularity for the financial data is the instrument itself.

4.3 Reporting frequency

The financial dataset is reported on a monthly basis.

For those reporting agents that have been granted a derogation by the relevant NCB in accordance with Article 16(2) of the AnaCredit Regulation, the financial dataset may be reported on a quarterly basis.

4.4 The financial dataset – data attributes

This dataset is applicable for instruments subject to AnaCredit reporting. For each such instrument, the following data attributes are reported.

Table 28 Overview of data attributes in the financial dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data attribute</th>
<th>Internal identifier</th>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Section in Part II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporting agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate</td>
<td></td>
<td>Numeric</td>
<td>4.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next interest rate reset date</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>4.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Default status of the instrument</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>4.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of the default status of the instrument</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>4.4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred amount</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>4.4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrears for the instrument</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>4.4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of past due for the instrument</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>4.4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of securitisation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>4.4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding nominal amount</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>4.4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued interest</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>4.4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-balance-sheet amount</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>4.4.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4.1 Interest rate

Definition: Annualised agreed rate or narrowly defined interest rate in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 1072/2013 of the European Central Bank (ECB/2013/34).

Regulation (EU) No 1072/2013 (ECB/2013/34) defines the annualised agreed rate (AAR) as "the interest rate that is individually agreed between the reporting agent and the household or non-financial corporation for a deposit or loan, converted to an annual basis and quoted in percentages per annum. The AAR covers all interest payments on deposits and loans, but no other charges that may apply. Disagio, defined as the difference between the nominal amount of the loan and the amount received by the customer, is considered as an interest payment at the start of the contract (time t0) and is therefore reflected in the AAR".

The narrowly defined effective rate (NDER) is defined as "the interest rate, on an annual basis, that equalises the present value of all commitments other than charges (deposits or loans, payments or repayments, interest payments), future or existing, agreed by the reporting agents and the household or non-financial corporation. The NDER is equivalent to the interest rate component of the annual percentage rate of charge (APRC) as defined in Article 3(i) of Directive 2008/48/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2008 on credit agreements for consumers and repealing Council Directive 87/102/EEC. The NDER uses successive approximation and can therefore be applied to any type of deposit or loan, whereas the AAR is only applicable to deposits and loans with regular capitalisation of interest payments".

Although both definitions refer to loans extended to households and non-financial corporations, this data attribute is reported for all instruments regardless of the sector of the debtor.

More information on the methodology for calculating the interest rate can be found in the Manual on the MFI interest rate statistics. ²

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is reported for each instrument. There can be no derogations in accordance with Article 7 of the AnaCredit Regulation in respect of this data attribute.

Values

A percentage interest rate with two decimals is reported as a numeric value. Both positive and negative values are admitted.

For an illustration, consider the following examples:

• An interest rate of 3.57% on an annual basis is reported as 0.0357.

² The Manual on the MFI interest rate statistics can be found in the Statistics section of the ECB’s website.
• An interest rate of -0.5% on an annual basis is reported as -0.005.
• An interest rate of 0% is as 0.

Please note that the presented values do not reflect the technical standards for the reporting, meaning that the NCB may require a different degree of precision for the value.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

In accordance with the requirements for MFI interest rate statistics, the interest rate to be reported is as follows.

If there is an amount outstanding at the reporting reference date, then:

• the interest rate referring to the outstanding amount is the weighted average interest rate applied to the outstanding amount at the reporting reference date;
• the weighted average interest rate is the sum of the AAR/NDER multiplied by the corresponding outstanding amounts and divided by the total outstanding amount.

To that extent, undrawn amounts are not considered for the calculation of the weighted interest rate.

For instance, for a credit card debt for which the outstanding nominal reported at the reference date is a “convenience credit”, the interest rate of 0% is to be reported as 0; for those credit card debts which at the reporting reference data comprise both an “extended credit” and a “convenience credit”, the weighted average of the respective interest rates charged is reported.

Otherwise, if the outstanding amount is 0 (zero) at the reporting reference date, then reporting agents provide the weighted average interest rate applied to the maximum amount (considering the credit limit but disregarding any possibility to exceed the credit limit) that could be outstanding under the instrument; in other words, in the case of instruments with an undrawn amount available, the interest rate is reported although the whole amount is undrawn.

In accordance with the MIR statistics, penalties in the form of special fees are not covered by the AAR/NDER (the fees are not part of the outstanding amount either).

Please also note also that in the calculation there is no differentiation between the ordinary interest rate and the penalty interest rate. For instance, if the outstanding amount includes an excess amount (above the credit limit) and a penalty interest rate is charged on the excess amount, this is considered in the calculation of the weighted average interest rate; in other words, if a penalty is charged in the form of higher interest rates, this penalty is also reflected in the (weighted average) interest rate to be reported. If the penalty is applied in the form of fees or other non-interest components, it is not covered.
In the case of interest-free instruments, the interest is reported as “Non-applicable”. However, please note that an instrument with disagio is not considered an interest-free instrument.

Regarding the interest rate for loans with step up/step down provisions whereby the interest rates are increased or decreased when the debtor’s credit rating is upgraded above or downgraded below certain levels, it is the actual interest rate (at the reference date) that is reported.

In the case of a current account overdraft, calculating the interest rate may require weighting of the higher rate (charged when the debit balance exceeds the agreed credit limit) with the “normal” usage interest rate proportionally to the overdraft total debit balance, where the weighted average interest rate is the sum of the AAR/NDER multiplied by the corresponding amounts and divided by the sum of the corresponding amounts.

Please note that penalties in the form of special fees are not included in the calculation of the AAR/NDER (for example, a loan of €110,000 is issued at a discount of €10,000 for a tenor of one year; the loan was repaid with a delay for which a penalty of €5,000 was charged so that eventually the debtor repaid €115,000; however, the penalty amount of €5,000 is not taken into account for the calculation of the NDER, which is estimated to be €10,000/€100,000 = 10%).

Please also note, however, that any unpaid penalties and other fees are added to the outstanding nominal amount at a reporting reference date, and therefore are accounted for when calculating the outstanding weighted average interest rate (although they are not covered in the AAR/NDER which are thus averaged).

### 4.4.2 Next interest rate reset date

**Definition:** The date when the next interest rate reset, as defined in Part 3 of Annex I to Regulation (EU) No 1071/2013 (ECB/2013/33), takes place.

An interest rate reset is understood as a change in the interest rate of an instrument which is provided for in the contract.

Instruments subject to an interest rate reset include, inter alia, loans with variable interest rates which are periodically revised in accordance with the evolution of an index, e.g. EURIBOR, loans with interest rates which are revised on a continuous basis, i.e. floating rates, and loans with interest rates which are revisable at the credit institution’s discretion.

**Reporting qualification**

This data attribute is reported unless an observed agent is a foreign branch which is not resident in any reporting Member State and has been granted a derogation in accordance with Article 7 of the AnaCredit Regulation. In cases of such derogations, the value “Not required” is reported.
In addition, in the case of instruments which do not include a contractual agreement to change the interest rate (i.e. the interest rate is not resettable), including overnight loans (one day loans), the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

Values

Unless “Non-applicable” is reported, a date is reported indicating the day on which the next change in the interest rate of an instrument as provided in the contract will take place.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

Unless “Non-applicable” is reported, the next interest rate reset date should not be earlier than the reporting reference date.

In particular, the following applies:

- if provided for in the contract, the date is as specified therein;
- otherwise, if no date is specified in the contract, but the contract (also taking account of general terms and conditions) provides for such a possibility (for instance, revised on notice or on a continuous basis), then, as a convention, it is the reporting reference date (i.e. the date is rolled over on a monthly basis);
- otherwise, if the instrument is not subject to a future interest rate reset, then “Non-applicable” is reported;
- similarly, in the case of instruments for which the last interest rate reset date has already passed, the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

In the case of fixed interest rate instruments – where the data attribute “interest rate type” in the instrument dataset is reported as “fixed” – a distinction is broadly made between the following two cases:

- a fixed interest rate has been contractually agreed for the entire life of the instrument; in such cases, the value “Non-applicable” is reported;
- an interest rate has been contractually fixed only until a specific future date \( t \) after which the fixed interest rate can be reset; in such cases, the future date \( t \) is reported in this data attribute.

### 4.4.3 Transferred amount

**Definition:** Transferred amount of the economic ownership of the financial asset.

This data attribute captures the part of the outstanding nominal amount that has been transferred to another creditor.

Please note that this data attribute refers to the amount transferred to third parties rather than amounts acquired from third parties.

This data attribute is primarily relevant in the case of transferred instruments, and in particular transferred as part of a traditional securitisation scheme.
Transferred instruments are those that have been granted or acquired by the observed agent and legally transferred (sold) to third parties but are still subject to AnaCredit reporting vis-à-vis the observed agent because it retains the servicing rights of the instrument, regardless of whether the amount reported is in the balance sheet of the observed agent (i.e. independently of whether the instrument is entirely or partially recognised in the balance sheet).

**Reporting qualification**

This data attribute is always reported, i.e. there can be no derogations in respect of this data attribute.

**Values**

The transferred amount is reported in units of euro.

**General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples**

If an instrument is not transferred, i.e. if no amount of the instrument has been transferred, zero is reported under this data attribute.

Otherwise, if an instrument is transferred, the transferred amount is a part of or the full outstanding nominal amount of the transferred instrument (as reported at a reporting reference date) rather than the amount transferred at the transfer date. In other words, at any reporting reference date, the transferred amount specifies the part of the outstanding nominal amount which is not held by the observed agent which transferred (the part of) the instrument.

In any case, on a given reporting reference data, the amount reported in this data attribute does not exceed the outstanding nominal amount.

The transferred amount includes the part(s) of the outstanding nominal amount that have been transferred prior to or at a reporting reference date, i.e. including all amounts transferred before a reporting reference date, and not only the amounts transferred after the previous reporting reference date.

The transferred amount does not include the accrued interests.

Regarding instruments that are fully transferred (sold) to a third party and which are no longer serviced by the observed agent, the observed agent generally does not report such instruments to AnaCredit any longer after the transfer date, irrespective of whether or not the transfer date is a quarter-end date.

However, in the case of fully transferred instruments for which a write-off has occurred, the observed agent reports such instruments until the quarter-end date of the quarter in which the transfer takes place. "Rounding up" the reporting in this way is necessary in order to capture the amount received (the price) for the transfer of a written-off instrument. The amount received (i.e. the sale price) is in such cases considered to be recoveries and is reported in the data attribute "cumulative recoveries in default".
Please note that partially transferred instruments may be generally reported following one of the two broadly defined approaches:

- the bank splits a partially transferred instrument into multiple parts which are then considered individual instruments upon the transfer;
- the bank does not split a partially transferred instrument into parts and the partially transferred instrument continues to be just one instrument.

Bank splits a partially transferred instrument into multiple parts

In the case of a transferred instrument with multiple creditors (parts of the instrument held by two or more creditors, including the observed agent) where the observed agent actually perceives each transferred part as a separate instrument, each part is reported as an individual instrument accordingly. In fact, each of the transferred parts represents an instrument in its own right, with the observed agent reporting each part as long as it retains the servicing rights over the part (the observed agent does not act as creditor to this transferred part of the instrument), and the transferred amount equals the outstanding nominal amount reported for the part.

If the instrument is not split because of a partial transfer to multiple creditors, the transferred amount of the instrument represents the total of all parts transferred, irrespective of the creditor to which instrument parts were transferred.

However, regarding the non-transferred instrument part, where the observed agent acts as both creditor and servicer, the transferred amount is 0 (as this instrument part is not considered a transferred instrument).

Bank does not split a partially transferred instrument into parts

In cases where the partially transferred instrument is not split, the transferred amount of the instrument represents the total of all parts transferred irrespective of the creditor to which instrument parts were transferred.

Generally, taking the perspective of the observed agent which has transferred an instrument to other creditors, where the observed agent continues to act as servicer of the instrument, all the creditors to which the parts of the instrument are transferred are in principle reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset.

By contrast, taking the perspective of those creditors to whom the parts of the instrument are transferred, if they are observed agents for AnaCredit themselves, they report their parts of the transferred instrument.

The following instruments are considered transferred regardless of the value in the data attribute "balance sheet recognition":

- traditional securitisations when the servicer is the observed agent;
- other instruments sold, on condition that they remain serviced by the observed agent.
By contrast, the following instruments are in principle not considered as transferred:

- instruments subject to synthetic securitisations;
- instruments used as collateral to issue covered bonds;
- fiduciary loans;
- syndicated loans.

Please note that syndicated loans are not considered as transferred instruments, because each member of a syndicated loan which is an observed agent in the context of AnaCredit reports only its own share in the syndicated loan.

The reporting of accounting-related data in the case of transferred instruments

As a general rule, although the instrument dataset tends to describe the instrument as a whole (e.g. the transferred amount is included in the outstanding nominal amount), the reporting of any accounting-related data (such as accrued interest, carrying amount, etc.) is restricted only to the part of the instrument (outstanding) which the observed agent recognises in its balance sheet. Any part of the instrument which is not recognised by the observed agent is excluded from all of the accounting-related data attributes (which go beyond the attributes in the accounting dataset) so as to remain in line with the accounting policies and the general ledger of the reporting institution. To that extent, it is the amount that was transferred itself which is always subject to reporting in the designated attribute of the AnaCredit financial dataset.

Transferred amount for instruments in traditional securitisation

The following stylised examples of the treatment of securitisation transactions within the AnaCredit framework serve as an illustration of how instruments transferred in a traditional securitisation are reported in the context of AnaCredit. To that extent, these examples deal with the general methodology of reporting for the individual transferred instruments rather than the specifics of securitisation transactions which are covered in Part III of the Manual dealing with the specifics of securitisation transactions.

The transferred amount reflects the part of the outstanding nominal amount that has been physically (in terms of balance sheet recognition) transferred from one creditor to another or others. It is therefore the amount which was derecognised in the balance sheet of the transferor for the benefit of the transferee.
Example 10: Fully transferred loan to an financial vehicle corporation (FVC) in a securitisation transaction

Credit institution Bank#A extends a loan Ins#1 to debtor Deb#1 on the basis of contract Con#A. On 15 October, Bank#A fully transfers the loan to an FVC (FVC#X) in traditional securitisation (true sale). Throughout the whole time considered, Bank#A acts as servicer. From the perspective of Bank#A as an observed agent, the reporting of the data is illustrated in Table 29 and Table 30 (before the transfer) and in Table 31 and Table 32 (after the transfer).

Table 29 Indication of the financial dataset before the transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Transferred amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 30 Indication of the counterparty-instrument dataset before the transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>Creditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>Servicer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>DEB#1</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Upon the true sale of the asset, FVC#X assumes the role of creditor of the instrument, while Bank#A ceases to act as creditor as reflected in Table 32.

Table 31 Indication of the financial dataset after the transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Transferred amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 32 Indication of the counterparty-instrument dataset after the transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>FVC#X</td>
<td>Creditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>Servicer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>DEB#1</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>Originator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this case, Bank#A continues servicing the instrument and, in light of the fact that FVC#X is not an observed agent, Bank#A continues the reporting even after the transfer of the instrument, with the transferred amount indicating that the entire outstanding nominal amount has been transferred to the FVC.

Any payment received after the transfer affects both the outstanding nominal amount and transferred amount. For example, Table 33 gives an illustration of how a payment by Deb#1 of €1,000 on 29 November is reflected in both amounts.

Table 33 Indication of the financial dataset after the transfer with payments received

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Transferred amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/11/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>49,000.00</td>
<td>49,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bank#A continues to report the instrument as long as it meets the general criteria for reporting (including the threshold of €25,000 commitment of the debtor). The FVC, which is not an observed agent, does not report the instrument to AnaCredit at all, not even after the transfer.
Example 11: Partially transferred loan to an FVC in a securitisation transaction – bank does not split the instrument upon the transfer

Credit institution BankªA extends a loan Ins#1 to debtor Deb#1 on the basis of contract Con#A. On 15 October, BankªA transfers 40% of the loan to an FVC (FCV#X) in traditional securitisation.

Throughout the observed time period, BankªA acts as servicer of the loan. From the perspective of BankªA as an observed agent, the reporting of the data is illustrated in Table 29 and Table 30 above (the situation before the transfer) and in Table 34 and Table 35 below, where after the transfer BankªA still considers Ins#1 as one instrument.

Table 34 Indication of the financial dataset after the partial transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Transferred amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANKªA</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 35 Indication of the counterparty-instrument dataset after the partial transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANKªA</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>BANKªA</td>
<td>Creditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANKªA</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>BANKªA</td>
<td>Servicer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANKªA</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>DEB#1</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANKªA</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>FVC#X</td>
<td>Creditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANKªA</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>BANKªA</td>
<td>Originator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BankªA continues the reporting after the transfer as it continues to service the instrument, whereas FVC#X is not an observed agent. However, both the FVC and the bank act as creditor of Ins#1. The transferred amount specifies the part of the outstanding which is not held by BankªA (the originator) as it is held by the FVC.

Any payment received after the transfer affects the outstanding nominal amount and, depending on the securitisation contract, may also affect the transferred amount. In this example, due to the absence of any particular provisions, payments are distributed pro rata in the transferred amount. For example, a payment by Deb#1 of €1,000 on 29 November 2018 is reflected in full in the outstanding nominal amount while only 40% of it (i.e. €400) affects the transferred amount. This is illustrated in Table 36.

Table 36 Outstanding and transferred amounts after the partial transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Transferred amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/11/2018</td>
<td>BANKªA</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>49,000.00</td>
<td>19,600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BankªA continues to report the instrument as long as it meets the general criteria for reporting (including the threshold of €25,000 commitment of the debtor). The FVC, which is not an observed agent, does not report the instrument to AnaCredit at all, even after the transfer.
Example 12: Securitisation transaction – a loan partially transferred to an FVC – bank does not split the instrument upon the transfer

On the basis of contract Con#A, Bank#A extends Ins#1 to debtor Deb#1. On 15 October, Bank#A transfers 40% of Ins#1 to an FVC (FVC#X) in a traditional securitisation.

However, for reporting purposes Bank#A splits the partially transferred instrument into respective parts Ins#1#6 and Ins#1#4 which together replace the original instrument. Throughout the observed time period, Bank#A acts as servicer of the instrument as a whole.

From the perspective of Bank#A as an observed agent, the reporting of the data is illustrated in Table 29 and Table 30 above (the situation before the transfer) and in Table 37 and Table 38 below (after the transfer).

### Table 37 Indication of the financial dataset after the partial transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Transferred amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1#6</td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1#4</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 38 Indication of the counterparty-instrument dataset after the partial transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1#6</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>Creditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1#6</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>Servicer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1#6</td>
<td>DEB#1</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1#4</td>
<td>FVC#X</td>
<td>Creditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1#4</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>Servicer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1#4</td>
<td>DEB#1</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1#4</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>Originator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bank#A continues servicing both parts of Ins#1, and since FVC#X is not an observed agent, Bank#A reports two instruments in the instrument dataset. Ins#1#4 is the part of the instrument that is transferred (in which the FVC assumes the creditor’s role) and is treated as a fully transferred instrument for which a transferred amount matching the outstanding nominal amount is reported. Meanwhile, Ins#1#6 is the part that is not transferred and therefore 0 is reported as the transferred amount.

For the purpose of this example, it is assumed that any payment received after the transfer affects both amounts proportionally. For example, a payment by Deb#1 of €1,000 on 29 November 2018 is taken pro rata and reduces the outstanding nominal amount of Ins#1#6 by €600 and the outstanding nominal amount of Ins#1#4 by €400. In relation to Ins#1#4, the payment is also reflected in the transferred amount. This is illustrated in Table 39.

### Table 39 Outstanding and transferred amounts after the partial transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Transferred amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/11/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1#6</td>
<td>29,400.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/11/2018</td>
<td>BANK#A</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>INS#1#4</td>
<td>19,600.00</td>
<td>19,600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bank#A continues to report the split parts of the instrument as long as they together meet the general criteria for reporting. Because the FVC is not an observed agent, it does not report the instrument to AnaCredit at all, even after the transfer.
Transferred amount for sold instruments

Please consider the following stylised examples for an illustration of how the transferred amount is reported for sold (not securitised) instruments.

**Example 13: Sale of a non-performing loan to a third party (servicing stops)**

Credit institution Bank#B extends a non-performing loan Ins#3 to debtor Deb#3 on the basis of contract Con#7. On 15 October Bank#B entirely sells off the loan to a third party buyer (BUYER#T) for a fraction (i.e. 15%) of its nominal amount, and at the same time Bank#B writes off the remaining part of the loan. Bank#B ceases to act as servicer of the loan after the sale.

From the perspective of Bank#B as an observed agent, the reporting before the sale is analogous to the case illustrated in Example 10 above and is not shown here. After the sale, Bank#B acts as neither creditor nor servicer of Ins#3, but, owing to the fact that a write-off occurs, Bank#B reports Ins#3 until the end of the quarter (i.e. until 31 December 2018). The after-sale reporting is illustrated in Table 40 and Table 41.

**Table 40 Indication of the financial dataset after the sale**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Transferred amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#B</td>
<td>CON#7</td>
<td>INS#3</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that at the moment of sale, BUYER#T acquires the ownership of the instrument and assumes the roles of creditor and servicer while Bank#B ceases to act as creditor. This is reflected in the counterparty-instrument dataset.

**Table 41 Indication of the counterparty-instrument dataset after the sale**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#B</td>
<td>CON#7</td>
<td>INS#3</td>
<td>BUYER#T</td>
<td>Creditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#B</td>
<td>CON#7</td>
<td>INS#3</td>
<td>BUYER#T</td>
<td>Servicer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>BANK#B</td>
<td>CON#7</td>
<td>INS#3</td>
<td>DEB#3</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bank#B continues reporting the instrument until 31 December 2018, with the values as reported on 31 October 2018 being repeated as of 30 November and 31 December 2018. Thereafter, Bank#B stops reporting Ins#3.

Please note that the obligation to report Ins#3 after the sale exists irrespective of whether or not the buyer is a credit institution and is triggered by the instrument being subject to a write-off. The reporting of the outstanding nominal amount, the transferred amount and the accumulated write-offs in the extended period are presented in Table 42.

**Table 42 Indication of the accounting dataset after the sale**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Accumulated write-offs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>BANK#B</td>
<td>CON#7</td>
<td>INS#3</td>
<td>85,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that accumulated write-offs, which are part of the accounting dataset, are reported only as of quarter-ends. Therefore, the information that €85,000 has been written off is reported to AnaCredit only as of 31 December 2018, as no reporting of the accounting dataset takes place for October and November.

Please note that if Bank#B continued to service the instrument after the sale, then Bank#B would report the instrument beyond 2018, if BUYER#T if not a credit institution.
**Example 14: Purchase of a non-performing loan by an observed agent**

In line with description of Example 13 above, on 15 October credit institution CI#2 purchases from Bank#B a non-performing loan (Loan#10) which was extended by Bank#B to debtor Deb#3 on the basis of contract Con#7. The purchase price amounts to a fraction (i.e. 15%) of the loan’s outstanding nominal amount (€100,000 throughout the whole period considered). At the purchase date, CI#2 becomes the sole creditor and servicer of Loan#10, i.e. Bank#B ceases to act as servicer of the loan after the sale.

From the perspective of CI#2 as an observed agent, CI#2 reports Loan#10 irrespective of whether Bank#2 still reports the sold instrument to AnaCredit.

In particular, CI#2 reports the instrument dataset, with the difference between the outstanding nominal amount and the purchase price of the instrument at the purchase date being reported. This difference amounts to €85,000. This is illustrated in Table 43.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Fair value changes due to changes in credit risk before purchase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>CI#2</td>
<td>CON#7</td>
<td>LOAN#10</td>
<td>85,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 44 and Table 45 provide information about the transferred amount (0) and about the counterparties involved in the instrument. While the instrument dataset is – as long as no changes occur – reported only as of 31 October, both the financial and the counterparty-instrument datasets are reported (with the same values) also in November and December (not shown).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Transferred amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>CI#2</td>
<td>CON#7</td>
<td>LOAN#10</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI#2 recognises the loan in its balance sheet and issues for the instrument an impairment amount of €85,000 which is established in conformity with the applicable accounting rules (assumed to be the IFRS). Note that since CI#2 has not written off any amount of the loan since the purchase, €0 is reported for the accumulated write-offs. This is illustrated in Table 46.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Type of impairment</th>
<th>Accumulated impairment amount</th>
<th>Carrying amount</th>
<th>Accumulated write-offs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>CI#2</td>
<td>CON#A</td>
<td>LOAN#10</td>
<td>Stage 3 (IFRS)</td>
<td>85,000.00</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that the accounting dataset is reported only as of quarter-ends.
4.4.4 Default status of the instrument

Definition: Identification of the default status of the instrument. Categories describing the situations in which an instrument can be described as being at default in accordance with Article 178 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

This data attribute identifies instruments in default in accordance with the CRR.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is reported unless the observed agent is not subject to capital requirements (i.e. it is a credit institution under Article 4(1)(1) of the CRR which is exempted from capital requirements under Article 2 of the CRD IV) and has been granted a derogation by the relevant NCB. In cases of such derogations, the value "Not required" is reported.

In addition, in relation to instruments where the reporting agent applies the definition of default in accordance with Article 178 of the CRR at the counterparty level rather than at the level of an individual instrument, the default status of the instrument is reported as “Non-applicable”.

Values

1. Not in default – Instrument not in default in accordance with the CRR

2. Default because unlikely to pay – Instrument in default because the debtor is unlikely to pay in accordance with the CRR but the instrument is not more than 90/180 days past due

3. Default because more than 90/180 days past due – Instrument in default because the debt is more than 90/180 days past due in accordance with the CRR but the debtor has not been classified as unlikely to pay

4. Default because both unlikely to pay and more than 90/180 days past due – Instrument in default both because it is considered that the debtor is unlikely to pay and because the debt is more than 90/180 days past due in accordance with the CRR

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The criteria to be applied for reporting this data attribute are the same as those used by the reporting agent for the calculation of the minimum capital requirement in accordance with the CRR.

If the default status is not assessed at the level of the instrument but at the level of the debtor, the value “Non-applicable” is reported – refer to Example 29 through to Example 33 in Section 5.4.9 below for more details. Please refer also to Chapter 10, which deals specifically with the default status of the counterparty.
More specifically, only one of the four values listed above is reported for instruments whose default status is assessed at the instrument level. This is only possible for retail exposures if the credit institution exercises the option provided by the last sentence of Article 178(1) of the CRR. In all other cases, where default is not defined at the level of the individual instrument but rather at the level of the counterparty (refer to Section 10.4.1 below), the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

Please note that a special case arises if, in accordance with Article 178(1) of the CRR, the option to apply the definition of default at the level of an instrument is exercised only for a subset of instruments extended to a counterparty, while this option is not exercised for other instruments extended to the same counterparty. This scenario implies that default is assessed both at instrument and counterparty level. For instruments that are assessed at the instrument level, the default status of the instrument is reported accordingly. However, for other instruments, for which the reporting agent applies the definition of default at the counterparty level, the data attribute “default status of the instrument” is reported as “Non-applicable”. For an extensive example regarding the interrelation of the data attributes “default status of the instrument”, “default status of the counterparty” and “performing status of the instrument” in this complex situation, please refer to Section 5.4.9.

Please also note that the default status of the instrument/counterparty may change after the moment on which a default actually started (e.g. from “unlikely to pay” to “90 days past due”, or both). In this connection, note that the data attribute “cumulative recoveries since default” is calculated taking into account the beginning of the default status – in other words, all recoveries are accounted for over the actual duration of a default, which spans the period between the moment the default actually started and the moment at which it ended, as opposed to when it was updated for the last time.

Moreover, the number of days past due at a given reporting reference date for instruments in default is captured by the data attribute “date of past due for the instrument”(or other instruments of the same debtor).

### 4.4.5 Date of the default status of the instrument

**Definition:** The date on which the default status, as reported in the data attribute “default status of the instrument”, is considered to have occurred.

This data attribute identifies the date on which the default status of the instrument in accordance with the CRR was changed/applied.

**Reporting qualification**

This data attribute is fully synchronised with the data attribute “default status of the instrument”. Accordingly, this data attribute is reported as “Non-applicable” wherever the corresponding data attribute “default status of the instrument” is reported “Non-applicable”.
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In particular, “Non-applicable” is reported if a reporting agent does not apply the definition of default at the level of an individual instrument.

If the observed agent is not subject to capital requirements under the CRR, and the reporting agent has been granted a derogation from the respective NCB, and the “default status of the instrument” is hence “Not required”, then the value reported for the “date of the default status of the instrument” is consequently also “Not required”.

For details regarding the reporting qualification of the default status of the instrument, please refer to Section 4.4.4 above.

Values

Unless reported as “Non-applicable” or “Not required”, a date is reported indicating the day on which the default status of the instrument as reported in the corresponding data attribute has occurred. In particular, the reported date is not later than the reporting reference date.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

As regards instruments for which the value in the data attribute “default status of the instrument” is “not in default”, the date on which the instrument is considered to have gone out of default is reported, provided that the instrument has been in default before.

Otherwise, if the instrument has never been in default in accordance with the CRR since its origination, the value in the data attribute “date of the default status of the instrument” is the inception date of the contract which gives rise to the instrument.

4.4.6 Arrears for the instrument

Definition: Aggregated amount of the principal, interest and any fee payment outstanding at the reporting date, which is contractually due and has not been paid (past due).

This data attribute captures the amount that is due at a reporting reference date, rather than the outstanding nominal amount of an instrument that is past due.

No materiality threshold has to be considered in order to report the amount in arrears.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is always reported, i.e. there can be no derogations in respect of this data attribute.
Values

Amounts of arrears for the instrument are reported in units of euro.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

If at the reporting reference date any payable amount of the instrument is past due, then a positive amount (i.e. larger than 0) is reported in this data attribute.

Please note that an instrument is past due as soon as any amount arising under the instrument is past due. In particular it is the date on which the amount should have been paid but was not paid on that date.

Otherwise, if an instrument is not past due at the reporting reference date (i.e. when there is no single payment arising the instrument that is due at the reporting reference date), then the value 0 is reported.

Please note that the data attribute “arrears for the instrument” only takes into account the amounts in arrears (i.e. those that are contractually due but have not been paid) at a reporting reference date relating to the instrument.

The amount of arrears of the instrument is the part of the nominal outstanding amount that is legally past due. It includes principal, interest, late interest and claimable expenses that are due under the terms and conditions of the contract and are pending collection on the reporting reference date.

The amount in arrears does not include any accrued interest because accrued interest amounts are not past due.

The amount in arrears for the instruments is reported regardless of whether the amount has been transferred or not.

Note that if a positive amount is reported in the data attribute “arrears for the instrument”, the data attribute “date of past due for the instrument” is reported as well, indicating the date on which the first unpaid amount became past due.

Overdrafts with no credit limit are generally immediately payable and are therefore in arrears as soon as debit balances arise, unless a different scheme agreed by the counterparties involved applies.
4.4.7 Date of past due for the instrument

Definition: The date on which the instrument became past due in accordance with Part 2.48 of Annex V to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 680/2014. This is the latest such date prior to the reporting reference date and it is to be reported if the instrument is past due on the reporting reference date.

According to the ITS, assets qualify as past due when counterparties have failed to make a payment when contractually due. Note that past due occurs as soon as the instrument is not paid at the payment due date.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is always reported, i.e. there can be no derogations in accordance with Article 7 of the AnaCredit Regulation in respect of this data attribute.

Please note that a date is reported if at the reporting reference date the instrument is past due. Otherwise, if an instrument is current and the amount in arrears is 0 (as opposed to past due when the amount in arrears is larger than 0) at the reporting reference date, the date of past due for the instrument is reported as "Non-applicable".

Values

Unless “Non-applicable” is reported, the data attribute is a date indicating the day on which any amount arising under the instrument became due and has been still unpaid at the reporting reference date.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

Please note that if a positive amount is reported in the data attribute "arrears for the instrument", the data attribute "date of past due for the instrument" is reported indicating the date on which the amount became past due.

No materiality threshold should be considered in establishing whether any amount arising under the instrument is due at the reporting reference date.

Consequently, if the instrument is past due at the reporting reference date, a date prior to the reporting reference date is reported.

By contrast, if the instrument is not past due at the reporting reference date (i.e. when the amount in the data attribute "arrears for the instrument" is reported as zero), then the data attribute "date of past due for the instrument" is reported as "Non-applicable".

The data attribute "date of past due for the instrument" is the date of the first amount (principal, interest and expenses) due that is still unpaid at the reporting reference date. If the amount of arrears for the instrument is reduced to zero, the date of past due for the instrument is reset to "Non-applicable".
For an illustration of how the date of past due should be determined, consider the following example.

**Example 15: Past due instrument**

An instrument is not past due on 2 September. However, a payment is contractually required to be received on 3 September, and as no payment is received on that date, the instrument becomes past due on 3 September. It remains so until 10 September, when the pending payment is made and the instrument ceases to be past due. However, as a payment which is contractually expected to be received by 21 September is not made by then, the instrument again becomes past due on 21 September and remains so from that date until at least 1 October.

Ultimately, as of 30 September, the amount remains unpaid, so the instrument is considered past due, and the date of past due for the instrument at this reporting reference date is 21 September, which is in fact the most recent date on which the instrument became past due.

This data attribute is fully aligned with the data attribute “arrears for the instrument”, which defines the amount irrespective of any materiality thresholds or alike and takes into account any amount that is due (such as aggregate amount of principal (if due), interest due and any fee payment outstanding at the reporting date, which is contractually due and has not been paid).

In addition, please note the following clarification:

- for deposit accounts and reciprocal accounts included in “deposits other than reverse repurchase agreements”, overdrafts and other call loans, the date is either the date of the observed agent’s first demand for reimbursement or the first whole or partial settlement of unpaid interest, whichever occurred first;

- for loans arising from off-balance-sheet exposures (amounts recorded as assets on the balance sheet as a result of default by counterparties in relation to an off-balance-sheet exposure such as an unsettled transaction in derivatives), the date is the date of the first default by the transaction counterparty (for example, the date of the first non-payment of a guaranteed loan or of the commissions payable by the guarantor) that resulted in amounts pending resolution by the counterparty or its guarantors being recorded in respect of the asset on the reporting reference date, irrespective of whether the observed agent’s cash disbursement date is later;

- for trade receivables, the date is the maturity of the first unpaid document pending collection;

- in the case of revolving instruments (such as a credit limit in a current account) where the credit limit is exceeded by an amount and the contract stipulates that in such cases the excess amount is immediately due, the date on which the excess occurred is the date of past due;
• however, in the case of revolving instruments for which the agreed credit limit is exceeded by an amount but the contract does not stipulate that in such cases it is immediately past due (but rather requires that such an excess is paid off by a specific future date), the instrument is not past due unless the excess is not paid by the specific date.

"Past due" for an instrument describes the status of any contractual payments relating to the instrument. Specifically, according to the definition of past due, an instrument is to be considered past due when the debtor (or debtors if relevant) has failed to make any payment in relation to the instrument (being the principal, interest or a fee payment) when the payment is contractually due. This means that past due occurs as soon as the instrument is unpaid on the date that it was contractually due (as opposed to 30, 60 or 90 days past due – in which case the instrument is typically considered in default).

In relation to the past due status of the instrument, the reporting agents report the date on which the instrument became past due – this is the data attribute “date of past due for the instrument”. The number of days past due can be then computed by comparing this date (on which the past due occurred) with the reporting reference date. For an illustration of how the amount in arrears is computed, please refer to the following example.

**Example 16: Past due and amounts in arrears**

On 31 December 2019, the total debt under an instrument amounts to €100. The debtor was expected to pay the principal amount of €10 and the interest amount of €5 by 15 December 2019 but failed to do so (and still has not done so by 31 December 2019). The instrument is therefore considered to be past due (as of 31 December 2019) with the date of past due for the instrument being 15 December 2019.

Furthermore, as regards the past due status of the instrument, under the data attribute “arrears for the instrument” the reporting agent reports only the amount that is past due (as opposed to the total debt amount of the instrument).

Consequently, the arrears for the instrument to be reported as of 31 December 2019 amount to €15 = (the principal amount of €10 due and the interest amount of €5).

In addition, please note that if a loan of €250 principal with the maturity date of 30 November 2015 is not paid back by that date, and neither is the interest amount of €12, then, the amount in arrears of the loan as of 1 December 2015 is €250 + €12 = €262, as both the principal and the interest are contractually due as of 30 November 2015.

The reason for reporting solely the amount that is past due and not the total debt of an instrument in arrears is that the unpaid amount itself (i.e. the arrears for the instrument) is a relevant piece of information and is worth specifying explicitly, while the total amount due of an instrument is captured in the outstanding nominal amount.

Again, for the sake of completeness, please note that the amount of €0 for “arrears for the instrument” is reported if the instrument is not past due at the reporting date.
4.4.8 Type of securitisation

Definition: Identification of the securitisation type, in accordance with Article 242(10) and (11) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

This data attribute captures the type of securitisation to which the instrument has been subject.

Accordingly, a traditional securitisation means a securitisation involving the economic transfer of the exposures being securitised. This is accomplished by the transfer of ownership of the securitised exposures from the originator institution to an FVC or through sub-participation by an FVC. The securities issued do not represent payment obligations of the originator institution.

By contrast, a synthetic securitisation means a securitisation where the transfer of risk is achieved by the use of credit derivatives or guarantees, and the exposures being securitised remain exposures of the originator institution.

For more guidance regarding the reporting of instruments subject to securitisation, please refer to Part III of the Manual, which deals specifically with this subject.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is always reported, i.e. there can be no derogations in accordance with Article 7 of the AnaCredit Regulation in respect of this data attribute.

Values

1. Traditional securitisation: instrument which is securitised in a traditional securitisation
2. Synthetic securitisation: instrument which is securitised in a synthetic securitisation
3. Not securitised: instrument which is not securitised either in a traditional or synthetic securitisation

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

Note that if the value “traditional securitisation” is reported in the data attribute “type of securitisation”, a positive amount is reported in the data attribute “transferred amount”.

Note also that if the value “synthetic securitisation” is reported in this data attribute:

- the value in the data attribute “balance sheet recognition” is not reported as “entirely derecognised”;
- the fact that the instrument is subject to a synthetic securitisation does not affect the amount reported under the data attribute “transferred amount”
(i.e. if prior to the securitisation the transferred amount was zero, it is also reported as zero upon securitisation);

- the observed agent reports the instrument in the instrument-protection received dataset and the protection received (i.e. the securitisation transaction) in the protection received dataset.

If an instrument is sold to a third party in a manner other than by a securitisation transaction, and the observed agent retains the servicing rights of the instrument, the value in the data attribute “type of securitisation” is “not securitised”. However, a positive value is reported in the data attribute “transferred amount”.

Please note that an instrument subject to securitisation should not be marked as a fiduciary instrument. However, in certain circumstances where fiduciary instruments may be subject to securitisation, such fiduciary instruments are marked as securitised.

4.4.9 Outstanding nominal amount

Definition: Principal amount outstanding at the end of the reporting reference date, including unpaid past due interest but excluding accrued interest. The outstanding nominal amount must be reported net of write-offs and write-downs as determined by the relevant accounting practices.

Broadly speaking, the outstanding nominal amount of an instrument at a given reporting reference date sums up the payments by or to the debtor which have been made vis-à-vis the instrument in the period from the origination of the instrument until the reporting reference date.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is always reported, i.e. there can be no derogations in accordance with Article 7 of the AnaCredit Regulation in respect of this data attribute.

Values

The outstanding nominal amount is reported in units of euro.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

Please note that for all instruments subject to AnaCredit reporting, the outstanding nominal amount is reported without netting of any collateral, even in the case of 100% cash-backed instruments.

Please note that any unpaid past due interest, penalty fees or other fees charged to the instrument are included in the outstanding nominal amount.
In particular, the outstanding nominal amount of an instrument includes the following items when the amounts are pending collection at the reporting reference date and have not been written-off:

- principal not yet past due arising under the instrument;
- principal past due arising under the instrument;
- any unpaid interest past due relating to the instrument;
- any unpaid penalty fees or other fees charged to the instrument;
- claimable expenses past due and called in relation to the instrument that are due under the terms and conditions of the contract.

Please note that with the exception of any principal not yet due at the reporting reference date, the remaining four types of amounts referred to above are amounts due which account for the instrument being past due and are therefore added together and reported in the data attribute “arrears for the instrument” at the reporting reference date.

Claimable expenses that occur in relation to the instrument are included in the outstanding nominal amount. In particular, if claimable costs are incurred in the process of debt collection in relation to an instrument, these costs are reported as part of the instrument in relation to which they have been incurred (i.e. they are included in the outstanding amount if not paid off). In no case are such costs reported as a separate, newly originated loan.

The outstanding nominal amount does not include:

- accrued interest, as this is not to be added to the outstanding amount;
- any amounts written off, as these are to be deducted from the outstanding nominal amount;
- any amounts of protection, as these amounts are not to reduce the outstanding nominal amount (e.g. cash collateralised instruments).

With regard to the relationship between the outstanding nominal amount and the accumulated impairments/accumulated changes in fair value due to credit risk:

- the outstanding nominal amount is reported using the gross amount, i.e. the outstanding nominal amount is not to be affected (reduced) by impairments or changes in fair value.

In other words, in the context of AnaCredit reporting net of impairments is not allowed (even if it is done so by all reporting monetary financial institutions in a given country for the purposes of the balance sheet statistics under Regulation (EU) No 1071/2013 (ECB/2013/40). Please note, however, that at the present stage of AnaCredit the outstanding nominal amount is to be net of any write-offs made in relation to the instrument.

In the case of instruments acquired by an observed agent, it is the amount that the debtor is contractually obliged to repay that is reported as the outstanding nominal
amount, as opposed to the amount actually paid by the observed agent (acquisition price).

With regard to the relationship between the outstanding nominal amount and the transferred amount, the outstanding nominal amount includes the transferred amount as reported in the data attribute “transferred amount”. In particular, the data attribute “outstanding nominal amount” also includes any amounts whose ownership has been transferred to a third party and, consequently, the outstanding nominal amount is not less than the amount reported in the data attribute “transferred amount”.

If an entire instrument, or a part of an instrument, were transferred to a credit institution resident in a reporting member state, the new creditor would have to report the entire or a part of the outstanding nominal amount that it has acquired. Therefore the transferor would not report this amount in accordance with Article 4 of the AnaCredit Regulation.

As regards the separate reporting of any accrued interest in the data attribute “accrued interest”, please note that, for example, in the case of zero-coupon instruments, such as reverse repurchase agreements or trade receivables purchased at a discount, the nominal outstanding amount is in principle not the amount actually disbursed to the debtor, as the outstanding nominal amount is normally adjusted to exclude the accrued interest. In fact, accrued interest represents the difference which is realised between the amount which was disbursed to the debtor (the nominal value) and the face value of the zero coupon instrument. At the moment of maturity, the accrued interest is considered realised (i.e. it becomes interest due and, if not paid off, is added to the outstanding nominal amount).

For an illustration of the relationship between the outstanding nominal amount and the accrued interest, refer to Example 17 and Example 18 in Section 4.4.11, which deals specifically with the data attribute “accrued interest”.

Concerning the reporting in the case of a change in contract which leads to an increase in the outstanding nominal amount (e.g. as a result of a contractual increase in the credit limit or of additional funds being disbursed to the debtor), it is clarified that such a change qualifies as a renegotiation for commercial reasons and is to be marked accordingly in the data attribute “status of forbearance and renegotiation”.

As regards the relationship between the type of instrument and the outstanding nominal amount, please note that:

- in the case of revolving instruments (such as a credit card debt), the outstanding nominal amount of an instrument reported to AnaCredit may equal zero if no amounts have been withdrawn yet at the reporting reference date;
- by contrast, the outstanding nominal amount of instruments reported to AnaCredit which have no off-balance-sheet amount cannot be equal to zero at any reporting reference date. However, this does not apply to instruments which have been fully written off, as in such

Accrued interest is not part of the outstanding nominal amount
cases the outstanding nominal amount reported to AnaCredit may be zero.

4.4.10 Off-balance-sheet amount

Definition: Total nominal amount of off-balance-sheet exposures. This includes any commitment to lend before considering conversion factors and credit risk mitigation techniques. It is the amount that best represents the institution’s maximum exposure to credit risk without taking into account any protection held or other credit enhancements.

The off-balance-sheet amount of an instrument is the undrawn amount available under the instrument.

An amount larger than 0 is reported if the instrument’s outstanding nominal amount may be increased by drawings by the debtor or disbursement to the debtor in accordance with the provisions of the contract, without the need for changing the contract or other credit enhancements. In such cases, the off-balance-sheet amount is the total amount that can be still drawn under this instrument in addition to the outstanding nominal amount so that the commitment amount (the credit limit, if relevant) is not exceeded.

If the outstanding nominal amount exceeds the amount which was committed in accordance with the contract under the instrument, the off-balance-sheet amount to be reported is €0.

Otherwise, if no amount can be drawn by or disbursed to the debtor without contractual changes, the off-balance-sheet amount of the instrument is reported as "Non-applicable", i.e. there is no undrawn amount available under the instrument in accordance with the contract.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is always reported, i.e. there can be no derogations in accordance with Article 7 of the AnaCredit Regulation in respect of this data attribute.

Values

Off-balance-sheet amounts are reported in units of euro.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The off-balance-sheet amount is the available undrawn amount of the instrument at the reporting reference date.

The off-balance-sheet amount does not include any amount effectively withdrawn by or disbursed to the debtor.
For the following types of instrument as defined in Article 1(23) of the AnaCredit Regulation: credit card debt, revolving credits other than overdrafts and credit card debt, credit lines other than revolving credit and overdrafts with a credit limit:

- a positive amount is reported if there is any available amount at the reporting reference date that can be withdrawn vis-à-vis the instrument;
- otherwise, zero is reported if there is no available amount, given that there had been an off-balance-sheet amount that could have been withdrawn vis-à-vis the instrument at the reporting reference date.

For example, for loans which have a fixed disbursement schedule (typically being credit lines other than revolving credit) where the total amount is disbursed to the debtor in a number of subsequent instalments (tranches) whose disbursement may or may not depend on additional conditions (such as progress of the project for which the financing is provided), the still-to-be disbursed amount is reported as the off-balance-sheet amount. Please note that as subsequent instalments are disbursed, the off-balance-sheet amount is adjusted (i.e. lowered) accordingly and is reported as 0 as soon as the total amount has been disbursed. For an illustration of the reporting in such cases, consider Example 4 in Section 3.4.1, which deals specifically with credit lines other than revolving credit.

For any other types of instrument, “Non-applicable” is in principle reported in the data attribute “off-balance-sheet amount” as those instruments do not intrinsically link with an off-balance-sheet amount. For example, in the case of reverse repurchase agreements where funds are typically exchanged in a one-off transaction, the off-balance-sheet amount is “Non-applicable”.

For guidance regarding the reporting of off-balance-sheet amount in the case of instruments under a credit cross-limit (such as multi-product credit lines), please refer to Part III of the Manual, which specifically deals with the subject.

### 4.4.11 Accrued interest

**Definition:** The amount of accrued interest on loans at the reporting reference date as defined in Regulation (EU) No 1071/2013 (ECB/2013/33). In accordance with the general principle of accruals accounting, interest receivable on instruments should be subject to on-balance sheet recording as it accrues (i.e. on an accruals basis) rather than when it is actually received (i.e. on a cash basis).

AnaCredit requires that interest is recorded on an accruals basis for the instrument to which it relates.

**Reporting qualification**

The accrued interest attribute is mandatory except if the observed agent is a foreign branch that is not resident in a reporting Member State and has been granted a
derogation by the relevant NCB in accordance with Article 7 of the AnaCredit Regulation.

Values

Amounts of accrued interest are reported in units of euro.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

This data attribute is in principle reported in accordance with the applied accounting standard.

In particular, when the instrument is recognised as an asset on the balance sheet, the accrued interest is calculated according to the relevant accounting standard.

Please note, however, that this data attribute is also reported in cases of no accrued interest in accordance with the accounting standard.

In particular, when the instrument is not recognised in the balance sheet, the accrued interest is calculated according to the contractual agreements.

The accrued interest is reported for all instruments irrespective of whether they are measured at amortised cost or at fair value in the financial statements.

For an illustration of how this data attribute is reported in the case of an interest-only loan, please consider the following example.

Example 17: The relationship between accrued interest and outstanding nominal amount in the case of an interest-only instrument

On 29 April 2016, observed agent OA#1 extends a loan (Loan#2) of €250,000 to debtor Dbtr#2. In accordance with the contract (Cntrct#A), the debtor will repay only interest on a monthly basis and will repay the principle on 30 April 2024.

The reporting of the outstanding nominal amount and the accrued interest in the period between September and December 2018 is illustrated in Table 47. In this period, the applied interest rate is 3% per annum. The interest accrues monthly and is payable on the 10th day of each month. The debtor makes the interest payments on time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Accrued interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>OA#1</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A</td>
<td>LOAN#2</td>
<td>250,000.00</td>
<td>625.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>OA#4</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A</td>
<td>LOAN#2</td>
<td>250,000.00</td>
<td>625.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/11/2018</td>
<td>OA#4</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A</td>
<td>LOAN#2</td>
<td>250,000.00</td>
<td>625.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>OA#4</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A</td>
<td>LOAN#2</td>
<td>250,000.00</td>
<td>625.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given the fact that Dbtr#2 pays in the year 3% interest rate on €250,000, the monthly interest payments amount to €625. Since the interest is paid monthly, the outstanding nominal amount remains unchanged over the entire period considered.

This data attribute is also reported in cases where there is no explicit interest rate.
For instruments with no explicit interest rate, accrued interest represents the difference which is realised between the amount which was disbursed to the debtor (nominal value) at a moment in time $t$ and the value that was paid back by the debtor at a moment $t + x$ (i.e. at the maturity, the accrued interest is considered realised and is added to the nominal value).

**Example 18:** The relationship between accrued interest and outstanding nominal amount in the case of a disagio

On 30 September 2018, observed agent OA#4 cashes €97,000 to Debtor#U. In accordance with the contract (Cntrct#1), the debtor will repay €100,000 on 1 January 2019. The instrument is identified with disagio#1 subject to AnaCredit reporting. The instrument is reported for four consecutive reporting reference dates.

The reporting of the outstanding nominal amount and the accrued interest is illustrated in Table 48.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Accrued interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>OA#4</td>
<td>CNTRCT#1</td>
<td>DISAGIO#1</td>
<td>97,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>OA#4</td>
<td>CNTRCT#1</td>
<td>DISAGIO#1</td>
<td>97,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/11/2018</td>
<td>OA#4</td>
<td>CNTRCT#1</td>
<td>DISAGIO#1</td>
<td>97,000.00</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>OA#4</td>
<td>CNTRCT#1</td>
<td>DISAGIO#1</td>
<td>97,000.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given that over the entire tenor of the instrument the total interest charge amounts to €3,000 and the interest is accrued proportionally to the time elapsed, with the total interest becoming payable on 1 January 2019, the following holds.

- On 30 September (i.e. at time = 0), the outstanding nominal amount is exactly €97,000, and no interest is accrued yet.
- On 31 October, (i.e. at time = 1/3), the outstanding nominal amount is still €97,000, and 1/3 of the total interest is accrued; this amounts to €1,000.
- On 30 November, (i.e. at time = 2/3), the outstanding nominal amount is €97,000, and another 1/3 of the total interest is accrued; this now amounts to €2,000.
- On 31 December, (i.e. at time = 3/3), the outstanding nominal amount is €97,000, and a further 1/3 of the total interest is accrued, resulting in €3,000 of accrued interests.
- On 1 January 2019, the accrued interest becomes due and is capitalised (i.e. is added to the outstanding nominal amount).

In particular, for specific types of instruments, such as, but not limited to, zero coupon instruments, the accrued interest amount at the date of maturity of the instrument represents the difference between the price which was paid in order to acquire the debt instrument (which is then captured in the outstanding nominal value and carrying amount data attributes of AnaCredit) and the face value ultimately capitalised at the date of maturity. Other examples include trade receivables where that accrued interest is reported for trade receivables as the difference between the face values of the receivables and the payment amount. Please note that the difference is reported in the data attribute “accrued interest” as if it had been accrued at a constant rate over a suitable period of time (for example, the period between one interest capitalisation and the next).
The outstanding nominal amount does not include any accrued interest. This in particular regards instruments originated at a discount or zero-coupon instruments where the difference between the outstanding nominal amount of the instrument and the amount received by the debtor is considered as an interest payment.

Please note that AnaCredit and FINREP requirements are consistent as regards the need to record interest on an accruals basis.

This amount is reported even if the instrument has been transferred or derecognised.

Interest is recorded on an accruals basis for the instrument to which it relates. Consequently, the accrued interest relates to the outstanding nominal amount(s) over a specific period of time, irrespective of whether or not any part of the instruments has been transferred to another creditor (other than a resident credit institution).

Please note that the difference between the nominal amount of the instrument and the amount received by the customer (i.e. the so-called disagio) is considered as an interest payment at the start of the contract and is reported in this attribute as it is accrued.

In this respect, the following general rules need to be considered.

- In the case of instruments that have no interest payments and are issued at a considerable discount to par value (for example, zero coupon instruments issued at discount), most of the discount represents the equivalent of the interest accrued during the life of the instrument.
  
  Thus, for zero coupon instruments, the difference between the face value and the purchase price is reported as the accrued interest, taking into account the fact that at the moment of purchase the accrued interest amounts to 0 and accrues over time to reach the difference between the face value and the purchase price at the maturity date.

- Similarly, for purchased receivables the difference between the face values of the receivables and the payment amount can be interpreted as the accrued interest (to be accrued over time between the settlement date and the maturity date).

Unless capitalised earlier, the accrued interest is considered realised at the maturity of the instrument and is added to the nominal value.

If interest is accrued and capitalised monthly (i.e. added to the outstanding nominal amount), the interest accrual starts anew in the subsequent period. This also applies in the cases of disagios and discounts on purchased receivables.
5 Accounting dataset

5.1 General aspects

The primary goal of the accounting dataset is to describe the development of the instrument in accordance with the relevant accounting standard. In addition, the dataset also includes data attributes that are at present required by other reporting frameworks (i.e. FINREP) with the same timeliness and granularity (e.g. performing status, sources of encumbrance, status of forbearance and renegotiation).

For certain data attributes of the accounting dataset, a value “Non-applicable” is reported if the instrument is not an asset of the observed agent, owing to the fact that no actual assignment to any of the reporting values can be made. This includes, for example, cases of fully derecognised loans being serviced according to Annex II to the AnaCredit Regulation. For details on each data attribute and the reporting specifics, please consider the dedicated sections of this chapter.

For intracompany loans, the same data attributes of the accounting dataset are reported as for the “fully derecognised instruments being serviced” defined in Table I of Annex II to the AnaCredit Regulation. For the non-relevant data attributes a value of “Non-applicable” is reported.

5.1.1 Accounting standard to be followed

The AnaCredit Regulation requires that the data be reported following the accounting standard of the observed agent’s legal entity.

In particular, if the observed agent’s legal entity is subject to Regulation (EU) 2015/534 (ECB/2015/13), the data are reported in accordance with the accounting standard – International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) or national generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) – applied to fulfill the requirements under Regulation (EU) 2015/534 (ECB/2015/13) by the observed agent’s legal entity.

5.1.2 The reporting of accounting-related data in the case of partially transferred instruments

The reporting of any accounting-related data (such as accrued interest, carrying amount, etc.) in the case of (partially) transferred instrument is restricted only to the part of the instrument (outstanding) which the observed agent recognises in its balance sheet. Please refer to Section 4.4.3 for more information on transferred instruments.
5.2 Level of granularity

The level of granularity for data included in this dataset is the instrument, and therefore each record is identified through the instrument identifier, the contract identifier and the identifier of the reporting and observed agent.

5.3 Reporting frequency

The accounting dataset is reported on a quarterly basis. This means that at a quarter-end reporting reference date the accounting dataset is reported for all instruments that are subject to AnaCredit reporting.

5.4 The accounting dataset – data attributes

This dataset applies for instruments reported in the financial dataset. For each instrument, the following data attributes are reported.

Table 49 Overview of data attributes in the accounting dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data attribute</th>
<th>Internal identifier</th>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Section in Part II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporting agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting classification of instruments</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>5.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance sheet recognition</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>5.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated write-offs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>5.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated impairment amount</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>5.4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of impairment</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>5.4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment assessment method</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>5.4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sources of encumbrance</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>5.4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performing status of the instrument</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>5.4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of the performing status of the instrument</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>5.4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions associated with off-balance-sheet exposures</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>5.4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status of forbearance and renegotiation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>5.4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of the forbearance and renegotiation status</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>5.4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative recoveries since default</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>5.4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying amount</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>5.4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prudent portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>5.4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated changes in fair value due to credit risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>5.4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.4.1 Accounting classification of instruments

Definition: Accounting portfolio where the instrument is recorded in accordance with the accounting standard – IFRS or national GAAP – under Regulation (EU) 2015/534 (ECB/2015/13) applied by the observed agent’s legal entity.

The data attribute “accounting classification of the instruments” contains information about the accounting portfolio in which the instrument is classified in accordance with the accounting standard – IFRS or national GAAP – under Regulation (EU) 2015/534 (ECB/2015/13) applied by the observed agent’s legal entity.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is reported for all instruments in the scope of AnaCredit. In particular, if an instrument is an asset in accordance with the accounting standard, then one of the values as listed below is reported. Note that the possible values of the data attribute depend on whether the accounting standard applied is the International Financial Reporting Standards or national Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. Depending on the accounting standard, the values of other attributes, such as the carrying amount and accrued interest, may vary.

Otherwise, if an instrument is not an asset in accordance with the applied accounting standard, then the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

Note that the following values are still subject to changes which depend on the planned amendments of Regulation (EU) 2015/534 (ECB/2015/13) and the ITS on supervisory reporting taking into account the changes regarding IFRS 9.

Values for IFRS accounting portfolios

1. Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits (IFRS) – Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits in accordance with IFRS-1AS 1.54 (i). This includes:
   - cash balances at central banks – balances receivable on demand at central banks (Part 2.2 of Annex V to the ITS);
   - other demand deposits – balances receivable on demand with credit institutions (Part 2.3 of Annex V to the ITS).

2. Financial assets held for trading (IFRS) – Financial assets held for trading in accordance with IFRS 7.8(a)(ii), IFRS 9, Appendix A

3. Non-trading financial assets mandatorily at fair value through profit or loss (IFRS) – Non-trading financial assets mandatorily at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with IFRS 9.4.1.4

4. Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss (IFRS) – Financial assets measured at fair value through profit and loss in accordance with IFRS 7.8(a)(i); IFRS 9.4.1.5
5. **Financial assets at fair value through other comprehensive income (IFRS)** – Financial assets measured at fair value through other comprehensive income due to business model and cash-flows characteristics in accordance with IFRS 7.8(d); IFRS 9.4.1.2A.

6. **Financial assets at amortised cost (IFRS)** – Financial assets measured at amortised cost in accordance with IFRS 7.8; IFRS 9.4.1.2; Part 1.16 of Annex V to the ITS.

---

### Values for national GAAP accounting portfolios

1. **Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits (GAAP)** – Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits in accordance with IFRS - IAS 1.54(i). This includes:
   - cash balances at central banks – balances receivable on demand at central banks (Part 2.2 of Annex V to the ITS);
   - other demand deposits – balances receivable on demand with credit institutions (Part 2.3 of Annex V to the ITS).

2. **Financial assets held for trading (GAAP)** – Financial assets held for trading in accordance with national GAAP.

3. **Non-trading financial assets mandatorily at fair value through profit or loss (GAAP)** – Non-trading financial assets mandatorily at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with national GAAP.

4. **Trading Financial assets (GAAP)** – Trading financial assets in accordance with national GAAP.

5. **Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss (GAAP)** – Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with national GAAP.

6. **Available-for-sale financial assets (GAAP)** – Available-for-sale financial assets in accordance with national GAAP.

7. **Non-trading non-derivative financial assets measured at fair value through profit or loss (GAAP)** – Non-trading non-derivative financial assets measured at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with national GAAP.

8. **Non-trading non-derivative financial assets measured at fair value to equity (GAAP)** – Non-trading non-derivative financial assets measured at fair value to equity in accordance with national GAAP.

9. **Loans and receivables (GAAP)** – Loans and receivables in accordance with national GAAP.

10. **Held-to-maturity investments (GAAP)** – Held-to-maturity investments in accordance with national GAAP.

---

Note that IAS 39 portfolios are included here – the reason being that a country which had not adopted IFRS 9 and had implemented IAS 39 previously could still use the IAS 39 portfolios as a part of the national GAAP portfolios, and not within the IFRS accounting portfolios.
11. *Non-trading debt instruments measured at a cost-based method (GAAP)* – Non-trading debt instruments measured at a cost-based method in accordance with national GAAP

12. *Other non-trading non-derivative financial assets (GAAP)* – Other non-trading non-derivative financial assets in accordance with national GAAP

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The accounting classification is closely linked with the data attributes regarding impairment and changes in fair value due to credit risk as well as the prudential portfolio. The table below provides an overview of the possible combinations of certain data attributes according to the accounting classification of instruments.

Please note that the table serves only as a general guideline and exceptions may be possible in practice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounting classification of instruments</th>
<th>Impairment</th>
<th>Accumulated changes in fair value due to credit risk</th>
<th>Prudential portfolio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Non-trading book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial assets held for trading</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trading book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-trading financial assets mandatorily at fair value through profit or loss</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-trading book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-trading book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial assets at fair value through other comprehensive income</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-trading book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial assets at amortised cost</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-trading book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Non-trading book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trading Financial assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trading book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-trading non-derivative financial assets measured at fair value through profit or loss</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-trading book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-trading non-derivative financial assets measured at fair value to equity</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Non-trading book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-trading debt instruments measured at a cost-based method</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-trading book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Non-trading Non-derivative Financial assets</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-trading book</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.4.2 Balance sheet recognition

Definition: Balance sheet recognition of the financial asset.

This data attribute classifies the reported instruments pursuant to their balance sheet recognition in accordance with Annex III and Template 15 of Annex IV to the ITS.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is always reported, i.e. there can be no derogations in respect of this data attribute. More specifically, for any instrument reported in the financial dataset, irrespective of the type of instrument, one of the following three values is reported to AnaCredit.

Values

Entirely recognised


This value is reported for instruments which are recognised for its total amount in the balance sheet.

Recognised to the extent of the institution’s continuing involvement


This value is reported if (a part of) the instrument is recognised in the balance sheet to the extent of the observed agent’s legal entity continuing involvement in accordance with IFRS 7.42D(f).

Entirely derecognised


This value is reported if the instrument is not recognised in the balance sheet.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

This data attribute classifies the reported instruments according to their balance sheet recognition in accordance with the criteria of the ITS. The term “is recognised under the relevant accounting standard” has the same meaning as “is an asset” in the balance sheet. This means that assets are either entirely recognised or recognised to the extent of the institution’s continuing involvement.
Please note that in the context of this attribute, the term “derecognised” does not necessarily mean that the instrument has been recognised at an earlier time. In fact, instruments which have not been recognised from the start may be reported as “derecognised”. Instruments that are not recognised in the balance sheet are therefore reported as “entirely derecognised”.

An instrument need not be reported by its economic owner in order to be classified as recognised by the observed agent. For example, in the case of fiduciary loans, an instrument may, in some national GAAPs, be recognised in the financial statement of the observed agent which is the legal, but not the economic owner (i.e. the trustee), in accordance with the accounting standard.

Generally, the principles could be taken as an indication of how this data attribute is reported:

- a fully written-off instrument: such an instrument is reported as “entirely derecognised” after the write-off takes place as the instrument is no longer recognised in the balance sheet;

- an instrument subject to (a) traditional securitisations or (b) transfers other than traditional securitisations: the observed agent which acts as servicer of the instrument, independently if it has been the originator, reports the transferred instruments as “entirely derecognised” unless the observed agent’s involvement continues as defined by the IFRS (please note that an observed agent is deemed to have “continuing involvement” not because it continues to service the instrument but because it bears the credit risk of the instrument);

- an instrument subject to a synthetic securitisation: the observed agent which is the originator reports such an instrument as “entirely recognised”;

- an instrument that is an intracompany loan: the observed agent which is the institutional unit granting the loan reports the instrument as “entirely derecognised” as intracompany loans are not recognised in the balance sheet of the observed agent’s legal entity;

- an instrument that is a fiduciary transaction: the trustee reports the instrument as “entirely recognised” only when it is recognised in its balance sheet according to the accounting standard applicable;

- if the instrument is not recognised in the balance sheet, the trustee reports the instrument – and reports it as “entirely derecognised” – only where the trustor is not (a part of) a credit institution resident in a reporting Member State;

- where the trustor is an observed agent, the trustee does not report the instrument; in this case, the trustor, as the economic owner of the instrument, reports it as “entirely recognised”.

Entirely derecognised instruments which are serviced but not held by the observed agent, and only such instruments, are “fully derecognised instruments being serviced” in accordance with Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation.
In particular, fiduciary loans which are not treated as assets by a trustee which is the observed agent, as well as intracompany loans, are always treated as “fully derecognised loans being serviced” according to Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation.

However, fully written-off loans which are held by the observed agent and are not recognised in the balance sheet (i.e. the data attribute “balance sheet recognition” is reported as “entirely derecognised”) do not meet the definition of “fully derecognised instruments being serviced” in accordance with Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation. In other words, “fully derecognised instruments being serviced” and “entirely derecognised instruments” are related but not fully overlapping terms. For more clarification regarding the difference between the terms, please refer to Section 7.4.3 in Part I of the Manual.

Partially written-off loans are still considered as entirely recognised until the moment they become fully written-off.

The reporting of the data attribute is illustrated using the following examples.

**Example 19: Written-off loans**

This example presents a month-by-month overview of the relevant data reported to AnaCredit between 31 December 2018 and 31 March 2019 in relation to a written-off loan.

Credit Institution C extends a bullet loan in the amount of €100,000 to debtor D on 10 December 2018. On 20 February 2019, C fully writes off the loan as D has filed for bankruptcy. The balance sheet recognition is “entirely derecognised after the write-off”. However, as the written-off loan is still held by C, the instrument does not qualify for the specific reporting requirements of “fully derecognised instruments being serviced” as per Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation, even though it is classified as “entirely derecognised”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Fully derecognised instrument being serviced according to Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount (financial dataset)</th>
<th>Balance sheet recognition (accounting dataset)</th>
<th>Accumulated write-offs (accounting dataset)</th>
<th>Carrying amount (accounting dataset)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Entirely recognised</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/01/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/02/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Entirely derecognised</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that certain values are reported only as of quarter-end dates (otherwise a “-” dash is shown).

Please note also that in the context of AnaCredit eligible instruments that are written-off are reported to AnaCredit until the end of the quarter in which the debtor’s commitment amount falls below the reporting threshold of €25,000. Therefore, depending on the debtor’s commitment amount, the written-off instrument may or may not be reported to AnaCredit after 31 March 2019 (e.g. if at 30 April the debtor’s commitment amount exceeds €25,000 because of other instruments, the written-off instrument is still reported at the reporting reference date).
Example 20 below presents a month-by-month overview of the relevant data reported to AnaCredit in relation to a loan subject to a traditional securitisation where the originator acts as servicer.

Example 20: Traditional securitisation

Credit Institution C extends a bullet loan in the amount of €100,000 to debtor D on 10 December 2018. On 20 February 2019, C fully transfers the loan to FVC T (traditional securitisation), which meets the criteria to be entirely derecognised in accordance with the relevant accounting standard. C remains the servicer of the instrument.

Table 52 Month-by-month overview of data reported for a traditionally securitised loan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Fully derecognised instrument being serviced according to Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount (financial dataset)</th>
<th>Balance sheet recognition (accounting dataset)</th>
<th>Type of securitisation (financial dataset)</th>
<th>Carrying amount (accounting dataset)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Entirely recognised</td>
<td>Not securitised</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/01/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Not securitised</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/02/2019</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Entirely derecognised</td>
<td>Traditional securitisation</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Entirely derecognised</td>
<td>Traditional securitisation</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Traditional securitisation</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/05/2019</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Traditional securitisation</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/06/2019</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Entirely derecognised</td>
<td>Traditional securitisation</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that certain values are reported only as of quarter-end dates (otherwise a "-") dash is shown).

In addition, as per Table 1 in Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation, the data attribute "carrying amount" is not required for instruments which are fully derecognised instruments being serviced, hence the value "Not required" is reported to AnaCredit.

Please note that the reporting of the instrument continues beyond 20 February, as after the transfer C acts as servicer of the instrument and the creditor, FVC T, is not a credit institution.

For an illustration of what the requirements are in cases where the originator in a traditional securitisation does not act as servicer, please consider the following example.
Example 21: Traditional securitisation where the observed agent does not as servicer

1. Observed agent, Credit Institution C, extends a loan to Debtor D on 10 December 2018. Institution S (which is not a credit institution), a subsidiary of C, acts as servicer of this loan. The loan is an asset on the balance sheet of C.

2. On 20 February 2019, C fully transfers the loan to FVC T (traditional securitisation), which meets the criteria to be entirely derecognised in accordance with the relevant accounting standard. From that moment on, the loan is no longer an asset on the balance sheet of C, but is an asset on the balance sheet of the FVC T (which is not a credit institution). S remains the servicer of the instrument.

In connection with the loan, C acts as creditor until 20 February and is therefore required to report the loan to AnaCredit. However, after C fully transfers to FVC T it does not act as creditor to the loan anymore.

By contrast, S, which acts as servicer to the loan, both up to and after the moment that C transfer the loan to FVC T, is not a credit institution and is therefore not subject to AnaCredit reporting.

Consequently, the loan is not subject to AnaCredit after it is transferred to the FVC T, as C acts neither as creditor nor as servicer, while neither S, which acts as servicer, nor the FVC T, which acts as creditor, is subject to AnaCredit. In other words, given the fact that the instrument has not been written off, the last reporting reference date for the instrument is 31 January 2019 (when it is reported by Credit Institution C). However, if the instrument were written off before being transferred, then Credit Institution C would have to report the instrument (at least) until 31 March 2019.

Please note, however, that if C had any servicing activities in relation to the instrument, then it would be considered to be acting as servicer, and the instrument would be subject to AnaCredit reporting.

Example 22 below presents a month-by-month overview of the relevant data reported to AnaCredit in relation to a loan subject to a synthetic securitisation.
Example 22: Synthetic securitisation

Credit Institution C extends a bullet loan in the amount of €100,000 to debtor D on 10 December 2018. On 20 February 2019, C fully transfers the loan following a synthetic securitisation.

Table 53 Month-by-month overview of selected data attributes reported for a loan subject to synthetic securitisation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Fully derecognised instrument being serviced according to Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount (financial dataset)</th>
<th>Balance sheet recognition (accounting dataset)</th>
<th>Type of securitisation (financial dataset)</th>
<th>Carrying amount (accounting dataset)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Entirely recognised</td>
<td>Not securitised</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/01/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Not securitised</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/02/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Synthetic securitisation</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Entirely recognised</td>
<td>Synthetic securitisation</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Synthetic securitisation</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/05/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Synthetic securitisation</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/06/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Entirely recognised</td>
<td>Synthetic securitisation</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that certain values are reported only as of quarter-end dates (otherwise a “-” dash is shown).

Please note also that the specific reporting requirements for “fully derecognised instruments being serviced” in Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation are not applicable as the instrument remains “entirely recognised”.

Example 23 presents a month-by-month overview of the relevant data reported to AnaCredit in relation to an intracompany loan.
Example 23: Intracompany loan

Credit Institution C extends a bullet loan in the amount of €100,000 to its foreign branch D on 10 December 2018.

Table 54 Month-by-month overview of data reported for an intracompany loan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Fully derecognised instrument being serviced according to Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount (financial dataset)</th>
<th>Balance sheet recognition (accounting dataset)</th>
<th>Carrying amount (accounting dataset)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Entirely derecognised</td>
<td>Non-applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/01/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/02/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Entirely derecognised</td>
<td>Non-applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that certain values are reported only as of quarter-end dates (otherwise a “-” dash is shown).

The data attribute “carrying amount” does not apply in the case of intracompany loans which are not assets in the balance sheet; therefore the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

Please note also that the specific reporting requirements for “fully derecognised instruments being serviced” in Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation are not applicable as the instrument is held by the credit institution, although it remains “entirely derecognised”.

Example 24 presents a month-by-month overview of the relevant data reported to AnaCredit in relation to a fiduciary instrument not being recognised in the balance sheet.
Example 24: Fiduciary loan not recognised in the balance sheet

Credit Institution C extends a bullet loan amounting to €100,000 to debtor D on 10 December 2018 on behalf of a third party (not a credit institution). The loan is a fiduciary loan which C does not recognise on its balance sheet.

Table 55 Month-by-month overview of data reported for a synthetically securitised loan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Fully derecognised instrument being serviced according to Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount (financial dataset)</th>
<th>Balance sheet recognition (accounting dataset)</th>
<th>Fiduciary instrument (financial dataset)</th>
<th>Carrying amount (accounting dataset)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Entirely derecognised</td>
<td>Fiduciary instrument</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/01/2019</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fiduciary instrument</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/02/2019</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fiduciary instrument</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Entirely derecognised</td>
<td>Fiduciary instrument</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fiduciary instrument</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/05/2019</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fiduciary instrument</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/06/2019</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Entirely derecognised</td>
<td>Fiduciary instrument</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that certain values are reported only as of quarter-end dates (otherwise a "-" dash is shown).

Please note also that the specific reporting requirements for “fully derecognised instruments being serviced” of Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation applies as the instrument is serviced by C and is not recognised in the balance sheet of C.

Example 25 presents a month-by-month overview of the relevant data reported to AnaCredit in relation to a fiduciary loan recognised in the balance sheet of the credit institution acting as servicer.
5.4.3 Sources of encumbrance

Definition: Type of transaction in which the exposure is encumbered in accordance with Implementing Regulation (EU) No 680/2014. An asset will be treated as encumbered if it has been pledged or if it is subject to any form of arrangement to secure, collateralise or credit enhance any instrument from which it cannot be freely withdrawn.

This data attribute identifies the type of transaction in which the exposure is encumbered in accordance with Annex XVI and XVII of the ITS. An asset will be treated as encumbered if it has been pledged or if it is subject to any form of arrangement to secure, collateralise or credit enhance any instrument from which it cannot be freely withdrawn.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is not reported for fully derecognised instruments being serviced, so in such cases the value “Not required” is reported. Otherwise, one of the following values is reported.

Example 25: Fiduciary loan recognised in the balance sheet

Credit Institution C extends a bullet loan in the amount of €100,000 to debtor D on 10 December 2018 on behalf of a third party. The loan is a fiduciary loan and C recognises the loan on its balance sheet.

Table 56 Month-by-month overview of data reported for a synthetically securitised loan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Fully derecognised instrument being serviced according to Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount (financial dataset)</th>
<th>Balance sheet recognition (accounting dataset)</th>
<th>Fiduciary instrument (financial dataset)</th>
<th>Carrying amount (accounting dataset)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Entirely recognised</td>
<td>Fiduciary instrument</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/01/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fiduciary instrument</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/02/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fiduciary instrument</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Entirely recognised</td>
<td>Fiduciary instrument</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fiduciary instrument</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/05/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fiduciary instrument</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/06/2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Entirely recognised</td>
<td>Fiduciary instrument</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that certain values are reported only as of quarter-end dates (otherwise a “-” dash is shown).

Please also note that the specific reporting requirements for “fully derecognised instruments being serviced” of Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation do not apply as, although the instrument is serviced by C, it is recognised in the balance sheet of C. Therefore, the data attribute “carrying amount” is reported.
Values

Central bank funding
Definition: Central bank funding (of all types), in accordance with the European Banking Authority’s (EBA) implementing technical standards on asset encumbrance reporting as referred to in Article 99(5) and Article 100 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

This value is reported for instruments used as collateral for all types of liabilities of the reporting institution in which the counterparty of the transaction is a central bank. Assets that have been pre-positioned with central banks are not to be treated as encumbered assets unless the central bank does not allow withdrawal of any asset placed without prior approval.

Exchange traded derivatives
Definition: Exchange traded derivatives in accordance with the EBA’s implementing technical standards on asset encumbrance reporting as referred to in Article 99(5) and Article 100 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

This value is reported for instruments used as collateral for derivatives of the reporting institution that are financial liabilities, insofar as these derivatives are listed or traded on a recognised or designated investment exchange and they entail asset encumbrance for that institution.

Over-the-counter derivatives
Definition: Over-the-counter derivatives in accordance with the EBA’s implementing technical standards on asset encumbrance reporting as referred to in Article 99(5) and Article 100 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

This value is reported for instruments used as collateral for derivatives of the reporting institution that are financial liabilities, insofar as these derivatives are traded over-the-counter and they entail asset encumbrance for that institution.

Deposits – repurchase agreements other than to central banks
Definition: Repurchase agreements other than to central banks in accordance with the EBA’s implementing technical standards on asset encumbrance reporting as referred to in Article 99(5) and Article 100 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

This value is reported for instruments sold under a repurchase agreement of the reporting institution in which the counterparty of the transaction is not a central bank. For tri-party repurchase agreements, the same treatment is followed as for the repurchase agreements insofar as these transactions entail asset encumbrance for the reporting institution.
Deposits other than repurchase agreements

Definition: Deposits other than repurchase agreements in accordance with the EBA's implementing technical standards on asset encumbrance reporting as referred to in Article 99(5) and Article 100 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

This value is reported for instruments used as collateral for deposits other than repurchase agreements of the reporting institution in which the counterparty of the transaction is not a central bank.

Debt securities issued – covered bonds securities

Definition: Covered bonds securities issued in accordance with the EBA's implementing technical standards on asset encumbrance reporting as referred to in Article 99(5) and Article 100 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

This value is reported for instruments which are used as collateral for covered bonds. In cases where the observed agent has retained some of the debt securities issued, either from the issuance date or thereafter as a result of a repurchase, instruments used as collateral for these retained securities are not included under this item.

Debt securities issued – asset-backed securities

Definition: Asset-backed securities (ABSs) issued in accordance with the EBA's implementing technical standards on asset encumbrance reporting as referred to in Article 99(5) and Article 100 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

This value is reported for instruments which are used as collateral for asset-backed securities issued by the reporting institution. In cases where the observed agent has retained some of the debt securities issued, either from the issuance date or thereafter as a result of a repurchase, instruments used as collateral for these retained securities are not included under this item.

Debt securities issued – other than covered bonds and ABSs

Definition: Debt securities issued other than covered bonds and ABSs in accordance with the EBA's implementing technical standards on asset encumbrance reporting as referred to in Article 99(5) and Article 100 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

This value is reported for instruments which are used as collateral for debt securities issued by the reporting institution other than covered bonds and ABSs. In cases where the observed agent has retained some of the debt securities issued, either from the issuance date or thereafter as a result of a repurchase, instruments used as collateral for these retained securities are not included under this item.
Other sources of encumbrance

Definition: Other sources of encumbrance in accordance with the EBA’s implementing technical standards on asset encumbrance reporting as referred to in Article 99(5) and Article 100 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

This value is reported for instruments which are subject to encumbrance and where the source of encumbrance is other than the ones listed above.

No encumbrance

Definition: Instrument which has not been pledged or it is not subject to any form of arrangement to secure, collateralise or credit enhance any instrument from which it cannot be freely withdrawn.

This value is reported for instruments that are not subject to encumbrance at all.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

For instruments which are not subject to encumbrance at all, the value “no encumbrance” is reported.

Otherwise, encumbered instruments, irrespective of whether they are fully or only partially encumbered, are reported to AnaCredit as encumbered by proving the respective source of encumbrance.

As a general principle, instruments which are being placed at facilities that are not used and can be freely withdrawn are not considered encumbered.

If an instrument is subject to multiple sources of encumbrance at the same time, the source of encumbrance associated with the largest part of the instrument is reported.

If this information cannot be determined, any one of the sources is reported.

Instruments pledged that are subject to any restrictions in withdrawal, for instance assets that require prior approval before withdrawal or replacement by other assets, are considered encumbered. The definition is not based on an explicit legal definition, such as title transfer, but rather on economic principles, as the legal frameworks may differ across countries in this respect. The definition is, however, closely linked to contractual conditions.

Instruments pledged in the following transactions are reported as encumbered (please also refer to Annex XVII Part 1.7. of the ITS).

- Instruments used as collateral subject to various collateral agreements, for instance collateral placed for the market value of derivatives transactions.
- Instruments used as collateral for financial guarantees. It should be noted that if there is no impediment to withdrawal of collateral, such as prior approval, for the unused part of guarantee, then only the used amount should be allocated (on a pro-rata allocation).
• Instruments placed as collateral with clearing systems, central counterparty clearing houses and other infrastructure institutions as a condition for access to service. This includes default funds and initial margins.

• Instruments used as collateral for central bank facilities. Pre-positioned assets should not be considered encumbered, unless the central bank does not allow withdrawal of any assets placed without prior approval.

• Underlying instruments from securitisation structures where the financial instruments have not been derecognised from the institution’s financial assets (synthetically securitised instruments).

• Instruments in cover pools used for covered bond issuance. The instruments that are underlying covered bonds count as encumbered, except in certain situations where the institution holds the corresponding covered bonds (“own-issued bonds”).

In the case of pledged portfolios of instruments where only a part of the amount of the portfolio is actually encumbered (in the case of central bank funding, by the withdrawal of funds accordingly), if the asset encumbrance can be established at a single instrument level, then the reporting agents report this information on the granularity of a single instrument. In other cases, it is the entire portfolio that is considered as encumbered, implying that all instruments in the portfolio are flagged as encumbered in the context of AnaCredit. In general, it is acknowledged that an instrument flagged as encumbered in the accounting dataset may be only partially encumbered and therefore the information will be read as “instrument subject to (partial) encumbrance”.

Example 26: Instruments subject to encumbrance

Credit institution C extends a loan of €100,000 to debtor D. Later, C pledges the loan as collateral in order to receive funds from its central bank. The agreement with the central bank states that the loan cannot be transferred to another party as long as the loan extended to C by the central bank has not been repaid. Therefore, when reporting the loan held by C, the data attribute “sources of encumbrance” is reported with the value “central bank funding”.
5.4.4 Accumulated write-offs

Definition: Cumulative amount of principal and past due interest of any debt instrument that the institution is no longer recognising because they are considered uncollectible, independently of the portfolio in which they were included. Write-offs could be caused both by reductions in the carrying amount of financial assets recognised directly in profit or loss and by reductions in the amounts of the allowance accounts for credit losses set off against the carrying amount of financial assets.

Please note that in the context of AnaCredit, write-off and write-down have the same meaning. Moreover, please note that an asset may also be partly written off.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is not reported for fully derecognised instruments being serviced as defined in Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation, so in such cases the value “Not required” is reported. Examples of such instances would include but not be limited to written-off loans and loans derecognised due to being subject to securitisations. Otherwise, an amount in euro as specified in Annex IV of the AnaCredit Regulation is reported.

Values

The amounts of accumulated write-offs are reported in units of euro.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

In cases where the subject has not been subject to a write-off in the period between the inception date of the instrument and the reporting reference date, the value zero is to be reported.

Where there are recoveries after the write-off, the accumulated write-offs amount is updated (decreased) to take account of the recovery, provided that the instrument is subject to reporting to AnaCredit at the respective reporting reference dates after the recovery. For an illustration of how this is reported to AnaCredit, please refer to Example 37.

Please note that fully written-off instruments need not be reported to AnaCredit after the end of the quarter in which the debtor’s commitment amount (for all eligible instruments of the debtor) falls below the threshold of €25,000 (including as a result of a write-off which affects the debtor’s commitment amount), even if the instrument continues to exist after the write-off. For an illustration, please refer to Example 19 in this part of the Manual and to Part I, Section 5.2.2.2.1 of the Manual.

A written-off instrument which ceases to exist after its write-off (e.g. as a result of debt forgiveness or because of a sale of the instrument to a third party) is reported
only until the end of the quarter in which the instrument is written-off and need not be reported thereafter.

The amount of write-offs reported is expected to be independent of the point in time when the write-offs took place.

In the case of write-offs assessed at the level of debtor, the write-off amount is redistributed as appropriate to all individual instruments which were considered when establishing the debtor’s write-off amount, including instruments which are not within the scope of AnaCredit.

5.4.5 Accumulated impairment amount

Definition: The amount of loss allowances that are held against or are allocated to the instrument on the reporting reference date. This data attribute applies to instruments subject to impairment under the applied accounting standard.

Under IFRS, the accumulated impairment relates to the following amounts:

(i) loss allowance at an amount equal to 12-month expected credit losses;
(ii) loss allowance at an amount equal to lifetime expected credit losses.

Under GAAP, the accumulated impairment relates to the following amounts:

(i) loss allowance at an amount equal to general allowances;
(ii) loss allowance at an amount equal to specific allowances.

In the case of instruments subject to impairment, a positive amount of accumulated impairment amount is reported if credit losses are expected for the instrument or if general or specific allowances are associated with the instrument (or a portfolio to which the instrument belongs) in accordance with the respective accounting standard.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is not reported for fully derecognised instruments being serviced as defined in Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation, so in such cases the value “Not required” is reported.

Additionally, if for the data attribute “type of impairment” the value reported is “Non-applicable” (which covers cases where the instrument is “not subject to impairment”; please refer to Section 5.4.6 for details), the accumulated impairment amount is reported as “Non-applicable”.

In all other cases, an amount of loss allowances that is held against or is allocated to the instrument is reported. This includes cases where the amount of loss allowances is 0 (zero).

In particular, the accumulated impairment amount is always reported for financial assets at amortised cost or at fair value through other comprehensive income (according to IFRS 9 classification).
Values

Amounts of accumulated impairment are reported in units of euro.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The data attribute “accumulated impairment amount” is not applicable to instruments classified as “financial assets held for trading”, “non-trading financial assets mandatorily at fair value through profit or loss” or “financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss” if the IFRS accounting portfolios are reported in the data attribute “accounting classification of instruments”. Similarly, the attribute is not applicable to instruments classified as “trading financial assets”, “financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss” and “non-trading non-derivative financial assets measured at fair value through profit or loss” if the national GAAP accounting portfolios are reported in the data attribute “accounting classification of instruments”.

If the data attribute “accumulated impairment amount” is reported, the data attributes “type of impairment” and “impairment assessment method” further specify which type and method (IFRS stages 1, 2 or 3, or, in the case of GAAP, specific or general allowances; individually or collectively assessed) were used in order to calculate the accumulated impairment amount.

Please note that the definition of loss allowance under IFRS describes three stages as referred to in the data attribute “type of impairment”, with the value "loss allowance at an amount equal to lifetime expected credit losses” corresponding to both Stage 2 and Stage 3, as it is a lifetime expected loss that is estimated under IFRS for these two stages.

In the case of instruments for which the impairment is collectively assessed (where the data attribute “impairment assessment method” is reported as “collectively assessed”), the accumulated impairment amount that is determined for the total pool of instruments (to which the instrument is assigned for the purpose of the collective assessment) is allocated as appropriate to the individual instrument on the basis that only collectively assessed impairment amounts that are relevant for AnaCredit eligible instruments within the pool assessed are included in the re-distribution (in order to avoid excessive impairments).

For an illustration of how the data attribute “accumulated impairment amount” is reported, including with regard to its relationship with other accounting dataset data attributes, please consider the following example.
5.4.6 Type of impairment

Definition: Type of impairment.

This data attribute indicates the type of impairment to which the instrument is subject.
Reporting qualification

In the case of fully derecognised instruments being serviced as defined in Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation, the value “Not required” is reported.

In addition, if in accordance with the accounting standard, the instrument is not subject to impairment, the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

Similarly, the value “Non-applicable” is also reported in the case of instruments that are not recognised in the balance sheet.

In all other cases, one of the values as specified below is reported.

Values

Stage 1 (IFRS)

This value is reported if the instrument is not impaired and a loss allowance at an amount equal to 12-month expected credit losses is raised against the instrument under IFRS. Only for instruments subject to impairment under IFRS 9.

Stage 2 (IFRS)

This value is reported if the instrument is not impaired and a loss allowance at an amount equal to lifetime expected credit losses is raised against the instrument under IFRS. Only for instruments subject to impairment under IFRS 9.

Stage 3 (IFRS)

This value is reported if the instrument is credit impaired in accordance with IFRS 9.

General allowances (GAAP)

This value is reported if the instrument is subject to impairment in accordance with an applied accounting standard other than IFRS 9 and no specific loss allowances are raised against the instrument (unimpaired).

Specific allowances (GAAP)

This value is reported if the instrument is subject to impairment in accordance with an applied accounting standard other than IFRS 9 and specific loss allowances are raised, irrespective of whether these allowances are individually or collectively assessed (impaired).

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The value “Non-applicable” is reported both for instruments that are not subject to impairment in accordance with the accounting standard (e.g. for instruments measured or designated at fair value through profit or loss under IFRS) and for instruments that are not recognised in the balance sheet.
Otherwise, if an instrument is subject to impairment on either a collective or individual basis, one of the values listed above, depending on the accounting standard applied, is reported. This also includes cases when the accumulated impairment amount is determined to be zero for a given instrument (or a portfolio of instruments).

5.4.7 Impairment assessment method

Definition: The method by which the impairment is assessed, if the instrument is subject to impairment in accordance with applied accounting standards. Collective and individual methods are distinguished.

This data attribute identifies the impairment assessment method in accordance with which the accumulated impairment amount is established for an instrument, if the instrument is subject to impairment in accordance with the accounting standard.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is reported as either “individually assessed” or “collectively assessed” unless the value reported in the data attribute “accumulated impairment amount” is “Non-applicable”, i.e. where the instrument is not subject to impairment in accordance with an applied accounting standard and the impairment assessment method is reported as “Non-applicable”.

Please note that this data attribute is also applicable in the case of general allowances, in which case the value “collectively assessed” is reported.

This data attribute is reported as “Non-applicable” in the case of fully derecognised instruments being serviced as defined in Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation.

In all other cases, one of the following values is reported.

Values

1. Individually assessed – To be used if the instrument is subject to impairment in accordance with an applied accounting standard and is individually assessed for impairment.

2. Collectively assessed – To be used if the instrument is subject to impairment in accordance with an applied accounting standard and is collectively assessed for impairment by being grouped together with instruments with similar credit risk characteristics.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

An instrument can be impaired on the basis of either an individually made assessment or a collectively made assessment. An instrument cannot be subject at the same time to an individual and a collective assessment of impairment.
For instruments subject to impairment in accordance with the applicable accounting standard, the “accumulated impairment amount” may be either 0 (zero) or a positive value.

Similarly to the data attributes “accumulated impairment amount” and “type of impairment”, the data attribute “impairment assessment method” is reported as “Non-applicable” for instruments that are measured at fair value though profit or loss, i.e. for instruments that are “not subject to impairment” in accordance with the applied accounting standard.

5.4.8 Accumulated changes in fair value due to credit risk

Definition: Accumulated changes in fair value due to credit risk in accordance with Part 2.46 of Annex V to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 680/2014.

The accumulated changes in fair value due to credit risk are calculated by adding all negative and positive changes in fair value due to credit risk that have occurred since recognition of the debt instrument.

In particular, if the fair value of an asset has deteriorated due to changes in creditworthiness (a negative change), it is expected that a positive amount will be reported for the attribute.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is not reported for fully derecognised instruments being serviced as defined in Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation. For such instruments, including those that are not recognised in the balance sheet or which are not measured at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with the accounting standard, the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

In all other cases, an amount of accumulated changes in fair value due to credit risk is reported.

Values

Amounts of accumulated changes in fair value due to credit risk are reported in units of euro.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

According to the AnaCredit Regulation, the data attribute “accumulated changes in fair value due to credit risk” is reported for exposures measured at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with the accounting standard.

This data attribute is intended to reflect changes in fair value solely due to changes in credit risk. However, changes in fair value may be also caused by other factors, whose effects may not be readily (if at all) isolated from the effects of changes in credit risk.
In this respect, if a reporting agent considers a particular factor to have an effect on the creditworthiness, then a change in fair value as a result of this particular factor may be considered when determining this data attribute, assuming that a change in fair value has indeed taken place due to credit risk (e.g. credit rating from an External Credit Assessment Institution).

To this extent, if the observed agent is able to measure the change in fair value due to this particular credit risk event, then that amount is delivered.

By contrast, if changes in credit risk take place in parallel to changes in factors other than credit risk that also affect the fair value but the observed agent is not able to (readily) isolate the effects of such factors from the effects of credit risk on the fair value, the total change in fair value can be considered as a proxy measure of accumulated changes in fair value due to credit risk.

In any case, for an instrument whose creditworthiness (or whose debtor’s creditworthiness) has not changed (i.e. it has neither deteriorated nor improved) compared with the initial recognition, 0 (zero) is reported in this data attribute.

Where the fair value of the instrument at the reporting reference data has decreased compared with the initial recognition due to the deterioration in the creditworthiness, and no other factors unrelated to credit risk affect the fair value, the data attribute is reported as a positive amount.

**Example 28: Accumulated changes in fair value due to credit risk**

Inst#1, granted by an observed agent to a counterparty X, is recognised at fair value through profit and loss (Inst#1). At inception, the fair value amount was €1,000,000.

Six months later, in September 2019, there has been a deterioration in the credit rating of the counterparty (S&P downgrade from AA+ to BBB+), which has been recognised by the observed agent as deterioration in the creditworthiness of the counterparty (and consequently of Inst#1).

On 30 September the fair value of Inst#1 is determined to be €950,000. The drop in fair value, however, was caused not only by the credit risk worsening but also by changes in the interest rate. However, the observed agent is unable to separate out the exact effect of the credit risk worsening from the effect of the interest rate change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Balance sheet recognition</th>
<th>Performing status of the instrument</th>
<th>Accumulated changes in fair value due to credit risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>Entirely recognised</td>
<td>Performing</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>Entirely recognised</td>
<td>Performing</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.4.9 Performing status of the instrument

Definition: The instrument is to be classified on the reporting reference date under one of the following categories: performing or non-performing, in accordance with Implementing Regulation (EU) No 680/2014.

This data attribute identifies the performing status of instruments.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is reported for all instruments subject to AnaCredit reporting. There can be no derogations in accordance with Article 7 of the AnaCredit Regulation in respect of this data attribute.

Values

1. Non-performing – Instruments classified as non-performing in accordance with the ITS.
2. Performing – Instruments which are not non-performing in accordance with the ITS.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

This data attribute serves to identify the performing status of an instrument even if the instrument is not recognised in the balance sheet.

Instruments recognised in the balance sheet in accordance with the accounting standard, including instruments classified in the accounting portfolios “financial assets held for trading” and “trading financial assets”, are reported with the value used for their classification in FINREP Template 18. For further details regarding the classification as non-performing, refer to Part 2 of Annex V to the ITS.

For written-off instruments subject to reporting to AnaCredit, the value “Non-performing” is reported.

The reporting of this data attribute is further illustrated using the following examples of the performing status of the instrument in connection with the default status on a counterparty level and/or an instrument level.

Example 29 illustrates the reporting in the case of a “transaction-based” assessment in line with paragraph 154 of Annex V to the ITS applying the definition of default at the level of an individual instrument in line with Article 178(1) of the CRR.
Example 29: Performing status of the instrument – “transaction-based” assessment

A reporting agent considers a debtor (DEBT#1) with two instruments (INS#1 and INS#2), both classified as retail exposures, and the reporting agent uses the option provided by the last sentence of Article 178(1) of the CRR for calculating the own funds requirement.

The assessment of the performing status is “transaction-based” in line with para. 154 of Part 2 of Annex V to the ITS.

Both instruments were originated on 31 December 2017.

The reporting agent determines that:

- INS#1 is not in default, has been but non-performing since 12 September 2019;
- INS#2 is considered to be in default on 20 September 2019 because it is 90 days past due and is hence non-performing.

Table 61 provides an overview of the data attributes “default status of the instrument” and “performing status of the instrument” as well as the corresponding dates to be reported as of 30 September 2019 in the financial and accounting datasets respectively.

Table 61 Overview of the relevant data attributes relating to the performing and default status of the instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Default status of the instrument</th>
<th>Date of the default status of the instrument</th>
<th>Performing status of the instrument</th>
<th>Date of the performing status of the instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>Not in default</td>
<td>31/12/2017</td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>12/09/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>INS#2</td>
<td>Default because more than 90/180 days past due</td>
<td>20/09/2019</td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>20/09/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that under the assumption that Inst#1 has not been in default since it was originated, the date of the default status should be the inception date of the instrument, which is 31 December 2017 (which means that the instrument’s default status has been “not in default” since the inception date).

Please note that because the reporting agent applies the definition of default at the level of an individual credit facility in line with Article 178(1) of the CRR, the data attribute “default status of the counterparty” does not apply and, in accordance with the explanation provided in Chapter 10 dealing with the counterparty default dataset, the data attributes “default status of the counterparty” and “date of the default status of the counterparty” are not reported in this case.

Example 30 illustrates the reporting in the case of “a debtor-based” assessment in line with paragraph 154 of Part 2 of Annex V to the ITS applying the definition of default at the level of a debtor.
Example 30: Performing status of the instrument – “debtor-based” assessment

A reporting agent considers a debtor (DEBT#1) with two instruments (INS#1 and INS#2), both classified as retail exposures and both originated on 31 December 2017. The reporting agent does not use the option provided by the last sentence of Article 178(1) of the CRR for calculating the own funds requirement.

The assessment of the performing status is “debtor based” in line with para. 154 of Annex V to the ITS.

The reporting agent determines:

- INS#1 is not past due, but because the assessment of the performing status is “debtor based” and INS#2 is more than 90 days past due, INS#1 is non-performing;
- INS#2 is more than 90 days past due on 20 September 2019 and is therefore considered non-performing.

Table 62 provides an overview of the data attributes “default status of the instrument” and “performing status of the instrument” as well as the corresponding dates to be reported as of 30 September 2019 in the financial and accounting datasets, respectively. Please note that because the reporting agent applies the definition of default at the level of a debtor, the data attribute “default status of the instrument” is reported as “Non-applicable”.

Table 62 Overview of the relevant data attributes relating to the performing status of the instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Default status of the instrument</th>
<th>Date of the default status of the instrument</th>
<th>Performing status of the instrument</th>
<th>Date of the performing status of the instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>20/09/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>INS#2</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>20/09/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 63 provides an overview of the data in the counterparty default dataset.

Table 63 Overview of the relevant data attributes relating to the default status of the debtor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Default status of the counterparty</th>
<th>Date of the default status of the counterparty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>DEBT#1</td>
<td>Default because more than 90/180 days past due</td>
<td>20/09/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 31 illustrates the reporting in the case of non-retail exposures, applying the definition of default at the level of a debtor.
Example 32 illustrates the reporting in the case of a “transaction-based” assessment in line with paragraph 154 of Annex V to the ITS applying the definition of default at the level of a debtor.
Example 32: Performing status of the instrument – retail exposures

A reporting agent has a portfolio consisting of one debtor (DEBT#1) with the instruments described below. The reporting agent does not use the option provided by the last sentence of Article 178(1) of the CRR for calculating the own funds requirement. However, the assessment of the performing status is “transaction-based” in line with paragraph 154 of Annex V to the ITS.

Knowing that INS#2 accounts for more than 20% of the gross carrying amount of all on-balance sheet exposures of the debtor, the reporting agent determines the following.

- INS#1: retail exposure not in default; this exposure would be performing if it were evaluated on its own. However, as INS#2 is (a) more than 90 days past due and (b) accounts for more than 20% of the gross carrying amount of all on-balance-sheet exposures of the debtor, para. 155 of Part 2 of Annex V to the ITS applies and INS#1 is non-performing;

- INS#2: exposure secured by mortgages on immovable property in default because more than 90 days past due (since 15 September 2019) and hence non-performing.

Table 66 provides an overview of the data attributes “default status of the instrument” and “performing status of the instrument” as well as the respective dates to be reported as of 30 September 2019 in the financial and accounting datasets respectively. Please note that because the reporting agent applies the definition of default at the level of a debtor, the data attribute “default status of the instrument” is reported as “Non-applicable”.

Table 66 Overview of the relevant data attributes relating to the performing status of the instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Default status of the instrument</th>
<th>Date of the default status of the instrument</th>
<th>Performing status of the instrument</th>
<th>Date of the performing status of the instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>15/09/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>INS#2</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>15/09/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 67 provides an overview of the data in the counterparty default dataset.

Table 67 Overview of the relevant data attributes relating to the default status of the debtors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Default status of the counterparty</th>
<th>Date of the default status of the counterparty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>DEBT#1</td>
<td>Default because more than 90/180 days past due</td>
<td>15/09/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please refer to Chapter 10 below for more details regarding the reporting of the data attributes “date of the default status of the counterparty” and “default status of the counterparty”.

Example 33 illustrates the reporting in the case of a “transaction-based” assessment in line with paragraph 154 of Annex V to the ITS applying the definition of default at the level of an individual credit facility in line with Article 178(1) of the CRR.
Example 33: Performing status of the instrument – retail exposures

A reporting agent has a portfolio consisting of one debtor (DEBT#1) with the instruments described below. The reporting agent uses the option provided by the last sentence of Article 178(1) of the CRR for calculating the own funds requirement. However, the assessment of the performing status is “transaction-based” in line with paragraph 154 of Annex V to the ITS.

Knowing that INS#2 accounts for more than 20% of gross carrying amount of all on-balance sheet exposures of the debtor, the reporting agent determines the following.

- INS#1: retail exposure not in default; this exposure would be performing if it were evaluated on its own. However, as INS#2 is (a) more than 90 days past due and (b) accounts for more than 20% of the gross carrying amount of all on-balance sheet exposures of the debtor, para. 155 of Part 2 of Annex V to the ITS applies and INS#1 is non-performing; in this respect, it does not matter that the assessment of default status is at the level of the instrument and the default status of the instrument is thus “Not in default”, because para. 155 of Part 2 of Annex V to the ITS applies independently of the default status of the instrument.

- INS#2: retail exposure in default because more than 90 days past due (since 20 September 2019) and hence non-performing.

- Ins#1 was originated on 29 March 2018.

Table 68 provides an overview of the data attributes “default status of the instrument” and “performing status of the instrument” as well as the corresponding dates to be reported as of 30 September 2019 in the financial and accounting datasets respectively.

Table 68 Overview of the relevant data attributes relating to the performing and default status of the instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Default status of the instrument</th>
<th>Date of the default status of the instrument</th>
<th>Performing status of the instrument</th>
<th>Date of the performing status of the instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>Not in default</td>
<td>29/03/2018</td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>20/09/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>INS#2</td>
<td>Default because more than 90/180 days past due</td>
<td>20/09/2019</td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>20/09/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that because the reporting agent applies the definition of default at the level of an individual credit facility in line with Article 178(1) of the CRR, the data attribute “default status of the counterparty” in the counterparty default dataset does not apply. Please refer to Chapter 10 below for more details regarding the reporting of the data attributes “date of the default status of the counterparty” and “default status of the counterparty”.

Example 34 illustrates the reporting in the case of a “transaction-based” assessment in line with paragraph 154 of Annex V to the ITS when the definition of default applies at the level of the individual credit facility in line with the first sentence of Article 178(1) of the CRR only for a subset of all (retail) instruments extended towards a debtor, while for the other (non-retail) instruments vis-à-vis the same debtor the definition of default applies at the level of the counterparty.
Example 34: Performing status of the instrument – mixed retail and non-retail exposures

A reporting agent has a portfolio consisting of one debtor (DEBT#1) with four instruments. For the two non-retail instruments, the default status is assessed at the level of the counterparty. For the two retail instruments, the reporting agent uses the option provided by the last sentence of Article 178(1) of the CRR and assesses the default status at the level of the individual credit facility. The assessment of the performing status is “transaction-based” in line with paragraph 154 of Annex V to the ITS. The retail portfolio (INS#1 and INS#2) and the non-retail portfolio (INS#3 and INS#4) are separated for the assessment of the default status. The reporting agent determines:

- INS#1 is a retail exposure, originated on 15 April 2017, which is not in default;
- INS#2 is a retail exposure, originated on 6 October 2018, which is not in default but non-performing as of 10 July 2019;
- INS#3 is a non-retail exposure which is 90 days past due as of 20 August 2019 and accounts for more than 20% of the gross carrying amount of all on-balance sheet exposures of the debtor;
- INS#4 is a non-retail exposure, originated on 29 January 2019, which is not in default.

In the retail portfolio, where the default status is assessed at the level of the individual instrument, INS#1 and INS#2 are not in default at instrument level.

In the non-retail portfolio, the default status is assessed at the level of the whole counterparty, disregarding all the retail exposures. As INS#3 is a material obligation and more than 90 days past due, the default status of DEBT#1 is “default because more than 90/180 days past due”.

As regards the performing status, para. 155 of Part 2 of Annex V to the ITS applies, i.e. all exposures to DEBT#1, including the retail instruments INS#1 and INS#2, are classified as “non-performing” because INS#2 (a) is 90 days past due and (b) accounts for more than 20% of the gross carrying amount of all on-balance sheet exposures to DEBT#1. However, INS#2 was already non-performing prior to the default of INS#3, hence the “date of the performing status of the instrument” of INS#2 remains unchanged. This is shown in Table 69.

Table 69 The performing and default status of the instruments of DEBT#1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Default status of the instrument</th>
<th>Date of the default status of the instrument</th>
<th>Performing status of the instrument</th>
<th>Date of the performing status of the instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>Not in default</td>
<td>15/04/2017</td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>20/08/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>INS#2</td>
<td>Not in default</td>
<td>06/10/2018</td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>10/07/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>INS#3</td>
<td>Non-applicable</td>
<td>Non-applicable</td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>20/08/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>INS#4</td>
<td>Non-applicable</td>
<td>Non-applicable</td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>20/08/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As regards the default status of the counterparty in the counterparty default dataset, DEBT#1 is reported as “default because more than 90/180 days past due” with the date 20 August 2019 (not shown here).
5.4.10 Date of the performing status of the instrument

Definition: The date on which the performing status as reported in “performing status of the instrument” is considered to have been established or changed.

This data attribute indicates when the performing status as reported in the performing status of the instrument has started.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The reporting of the date of the performing status of the instrument is in accordance with the following principles:

- The date of the status given to the instrument at a given reporting reference date is no later than the reporting reference date.
- For instruments that have always been performing since the inception date, it is the inception date of the contract which gives rise to the instrument that is reported as the date of the performing status (meaning that the instrument has been performing since the inception).
- For an instrument that was non-performing up to day \( t \) and then became performing as of \( t + 1 \) day and is still so at a reporting reference date, \( t + 1 \) day is reported.

5.4.11 Provisions associated to off-balance-sheet exposures

Definition: The amount of provisions for off-balance-sheet amounts.

This data attribute provides information on the amount of provisions (if any) associated with off-balance-sheet amounts (of instruments for which there is an off-balance-sheet amount) that can be converted to assets in the balance sheet.
Reporting qualification

This data attribute is not reported for fully derecognised instruments being serviced as defined in Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation, so in such cases the value “Not required” is reported.

Values

Amounts of provisions associated to off-balance-sheet exposures are reported in units of euro.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

Provisions can only arise if an off-balance-sheet amount has been recorded for the reported instrument.

“Provisions associated to off-balance-sheet exposures” may be reported if the credit institution has granted a credit limit to a debtor which cannot be cancelled unconditionally at any time without notice or that does not effectively provide for automatic cancellation due to deterioration in a debtor’s creditworthiness.

If the off-balance-sheet amount for an instrument is reported as “Non-applicable”, the data attribute “provisions associated to off-balance-sheet exposures” for the instrument is also reported as “Non-applicable”. In particular, for the following instruments, the value “Non-applicable” is reported:

- deposits other than reverse repurchase agreements;
- overdrafts on current accounts with no credit limit;
- reverse repurchase agreements;
- trade receivables;
- financial leases;
- other loans, for which the off-balance-sheet amount is reported as “Non-applicable”.

5.4.12 Status of forbearance and renegotiation

Definition: Identification of forborne and renegotiated instruments.

This data attribute is intended to capture all modifications of the instrument’s terms and conditions, irrespective of whether or not the modifications meet the forbearance criteria as laid down in the ITS.

Specifically, this data attribute identifies (i) instruments forborne in accordance with the ITS and (ii) instruments which are not forborne in accordance with the ITS but are otherwise renegotiated according to Regulation (EC) No 290/2009.
The value reported represents the latest status of the instrument since an instrument may pass through multiple statuses over its life.

**Reporting qualification**

This data attribute is reported for all instruments subject to AnaCredit reporting, and there can be no derogations in accordance with Article 7 of the AnaCredit Regulation in respect of this data attribute. In particular, for an instrument reported in the financial dataset, one of the following values is reported.

**Values**

**Forborne: instruments with modified interest rate below market conditions**

This value is reported if forbearance measures apply to instruments with modified terms and conditions in accordance with the ITS, including a modification of interest rate below market conditions. This category is defined in accordance with Annex I, Part 2.VII.28 Regulation (EU) No 1072/2013 (ECB/2013/34). For the definition of the asset category "bad loans", see the table headed “Instrument categories” in Regulation (EU) No 1071/2013 (ECB/2013/33).

**Forborne: instruments with other modified terms and conditions**

This value is reported if forbearance measures apply to instruments with modified terms and conditions, excluding a modification of interest rate below market conditions in accordance with Annex V to the ITS.

**Forborne: totally or partially refinanced debt**

This value is reported if forbearance measures apply to refinanced debt in accordance with Annex V to the ITS. This value is used to identify the new contract (“refinancing debt”) granted as part of a refinancing transaction which qualifies as a forbearance measure, as well as the old re-paid contract that is still outstanding in accordance with Annex V to the ITS.

**Renegotiated instrument without forbearance measures**

This value is reported if the instrument for which the financial conditions have been modified and to which no forbearance measures apply in accordance with Annex V to the ITS.

**Not forborne or renegotiated**

This value is reported if it is considered that, in accordance with the ITS, forbearance measures do not apply to the instrument, and nor has the instrument been otherwise negotiated.
General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The five categories mentioned above describe the applicable statuses in which an instrument can be classified concerning its status of forbearance and renegotiation.

According to Annex V to the ITS, for the purposes of Annex III and IV, Template 19 ("Forborne exposures"), forborne exposures are debt contracts in respect of which forbearance measures have been extended. Forbearance measures according to Annex V to the ITS consist of concessions towards a debtor facing or about to face difficulties in meeting its financial commitments ("financial difficulties"). For details concerning concessions, see Annex V to the ITS.

For details of when an exposure is treated as forborne, see Annex V to the ITS. Moreover, Annex V therein says that a modification involving repayments made by taking possession of collateral is to be treated as a forbearance measure when the modification constitutes a concession.

Please note that an instrument may, over its lifetime, go through different statuses (e.g. from "not forborne or renegotiated" starting at moment $t$ through "renegotiated without forbearance measures" starting at moment $t + x$ to "forborne: totally or partially refinanced debt" starting at moment $t + x + y$). However, this data attribute captures the latest status of the instrument, i.e. the status that still applies at the reporting reference date. This being said, the forborne status can be changed back to "not forborne or renegotiated" in a subsequent reporting period.

Please note that, while the ITS provides specific requirements regarding when a forborne instrument can be again considered as not forborne, it does not impose any conditions as to when a renegotiated instrument without forbearance measures may be considered as "not forborne or renegotiated". In this connection, it is clarified that, unless any forbearance measures apply in accordance with the ITS, once considered renegotiated without forbearance measures an instrument should remain so until its maturity.

Instruments which are not subject to forbearance but whose financial conditions have been otherwise modified excluding prolongations are reported as “renegotiated instrument without forbearance measures”.

In particular, existing contracts that are renegotiated solely for commercial reasons and where no forbearance measures apply in accordance with the ITS should be considered as renegotiated without forbearance measures.

Prolongations of existing contracts that are carried out automatically, i.e. without any active involvement of the debtor, and that do not involve any renegotiation of the terms and conditions of the contract, including but not limited to the interest rate, are not considered as renegotiated. Such a prolongation might have an impact on specific attributes of AnaCredit such as the legal final maturity date. However, it is not generally expected that a renegotiation without a substantial change of the nature of instrument (e.g. simple prolongation of the repayment period) will result in a new assignment of unique identification attributes.
Given the general guidance on renegotiation based on the explanation of the definition, if any material element of a revolving instrument is changed for reasons other than forbearance, then the revolving instrument is reported as “renegotiated instrument without forbearance measures”. For instance, renegotiation of the interest rate (or spread) in response to a lower rate offered by other banks is considered as a sufficient reason to report the instrument as “renegotiated instrument without forbearance measures” for such a qualification, since such a change is considered material.

In the case of instruments refinanced in part or in full where forbearance measures in accordance with the ITS apply (e.g. when a debt restructuring takes place) and the original instrument (or instruments) is effectively redeemed and replaced with a new one (identified by a new contract and instrument identifier), it is clarified that only the new instrument (instruments) is reported (while the original instrument in fact no longer exists and cannot therefore be subject to AnaCredit reporting). However, it is necessary to flag the new instrument(s) as forborne (by reporting the appropriate forborne value) directly from the inception date.

Similarly, as regards renegotiation without forbearance measures (e.g. an increase in the commitment amount or a lower interest rate), it is clarified that these may be carried out in one of the two following broadly defined approaches.

- The original instrument continues to exist but certain conditions of the instrument have been changed (e.g. an increase in the commitment amount or a lower interest rate).
- The original instrument ceases to exist, and a new instrument (with a new instrument identifier) is created instead for the purposes of redemption of the previous instrument. The conditions of the new instrument are different from those of the original one (e.g. the new instrument is associated with a lower interest rate).

Please note that in the context of AnaCredit it is necessary for both the modified instrument in the former case and the newly created instrument in the latter case that the value “renegotiated instrument without forbearance measures” is reported in the data attribute “status of forbearance and renegotiation”; in the latter case, the second loan clearly results from the overall debt being renegotiated.

### 5.4.13 Date of the forbearance and renegotiation status

**Definition:** The date on which a forbearance or renegotiation status as reported under “status of forbearance and renegotiation” is considered to have occurred.

The data attribute “date of forbearance and renegotiation status” is reported as the date on which the respective status as reported in the data attribute “status of forbearance and renegotiation” is considered to have occurred.

In the case of instruments which have not had a change of status since the moment of inception, the date of the status of forbearance and renegotiation equals the date of inception of the instrument.
Reporting qualification

This data attribute is reported for each instrument included in the financial dataset. There can be no derogations in accordance with Article 7 of the AnaCredit Regulation in respect of this data attribute.

Values

This data attribute is reported as a date indicating the day on which the status as reported in the data attribute “status of forbearance and renegotiation” is considered to have occurred.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

For each of the values of the data attribute “status of forbearance and renegotiation”, the date of forbearance and renegotiation status is filled in with the date on which the respective status is considered to have occurred.

In particular, if an instrument is considered to be “forborne: instruments with other modified terms and conditions”, then the date is reported on which the terms and conditions of the instrument were thus modified.

By contrast, if an instrument is no longer considered “forborne: instruments with other modified terms and conditions”, then the date on which the forbearance ceased and the instrument was considered to be “not forborne or renegotiated” is reported.

Moreover, instruments which have not been considered to have been forborne or otherwise renegotiated at any moment in time since they have been originated until the reporting reference date are reported as “not forborne or renegotiated”, and the inception date of the instrument is reported as the date of forbearance and renegotiation status as of the reporting reference date.

If an instrument is renegotiated without forbearance measures (e.g. the interest rate is lowered purely for commercial reasons) on date \( t \) prior to the reporting reference date, \( t \) is reported as the date of the status of forbearance and renegotiation. However, if at a later moment \( t + x \), the instrument is once again renegotiated without forbearance measures (e.g. the credit line is increased purely for commercial reasons), \( t + x \) is reported as the date of the status of forbearance and renegotiation at the first reporting reference date after the second renegotiation.
The reporting of the data attributes “status of forbearance and renegotiation” and “date of the forbearance and renegotiation status” is illustrated using the following example.

**Example 35: Status of forbearance or renegotiation and the corresponding date**

Instrument Ins#1 has the inception date 4 February 2017. The instrument is renegotiated without forbearance measures on 15 March 2018 (for the first time since its origination). Thereafter, the instrument is forborne with a modified interest rate below market conditions. It becomes non-performing on 10 August 2018, and the status remains unchanged for the entire probationary period of two years. The criteria for the forbearance classification in accordance with Annex V to the ITS are fulfilled on 1 October 2020. Ultimately, the instrument is not considered to be forborne any longer. The instrument is subject to reporting to AnaCredit over the entire time span considered. The table below presents a quarter-by-quarter overview of the relevant data reported to AnaCredit between 31 March 2018 and 31 December 2020.

**Table 70 Overview of data relating to the status of forbearance and renegotiation and to the performing status of an instrument**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Status of forbearance and renegotiation</th>
<th>Date of the status of forbearance and renegotiation</th>
<th>Performing status of the instrument</th>
<th>Date of the performing status of the instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2018</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>Renegotiated instrument without forbearance measures</td>
<td>15/03/2018</td>
<td>Performing</td>
<td>04/02/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/06/2018</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>Renegotiated instrument without forbearance measures</td>
<td>15/03/2018</td>
<td>Performing</td>
<td>04/02/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>Forborne: instruments with modified interest rate below market conditions</td>
<td>10/08/2018</td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>10/08/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>Forborne: instruments with modified interest rate below market conditions</td>
<td>10/08/2018</td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>10/08/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2020</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>Forborne: instruments with modified interest rate below market conditions</td>
<td>10/08/2018</td>
<td>Performing</td>
<td>30/09/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2020</td>
<td>INS#1</td>
<td>Not forborne or renegotiated</td>
<td>01/10/2020</td>
<td>Performing</td>
<td>30/09/2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a result, each of the statuses of the data attribute “status of forbearance and renegotiation categories”, including the category “renegotiated instrument without forbearance measures”, along with the respective date of forbearance and renegotiation status, is carried over from one reporting reference date to another until a new status, such as the status “not forborne or renegotiated”, is considered to occur.

Please note that in the period between 31 December 2018 and 30 September 2020 no changes take place.

**5.4.14 Cumulative recoveries since default**

**Definition:** The total amount recovered since the date of default.

This data attribute indicates the amount of recoveries received in relation to a defaulted instrument from the start of the latest default of the instrument until the reporting reference date.
It is clarified that the term “default” in the definition of the data attribute refers to default in accordance with Article 178 of the CRR.

**Reporting qualification**

There can be no derogations in respect of this data attribute.

The data attribute “cumulative recoveries since default” captures the amount of recoveries that have been received during the latest default period, i.e. from the start of the default until the end of the default, and only during that period (i.e. the accumulation period).

Consequently, a value is reported if the instrument has been in default prior to a reporting reference date. Otherwise, “Non-applicable” is to be reported.

**Values**

Unless “Non-applicable” is reported, amounts of cumulative recoveries since default are reported in euro. Foreign currency amounts are converted into euro at the respective ECB euro foreign exchange rates (i.e. the mid-rate) on the reporting reference date.

The amounts are reported as units of euro.

**General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples**

The following specific rules apply in relation to this data attribute.

- If an instrument is in default in accordance with Article 178 of the CRR at a reporting reference date, then all recoveries of the principal of the instrument (i.e. all inflows) since the start of the default until the reporting reference date are added up and reported as of the reporting reference date.

- Otherwise, if an instrument is no longer in default at a reporting reference date, then all recoveries (i.e. all inflows irrespective of the source of recoveries) since the start of the default until the end of the default are added up and reported as of the reporting reference date.

- If, in accordance with Article 178 of the CRR, the definition of default is only applied at the level of a counterparty rather than at the level of an individual instrument, the accumulation period for the instrument starts when the counterparty default is considered to have occurred.

- In the case of a mixed counterparty/instrument level of the assessment of the default status, the date of the default status of the counterparty is only relevant for those instruments whose default status is assessed at the level of the counterparty and not for those (retail) instruments to which the last sentence of Article 178(1) of the CRR applies.

- For the purposes of calculating the cumulative recoveries after default, all recoveries are taken into account irrespective of their source; for example, the data attribute takes into account any voluntary cash repayments, any proceeds from liquidation of collateral, amounts received as a result of calling guarantees, etc. Moreover, recoveries from any protection securing the instrument are included in this data attribute.
• However, the cumulative recoveries after default recoveries should be reported net of any recovery costs – for example, if a cost is incurred when realising proceeds from a collateral (e.g. liquidating a real estate property which serves as protection), only the proceeds as reduced by the costs of the liquidation process are reported in this data attribute.

• Any carrying-over of recoveries from one default to another default is not reported; every time a new default starts, the cumulative recoveries since default is set to zero and the accumulation starts anew.

Conversely, “Non-applicable” is to be reported in relation to an instrument if:

• the instrument has never been in default since the inception, on condition that the definition of default is applied in accordance with the CRR at the level of an instrument;

• any debtor to the instrument has never been in default for the entirety of the business relationship with the observed agent, on condition that the definition of default is applied at the level of a counterparty.

Please note that the start of a default to be considered in the calculation of this data attribute does not necessarily coincide with the date of the default status (of the instrument or the counterparty), which may be updated after the default started.

The cumulative recoveries since default are also reported in the period when there is no longer default. This aim of this reporting is in particular to capture recoveries that were received just before the moment at which the instrument was considered not in default, which otherwise would not be captured if the amount recovered was required to be set to 0 during periods of non-default.

The costs incurred in obtaining the recovery are considered when determining the amount of recoveries. Additionally the recoveries which have been collected are then considered in the outstanding nominal amount once the client has recovered.
For an illustration of how the recoveries are accumulated across multiple defaults of the same instrument, please consider the following example.

**Example 36: Cumulative recoveries since default through multiple defaults**

A bullet loan (Inst#1) with an inception date 4 February 2017 is considered to be in default as of 10 August 2018 because the debtor is deemed unlikely to pay.

By 31 December 2018, of the total outstanding nominal amount of €100,000 since inception, an amount of €20,000 has been recovered at a recovery cost of €5000 (€2,000 legal costs and €3,000 all other expenses incurred due to the recovery process).

On 10 July 2019, the debtor recovers and the instrument is considered not in default according to the debtor-level definition of default as of 15 July 2019.

Subsequently, as of 30 September 2020 the debtor is deemed unlikely to pay again and is consequently considered to be in default according to the definition of the default at debtor level.

Table 71 provides an illustration of how the relevant attributes are reported at selected reporting reference dates after March 2018.

### Table 71 Overview of data relating to the cumulative recoveries since default

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Cumulative recoveries since default</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Performing status of the instrument</th>
<th>Default status of the counterparty</th>
<th>Date of the default status of the counterparty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2018</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Performing</td>
<td>Not in default</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>Default because unlikely to pay</td>
<td>10/08/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>85,000.00</td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>Default because unlikely to pay</td>
<td>10/08/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>85,000.00</td>
<td>Performing</td>
<td>Not in default</td>
<td>15/07/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2019</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>85,000.00</td>
<td>Performing</td>
<td>Not in default</td>
<td>15/07/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2020</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>85,000.00</td>
<td>Performing</td>
<td>Not in default</td>
<td>15/07/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/06/2020</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>85,000.00</td>
<td>Performing</td>
<td>Not in default</td>
<td>15/07/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2020</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>85,000.00</td>
<td>Non-performing</td>
<td>Default because unlikely to pay</td>
<td>30/09/2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that no changes occur in the period from September 2019 to September 2020.

Please also note that with the start of the second default, the cumulative recoveries are reset to 0 and the accumulation starts anew. In other words, the amount which was already collected during the first default period is excluded in the second default.

Please note that the amount recovered during the first default period is also reported when the debtor no longer in default (as of 30 September 2019).

Please note that for the purposes of calculating the cumulative recoveries, from the moment of default all recoveries are taken into account irrespective of their source; for example, this data attribute takes into account any voluntary cash repayments, any proceeds from liquidating of a collateral, amounts received as a result of calling guarantees, proceeds from selling the instrument (please refer to Section 4.4.3 for more details) etc., provided that they were received during the period of default.
Otherwise, the amount of recoveries since the last default prior to the reporting reference date is reported.

The data attribute “cumulative recoveries since default” is always recorded at instrument level and refers either to the default of the instrument (if applied at this level) or the default of the counterparty (if default is not applied at the level of instrument).

Please note also that the date of the default status of the instrument/counterparty may change after the moment when the default actually started (e.g. from unlikely to pay to more than 90 days past due or both) and so may the corresponding date of the default status. Nevertheless, the data attribute “cumulative recoveries since default” always takes the initial moment of default as the starting point, i.e. this attribute always refers to cumulative recoveries over the entire period during which the instrument/counterparty has been in default and not simply to the period since the latest change in the default status. This is especially relevant in cases where observed agents update the default status over the duration of the same default.

In accordance with Section 3.1.6, an instrument is reported at least until the end of the quarter in which the debtor’s commitment amount falls below the reporting threshold of €25,000 following a write-off.

In particular, defaulted instruments that cease to exist because of a full write-off (or a partial repayment and a write-off) are reported at least until the end of the quarter in which the instrument ceases to exist. Consequently, the amount of recoveries since default is reported as of the quarter-end reporting reference date (even if the instrument is not in default at the last calendar day of the quarter during which the repayment has taken place).

If an instrument (or, where applicable, the debtor of an instrument) is in default at the reporting reference date, then an amount of recoveries received in the period since the start of the default is reported. If no recoveries have been received since the start of the default, zero is reported.

Any amounts received after the default period ends are considered regular repayments and are thus not be accounted for in the cumulative recoveries since default.

Please note that the amounts of recoveries since default are not accumulated over multiple defaults of one and the same instrument (i.e. in cases where an instrument defaults, recovers and then defaults again). Accordingly, no carrying-over of recoveries from one default to another takes place. Instead, every time a new default starts, the cumulative recoveries since default is set to zero and the accumulation starts anew.

Please note that recoveries may be received both before and after a (partial or full) write-off. Both are taken into account when calculating the cumulative recoveries.
For an illustration of how this data attribute is reported when there are partial write-offs, please consider the following example.

**Example 37: Cumulative recoveries in the case of partial write-offs**

An instrument (Inst#1) with an outstanding nominal amount of €100,000 has been in default since 1 June 2017. No recoveries have been received since then, although the observed agent holding the instrument expects to recover €30,000. In this connection, on 15 September 2018 a decision is taken to partially write off the instrument (no write-offs made beforehand), with an amount of €70,000 being written off. Thereafter, in the course of December 2018 an amount of €50,000 is recovered. Table 72 presents the reporting as of 30 September and 31 December 2018, taking into account the proceeds received after the write-off.

Table 72 Cumulative recoveries since default and accumulated write-offs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Cumulative recoveries since default</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Accumulated write-offs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
<td>70,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that at 30 September the cumulative recoveries are 0, as no amount has been recovered yet. The outstanding nominal amount is €30,000 as a write-off was made in the amount of €70,000 as reflected in the data attribute “accumulated write-offs”.

However, by 31 December an amount of €50,000 has been recovered (as reflected in the cumulative recoveries since default). The amount recovered has led to a full repayment of the outstanding nominal amount of €30,000 and a partial reversal of the write-off made in September. Accordingly, the accumulated write-offs are lowered to €50,000 and the outstanding nominal amount set to €0.

Please note that the nominal outstanding amount typically decreases by the recovered amounts.

The cumulative recoveries are reported taking into consideration any costs incurred in the recovery process (legal, etc.). If the institution has multiple instruments with the counterparty and takes into account the amounts collected at the debtor level, then it is expected that the total amount collected will be allocated in a suitable way among different instruments of the debtor, thereby excluding those which are not considered as defaulted (and/or out of the scope of AnaCredit).

5.4.15 **Prudential portfolio**


This data attribute identifies instruments in the trading book. The value of this attribute depends on the actual classification of the instrument in the trading or in the non-trading book for capital ratio purposes and not on the type of instrument or the accounting classification of the instrument.
Reporting qualification

This data attribute is not reported for fully derecognised instruments being serviced as defined in Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation, so in such cases the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

In addition, for instruments which are not recognised in the balance sheet of the observed agent’s legal entity in accordance with the accounting standard, the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

In all other cases, one of the two values as specified below is reported.

Values


General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

Instruments in the trading book are all financial instruments held by the observed agent either with trading intent or in order to hedge positions held with trading intent.

Generally, instruments classified as “financial assets held for trading” or “trading financial assets” in accordance with the accounting standard will be held in the trading book. However, please note that there may be exceptions, particularly with regard to national GAAP (e.g. Part 2.128 (c) of Annex V to the ITS in the case of financial derivatives).

5.4.16 Carrying amount

Definition: The carrying amount in accordance with Annex V to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 680/2014. The carrying amount means the amount to be reported on the asset side of the balance sheet. The carrying amount of financial assets includes accrued interest.

This data attribute captures the net carrying amount of assets as reported in accordance with the applied accounting standard.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is not reported for fully derecognised instruments being serviced as defined in Annex II of the AnaCredit Regulation, so in such cases the value “Not required” is reported.

Otherwise, if an instrument is not recognised in the balance sheet of the observed agent’s legal entity in accordance with the accounting standard, the value “Non-applicable” is reported.
In all other cases, the net carrying amount of the instrument is reported.

**Values**

The carrying amount is reported in units of euro.

**General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples**

If the reporting agent is subject to Regulation (EU) 2015/534 (ECB/2015/13) it is expected that the carrying amount is reported as the amount used to fulfil the requirements of this Regulation.

The carrying amount is the net carrying amount for instruments measured at amortised cost and the fair value for instruments measured at fair value through profit and loss or other comprehensive income.

The amount of accrued interest as included in carrying amount is defined in accordance with the data attribute “accrued interest” in the financial dataset.
6 Counterparty-instrument data

6.1 General aspects

Pursuant to the AnaCredit Regulation, reporting agents report relevant data for all instruments satisfying the conditions defined in Articles 1, 4 and 5.

The counterparty-instrument dataset identifies counterparties that take on certain roles vis-à-vis an instrument reported in the instrument dataset.

Specifically, for every instrument reported to AnaCredit in the instrument dataset, all counterparties that, from the perspective of the observed agent, take any of the following roles in relation to the instrument are reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset:

- the creditor to the instrument;
- the servicer to the instrument;
- the debtor to the instrument.

In addition, if the instrument reported to AnaCredit is subject to a securitisation transaction within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 1071/2013 (ECB/2013/33), the transferor of the instrument to the securitisation structure is also be reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset:

- the originator (the transferor) of the instrument.

In AnaCredit, the existence of one or more creditors and debtors to an instrument implies that the instrument bears credit risk. Only the roles of creditors, debtors, servicers and, if relevant, originators are subject to reporting in the counterparty-instrument dataset.

Conversely, counterparties that do not take on any of the three (or four, if relevant) roles referred to above are not reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset. This means that counterparties whose activity as (i) protection providers, (ii) head office undertakings (of a foreign branch acting as a debtor or protection provider), (iii) immediate parent undertakings (of a debtor or protection provider) and (iv) ultimate parent undertakings (of a debtor or protection provider) that are recorded in the protection received dataset and/or the counterparty reference dataset are not recorded in the counterparty-instrument dataset.
Consequently, for each instrument recorded in the instrument dataset, the counterparty-instrument dataset contains information about which counterparties constitute the creditor, the servicer, the debtor and, if relevant, the originator vis-à-vis the instrument, by recording the counterparty identifier of the respective counterparty.

In other words, in line with the AnaCredit data model, for each instrument recorded in the instrument dataset there are in principle at least three records reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset. This applies for any instrument reported to AnaCredit, including intracompany loans, written-off loans, or fiduciary instruments.

### 6.1.1 All counterparties are reported

Any counterparty acting as creditor, servicer, debtor or originator vis-à-vis an instrument is subject to reporting.

In accordance with point 4.2 of Template 1 of Annex I to the AnaCredit Regulation, the counterparty-instrument data describe the role of all counterparties to each instrument (though the reporting of natural persons is waived). Consequently, any counterparty, other than a natural person, taking on any of the four roles is subject to reporting in this dataset.

In particular, any debtor (other than a natural person) which is jointly liable for an instrument that is reported to AnaCredit is recorded in the counterparty-instrument dataset, even though the debtor’s commitment amount is below the reporting threshold of €25,000.

For an illustration of the obligation, please consider the following example.
Example 38: Reporting of debtors vis-à-vis an instrument reportable to AnaCredit

As of 30 September 2018, observed agent OA#1 extends:

- loan INST#1 (contract CNT#1) with the outstanding nominal amount of €40,000 to debtor DBTR#B; and
- loan INST#04 (contract CTRT#2), with the outstanding nominal amount of €15,000, where DBTR#B and OBLGR#A are joint liability, so that DBTR#B is liable for €10,000 while OBLGR#A is liable for €5,000;
- loan INST#9 (contract CNT#3), with the outstanding nominal amount of €7,000 to debtor OBLGR#A.

Both OBLGR#A and DBTR#B are legal entities and neither has other instruments vis-à-vis OA#1. All the loans have one of the types of instrument referred to in Article 1(23) of the AnaCredit Regulation and by definition do not have the off-balance-sheet amount (reported as “Non-applicable”).

The observed agent determines, in accordance with Article 5 of the AnaCredit Regulation, that:

- the commitment amount of debtor OBLGR#A is €22,000, which is the sum of the outstanding nominal amounts of INST#4 (€15,000) and INST#9 (€7,000);
- the commitment amount of debtor DBTR#B is €55,000, which is the sum of the outstanding nominal amounts of the instrument in which DBTR#B acts as debtor, i.e. INST#1 and INST#4.

Consequently, the observed agent establishes that both INST#1 and INST#04 are subject to AnaCredit reporting because the debtor’s commitment amount exceeds the reporting threshold of €25,000, whereas INST#9 is not subject to reporting as the debtor’s commitment amount does not reach the reporting threshold. This is reflected accordingly in the financial dataset as of the reporting reference date (Table 73).

Table 73 Indication of the financial dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Off-balance-sheet amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>OA#1</td>
<td>CNT#1</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>OA#1</td>
<td>CTRT#2</td>
<td>INST#04</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the instruments subject to reporting, the observed agent reports all the counterparties involved in the instruments in the counterparty-instrument dataset, and in particular all the debtors, irrespective of whether or not the commitment amount of all the debtors reaches the reporting threshold. This is presented in Table 74.

Table 74 Indication of the counterparty-instrument dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>OA#1</td>
<td>CNT#1</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>OA#1</td>
<td>Creditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>OA#1</td>
<td>CNT#1</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>OA#1</td>
<td>Servicer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>OA#1</td>
<td>CNT#1</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>DBTR#B</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>OA#1</td>
<td>CTRT#2</td>
<td>INST#4</td>
<td>OA#1</td>
<td>Creditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>OA#1</td>
<td>CTRT#2</td>
<td>INST#4</td>
<td>OA#1</td>
<td>Servicer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>OA#1</td>
<td>CTRT#2</td>
<td>INST#4</td>
<td>DBTR#B</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>OA#1</td>
<td>CTRT#2</td>
<td>INST#4</td>
<td>OBLGR#A</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please note that the reason for reporting INST#04 is that all instruments vis-à-vis OA#1 in which debtor DBTR#B is involved have to be reported, i.e. both INST#1 and INST#4. If this is the case, DBTR#B is reported twice, once in relation to INST#1 and once in relation to INST#04. Furthermore, since INST#4 is reported, OBLGR#A also has to be reported in relation to the instrument, even though the commitment amount of this (joint) debtor does not reach the reporting threshold. However, since the commitment amount of debtor OBLGR#A is less than €25,000, INST#9 is not subject to reporting.

6.1.2 Multiple creditors

Plurality of creditors and transferred instruments

All creditors are identified in the counterparty-instrument dataset, irrespective of whether it is the observed agent’s activity as creditor or its activity as servicer being reported to AnaCredit. This means that the observed agent may not be the only creditor identified in relation to an instrument reported to AnaCredit.

The case of multiple creditors holding (parts of) one and the same instrument exists primarily in relation to partially transferred loans, where, after the economic ownership of an instrument has been partially transferred to a third party, there may be several creditors.

The definition of transferred instruments goes beyond instruments subject to a securitisation and also includes instruments that have otherwise been transferred in terms of the collection of principal and interest from the debtor.

The general principle is that a counterparty acquiring the economic ownership of transferred instruments originally held by the observed agents becomes a creditor and is recorded in the counterparty-instrument dataset.

Accordingly, an observed agent who holds an instrument is a creditor; if later on the observed agent transfers the instrument to a third party, the observed agent ceases to be the creditor to the instrument and the third party becomes a creditor from the transfer moment onwards. Alternatively, if the transfer is partial, the observed agent and the third party are both creditors to the instrument.

In the special case of an instrument transferred to more than one creditor, the creditors are captured as stated above via the counterparty-instrument dataset.

In cases where the reporting agent splits such an instrument into separate instruments, then the information delivered to AnaCredit is expected to reflect that view. This means that the instruments would in that case be delivered as split and mapped to their respective creditors and servicers following the general reporting principles.

Conversely, an observed agent who purchases an instrument giving rise to credit risk becomes a creditor to the instrument.
Any counterparty that assumes the credit risk of an instrument through the use of credit derivatives, guarantees or any similar mechanism, but is not the economic owner of the instrument, is not a creditor and is not recorded in the counterparty-instrument dataset as such. Consequently, if an observed agent holding an instrument transfers the risk associated with an instrument to a third party through the use of a guarantee, the observed agent nonetheless continues to be the creditor to the instrument while the third party becomes a protection provider to the instrument.

6.1.3 Plurality of debtors

In the context of AnaCredit, debtors are fully or partially liable debtors when they are united in making repayments arising under one and the same instrument under the same contract.

(a) Fully liable debtors

(i) The creditor may claim repayments from any one of the fully liable debtors until the full amount has been repaid.

(ii) If one fully liable debtor has repaid the amount in full or in part, the liability of the other fully liable debtor(s) to the creditor is discharged to the extent of such repayment.

(b) Partially liable debtors

(i) The creditor may claim from any partially liable debtor only that part of the repayment for which the debtor is liable and the partially liable debtor is bound to repay only to that extent.

(ii) Partially liable debtors carry separate liabilities vis-à-vis the creditor for their own shares. They may also be liable in equal shares if the contract or the law provides so.

In the case of a plurality of debtors, the instrument is reported as one instrument in the instrument dataset and multiple records are entered in the counterparty-instrument dataset, one for each existing debtor.

Note that in the case of fully liable debtors, which are frequently encountered in practice, the creditor may claim the whole amount from any of the debtors without having to involve all the debtors. In contrast, there can also be cases of partial but not fully liable debtors. In terms of AnaCredit, the treatment of such cases does not significantly differ from the general principle already presented, where for each joint debtor, the joint liability amount is determined on the basis of the actual amount the debtors are liable for.

Please refer to Part I of the Manual for a general description of a plurality of debtors.
By contrast, in the case of partially liable debtors, the creditor may claim from each partially liable debtor only that part of the repayment for which the debtor is liable. This means that the partially liable debtors carry separate liabilities vis-à-vis the creditor for their own shares. A lack of payment by one debtor does not affect the obligations of the other partially liable debtors.

**Instruments with a plurality of debtors versus instruments with debtors and guarantors**

A question arises as to the difference between (i) a multi-debtor instrument where debtors are fully (or partially) liable and (ii) a single debtor instrument with a guarantor that is also liable for the full (or part of the) amount if the debtor does not pay.

Although the answer depends on how the obligations of the parties are defined exactly vis-à-vis the creditor (as a guarantor does not mean the same as a debtor), in certain situations there appears to be no clear boundary because the two types of instruments are identical from an economic perspective.

Generally, in case (ii) above, the fact that a guarantee is provided by the one counterparty to the other suggests that there is a certain relationship between these counterparties (e.g. economic interconnectedness). Although the counterparties may be slightly different from the creditor’s point of view, both have to have sufficient creditworthiness.

Briefly, in AnaCredit both types of instruments are collected, i.e.:

(a) instruments where there are debtors fully liable vis-à-vis the creditor, and where both debtors have the unconditional obligation to make repayments arising under the instrument;

(b) instruments where there is a debtor and a guarantor, and where the debtor has the obligation to make payments arising under the instrument in the first place, whereas the protection provider grants protection against the event of the debtor’s failure to meet its obligation.

In any case, the information in AnaCredit makes it possible to identify what kind of instruments they are and to analyse them jointly or separately, depending on the purpose.

**Instruments granted to civil-law partnerships**

Depending on the national law, civil-law partnerships which are a party to an instrument may or may not meet the definition of legal entity as defined in Article 1(5) of the AnaCredit Regulation.

In this context, it is clarified that a civil-law partnership which, according to the national law, is considered to be a legal entity in the sense of Article 1(5) referred to above is reported to AnaCredit as one counterparty.
On the other hand, instruments granted to civil-law partnerships which do not qualify as legal entities according to the national law are considered a group of joint debtors, with only those members which are legal entities in accordance with Article 1(5) being subject to AnaCredit reporting. Furthermore, such an instrument is subject to AnaCredit reporting only if at least one of the members is a legal entity.

Please refer to Section 12.4.13 in Chapter 12 dealing specifically with legal forms which qualify as a legal entity in the context of AnaCredit.

For an illustration of how civil-law partnerships are considered in the context of AnaCredit, please consider the following examples.

**Example 39: Civil-law partnership meets the definition of a legal entity**

A loan is extended to a partnership under civil law. In the country where the partnership is registered, the partnership is a legal entity in accordance with Article 1(5) of the AnaCredit Regulation.

In this case, the loan is considered an instrument where the partnership as a whole is the debtor. In this connection, the counterparty reference data relating to the partnership is reported.

The individual members of the partnership are not recognised at all as counterparties, and are not reported to AnaCredit, regardless of whether or not they are legal entities. In particular, only one debtor is reported in the joint liabilities dataset, namely the partnership itself. As none of the partners is considered to be a counterparty to the instrument, no counterparty reference data are therefore required for any of them.

In the following case, a partnership under civil law between a legal entity and a natural person is considered.
6.1.4 No natural persons

In accordance with Article 4.1(b) of the AnaCredit Regulation, reporting agents report only instruments "where at least one debtor is a legal entity or is part of a legal entity as defined in Article 1(5)". This article establishes that a 'legal entity' means any entity which, under the national law to which it is subject, can acquire legal rights and obligations." Additionally, points 1.6 and 4.3 of Annex I indicate that "in the case of natural persons being affiliated with instruments reported to AnaCredit, no record for the natural persons is reported."

Consequently, in the case of natural persons being affiliated with instruments reported to AnaCredit, no record for the natural persons is recorded in the counterparty-instrument dataset. However, owing to the fact that no natural persons are reported to AnaCredit, there is no data record for partner NP#1 (and hence no counterparty reference data for this partner).

By contrast, a data record is reported for the legal entity (partner LgEty#A) in the counterparty-instrument dataset (and hence the counterparty reference data for this partner is reported).

---

Example 40: Civil-law partnership does not meet the definition of a legal entity

A loan is extended to a partnership under civil law. The partnership is made between a natural person (NP#1) and a legal entity (LgEty#A). In the country where the partnership is registered, the partnership is not a legal entity in accordance with Article 1(5) of the AnaCredit Regulation.

In this case, the loan is considered an instrument where the partners, rather than the partnership, are joint debtor.

Since one of the partners is a legal entity, the instrument is subject to AnaCredit reporting in accordance with Article 4(1)(b). Consequently, the individual joint debtors are reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset. However, owing to the fact that no natural persons are reported to AnaCredit, there is no data record for partner NP#1 (and hence no counterparty reference data for this partner).

By contrast, a data record is reported for the legal entity (partner LgEty#A) in the counterparty-instrument dataset (and hence the counterparty reference data for this partner is reported).
Data reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset in cases where a natural person is acting as a counterparty to the instrument

In accordance with the general rule that no data relating to natural persons are reported to AnaCredit, no records are entered in the counterparty-instrument dataset for counterparties that are natural persons. This in particular concerns natural persons who are debtors to instruments reported in the instrument dataset, which is the most likely situation to be actually encountered when reporting to AnaCredit.

In principle, an instrument in which a natural person is a debtor can be reported to AnaCredit only under a specific situation, i.e. when a plurality of debtors exists and a natural person is one of the (partial or joint) debtors where at least one other debtor is not a natural person.
For an illustration of how the reporting of the counterparty-instrument dataset is done in relation to an instrument reported in the instrument dataset where one of the debtors is a natural person, please consider the following example.

Example 41: Reporting the counterparty-instrument dataset in the case of natural persons as co-borrowers

In this example, a joint liability exists, and one of the debtors is a natural person. An instrument with instrument identifier “MixLbltyIns” arises under a contract with contract identifier “C#MixLblty”. The nominal outstanding amount of €100,000 is reported in the financial dataset for the instrument as of 31 March 2019. The instrument is held and serviced solely by Counterparty Bank#1 with counterparty identifier “Cpty#A”.

Counterparty A with counterparty identifier “LegalEntity#1” and counterparty B with counterparty identifier “Person#B” are the only debtors to the instrument and, as stipulated by the contract, both counterparties are jointly liable for the total outstanding amount. Counterparty A is a legal entity while Counterparty B is a natural person.

In this situation, the actual number of debtors is two. However, the debtor who is a natural person is not recorded in the counterparty-instrument dataset. Thus, only the debtor that is not a natural person is recorded in the dataset. The other counterparties which as creditor and servicer roles are also recorded in the dataset accordingly.

Note that it is not possible to read from the information reported solely in the counterparty-instrument dataset that the actual number of debtors in this instrument is (at least) two. However, this can be concluded from the fact that in such a situation a record is reported in the (joint) liability dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty role</th>
<th>Subject to reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>CPTY#A</td>
<td>C#MIXLBLTY</td>
<td>MIXLBLTYINS</td>
<td>Creditor</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>CPTY#A</td>
<td>C#MIXLBLTY</td>
<td>MIXLBLTYINS</td>
<td>Servicer</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>LEGALENTITY#1</td>
<td>C#MIXLBLTY</td>
<td>MIXLBLTYINS</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>PERSON#B</td>
<td>C#MIXLBLTY</td>
<td>MIXLBLTYINS</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note also that the last record in the dataset which relates to the instrument concerned is not reported to AnaCredit. In fact, natural persons would not even be assigned a counterparty identifier. For illustration purposes, the record is shown in the dataset, but it should be expressly noted that this as a record which is not subject to reporting.

6.2 Level of granularity

The level of granularity for the counterparty-instrument data is the “counterparty-instrument” combination and each record is uniquely identified by the combination of the following data attributes:

- reporting agent identifier;
- observed agent identifier;
- counterparty identifier;
- contract identifier;
- instrument identifier;
- counterparty role.
The counterparty-instrument dataset is compiled at the level of an observed agent, i.e. it takes the perspective of an observed agent whose data are reported by the reporting agent.

The counterparty identifier refers to a counterparty that takes on any of the roles mentioned in Section 6.1 in relation to an instrument.

The contract identifier and the instrument identifier both uniquely identify the instrument subject to reporting at the level of the observed agent.

The counterparty role is the role a counterparty takes on in relation to the instrument.

### 6.3 Reporting frequency

In accordance with the general reporting principle regarding datasets reported on-change (cf. Part I, Section 6.3.2, “Reporting methods, frequencies and timeliness”), the information contained in the counterparty-instrument dataset is considered valid as of the reporting reference date to which it refers.

This means that the data accurately describes a relevant situation at a given reporting reference date. In this connection, if at a given reporting reference date, e.g. 31 March 2019, counterparty Bank A with counterparty identifier “Cpty#A” is the creditor and the servicer to an instrument with instrument identifier “Inst#123”, arising under contract with a contract identifier “Cntrct#A#2016”, to which counterparty borrower, with counterparty identifier “OBLGR#B1”, is the debtor, then the counterparty-instrument dataset contains the following information as of the applicable reporting reference date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>CPTY#A</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A#2016</td>
<td>INST#123</td>
<td>Creditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>CPTY#A</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A#2016</td>
<td>INST#123</td>
<td>Servicer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>OBLGR#B1</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A#2016</td>
<td>INST#123</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, if in course of April 2019 the same instrument becomes subject to a traditional securitisation within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 1075/2013 (ECB/2013/40), where the instrument is transferred to counterparty FVC S, with counterparty identifier “FVC#S”, and to which counterparty “Cpty#A” retains servicing rights, the up-to-date data describing the situation as of 30 April 2019 encompasses the following information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>CPTY#A</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A#2016</td>
<td>INST#123</td>
<td>Servicer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>OBLGR#B1</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A#2016</td>
<td>INST#123</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>FVC#S</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A#2016</td>
<td>INST#123</td>
<td>Creditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>CPTY#A</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A#2016</td>
<td>INST#123</td>
<td>Originator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Obviously, from the above illustration, the information in the counterparty-instrument dataset is updated in a timely manner in order to keep the information up to date at each reporting reference date. Consequently, it is necessary to update the dataset with a monthly frequency, meaning that records which are not valid any more, or new records, which describe the situation at a given reporting reference date are submitted to AnaCredit no later than 30 (or 35) working days after the reporting reference date to which they refer.

This in particular means that, following the reporting method relevant for the counterparty-instrument dataset, only the changed records have to be transmitted with reference to 30 April 2019, whereas any unchanged records do not need to be transmitted.

Considering the previous example, the following is an illustration indicating the reporting obligations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty role</th>
<th>What to report?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>CPTY#A</td>
<td>CONA2016</td>
<td>INST#123</td>
<td>Servicer</td>
<td>Record not changed compared with 31/03, no need to report (update) this record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>OBLGR#B1</td>
<td>CONA2016</td>
<td>INST#123</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Record not changed compared with 31/03, no need to report (update) this record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>FVC#S</td>
<td>CONA2016</td>
<td>INST#123</td>
<td>Creditor</td>
<td>Record changed compared with 31/03; an update is submitted as of 30/04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>CPTY#A</td>
<td>CONA2016</td>
<td>INST#123</td>
<td>Originator</td>
<td>Record changed compared with 31/03; an update is submitted as of 30/04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this way, any change in the actual situation that takes place between two consecutive reporting reference dates (i.e. between moments T and T+1) and is still valid at T+1 is accurately reflected in the counterparty-instrument dataset that refers to the moment T+1.

### 6.4 The counterparty-instrument dataset – data attributes

This dataset is required for instruments reported in the financial dataset. For each pair of counterparty and instrument, the following data attributes are reported.

**Table 75 Overview of data attributes in the counterparty-instrument dataset**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data attribute</th>
<th>Internal identifier</th>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Section in Part II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporting agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counterparty identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counterparty role</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>6.4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.4.1 Counterparty role

Definition: Role of the counterparties in an instrument.

The roles that counterparties assume in relation to an instrument recorded in the instrument dataset are recorded in the counterparty-instrument dataset and are discussed in the subsequent sections.

Values

For each combination of a counterparty and an instrument, one of the following values is reported in this data attribute to provide a qualification of the role that the counterparty takes in relation to the instrument.

Creditor

Pursuant to Article 1(11), “creditor” means the counterparty bearing the credit risk of an instrument, other than a protection provider.

In particular, counterparties that lend funds to debtors and thus give rise to the creation of one or more of the instruments referred to in Article 1(23) of the AnaCredit Regulation are creditors. Consequently, the creditor is the counterparty that has the right to receive payments from the debtor. Additionally, counterparties that acquire ownership of credit obligations (transferees) from a creditor (a transferor) become creditors themselves although they have not directly lent funds to the debtors, irrespective of the economic function they perform according to the contract.

For each instrument reported to AnaCredit the creditor is explicitly identified and reported.

In the case of instruments where there is more than one creditor, all the creditors have to be recorded in the counterparty-instrument dataset (e.g. partially securitised assets).

The distinction between a creditor and a protection provider is that, under a credit contract, a creditor has the right to receive a payment or a series of payments from a debtor, while a protection provider either provides funded protection under Article 4(1)(58) of the CRR or promises to assume the obligations of the debtor if the debtor fails to do so, i.e. provides unfunded protection under Article 4(1)(59) of the CRR. After a contractually agreed negative credit event takes place and the protection provider cedes ownership of the funded protection to the creditor or pays the creditor directly, the protection provider often has the right to recover that money from the debtor and is entitled to take an assignment of the creditor’s right against the debtor.
Debtor

Pursuant to Article 1(12), “debtor” means “the counterparty which has the unconditional obligation to make repayments arising under the instrument”.

Additionally, Annex IV specifies that the “debtor” is “the counterparty generating the credit risk of an instrument, other than the protection provider”.

In general, the creditor provides funds to the debtor or has confirmed to the debtor in legally binding terms that it will make available funds to the debtor under the assumption (enforced by contract) that the debtor will return equivalent funds.

An instrument giving rise to credit risk may have one or more debtors from which the creditor has the right to receive a payment or a series of payments. If there are several debtors, a plurality of debtors occurs.

The counterparty-instrument dataset encompasses all debtors, fully or partially liable. However, no record is reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset for debtors who are natural persons.

Servicer

Pursuant to Article 1(14), the term “servicer” is the counterparty that is responsible for the administrative and financial management of the instrument.

Beside the debtor(s) and the creditor(s), the servicer of an instrument is also recorded in the counterparty-instrument dataset.

Note that the role of “servicer” is defined more broadly than in Regulation (EU) No 1071/2013 (ECB/2013/33), where it is restricted to managing instruments underlying a securitisation or instruments that have otherwise been transferred in terms of the collection of principal and interest from the debtor. In other words, the term “servicer” in the sense of Regulation (EU) 1071/2013 (2013/33) is subsumed in the AnaCredit definition.

The AnaCredit requirements stipulate that for each instrument recorded in the instrument dataset it is necessary to identify and record the servicer to the instrument as well as the counterparty-instrument dataset.

In a regular case, the roles of creditor and servicer are held by one and the same counterparty, i.e. the creditor, which holds an instrument, is also responsible for the administrative and financial management of the instrument. However, the counterparties taking on these two roles need not coincide.

For instance, by selling or otherwise transferring rather than holding the instruments, the previous owner of the instrument in general ceases to be the creditor to the instruments but retains servicing rights. In this case, the servicer and the creditor are different counterparties, and both are recorded in the counterparty-instrument dataset from the moment of transfer onwards.
From the perspective of an observed agent, considering the fact that every instrument in AnaCredit either gives rise to credit risk to the observed agent or is serviced by the observed agent, the observed agent always assumes at least one of the two roles; often the observed agent acts as both the creditor and the servicer of the instrument.

Note that in some cases credit institutions may have their whole administration organised by third parties (e.g. commercial corporations). Consequently, if an instrument held by a credit institution is actually administered by a third party, the third party is identified as the servicer to such instruments and reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset, as well as in the counterparty reference data.

**Originator**

Definition: Counterparty in a securitisation transaction as defined in Article 1(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1075/2013 (ECB/2013/40).

In the case of instruments recorded in the instrument dataset that are subject to a securitisation transaction within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 1071/2013 (ECB/2013/33), it is required that the counterparty-instrument dataset also identifies the counterparty acting as the originator to such a securitisation transaction.

Such an entity is the transferor of ownership over an instrument or a pool of instruments and the credit risk of the instrument or pool of instrument to the FVC.

Whether or not an instrument is subject to a securitisation is indicated accordingly in the data attribute “type of securitisation” in the financial dataset.

Consequently, for such instruments, where the data attribute “type of securitisation” assumes either of the two values “traditional securitisation” or “synthetic securitisation”, the counterparty that is the originator in the securitisation transaction is recorded in the counterparty-instrument dataset.

Conversely, if an instrument is not subject to a securitisation transaction, the counterparty-instrument dataset does not contain the role of the originator.

Note that, as regards securitised instruments, the originator typically continues to service the securitised instruments.
7 Joint liabilities dataset

7.1 General aspects

In the context of AnaCredit, the joint liabilities dataset is required for instruments with a plurality of debtors, i.e. instruments where there are multiple debtors.

The joint liabilities dataset is reported only for instruments which have a plurality of debtors (including natural persons acting as debtors, though no records of natural persons are actually reported).

In the case of instruments where there is only one debtor, no data record at all is reported in the joint liabilities dataset. In other words, the joint liabilities dataset is not reported where there is only one debtor of an instrument (i.e. only one counterparty is liable for the instrument, disregarding protection providers).

7.1.1 Reporting instructions, examples and specific cases

Debtors are fully or partially joint liable debtors when they are united in making repayments arising under one and the same instrument under the same contract.

The joint liabilities dataset is closely linked to the counterparty-instrument dataset and records the amount for which each debtor is liable in relation to the outstanding nominal amount as reported in the financial dataset as at the reporting reference date.

A plurality of debtors arises where the multiple joint debtors are either fully or partially liable (cf. Section 7.4 below) in relation to a single instrument.

In line with the AnaCredit data model, for each instrument recorded in the instrument dataset where the actual number of debtors is at least two, there is at least one record entered in the joint liabilities dataset. In other words, the liability amount is reported only in relation to instruments where there are actually multiple debtors (although only one may be effectively reported to AnaCredit owing to the general principle that no data relating to natural persons are reported).

The information contained in the joint liabilities dataset enables the indebtedness of each single debtor to be accurately calculated. This information is often needed for the calculation of statistics by the type of debtor.

The joint liabilities data have to be provided only in relation to instruments where there are actually two or more debtors.

The data are reported for both fully and partially liable debtors.

The joint liabilities data have to be reported irrespective of whether the debtors to the instrument are fully or partially liable.
7.1.1.1 The reporting obligation of guarantors acting as protection providers

In AnaCredit a clear distinction is made between a debtor and a guarantor (i.e. a protection provider). Guarantees received (by the observed agent) are subject to reporting if they serve as protection securing one or more instruments reported to AnaCredit. As opposed to counterparties directly involved in the instrument (e.g. the creditor or debtor), the protection provider is not reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset.

This means that until such a guarantee is called, it is reported in the instrument-protection received dataset. However, as soon as a received guarantee is called and the amount arising under the guarantee is not (yet) paid by the guarantor, the observed agent recognises a debt of the guarantor, and the debt as an instrument (not protection) is subject to the general reporting requirements for instruments. Please note that until the guaranteed amount is paid, depending on the contractual agreement between the parties involved, the guarantor may substitute the original debtor, or the guarantor may be considered jointly liable along with the original debtor vis-à-vis the instrument. As a broadly illustration of the reporting obligation that exists before and after a received guarantee is called, please consider the following example.

Example 42: Reporting in the case of called guarantees (received by the observed agent)

A contract (Con#1) is concluded between an observed agent (Bank#1), a debtor (DBTR#A) and a guarantor (GUA#B), where Bank#1 grants a fixed-term loan (Loan#1) to DBTR#A secured by a financial guarantee (GUA#1) provided by a guarantor (CPTY#B). Loan#1 is subject to AnaCredit reporting. The reporting of the loan as of 31 May 2019 is illustrated in Table 76, while the fact that DBTR#A is liable for Loan#1 is reflected in the counterparty-instrument dataset (Table 77).

Table 76 Indication of the financial dataset reported as of 31 May

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/05/2019</td>
<td>BANK#1</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>LOAN#1</td>
<td>275,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 77 Indication of the counterparty-instrument dataset reported as of 31 May

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/05/2019</td>
<td>BANK#1</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>LOAN#1</td>
<td>BANK#1</td>
<td>Creditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/05/2019</td>
<td>BANK#1</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>LOAN#1</td>
<td>BANK#1</td>
<td>Servicer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/05/2019</td>
<td>BANK#1</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>LOAN#1</td>
<td>DBTR#A</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, the fact that Loan#1 is secured by the financial guarantee provided by guarantor CPTY#B is reflected in the instrument-protection received (Table 78) and the protection received (Table 79) datasets.

---

5 The substitution may materialise in several ways, for example, the guarantor may act as debtor of the original instrument, or vis-à-vis a new instrument that substitutes the original one.
Table 78 Indication of the instrument-protection received dataset as of 31 May

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/05/2019</td>
<td>BANK#1</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>LOAN#01</td>
<td>GUA#1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 79 Indication of the protection received dataset as of 31 May

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Protection type</th>
<th>Protection provider identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/05/2019</td>
<td>BANK#1</td>
<td>GUA#1</td>
<td>Financial guarantee other than credit derivatives</td>
<td>CPTY#B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reporting changes after the guarantee is called.

In June Loan#1 goes into default owing to financial difficulties of DBTR#A, and by the end of the month creditor Bank#1 calls the guarantee. The guarantee is not immediately paid and therefore Bank#1 therefore recognises a (no longer conditional) payment obligation on the part of guarantor CPTY#B in relation to instrument Loan#1. Since the guarantee is not yet paid, and under the assumption that the guarantor is considered jointly liable vis-à-vis the instrument, the obligation of debtor DBTR#A in relation to Loan#1 still exists (i.e. the original debtor remains). Hence, both DBTR#A and CPTY#B act as joint debtors vis-à-vis Loan#1 as of 30 June. This is illustrated in Table 80. In addition, because DBTR#A and CPTY#B act as joint debtors, the joint liabilities dataset (not shown) shows the amounts for which both debtors are liable (after the guarantee is called the guarantor is added as a debtor of the loan where it is liable up to the guaranteed amount).

Table 80 Indication of the counterparty-instrument dataset reported after the guarantee is called

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/06/2019</td>
<td>BANK#1</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>LOAN#1</td>
<td>BANK#1</td>
<td>Creditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/06/2019</td>
<td>BANK#1</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>LOAN#1</td>
<td>BANK#1</td>
<td>Servicer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/06/2019</td>
<td>BANK#1</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>LOAN#1</td>
<td>DBTR#A</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/06/2019</td>
<td>BANK#1</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>LOAN#1</td>
<td>CPTY#B</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that after the guarantee is called in June, the protection item is liquidated and therefore no longer reported in the instrument-protection received dataset (as this protection item no longer secures the instrument).

Thereafter, in the course of July CPTY#B pays its obligation towards Bank#1 in relation to Loan#1 and from the perspective of Bank#1, Loan#1 is fully paid and closed (it is no longer subject to reporting).

Please note that this example focuses solely on the recognition/derecognition of certain reporting obligations, and leaves other more detailed aspects aside.

Please also note that if CPTY#B (the guarantor) is also an observed agent, the observed agent may also record a claim on the debtor on its balance sheet. The claim needs to be reported to AnaCredit, where relevant. The reporting is illustrated in Example 5 in Section 3.4.1, where a guarantee given by an observed agent is called.
7.1.1.2 Data reported in the joint liabilities dataset with natural persons involved

As with the counterparty-instrument dataset, no entries are made in the joint liabilities dataset for debtors (counterparties) that are natural persons. In other words, in the case of natural persons who are debtors to an instrument reported in the instrument dataset, no record at all is entered in the joint liabilities dataset.

Furthermore, in accordance with the general rule that no data relating to natural persons are reported to AnaCredit, no records are entered in the counterparty-instrument dataset for counterparties that are natural persons (which act as joint debtor of the instrument besides a legal entity). This in particular concerns natural persons who are debtors to instruments reported in the instrument dataset.

Note that an instrument to which a natural person is a debtor can be reported to AnaCredit only if a plurality of debtors exists where at least one other debtor is not a natural person.

Whether or not the joint liabilities data are reported to AnaCredit (if the concerned instrument is reported) depends on the actual number of debtors (as of the reporting reference date to which the data refers), and not only on the number of non-natural person debtors.

For an illustration of how to report the joint liabilities dataset in relation to an instrument where one of the debtors is a natural person, please consider the following example.

**Example 43: Reporting the joint liabilities dataset in the case of natural persons as co-borrowers**

This example strictly corresponds to Example 41 above, which focuses on the counterparty-instrument dataset in the case of a natural person being involved as a debtor, whereas this example illustrates the reporting of the joint liabilities dataset.

A legal entity with counterparty identifier “LegalEntity#1” and a natural person with counterparty identifier “Person#B” are the only debtors to the instrument and, as stipulated by the contract, both counterparties are jointly liable for the total outstanding amount.

Again, in the situation concerned, the actual number of debtors is two. Therefore, the (joint) liabilities dataset is reported. However, the debtor who is a natural person is not to be reported in the (joint) liabilities dataset, as no data relating to natural persons is reported to AnaCredit. Consequently, only the debtor that is not a natural person is recorded in this dataset.

As regards the amount to be reported, this information is derived from the fact that this is a joint liability, meaning that each joint debtor is fully liable for the outstanding nominal amount. The outstanding nominal amount is reported in the financial dataset and amounts to €100,000 as of the reporting reference date.

Please note that although the natural-person debtor is not recorded in the dataset, it can in general be concluded from the (single) record reported in the joint liabilities dataset that there is at least one more debtor (presumably a natural person) liable for (a part of)
the outstanding nominal amount in addition to the debtor reported in this dataset. Owing to the fact that this record is reported, a conclusion can be drawn that there are actually at least two debtors liable for the instrument.

### Table 81 Determining data reportable in the joint liabilities dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Joint liability amount</th>
<th>Subject to reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>LEGALENTITY#1</td>
<td>C#MIXLBLTY</td>
<td>MIXLBLTYINS</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>PERSON#B</td>
<td>C#MIXLBLTY</td>
<td>MIXLBLTYINS</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that the last record in the dataset which relates to the instrument concerned is not to be reported to AnaCredit. For illustration purposes, however, the record is shown in the dataset.

### 7.2 Level of granularity

The relevant information is compiled for the combination of the counterparty (debtor) and the instrument.

The level of granularity for the joint liabilities dataset is the "counterparty-instrument" combination, which exactly matches the level of granularity of the counterparty-instrument dataset. Specifically, the information in this dataset is recorded at the level of (a) the reporting agent identifier, (b) the observed agent identifier, (c) the counterparty identifier, (d) the contract identifier, and (e) the instrument identifier.

Generally, the joint liabilities dataset and the counterparty-instrument dataset stem from one and the same entity table, i.e. the counterparty-instrument entity table as referred to in Part I, Chapter 6 of the Manual on the data model and reportable datasets. However, the entity table is ultimately split up into two reportable datasets owing to the different reporting methods and timeliness that have been adopted for the different pieces of information contained therein.

Effectively, a record is reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset for every combination of an instrument and a counterparty, other than a natural person, which takes the role of debtor in the multi-debtor instrument.

In the case of multi-debtor instruments (i.e. instruments to which there is more than one debtor), as a general rule, for all counterparties for which the counterparty role is "debtor" in the counterparty-instrument dataset, the same number of debtors is recorded in the joint liabilities dataset as of the same reporting reference date, with the respective liability amount reported for each debtor. This in particular includes a situation where there is just one debtor recorded in the counterparty-instrument dataset owing to the fact that any debtors who are natural persons are not subject to reporting.

### 7.3 Reporting frequency

The joint liabilities dataset is reported on a monthly basis.
For those reporting agents that have been granted a derogation by the relevant NCB in accordance with Article 16(2) of the AnaCredit Regulation, the joint liabilities dataset may be reported on a quarterly basis.

All records in the joint liabilities dataset are reported on a monthly basis, including records in which any piece of information does not change from one month to the other.

In this way, the information in the dataset remains accurate and up to date for each reporting reference date and keeps up with the changes in the nominal outstanding amount of the instrument concerned.

### 7.4 The joint liabilities dataset – data attributes

This dataset is applicable for instruments reported in the financial dataset. For each pair of counterparty and instrument, the following data attributes are reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data attribute</th>
<th>Internal identifier</th>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Section in Part II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporting agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counterparty identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint liability amount</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>7.4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.4.1 Joint liability amount

**Definition:** Outstanding nominal amount for which each debtor is liable in relation to a single instrument where there are two or more debtors.

The joint liability amount is defined as the part of the amount as reported in the data attribute “outstanding nominal amount” for which each debtor is liable in relation to a single instrument where there are two or more debtors.

More specifically, the joint liability amount is the part of the (or the total) outstanding nominal amount reported in the financial dataset in relation to an instrument (with multiple actual debtors) for which each debtor is liable vis-à-vis this instrument. In other words, the joint liability amount for a debtor vis-à-vis an instrument is the total or a fraction of the outstanding nominal amount reported for the instrument.

**Reporting qualification**

The joint liability amount is reported only for instruments which have a plurality of debtors (including natural persons acting as debtors, though no record is reported for...
natural persons). In such cases, a record is reported in the counterparty-instrument
dataset for every combination of an instrument and a counterparty which is a legal
entity taking the role of debtor in the instrument.

In the case of instruments where there is only one debtor, no data record at all in the
joint liabilities dataset is reported.

Values

The joint liability amount is a monetary amount in units of euro. The reported value is
a non-negative real number.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The joint liability amount for each debtor corresponds to the amount that each debtor
is liable for in relation to the instrument, as specified in the contract giving rise to the
instrument, taking into account that no debtor alone is liable for more than the
outstanding debt at a given reporting reference date. Consequently, for a given
reporting reference date, the joint liability amount recorded for each debtor in an
instrument in the joint liabilities dataset does not exceed the nominal outstanding
amount reported in relation to the instrument in the financial dataset that date. This
means that the joint liability amount reported for each debtor cannot exceed the
outstanding nominal amount.

In particular, if the outstanding nominal amount reported in the financial dataset is €0
(zero), e.g. for an overdraft, the joint liability amount to be reported for each
(multiple) debtor is also €0.

Please note that in the case of a plurality of debtors in relation to one and the same
instrument, the actual sum of the joint liability amounts in relation to the total
outstanding amount is always ≥ 100%. However, as regards data reported to
AnaCredit, the sum of the joint liability amounts as reported to AnaCredit may be less
than 100% due to the fact that no data are reported for a debtor which is a natural
person.

For an illustration of how the joint liability amount is reported vis-à-vis the
instrument’s outstanding nominal amount, please refer to the following example:
Example 44: Joint liability amount compared to the outstanding nominal amount

On 1 March 2018, observed agent (OA#1) originates a contract (Con#1) for a credit line other than revolving credit (Ins#9) of €1 million which can be used by two debtors Deb#A and Deb#B, both jointly and severally liable for the total amount. The debtors are first enabled to draw the funds from 20 March 2018 and the first disbursement takes place on 14 April 2018, when €475,000 is drawn. Deb#A and Deb#B have no other loans vis-à-vis OA#1.

The instrument is first subject to AnaCredit reporting as of 31 March 2018, with the counterparty-instrument and joint liabilities datasets also being submitted alongside the instrument dataset. The reporting is illustrated in Table 83 and Table 84.

Table 83 Indication of the financial dataset of 31 March

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Off-balance-sheet amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2018</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>INS#9</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,000,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 84 Indication of the joint liabilities dataset of 31 March

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Joint liability amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2018</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>INS#9</td>
<td>DEB#A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2018</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>INS#9</td>
<td>DEB#B</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following the drawing under the instrument in April, the information is updated as illustrated in Table 85 and Table 86.

Table 85 Indication of the financial dataset of 30 April

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Outstanding nominal amount</th>
<th>Off-balance-sheet amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2018</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>INS#9</td>
<td>475,000.00</td>
<td>525,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 86 Indication of the joint liabilities dataset of 30 April

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Joint liability amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2018</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>INS#9</td>
<td>DEB#A</td>
<td>475,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2018</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>INS#9</td>
<td>DEB#B</td>
<td>475,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that in the case of multi-debtor instruments, it is the instrument’s commitment amount – defined as the sum of the outstanding nominal amount and the off-balance-sheet amount of an instrument – and not the maximum amount for which a given debtor is actually, that is considered when determining whether or not the reporting threshold of €25,000 has been reached (or exceeded) vis-à-vis a given debtor (cf. Part I, Chapter 5 of the Manual on the criteria triggering the reporting).

7.4.1.1 The case of fully liable joint debtors

In the case of fully liable joint debtors, the creditor may claim repayments from any one of the fully liable debtors until the full repayment has been reached. If one joint debtor has repaid the debt in full or in part, which leads to a change in the nominal outstanding amount reported in the financial dataset, the liability to the creditor of the
other fully liable debtor(s) is logically discharged to the extent of such repayment. Note that in many cases of fully liable joint debtors frequently encountered in practice, the creditor may claim the whole payment from any of the debtors without having to involve all the debtors.

Example 45 illustrates the reporting of the joint liability amount in the case of two debtors fully liable for one and the same instrument.

Example 45: Reporting of fully liable debtors in the counterparty-instrument and the joint liabilities datasets

This example involves an instrument with instrument identifier “Jnlblty#Ins#1”, arising under a contract with contract identifier “Cntrct#A16”. The nominal outstanding amount of €50,000 is reported in the financial dataset for the instrument as of 31 March 2019. The instrument is held and serviced solely by counterparty “Cpty#A”.

Counterparty “Borrower-A” and counterparty “Obligor#B” are the only debtors to the instrument and, as stipulated by the contract, are each fully liable for the total outstanding debt arising under the instrument. Both “Dbtr#A” and “Obligor#B” are legal entities.

Given that the debtors are fully liable for the total outstanding amount, the liability of each of the two debtors is established to be 100%. Consequently, the joint liability amount is determined to be €50,000 for each debtor.

The following tables illustrate the reporting of the counterparty-instrument dataset (Table 87) and the (joint) liabilities dataset (Table 88):

### Table 87 Counterparty-instrument dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Counterparty role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>CPTY#A</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A16</td>
<td>JNLBLTY#INS#1</td>
<td>Creditor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>CPTY#A</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A16</td>
<td>JNLBLTY#INS#1</td>
<td>Servicer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>DBTR#A</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A16</td>
<td>JNLBLTY#INS#1</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>OBLIGOR#B</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A16</td>
<td>JNLBLTY#INS#1</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 88 Joint liabilities dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Joint Liability amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>DBTR#A</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A16</td>
<td>JNLBLTY#INS#1</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>OBLIGOR#B</td>
<td>CNTRCT#A16</td>
<td>JNLBLTY#INS#1</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the instrument/financial datasets, the instrument is reported as a single instrument. However, the instrument is broken down into two records in the joint liabilities dataset, where each debtor is recorded with a 100% liability amount for the instrument.

### 7.4.1.2 The case of partially liable joint debtors

In the case of partially liable debtors, the creditor may claim from any partially liable debtor only that part of the repayment for which the debtor is liable. It is possible that partially liable debtors are liable for equal shares. The actual sum of the individual shares can be either equal to the full outstanding nominal amount or exceed the
outstanding nominal amount (although because of the fact that no shares are reported for co-debtors being natural persons, the sum of the joint liability amounts reported in the joint liabilities dataset may be less than 100% of the outstanding nominal amount). In any case, a failure to pay of one debtor does not affect the obligations of the other partial debtors beyond the amount they are liable for. This means that the partially liable debtors carry separate liabilities vis-à-vis the creditor for their own shares.

The joint liability amounts for partially joint liable debtors are reported in the joint liabilities dataset similarly to the case of fully joint liable debtors, i.e. the liability amounts for which the partially joint liable debtors are individually liable are reported in separate records.

For an illustration of the reporting of partial liabilities (i.e. partially joint liable debtors), please consider the following example.

**Example 46: Reporting of partial debtors in the joint liabilities datasets**

In this example, a partial liability of two debtors is considered. An instrument with instrument identifier “PRTLBLTY#INS2” arises under a contract with contract identifier “CNTLBLTY#1”. The nominal outstanding amount of €100,000 is reported in the financial dataset for the instrument as of 31 March 2019. The instrument is held and serviced solely by Counterparty Bank A with counterparty identifier “Cpty#A”.

Counterparty “DBTR#1A” and counterparty “DBTR#2B” are the only debtors to the instrument and, as stipulated by the contract, both counterparties are only partially liable for the total outstanding amount: DBTR#1A is liable for €70,000 and DBTR#2B is liable for €40,000. Both debtors are legal entities.

Both debtors are reported in both the counterparty-instrument (not shown below) and the joint liabilities datasets.

In this situation, the liability of the debtors is initially limited by the following amounts:

1. €70,000 for DBTR#1A (which accounts for 70% of the instrument’s debt outstanding at the reporting reference date);
2. €40,000 for DBTR#2B (which accounts for 40% of the instrument’s debt outstanding at the reporting reference date).

Table 89 illustrates the reporting of the joint liabilities dataset in this situation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Joint liability amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>DBTR#1A</td>
<td>CNTLBLTY#1</td>
<td>PRTLBLTY#INS2</td>
<td>70,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>DBTR#2B</td>
<td>CNTLBLTY#1</td>
<td>PRTLBLTY#INS2</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clearly, if debtors are partial debtors in a partial liability contract, then each of them is liable only up to a contractually specified extent.

Continuing with Example 46 above, if in the course of April 2019, Counterparty B repays the total amount that it is liable for (i.e. €40,000), and there are no
repayments by Counterparty A, then the situation as of 30 April 2019 is reflected as follows in the joint liabilities datasets (Example 47):

Example 47: Reporting of partial debtors in the joint liabilities datasets, continued

In this example, the situation presented in Example 46 above changes as follows:

In the course of April 2019, DBTR#2B, which is a partially liable debtor to the instrument concerned, repays €40,000, i.e. the total amount the counterparty is liable for vis-à-vis the instrument. There are no other changes to the situation, and DBTR#1A has not made any payments. The situation as of 30 April 2019 has therefore evolved to the following effect.

- Having repaid €40,000, which is the maximum amount for which DBTR#2B was liable, this counterparty is no longer liable vis-à-vis the instrument concerned, i.e. DBTR#2B is not the debtor to the instrument as of 30 April 2019. Consequently, DBTR#2B is not reported either in the counterparty-instrument dataset or in the (joint) liabilities dataset.

- After €40,000 has been repaid, the (remaining) outstanding nominal amount of €60,000 is reported in the financial dataset as of 30 April 2019.

- DBTR#1A, who is liable up to €70,000 vis-à-vis the instrument as of 30 April 2019, is liable for the total remaining outstanding nominal amount, which is €60,000, meaning that the counterparty is liable for 100% of the outstanding nominal amount.

- DBTR#1A is reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset.

- Given the fact that the plurality of debtors ceases to exist, the joint liabilities dataset is not to be reported as of 30 April 2019; the absence of the specific debtor from the joint liabilities dataset (which is reported on a monthly basis) implies that, as of 30 April 2019, the previously reported debtor is no longer a co-debtor to the instrument as of this date.

Table 90: Indication of the reporting requirements for the joint liabilities dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Joint liability amount</th>
<th>Subject to reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>DBTR#1A</td>
<td>CNTLBTY#1</td>
<td>PRTLBLTY#INS2</td>
<td>60,000.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>DBTR#2B</td>
<td>CNTLBTY#1</td>
<td>PRTLBLTY#INS2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that the table above represents only a logical illustration of the situation, as the records are not subject to reporting.

In the instrument/financial datasets, the instrument is reported as a single instrument. The outstanding nominal amount reported in the financial dataset as of 30 April 2019 is €60,000. Since there is only one debtor to the instrument, the (joint) liabilities dataset is not to be reported as of 30 April 2019. The liability amount of the single debtor, which accounts for 100% of the remaining debt is equal to the outstanding nominal amount reported in the financial dataset.
8 Instrument-protection received dataset

8.1 General aspects

The instrument-protection received dataset describes the relationship between an instrument and a protection item that is used to secure this instrument.

While the protection received dataset captures the protection item as a whole, irrespective of how a protection item is linked to an instrument (or many instruments), the instrument-protection received dataset specifies how a given protection item relates to a given instrument and to what extent, based on the reporting agent’s consideration, the protection value can be used to offset the debt that has arisen or may arise under the instrument if the debtor fails to satisfactorily pay any amount that it is obliged to pay under the terms of the contract that created the instrument.

Specifically, besides the protection value itself as reported in the protection received dataset, the reporting agent is expected to consider any existing own or third party claims relating to the protection item that affect or may affect the value that can be effectively allocated to a given instrument.

Please also note that AnaCredit stipulates that whenever (proceeds from) a given protection may be used to offset an instrument (i.e. the use of a protection item in relation to an instrument is not prohibited by the contract or by law), the link between the instrument and the protection is always reported in the instrument-protection received dataset. This also includes cases where no protection value of a given protection item can be effectively allocated to an instrument (e.g. because of a third party priority claim exceeding the protection value).

On the other hand, if a given protection item that cannot be used to offset an instrument reported to AnaCredit, then the protection item is not reported in the instrument-protection received dataset vis-à-vis the instrument. In particular, if an instrument reported to AnaCredit is unsecured (i.e. there is no protection that can be used in relation to an instrument), then no protection is reported in the instrument-protection received dataset vis-à-vis the instrument at all (i.e. no record in the dataset that would relate to the instrument is reported at all).

Protection items which are not linked to any reported instrument via the instrument-protection received dataset are not reported in AnaCredit at all.
For an indication of how protection items may be connected with individual instruments, consider the following example.

**Example 48: Multiple protection items securing multiple instruments**

1. Observed agent (OA#1) has extended under a contract (Con#1) three instruments to a legal entity (Debtor#A): (i) an investment loan (Inst#1), (ii) an overdraft (Inst#2) and (iii) a guarantee given (Inst#3), the last of which is not reportable to AnaCredit.

2. OA#1 has received two protection items: (i) a mortgage claim in an amount of €4 million on commercial real estate (CRE#1) with a market value of €6 million, fully owned by Debtor#A and (ii) a financial guarantee (GUA#2) provided by Cpy#G for an amount of €2 million.

3. Both protection items can be used to offset any debt that may arise under any of the three instruments, and there are no third party priority claims on either of these protection items.

The instruments subject to AnaCredit reporting are reported in the instrument dataset (not shown). The protection items are reported in the protection received dataset (for details regarding the reporting of this dataset please refer to Chapter 9). This is presented in Table 91 as of 30 September 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Protection provider identifier</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Protection value</th>
<th>Type of protection</th>
<th>Type of protection value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>DEBTOR#A</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>6,000,000.00</td>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
<td>Market value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CPY#G</td>
<td>GUA#2</td>
<td>2,000,000.00</td>
<td>Financial guarantee other than credit derivatives</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In accordance with point 3 above, the value of each protection item can be used in relation to each of the three instruments. This is reflected in the instrument-protection received dataset, where each of the two protection items is linked with each of the reportable instruments.

Please note that Inst#3 is not subject to AnaCredit reporting and is therefore not reported in the instrument-protection received dataset.

As regards the protection allocated value, OA#1 takes account of the following:

- although the protection value of the real estate collateral is €6 million, only €4 million can be eventually claimed;
- although Inst#3 is not reported, OA#1 allocates €1.2 million of the protection items’ value to this instrument (not shown);
- any protection value allocated to an instrument diminishes the protection value that may be allocated to other instruments.

In this connection, the total amount of protection that OA#1 may allocate to Inst#1 and Inst#2 is €4 million + €0.8 million = €4.8 million. Subsequently, OA#1 allocates the remaining value of GUA#2 of €0.8 million and €3 million of CRE#1 to Inst#1 and €1 million of CRE#1 to Inst#2, while there is no more value of GUA#2 remaining to be allocated to Inst#2. This is shown in Table 92.
Table 92 Reporting the instrument-protection received dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Protection Allocated value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>3,000,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>GUA#2</td>
<td>800,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>INST#2</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>1,000,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>INST#2</td>
<td>GUA#2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that the instrument-protection received dataset includes all links between an instrument and a protection item which exists to secure this instrument, regardless of whether it is possible to allocate any positive value to an instrument (consider the pair Inst#2 and GUA#2).

For an illustration of how protection is reflected in the instrument-protection received dataset, depending on whether or not the protection may be used to offset the instrument, consider the following example.

Example 49: Instruments with and without protection

An observed agent (OA#2) has extended to a legal entity (DBTR#B) under a contract (CNT#1) issued on 21 March 2017 a loan (LOAN#1) secured with a mortgage on a commercial real estate property (CRE#99), and under contract CNT#2, originated on 16 June 2018, a credit card debt (CC#2) which is unsecured. The commercial real estate protection cannot be used to offset any debt arising under CC#02 and the protection value allocated to LOAN#1 is €100,000. Both LOAN#01 and CC#02 are subject to AnaCredit reporting and DBTR#B has no other instruments vis-à-vis OA#2.

The instruments are reported in the instrument dataset (Table 93), while the information about whether or not the instruments are secured is provided in the instrument-protection received dataset. Accordingly, only LOAN#1 is reported as secured by the commercial real estate, whereas no record at all is reported for the credit card debt, thus indicating that the instrument is unsecured (Table 94).

Table 93 Indication of the instrument dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Type of instrument</th>
<th>Inception date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CNT#1</td>
<td>LOAN#1</td>
<td>Other loans</td>
<td>27/03/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CNT#2</td>
<td>CC#2</td>
<td>Credit card debt</td>
<td>16/06/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 94 Reporting the instrument-protection received dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Protection Allocated value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CNT#1</td>
<td>LOAN#1</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Generally, the instrument-protection received dataset includes all links between an instrument and a protection item which exists to secure a given instrument, and only such links. Conversely, if no protection item exists which secures a given instrument, the instrument is not recorded in the instrument-protection received dataset.
8.2 Level of granularity

The level of granularity is the combination of the individual instrument and the individual protection item. Each record is uniquely identified by (a) the reporting agent identifier, (b) the observed agent identifier, (c) the contract identifier, (d) the instrument identifier, and (e) the protection identifier.

These data describe all the protection received in relation to the instrument that the protection is securing.

More specifically, the instrument-protection received dataset is compiled so that it captures the link between an instrument and a protection item whenever the usage of (proceeds from) the given protection is explicitly or implicitly allowed (i.e. is not prohibited contractually or by law) to offset any debt that arises or may arise in relation to the instrument.

8.3 Reporting frequency

This dataset is reported on a monthly basis, with complete records submitted irrespective of whether or not changes take place compared with previously reported data.

8.4 The instrument-protection received dataset – data attributes

This dataset is applicable for instruments reported in the financial dataset in relation to which the creditor has received protection to offset any debt that arises or may arise in relation to the instrument. For each pair of protection and instrument, the following data attributes are reported:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data attribute</th>
<th>Internal identifier</th>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Section in Part II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporting agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection identifier</td>
<td></td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection allocated value</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>8.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third party priority claims against the protection</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>8.4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.4.1 Protection allocated value

Definition: The maximum amount of the protection value that can be considered as credit protection for the instrument. The amount of the existing third parties or observed agent priority claims against the protection must be excluded in the protection allocated value.

In the context of AnaCredit, the protection allocated value is the maximum amount of the protection value that can be considered as credit protection for the instrument. The amount of the existing third party priority claims against the protection or priority claims against the protection of other instruments relating to the same or another observed agent within the scope of the reporting agent is excluded when deriving the protection allocated value.

To clarify, AnaCredit stipulates that, in order to determine a protection allocated value, an observed agent follows the collateral allocation principles that it uses internally for the risk management purposes. In this respect, AnaCredit does not provide any standard allocation algorithm according to which observed agents determine the protection allocated value.

Conversely, observed agents are not required to report the protection allocated value in accordance with Part 2 of Annex V to the ITS, irrespective of whether or not the protection item in question is eligible for credit risk mitigation in accordance with the CRR. In particular, the protection allocated value may exceed the outstanding nominal amount of the instrument that the protection secures.

The reason why AnaCredit does not require the protection allocated value to be determined in accordance with the ITS is that a broader approach to protection is taken in the context of AnaCredit than in the CRR, where every protection item which secures the instrument is reported, irrespective of its eligibility for the calculation of the minimum capital requirements in accordance with the CRR/ITS. Consequently, it is not advisable to require that the allocation be carried out in accordance with the CRR/ITS while the scope of the protection that can be allocated and the required reporting frequencies are different across the frameworks.

Similarly, AnaCredit does not require that a specific prioritisation of protection items (in cases where several protection items secure a particular instrument) or prioritisation of instruments (in cases where one protection item is associated with several instruments) be carried out. In this connection, it is clarified that banks can choose their own prioritisation rules to allocate received protection items to instruments.

The AnaCredit requirement stipulates in particular that the allocation logic applied by an observed agent also takes into consideration amounts of the protection values that the observed agent allocates to other instruments which, owing to the limited scope of AnaCredit, are not subject to AnaCredit reporting (e.g. strict off-balance-sheet instruments). Please refer to Example 48 in the previous section for an illustration of this requirement.
Generally, in the context of AnaCredit, a distinction is made between the protection value taken in principle at its notional amount and the allocated protection value which takes the notional amount only as a starting point and then considers additional factors affecting the maximum amount of the protection value which can actually be considered as protection for the instrument (e.g. the mortgage inscription value, any third party priority claims, the quality or marketability of the protection, other instruments secured with this protection, etc.).

Reporting qualification

The protection allocated value is to be reported for every combination of a protection item and an instrument that the protection item secures.

Values

The protection allocated value is a monetary amount in units of euro. The reported value is a non-negative real number.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

If a protection item is contractually assigned not to a particular instrument but to a debtor, this implicitly means that the protection item is linked to all instruments in relation to which this counterparty assumes the role of the debtor. Consequently, AnaCredit requires that a record is reported for each combination of the protection item and an instrument in relation to the debtor in the instrument-protection received dataset.

By analogy, any protection item linked to a contract that gives rise to one or more instruments, rather than to individual instruments themselves, is reported in the instrument-protection received dataset in relation to every instrument that arises under the contract and that is subject to AnaCredit reporting.

In both cases, the allocation of the protection value to the individual instruments secured by the protection item via the protection allocated value is carried out as in the standard case, where a protection item is explicitly linked to instruments rather than to a debtor or contract. In particular, any value of the protection item allocated to one instrument of a debtor to which this protection item is attached decreases the allocated value of the same protection item used to secure another instrument in relation to the same debtor.

All protection items received to secure instruments – and not only those that are eligible for the calculation of the minimum capital in accordance with the CRR – are allocated. Furthermore, it is clarified that, if a given protection item can be used to secure an instrument, then the instrument-protection received dataset includes such a link, irrespective of the protection allocated value, even if the protection allocated value amounts to 0.

For an illustration of how the dataset is reported in such cases, also taking account of an internal allocation process versus an allocation in accordance with the CRR, consider the following example.
Example 50: Multiple protection items securing multiple instruments

Observed agent (OA#1), which is (part of) a supervised credit institution under the CRR, has three instruments for Debtor#X originated under contract Con#1: Inst#1, Inst#2 and Inst#3, each with an outstanding nominal amount of €10 million as of 30 September 2018.

OA#1 has received two protection items, each assigned to Debtor#X (i.e. each covering all instruments of Debtor#X):

- Prot#1 with a protection value of €15 million;
- Prot#2 with a protection value of €3 million.

For internal risk management purposes, OA#1 considers the total of €18 million as the maximum amount that can be used as protection for the instruments of Debtor#X; Prot#1 and Prot#2 are allocated as follows to the instruments of Debtor X using the OA#1 protection allocation routine:

- Prot#1: €10 million is allocated to Inst#1 and €5 million is allocated to Inst#2;
- Prot#2: €3 million is allocated to Inst#2.

For the calculation of the minimum capital requirement OA#1 applies a haircut of 50% on both protection items, and thus adjusted protection values are allocated to the instruments of Debtor#X as follows:

- Prot#1: €7.5 million (= €15 million x [1 – 50%]) is allocated to Inst#1;
- Prot#2: €1.5 million (= €3 million x [1 – 50%]) is allocated to Inst#1.

As in the context of AnaCredit, the reporting of the instrument-protection received dataset follows the collateral allocation principles that OA#1 internally utilises for the risk management purposes, irrespective of the allocation in accordance with the CRR. Therefore, the allocation scheme described in point 3 above is reported to AnaCredit (i.e. values without applying haircuts). In addition, a record is reported for each combination of the protection item and an instrument in relation to the debtor even if the allocated protection value for such combination is determined by the observed agent to be 0. The reporting is depicted in Table 96.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Protection allocated value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>PROT#1</td>
<td>10,000,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>PROT#2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>INST#2</td>
<td>PROT#1</td>
<td>5,000,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>INST#2</td>
<td>PROT#2</td>
<td>3,000,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>INST#3</td>
<td>PROT#1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CON#1</td>
<td>INST#3</td>
<td>PROT#2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that the instrument-protection dataset includes all links between protection items associated at the level of a debtor and instruments of a debtor, irrespective of whether or not the protection allocated value is larger than 0.

As explained in Chapter 9, the protection identifier represents the protection item (e.g. real estate property) rather than a claim on the protection item.

Please note, however, that any many-to-many relationship between protection items and instruments which may occur in relation to the so-called claims is also relevant.
in the context of AnaCredit. In particular, the existence of such claims is implicitly captured in the requirements formulated in respect of the protection allocated value: if the creditor’s right to proceeds from liquidating a protection item (vis-à-vis an instrument) is limited by the amount specified in a claim, this limitation is considered when determining the maximum amount of the protection value that can be considered as credit protection for the instrument.

As regards which other factors, besides the protection value, are taken into consideration by an observed agent when determining the protection allocated value, consider the example of a loan secured by a mortgage inscription for an amount lower than the market value/long-term sustainable value.

Example 51: Mortgage inscription value affecting the protection allocated value

1. An observed agent (OA#1) has granted a loan of €1 million (Inst#1) to Debtor#1 for the purchase of residential real estate (RRE#1).

2. OA#1 has received (from Debtor#1) a mortgage on the financed property. The market value of the property is estimated to be €2 million, but the mortgage inscription value is €1.2 million.

RRE#1 is reported in the protection received dataset in connection with Inst#1. The protection value reported therein is the market value of €2 million. It is the only protection securing Inst#1. However, for the calculation of the protection allocated value, OA#1 takes into account the mortgage inscription value, which affects the maximum amount of the protection value that can be considered as credit protection for Inst#1. This is because, in the event of a default of Debtor#1, followed by a liquidation, OA#1 is only entitled to maximum of €1.2 million (i.e. up to the mortgage inscription value) of the property liquidation/sales value, which may actually be higher than the inscription amount.

Therefore, the protection allocated value will not exceed the mortgage inscription value as it is the maximum amount that OA#1 could recover if the protection is realised.

Please note that a mortgage inscription value may not be the only factor that affects the protection allocated value. Other relevant factors include:

- a third party priority claim that exists in relation to the protection item;
- the market conditions at which the protection item could be liquidated (a fair value of debt securities that would be obtained on the stock exchange or other organised financial market if the debt securities were to be liquated);
- the quality of the protection concerned (e.g. a portfolio of impaired loans pledged whose high notional amount is in principle not a good reflection of the maximum amount that an observed agent could consider as credit protection for the instrument);
- the quality of the issuer of the protection (e.g. for corporate bonds, information is used for the issuer of the bond and remaining tenor).

Please note that protection items are always reported only once in the protection received dataset (which records the information on the value of each item of collateral), irrespective of how many instruments they secure (which is reflected in
the instrument-protection received dataset). Hence, there is no double-counting of the protection in the protection received dataset.

8.4.2 Third party priority claims against the protection

Definition: The maximum amount of any existing higher ranked liens with respect to third parties other than the observed agent against the protection.

The third party priority claim against the protection is the maximum amount of any existing higher ranked liens with respect to third parties other than the observed agent against the protection.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is to be reported for every combination of a protection item and an instrument that it secures.

Values

This data attribute is a monetary amount in euro. The reported value is a non-negative real number.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The third party priority claim against the protection is the total amount of all third party claims on the protection item with higher ranked liens compared to the reported instrument.

Instruments granted by third party credit institutions (observed agents) which give rise to the third party priority claims on the protection securing a reported instrument are not subject to AnaCredit reporting from the perspective of the reporting agent of the observed agent that extended the reported instrument (unless the third party is another observed agent within the scope of the same reporting agent). This is because only instruments held or serviced by an observed agent are reportable.

The third party claims against the protection available to an observed agent are typically based on the information as recorded at the inception of an instrument rather than at a reporting reference date. Therefore, this data attribute in AnaCredit is quite static, as it is not expected to change regularly. It would tend to be updated when the claim of the third party on the protection item as such no longer exists with the third party. Nevertheless, the third party priority claim may be updated on an ongoing basis if observed agents have up-to-date information in this respect.

The amount of the third party priority claims against the protection is typically a feature of the protection item itself rather than of the instrument-protection combination vis-à-vis an observed agent. Therefore, in cases where multiple instruments are reported that are secured by the same protection item, it is common practice to report the same amount for the data attribute “third party priority claim” for
each instrument-protection combination. However, this is not necessarily the case in
genral, as the observed agent may have different liens of the same protection item
in relation to different instruments.

The third party priority claim is the maximum amount of any existing higher ranked
liens with respect to third parties where higher ranked liens are to be determined on
the basis of the lowest priority claim the creditor has against the protection (if the
creditor has multiple claims with mixed liens ranks on the same property).

For an illustration of how the instrument-protection received dataset may be reported
in the presence of multiple claims (with mixed ranks) on the same protection item,
including the reporting of the third party priority claim, please consider the following
example.
Example 52: Multiple mortgage claims on a single property with third party claims

This example concerns the perspective of observed agent (OA#1).

1. On 5 August 2016 OA#1 makes a contract Con#6 to extend a first-lien loan in the amount of €100,000 (Inst#1) to a legal entity (Cpy#A). The loan is secured by a mortgage claim of €400,000 on commercial real estate (CRE#1). The market value of the property is established to be €950,000.

2. On 23 June 2017, a credit institution (OtherBank) extends a second-lien loan of €150,000 (Inst#2) to Cpy#A on the basis of a mortgage inscription amount of €300,000 on the same commercial real estate (CRE#1); at the origination of the loan, the market value of the property is established to be €950,000.

3. On 7 September 2018 OA#1, on the basis of contract Con#8 extends a loan of €500,000 (Inst#3) to Cpy#A. The loan is secured by a third-lien mortgage on the commercial real estate CRE#1 (with a mortgage claim of €500,000). The market value established at the origination of Inst#3 is €1 million.

4. As of 30 September 2018, the outstanding nominal amounts of the loans extended by OA#1 are €100,000 and €500,000 for Inst#1 and Inst#3, respectively. The real estate collateral is exclusively owned by Cpy#A. OA#1 has no insight into the outstanding nominal amount of Inst#2 extended by OtherBank.

The real estate collateral is reported to AnaCredit as of 30 September 2018 in connection with Inst#1 and Inst#2. This reporting is depicted in Table 97 (selected data attributes only).

Table 97 Commercial real estate CRE#1 in the protection received dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Protection provider identifier</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Protection value</th>
<th>Type of protection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CPY#A</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>1,000,000.00</td>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that it is the immovable property itself, not the mortgage claim on the property, which is considered a protection item in relation to both instruments.

As regards the allocation of the protection value to the two instruments, OA#1 calculates as follows in the event that Cpy#A defaults:

- the commercial real estate collateral will be realised in the market and the liquidation will give €1 million of proceeds;
- having a first-lien of €400,000 on the protection, OA#1 allocates €100,000 of it to Inst#1 and the remaining €300,000 to Inst#3;
- from the remaining proceeds of €600,000, OA#1 subtracts the claim of OtherBank of €300,000, which has a prior lien over its other claim, and allocates an additional €200,000 of the protection value to Inst#3 (up to the lien amount).

This allocation is reported in the instrument-protection received dataset, which is depicted in Table 98.

Table 98 Protection allocated value in the instrument-protection received dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Protection allocated value</th>
<th>Third party priority claim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CON#6</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CON#8</td>
<td>INST#3</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>500,000.00</td>
<td>300,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please note that the third party priority claim is the maximum amount of any existing higher ranked liens with respect to third parties (i.e. other than the observed agent), where higher ranked liens are to be determined on the basis of the lowest priority claim OA#1 has against the protection (as in this case there are multiple claims with mixed liens ranks on the same property).

Please also note that in this case OA#1 own prior liens are not accounted for in the third party priority claim reported for Inst#3.

Last but not least, the instrument (Inst#2) extended by the third party credit institution is not reported vis-à-vis OA#1, as only instruments held or serviced by the observed agent are subject to AnaCredit reporting. In this connection, the actual amount that could be eventually claimed by the third party credit institution (as the loan is substantially lower than the mortgage inscription) is not taken into account by OA#1.

Please note that, when determining the protection allocated value in relation to Inst#3, both the third party priority claims and the amount of the protection value allocated to Inst#1 have been taken into consideration. This is necessary, as otherwise an amount larger than actually allocable would be dispensed to the instrument. This goes against the definition of the protection allocated value as the maximum amount of the protection value that can be considered as credit protection for the instrument. The amount of the existing third parties or observed agent priority claims against the protection is excluded in the protection allocated value.
9 Protection received dataset

9.1 General aspects

The protection received dataset describe the characteristics of any protection (both funded and unfunded) that serves to secure the repayment of instruments reported in the instrument dataset.

For the purposes of AnaCredit data collection, protection received data comprise data on any kind of protection that is linked to any reported instrument via the instrument-protection received table.

Protection items that are not linked to any instrument reported under AnaCredit requirements do not have to be reported.

A protection item is recorded in the protection received dataset if it is considered by the reporting agent to be an assurance or coverage against a negative credit event that may arise in relation to an instrument. In other words, protection serves as a creditor’s protection against a debtor’s default where the value of the protection can be used to offset the loan if the debtor fails to satisfactorily pay any amount that it is obliged to pay under the terms of the contract.

Consequently, AnaCredit considers that any item accepted by the reporting agent as security and providing assurance or coverage against the credit risk arising under a contract or an instrument is reported. In particular, all protection items considered as such by reporting agents are reported irrespective of whether or not they are eligible for credit risk mitigation in the calculation of the minimum capital requirements under the CRR or reported as collateral under Annex V to the ITS. Similarly, protection is reported independently of the loan/collateral ratio.

If a protection item is contractually assigned not at the level of a particular instrument but at the level of a debtor or a contract, this implicitly means that the protection item is linked to all instruments of the debtor or all instruments existing under the contract. Consequently, such protection is reported as a single record in the protection received dataset, whereas the instrument-protection received dataset includes a record for every combination of this protection item with any instrument of the debtor (or of the contract, respectively) that is reported to AnaCredit.

Each protection has one protection identifier. This identifier will not change over time and cannot be used as the protection identifier for any other protection.

Each protection item has a value and the total protection value without considering any (regulatory) haircuts is reported.

Each protection item is assigned one type of protection as referred to in Article 1(25) of the AnaCredit Regulation.
9.1.1 Relationship with the counterparty risk/default datasets

As regards the relationship between the protection received entity table and the counterparty risk/default entity table, the counterparty risk/default entity table is applicable for those protection providers which are at the same time the protection issuers of the protection (i.e. when the provider of the protection and the issuer of the protection coincide). This situation happens in the case of unfunded credit protection as defined in Article 4(1)(59) of the CRR.

In particular, regarding protection providers of a “financial guarantee” as defined in paragraph 58 of Annex V to the ITS, the counterparty which is the protection provider of such a protection item and is recorded in the protection received entity table is also recorded in the counterparty risk/default entity table. Note that “financial guarantee” as defined in paragraph 58 of Annex V to the ITS corresponds to the following types of protection as defined in Annex IV of the AnaCredit Regulation: “financial guarantees other than credit derivatives” and “credit derivative”, to the extent the credit derivative meets the definition of a “financial guarantee”.

9.2 Level of granularity

The level of granularity is the individual protection item, which may be linked to multiple instruments.

The protection identifier represents a protection item (e.g. real estate property or debt securities) that is used to secure payments arising under one or more instruments.

A protection item refers to a specific property rather than a debtor’s (or third party’s) pledge of specific property. In particular, the level of protection will not be lower than the level of property (as opposed to a claim on the property). Please note, however, that a protection item may entail several properties (e.g. a pool of securities or a pool of trade receivables) but in no case will the protection level be lower than the property level. For more guidance on the level at which protection is considered please refer to the following example.
Example 53: Level of protection – multiple mortgage claims on single property

1. On 17 September 2018 observed agent (OA#1) extends a loan of the amount of €300,000 (Inst#1) to a legal entity (Cpy#A). The loan is secured by a mortgage claim of €500,000 on commercial real estate (CRE#1).

2. During the loan acceptance process, the market value of the real estate was established to be €1,000,000 following a third party appraisal. The property is exclusively owned by Cpy#A.

3. In addition, the information available in the cadastre shows a third party credit institution’s claim of €150,000 on the real estate which was made in 2016.

The real estate collateral is reported to AnaCredit as of 30 September 2018 in connection with Inst#1. This reporting is depicted in Table 99 (selected data attributes only).

Table 99 Reporting property as protection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Protection provider identifier</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Protection value</th>
<th>Type of protection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CPY#A</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>1,000,000.00</td>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that it is the immovable property itself, not the mortgage claim on the property, which is considered a protection item in relation to Inst#1. Therefore it is the property that is reported in the protection received dataset.

Furthermore, as regards the protection value, it is the total amount that is reported in the dataset rather than the mortgage claim of €500,000. Similarly, the protection value is reported without taking account of the third party priority claim that exists in relation to the protection item (i.e. the immovable property).

Please note, however, that both claims (i.e. the actual claim of OA#1 and the third party priority claim) are expected to be taken into consideration when determining the protection allocated value as reported in the instrument-protection received dataset, which is defined to be “the maximum amount of the protection value that can be considered as credit protection for the instrument. The amount of the existing third parties or observed agent priority claims against the protection are excluded in the protection allocated value”.

For more guidance regarding the protection allocated value please refer to Chapter 8 of this Manual dealing specifically with the instrument-protection received dataset.

Please note that AnaCredit does not stipulate at which level so-called bundled protection items are reported. In this respect, it is clarified that in the case of protection items which entail several individual items, each of which could be considered a separate protection item (e.g. a pool of securities or a pool of trade receivables), the level of granularity depends on the approach that the reporting agent takes to value such protection items.

More specifically, for bundled protection items which are valued and considered by the reporting agent as one multi-name protection item, the reporting granularity of the protection item is the same, and the reporting agent does not report the individual items separately. An example of such protection items includes a pool of securities received which are valued and considered by the creditor as a single
protection item; in such a case, the pool of securities is reported as one (bundled) 
protection item in a single record in the protection received dataset with a value 
reflecting the total value of the securities included therein, as opposed to reporting 
each individual security within this pool (each with a separate protection identifier).

However, if for the valuation and consequent utilisation the creditor uses a look- 
through approach for such bundled protection items, then such protection is reported 
to AnaCredit at the level of an individual item included in the basket.

9.2.1 Uniqueness of protection identifier at the level of a reporting agent

An individual protection item is uniquely identified by a protection identifier at the 
level of a reporting agent, cf. Section 2.2.6. This means that the same protection 
identifier of a protection item is used by whichever observed agent whose data 
include instruments secured by the protection item.

A single protection item which is used as protection by different observed agents 
within the scope of the same reporting agent is therefore identified with the same 
(and common) protection identifier across the different observed agents.
For an illustration of how a protection item common across multiple observed agents of the same reporting agent is reported, consider the following example.

**Example 54: Unique identification of protection at the level of reporting agent**

1. A credit institution (RepAgt#1) consists of the domestic part (OA#1/DOM) and a foreign branch (OA#2/FB).

2. On 17 September 2018 OA#1/DOM grants a short-term lending cross-limit to a legal entity (IntCpy#1) which is internationally active. In accordance with the contract, the credit cross-limit can be used by any subsidiary of IntCpy#1.

3. The cross-limit is secured by a financial guarantee (GUA#1) provided by IntCpy#1. The guaranteed amount is €5,000,000.

4. On 2 October, OA#1/DOM extends under the short-term lending cross-limit a loan (Loan#1/A) to a legal entity (Cpy#A) which is a subsidiary of IntCpy#1.

5. On 19 October OA#2/FB extends under the short-term lending cross-limit a loan (Loan#2/B) to a legal entity (Cpy#B) which is a subsidiary of IntCpy#1.

In this situation, both Loan#1/A and Loan#2/B which relate to two different observed agents of the same reporting agent are secured with the same protection item (GUA#1).

In connection with Loan#1/A, OA#1/DOM reports the guarantee in the protection received dataset. The reporting as of 31 October 2018 is depicted in Table 100.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Protection provider identifier</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Protection value</th>
<th>Type of protection</th>
<th>Type of protection value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>OA#1/DOM</td>
<td>INTCPY#1</td>
<td>GUA#1</td>
<td>5,000,000.00</td>
<td>Financial guarantee other than credit derivatives</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Meanwhile, OA#2/FB reports the same protection item in connection with Loan#2/B. This is presented in Table 101.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Observed agent identifier</th>
<th>Protection provider identifier</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Protection value</th>
<th>Type of protection</th>
<th>Type of protection value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>OA#2/FB</td>
<td>INTCPY#1</td>
<td>GUA#1</td>
<td>5,000,000.00</td>
<td>Financial guarantee other than credit derivatives</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that, in this situation, when there is one protection item linked with multiple instruments relating to different observed agents of the same reporting agent, the common protection is reported in the protection received datasets of all the observed agents concerned, with the observed agents replicating the information regarding the common protection item (i.e. they report identical information for the whole record with the exception of the observed agent identifier).

Irrespective of the fact that the same protection item may be reported multiple times in the protection received dataset (including in relation to different reporting agents, e.g. many unrelated credit institutions may have mortgage claims on the same real estate
property), the protection allocated values and the third party priority claims as reported in the instrument-protection received dataset in relation to different instruments are required to accurately reflect the actual situation, i.e. the reported value takes into account the fact that it is one and the same protection item, and, in particular, if a part of the protection value is allocated to one instrument, the maximum amount of the protection allocable to other instruments is reduced accordingly. In other words, the risk of double-counting the protection allocated value is mitigated thanks to the definitions of the protection allocated value and the third party priority claims.

9.3 Reporting frequency

The information contained in the protection received dataset describes the protection regularly reported in the instrument-protection received dataset as of a reporting reference date. However, rather than being regularly reported, the information in the protection received dataset is submitted to AnaCredit once and updated whenever changed. This applies both to new records (i.e. when new/additional protection was received as security for the repayment of any instrument reported in AnaCredit) and to changed records (i.e. when a change takes place regarding a protection item for which the protection-received dataset was reported previously).

In both cases, the records are reported no later than the monthly transmission of the instrument-protection received relevant for the reporting reference date on or before which the change came into effect.

9.4 The protection received dataset – data attributes

The protection received dataset is applicable for protection items which are reported in the instrument-protection received dataset. For each protection item, the following data attributes are reported.

Table 102 Overview of data attributes in the protection received dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data attribute</th>
<th>Internal identifier</th>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Section in Part II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporting agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection provider identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of protection</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>9.4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection value</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>9.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of protection value</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>9.4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection valuation approach</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>9.4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real estate collateral location</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>9.4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of protection value</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>9.4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturity date of the protection</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>9.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original protection value</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>9.4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of original protection value</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>9.4.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.4.1 Protection provider identifier

Definition: Counterparty identifier for the protection provider. If the protection provider is not a legal entity, the protection provider identifier is not required to be reported.

The counterparty that provides protection securing an instrument is reported in the protection received dataset. No data regarding the role of protection provider are entered into the counterparty-instrument dataset.

The protection provider identifier is the counterparty identifier of the counterparty that grants protection against a contractually agreed negative credit event and/or that is obliged to make payments to the creditor if the debtor fails to meet the obligation to make repayments arising under the instrument secured by the protection item (i.e. when the negative credit event under Article 1(13) of the AnaCredit Regulation occurs). Table 103 gives an overview of the counterparty (if any) that typically serves as the protection provider for a given type of protection in the general case.

Reporting qualification

The protection provider identifier is reported unless the counterparty which provides the protection item is not a legal entity or a part of a legal entity under Article 1(5) of the AnaCredit Regulation (i.e. if the protection provider is a natural person).

If the scenario applies, i.e. the protection provider is a natural person, the value "Non-applicable" is reported as the protection provider identifier in relation to the protection item. Moreover, this protection provider, which represents a natural person, is not linked to any counterparty reference data record because no counterparty reference data for natural persons are reported to AnaCredit (cf. Chapter 12 below on counterparty-related data).

Reporting in the case of a plurality of protection providers

In some cases, protection may be provided by several protection providers (e.g. by joint guarantors or in the case of property jointly owned by two or more counterparties). Such a situation is referred to as plurality of protection providers (of one protection items).

A plurality of protection providers is not the same as a plurality of protection items, as the latter refers to several protection items being provided in relation to one instrument.

Furthermore, a plurality of protection providers (of one protection item) does not concern cases where, because of clearly limited liabilities and/or other specifics, there are in fact several protection items, each with a single protection provider, rather than just one protection item with several protection providers. For example, a guarantee of €100 provided by two joint guarantors where each guarantor is liable for €50 only is in fact reported as two separate guarantees of €50 each.
It is clarified that in the case of a plurality of protection providers, reporting agents are recommended to identify all protection providers and record them all in their respective systems, although only one of them can actually be recorded in the protection dataset at this stage of AnaCredit. In this connection, reporting agents are advised to select which one to report to AnaCredit, basing their choice on reasonable and risk prudent considerations (examples: subordination of liabilities, size of the contribution to the joint protection). In cases where there is a natural person acting as joint protection provider with a non-natural person, it is always the latter that is reported to AnaCredit.

Suitable enhancements to the reporting scheme are envisaged as part of the future development of AnaCredit in order to capture each of joint protection provider. This can be achieved specifically by introducing a new entity table describing, inter alia, the relationship between protection and counterparty, as with the counterparty-instrument dataset.6

Values

The protection provider identifier is a code consisting of alphabetical and numerical symbols. In cases where a protection item reported to AnaCredit is provided by a natural person, the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The counterparty to be considered as a protection provider for a given protection item is largely dependent on the type of the protection itself. In general, for physical assets, equity and real estate, the protection provider is the ownership holder of the protection item.

For instance, in these specific cases, the protection provider is:

- the owner of physical collateral typically entitled to pledge the collateral;
- the legal owner of the physical item/real estate;
- the holder of the security pledged as a protection as opposed to the issuer of the security;
- the policy holder in the case of life insurance policies issued by an insurance company.

Typically, in the case of physical collateral, it is the debtor that pledges such collateral. However, the protection provider may also be a third party if the protection is pledged by a party different from the debtor. Consider for instance a case in which a company owned by a parent company receives a loan, and where the payment of the loan is secured by a real estate property belonging to the parent company. In this

---

6 A new entity table is envisaged with a view to bridging the protection received data with the counterparty reference date, so that besides the relationship between protection and counterparty, additional attributes can be included such as the amount provided by each protection provider and the maturity date of each protection provider’s commitment in relation to the same protection contract.
case, the owner of the property, i.e. the parent company, is reported as the protection provider and not the debtor.

Nevertheless, the reporting agent verifies on an individual basis whether a counterparty exists that bears the credit risk if a given protection item is used and whether it qualifies for reporting. This applies most notably in cases of “other protection”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of protection</th>
<th>Protection provider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>Owner(s) of the gold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency and deposits</td>
<td>Owner(s) of the currency and deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities</td>
<td>Holder(s) of the debt security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans</td>
<td>Creditor(s) of the pledged loans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity and investment fund shares or units</td>
<td>Holder(s) of the equity and investment fund shares or units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit derivatives (e.g. CDS)</td>
<td>Holder(s) of the credit derivative/protection issuer(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial guarantees other than credit derivatives</td>
<td>Guarantor(s)/protection issuer(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade receivables</td>
<td>Owner(s) of the trade receivables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life insurance policies pledged</td>
<td>Holder(s) of the life insurance policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential real estate collateral</td>
<td>Owner(s) of the residential real estate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offices and commercial premises</td>
<td>Owner(s) of the offices or commercial premises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
<td>Owner(s) of the commercial real estate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other physical collaterals</td>
<td>Owner(s) of the physical collateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other protection</td>
<td>Determined on an individual basis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.4.2 Maturity date of the protection

Definition: The contractual maturity date of the protection, which is the earliest date at which the protection may terminate or be terminated, taking into account any agreements amending initial contracts.

The maturity date of the protection is a date which is contractually specified. It is the earliest date beyond which the protection is not legally valid, taking into account any agreements amending initial contracts.

Reporting qualification

The maturity date of the protection is reported for each protection received record. If no specific date is provided in the credit contract (or no such date arises from the general legal framework), “Non-applicable” is reported as the value for “maturity date of protection”.

Values

Unless “Non-applicable” is be reported, the maturity date of the protection is reported as a date indicating the day on which the protection will be terminated or may be terminated.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The maturity date of the protection is not a property of the received protection item itself (e.g. the expiry date of a debt security pledged as protection) but of its function as protection securing a reported instrument (e.g. the date upon which the debt security may be withdrawn from serving as protection for the reported instrument). If the protection is used to secure multiple instruments and in relation to each instrument, it is contractually specified that the protection cannot be used beyond the maturity date of the instrument, and the reported maturity date of the protection is the earliest of all the maturity dates specified in any of the contracts.

Additionally when the reporting reference date reaches or is after the earliest protection maturity date, then “maturity date of protection” is changed/rolled over to the next such date, i.e. the maturity date of the next reported instrument to which the protection item is linked, unless the maturity date is unspecified for the instruments.

If the credit contract expressly specifies that the protection can be legally pledged for an unlimited period of time, then “Non-applicable” is reported. Similarly, if no specific date is provided in the credit contract (or no such date arises from the general legal framework), “Non-applicable” is reported as the “maturity date of protection”. Please note that the “Non-applicable” value is understood such that no specific maturity date has been contractually agreed. For example, if a protection item is pledged “until further notice”, the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

Physical collateral, gold, equity shares and real estate collateral do not expire in the same way that, for example, a debt instrument does. However, the contract establishing that those physical goods, equity shares or property may be used as protection for a specific instrument may set a maturity date upon which the function of these goods or property as protection may terminate or be terminated. This date is reported. If no such provision exists in the contract, and the instrument gives no such information either, the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

If trade receivables are used as collateral, the end of the limitation period sets an upper limit for the maturity date, but it is not necessarily the contractually agreed maturity date. If a maturity date has been contractually specified, this value is reported. If no maturity date has been specified in the contract, the value “Non-applicable” is reported rather than the end of the limitation period.
9.4.3 Type of protection

Definition: Type of protection received, irrespective of its eligibility for credit risk mitigation.

Please note that the eligibility referred to in the definition regards the eligibility of the protection in accordance with the CRR.

Reporting qualification

The type of protection is filled in for each data record in the protection received dataset.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

Financial leases

The leased assets in financial leases function as implicit protection. Hence, the protection is reported as a protection item under the applicable type of protection.

For an illustration of how to report protection in the case of financial leases, consider the following example:
Example 55: Protection in the case of instruments classified as financial lease

Observed agent (OA#1) is a resident credit institution that extends an instrument (Inst#1) to a legal entity (Lessee#A) with the following arrangements (CNTRCT$FL):

1. Lessee#Aee requires financing of an equipment and machinery to be used in relation to its activity;
2. the lessor (OA#1) purchases the required equipment and machinery;
3. Lessee#A will have use of the assets during the lease for which it will pay a series of instalments;
4. OA#1 will recover a large part or all of the cost of the equipment and machinery plus earn interest from the instalments paid by Lessee#A;
5. Lessee#A has the option to acquire ownership of the equipment and machinery.

At 30 April 2019, the following information is reported to AnaCredit in connection with Inst#1:

Table 104 Instrument dataset for the financial lease (selected data attributes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Type of instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>CNTRCT$FL</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>Financial leases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 105 Instrument-protection received dataset for the financial lease (selected data attributes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Contract identifier</th>
<th>Instrument identifier</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>CNTRCT$FL</td>
<td>INST#1</td>
<td>PROT#1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 106 Protection received dataset for the financial lease (selected data attributes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Type of protection</th>
<th>Protection provider identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>PROT#1</td>
<td>Other physical collaterals</td>
<td>OA#1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that in the case of financial leases, the identification of the commercial product is done vis-à-vis the type of instrument in the instrument dataset, whereas the leased asset is captured in the protection received dataset, where it is classified as the type of protection corresponding to the leased asset (in this case "other physical collaterals"). The link between the leased asset and the instrument is captured in the instrument-protection received dataset.

Reverse repurchase agreements

The financial assets that are part of a reverse repurchase agreement function as implicit collateral (cf. Part I, Chapter 6.2). Hence they are to be reported as protection items of the applicable type of protection, i.e. securities or equity and investment fund shares or units. For further details regarding reverse repurchase agreements please refer to Part III of the Manual.
Residential vs. commercial real estate collateral vs. offices and commercial premises

Article 4(1)(75) of the CRR provides a definition of residential property but no definition of commercial property.

“Residential property” is described as a “residence which is occupied by the owner or the lessee of the residence, including the right to inhabit an apartment in housing cooperatives located in Sweden”. In the context of AnaCredit, “commercial immovable property” encompasses any immovable property that is not a “residential property” within the meaning of Article 4(1)(75) of the CRR. Consequently, any real estate property that is not residential real estate is considered to be commercial property, with a further distinction made between (i) commercial real estate and (ii) offices and commercial premises as types of protection.

If a property has a mixed residential and commercial use (such as office/apartment or retail outlet/apartment), it is to be classified according to its dominant use.

Amongst commercial properties, the distinction between the types of protection “offices and commercial premises” and “commercial real estate collateral” is based on the relationship between the collateral and the creditworthiness of the debtor.

In accordance with Article 126 of the CRR, the type of protection “offices and commercial premises” means real estate property other than residential real estate, where the debtor’s creditworthiness does not materially depend on any cash flow generated by the property, and the property’s value does not materially depend on the quality of the debtor, while commercial real estate collateral is immovable property other than residential real estate which does affect the creditworthiness of the debtor (i.e. if the proceeds received from it affect the creditworthiness of the debtor).

Values

AnaCredit gives a broad definition of “protection” and does not stipulate or pre-empt a creditor’s decision as to which items may be accepted as protection in relation to an instrument, contract or debtor. Collateral reported for FINREP purposes under Section 12 of Part 2 of Annex V to the ITS forms a subset of the protection that may be reported in the context of AnaCredit, which may include protection that is not recognised as collateral for FINREP purposes because the data attribute “type of protection” is aimed at a classification of whichever physical or non-physical, funded or unfunded items an observed agent accepts as collateral securing a reported instrument.

However, in the context of AnaCredit, each protection item is assigned a type of protection, i.e. a classification of protection into a list of several categories defined in the subsequent points. This classification is aimed at a clear identification of the protection category for each protection item and is independent of classifications under different reporting frameworks that may serve different purposes, e.g. in the context of credit risk mitigation in accordance with the CRR.
Gold

Definition: Gold in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

A protection item which fulfils the definition of gold as referred to in the CRR is assigned this type of protection. The value “gold” includes gold bullion held in own vault and on an allocated basis to the extent backed by bullion liabilities.

Gold is recorded in the protection received dataset, irrespective of whether or not it qualifies as eligible collateral in accordance with the CRR.

Currency and deposits

Definition: Currency and deposits as defined in paragraph 5.74 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.

The value “currency and deposits” comprises currency in circulation and deposits, both in national currency and in foreign currencies, as defined in paragraph 5.74 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.

Securities

Definition: Securities as defined in paragraph 5.89 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.

The value “securities” is restricted to debt securities, which are negotiable financial instruments serving as evidence of debt, in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 549/2013. Accordingly, the type of protection “securities” may relate to a single debt security or a basket (portfolio) of debt securities.

Loans

Definition: Loans as defined in paragraph 5.112 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.

The value “loans” comprises funds extended by creditors to debtors, as defined in paragraph 5.112 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.

Equity and investment fund shares or units

Definition: Equity and investment fund shares or units as defined in paragraph 5.139 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.

The value “equity and investment fund shares or units” comprises residual claims on the assets of the institutional units that issued the shares or units, as defined in paragraph 5.139 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.
Credit derivatives

Definition: Credit derivatives that are: credit derivatives meeting the definition of financial guarantees (as defined in paragraph 58(b) of Part 2 of Annex V to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 680/2014), and credit derivatives other than financial guarantees (as defined in paragraph 67(d) of Part 2 of Annex V to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 680/2014). Credit derivatives include the eligible credit derivatives indicated in Article 204 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

The value “credit derivatives” comprises both credit derivatives meeting the definition of financial guarantees as defined in paragraph 58(b) of Part 2 of Annex V to the ITS and credit derivatives other than financial guarantees as defined in paragraph 67(d) of Part 2 of Annex V to the ITS.

Credit derivatives are recorded in the protection received dataset, irrespective of whether or not they qualify as eligible collateral in accordance with the CRR.

Financial guarantees other than credit derivatives


The value “financial guarantees other than credit derivatives” comprises guarantees having the character of credit substitute and irrevocable standby letters of credit having the character of credit substitute, as defined in paragraphs 58(a) and 58(c) of Part 2 of Annex V to the ITS.

Trade receivables

Definition: Trade receivables as defined in paragraph 5.41(c) of part 2 of Annex V to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 680/2014.

The value “trade receivables” comprises loans to a debtor on the basis of bills or other documents that give the right to receive the proceeds of transactions for the sale of goods or provision of services, as defined in paragraph 41(c) of Part 2 of Annex V to the ITS. As opposed to instruments which are trade receivables purchased by a credit institution (and are reported in the instrument dataset accordingly), the type of protection “trade receivables” refers to those trade receivables which are not instruments in the context of AnaCredit but are a protection item which is pledged by the owner of the trade receivables to secure a loan granted by the credit institution to the owner or a third party (i.e. financing against trade receivables).

For details on trade receivables please refer to Part III of the Manual.
Life insurance policies pledged

Definition: Life insurance policies pledged to the lending institutions in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

The value “life insurance policies pledged” comprises life insurance policies pledged to the creditor as referred to in the CRR. Life insurance policies pledged are recorded in the protection received dataset, irrespective of whether or not they qualify as eligible collateral in accordance with the CRR.

Residential real estate collateral

Definition: Residential property as defined in Article 4(1)(75) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

The value “residential real estate collateral” comprises residences occupied by the owner or the lessee of the residence, as defined in the CRR.

The value takes into account both an actual pledge on residential real estate and a residential real estate mandate.

Real estate mandates are defined as the right to seize a designated real estate. They are included in the real estate collateral categories if they are recognised as credit protection by the respective reporting agent.

Any residential real estate collateral items are reported, irrespective of whether or not they qualify as eligible collateral in accordance with the CRR.

Offices and commercial premises

Definition: Offices and commercial premises in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

The value “offices and commercial premises” comprises real estate other than residential real estate that qualifies as “offices or other commercial premises” for the purposes of Article 126(1) of the CRR. For further details please refer to the EBA Q&A 2014_1214 regarding the recognition of real estate as commercial property.

In determining whether a property other than residential real estate collateral meets the description of “offices or other commercial premises” in accordance with the CRR, consideration is to be given to the dominant purpose of the property in question, which should meet the following conditions:

- “the value of the property shall not materially depend upon the credit quality of the borrower” (Article 126(2)(a) of the CRR);
- “the risk of the borrower shall not materially depend upon the performance of the underlying property or project”, i.e. “the repayment of the facility shall not materially depend on any cash flow generated by the underlying property serving as collateral” (Article 126(2)(b) of the CRR).
Commercial real estate collateral

Definition: Real estate property other than residential property, offices and commercial premises.

The value “commercial real estate collateral” comprises any real estate collateral other than residential real estate collateral under Article 4(1)(75) of the CRR and other than offices and commercial premises for the purposes of Article 126(1) of the CRR.

Generally, instruments secured by mortgages on real estate property are not restricted to instruments secured by residential or offices or other commercial premises properties.

Any commercial real estate collateral is recorded in the protection received dataset, irrespective of whether or not it qualifies as eligible collateral in accordance with the CRR.

Other physical collaterals

Definition: Other physical collateral in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 and not included in the previous values.

The value “other physical collaterals” comprises any physical object other than real estate and other than gold that is pledged to secure a reported instrument.

Any other physical collateral items are recorded in the protection received dataset, irrespective of whether or not they qualify as eligible collateral in accordance with the CRR.

Other protection

Definition: Other protection not included in any of the categories listed above.

The value “other protection” comprises all other non-physical collateral that is used to secure a reported instrument.

In particular, any assurance or coverage against a negative credit event relating to an instrument reported to AnaCredit which does not meet the definition of the types of protection as listed above is reported as “other protection”.

Any such assurance or coverage is reported in the protection received dataset, irrespective of whether or not it qualifies as eligible collateral in accordance with the CRR.
Protection value

Definition: The amount of the protection value as established for the relevant “Type of protection value” following the valuation approach.

The protection value is the monetary value of the protection item that was established at the latest valuation date prior to the reporting reference date under the chosen protection valuation approach (cf. Section 9.1.1).

The protection value reflects the total value of the protection being either its notional amount, if relevant, or otherwise an amount that best represents the value of the protection, established at the latest valuation, for which the protection may be taken into account at a reporting reference date.

The total protection value without considering any (regulatory) haircuts is reported.

Reporting qualification

The protection value is reported for each protection item received.

Values

The protection value is a monetary amount in units of euro. The reported value is a non-negative real number.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The type of the protection value is identified in the respective data attribute.

The protection value is based on the most recent valuation carried out prior to the reporting reference date.

For each protection item that is reported as a separate record in the protection received dataset, a single protection value is reported, which is the protection item’s total value, established under a certain valuation approach.

For a bundled protection item (for example a basket of securities) that was valued as one multi-name protection item, the protection value is in accordance to the valuation approach.

The value is that obtained in the latest valuation. For protection items valued at their notional amount, the date of protection value is the reporting reference date.

The total (gross) protection value is reported without applying any (regulatory) haircuts (i.e. deductible percentage that is applicable if certain conditions are met). In other words, no haircuts are applied to protection values.
For an illustration of which value to report, consider the following example.

**Example 56: Reporting the protection value**

1. Observed agent (OA#1) is a (part of a) credit institution supervised under the CRR. In March 2019, the observed agent extends a loan of €250,000 (Inst#1) to a legal entity (SmallCpy#A). The loan is secured by a financial guarantee (Gua#1) provided by a natural person (Guarantor#NP) and by a debt security (Sec#2) pledged by SmallCpy#A. There are no other protection items securing Inst#1.

2. The guaranteed amount, being the maximum amount Guarantor#NP would have to pay if the guarantee is called on equals €150,000. However, the protection provider does not qualify as an eligible protection provider and the guarantee is not eligible for credit risk mitigation in accordance with the CRR.

3. The nominal amount of the debt security is $100,000. As the debt security is denominated in a currency different from the currency of the loan and there is a requirement to apply a currency mismatch haircut of 10% in accordance with the CRR. As of 31 March 2019 the EUR/USD exchange rate is 1.2.

In connection with Inst#1, both the financial guarantee and the debt security pledged are considered as protection securing Inst#1 and reported accordingly to AnaCredit.

As regards the guarantee, the protection is not eligible for the CRR, and a haircut of 100% is applied to the guarantee amount in the context of the CRR. However, for AnaCredit purposes, the total guarantee amount is reported as it secures Inst#1.

At 31 March, the protection value of the debt security is €120,000, and although the amount of the protection adjusted for the currency mismatch haircut of 10% is €108,000 for the purposes of the CRR, it is the total protection value of the debt security that is reported to AnaCredit. This reporting is depicted in Table 107.

**Table 107 Protection value in the case**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Type of protection</th>
<th>Protection provider identifier</th>
<th>Protection value</th>
<th>Type of protection value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>GUA#1</td>
<td>Financial guarantee other than credit derivatives</td>
<td>&quot;Non-applicable&quot;</td>
<td>150,000.00</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>SEC#2</td>
<td>Securities</td>
<td>SMALLCPY#A</td>
<td>120,000.00</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that for the purposes of AnaCredit, all protection items are reported, irrespective of their eligibility for credit risk mitigation in accordance with the CRR.

Please also note that there is no requirement to apply any haircuts to the protection value. In particular, there is no requirement to apply a currency mismatch haircut when converting another currency of the protection into the instrument’s currency in relation to the potential exchange rate loss.

In line with the general rule that an amount denominated in a currency other than euro is converted into euro using the respective exchange rate as of the date to which the amount refers, the following clarification is given.

- If a protection item is valued at its notional amount, then the date of protection value is the reporting reference date, and the foreign currency exchange rate as of the reporting reference date is applied to convert the amount into euro. In such cases, this data attribute is updated whenever the exchange rate changes (from one reporting reference date to another).
If a protection item is not valued at a notional amount (but instead at fair value, for instance), then the exchange rate on the date of protection value is used to convert the original protection currency into euro and, consequently, the protection value is not updated at a reporting reference date unless an evaluation of the protection takes place.

For an illustration of how often this dataset is reported to AnaCredit, consider the following example which takes into account possible implications of changes in foreign exchange rates on the reporting obligation.
Example 57: Reporting frequency of the protection received dataset

On 17 March 2019 observed agent (OA#1) – a foreign branch in the United Kingdom – extends a loan (Inst#1) to a legal entity (Cpy#A). At the origination, the loan is secured by a financial guarantee (GUA#1) provided by a legal entity (PP#G) and by real estate collateral (RE#1) belonging to a legal entity (PP#TrPty). There are no other protection items securing the instrument. The guarantee amounts to £50,000 and the real estate collateral, which was evaluated on 11 February 2019, has a market value of £75,000.

1. Since Inst#1 is secured both by the financial guarantee and by the real estate, both protection items are reported in the protection received dataset as of 31 March 2019. As regards the protection value, the foreign exchange (FX) rate as of 11 February 2019 (EUR/GBP=0.75) is used for the real estate and the FX rate as of 31 March 2019 (EUR/GBP=0.8) is used for the guarantee. This reporting is depicted in Table 108.

Table 108 Indication of the protection received dataset as of March

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Protection provider identifier</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Protection value</th>
<th>Date of protection value</th>
<th>Type of protection value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>PP#G</td>
<td>GUA#1</td>
<td>62,500.00</td>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>PP#TRPTY</td>
<td>RE#1</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>11/02/2019</td>
<td>Market value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. As of 30 April, the EUR/GBP currency exchange rate is 0.9; consequently, the notional amount of the guarantee is equivalent to €55,555.56 as of the month-end date. Therefore, the protection value reported as of March is updated by submitting a record relating to the guarantee. As regards the real estate protection, the FX rate as of 11 February 2019 is also applied as of 30 April: as the date of protection value is the date of the latest evaluation, the FX rate of the date of protection value is used. Consequently, the record on the real estate is not reported as of 30 April 2019. The reporting requirement is presented in Table 109.

Table 109 Indication of the protection received dataset as of April

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Protection provider identifier</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Protection value</th>
<th>Date of protection value</th>
<th>Type of protection value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>PP#G</td>
<td>GUA#1</td>
<td>55,555.56</td>
<td>30/04/2019</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that, in this example, on 30 April 2019 the protection value of the guarantee is updated to reflect the change in FX rate (in order to capture the impact). However, it is not necessary to update the value each month based solely on FX fluctuations unless the FX rate triggers a new evaluation based on the techniques applied by the reporting agent.

Consequently, while the record on the guarantee is updated, there is no need to send a record on the real estate protection as its euro-denominated value does not change.
9.4.5 Type of protection value

Definition: Identification of the type of value provided in the data attribute “Protection value”.

The attribute “type of protection value” identifies, with reference to an exhaustive list, the type of the reported value of the protection item as reported in the data attribute “protection value”.

Reporting qualification

The type of protection value is to be reported for each protection item reported in the protection received dataset.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

This data attribute is used for identifying the type of the protection value that corresponds to the protection value as reported in the data attribute “protection value”.

In the context of AnaCredit, the protection value reflects the total value of the protection and is either its notional amount, if available, or otherwise an amount that best represents the value at which the protection may be taken into account at a reporting reference date, i.e. the value established in the most recent valuation.

Consequently, AnaCredit provides for two general types of protection value (notional amount and fair value). In principle, under AnaCredit a notional amount is reported for protection items that are financial instruments, while a fair value is reported for non-financial protection items. In particular, protection items such as gold or other physical collateral are valued at their fair values, whereas protection in the form of real estate collateral is reported at either its market value or long-term sustainable values, with the market value being the equivalent of the fair value in relation to real estate, while long-term sustainable values are determined in a prudent assessment of real estate taking into account its long-term aspects.

Finally, the category “other protection value” is reported only in cases where the protection value available to reporting agents does not meet the definition of any of the explicit types of protection value.
Table 110 provides an indication of the type of protection value that would typically be expected for a given type of protection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of protection</th>
<th>Type of protection value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>Fair value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency and deposits</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity and investment fund shares or units</td>
<td>Fair value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit derivatives</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial guarantees other than credit derivatives</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade receivables</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life insurance policies pledged</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential real estate collateral</td>
<td>Market value/long-term sustainable value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offices and commercial premises</td>
<td>Market value/long-term sustainable value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
<td>Market value/long-term sustainable value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other physical collaterals</td>
<td>Fair value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other protection</td>
<td>Notional amount/fair value (determined per protection item)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More detailed guidance regarding the type of protection value is provided below where the specific values of this data attribute are discussed one by one.

Values

For each protection item reported in the protection received dataset one of the following values is reported to provide a qualification of the protection value reported in the data attribute “protection value”.

Notional amount

Definition: The nominal or face amount contractually agreed that is used to calculate payments in the event that the protection is executed.

The notional amount is the nominal or face amount contractually agreed that is used to calculate payments in the event that the protection is executed. This comprises all value types possessing this property, even if they are typically addressed by a specific technical term different from notional value. For example, the surrender value established as the type of protection value for life insurance policies under Article 212(2) of the CRR is also to be identified as a notional value for AnaCredit purposes. In other words, all value types that are equivalent to a notional value are reported as “notional value” under the type of protection value, even if a different terminology has been in used.

For an indication of the types of protection for which the type of protection value is typically a notional amount, consider the following examples:
• the protection value of financial guarantees other than credit derivatives is the notional value (e.g. the guaranteed amount);
• the protection value of loans serving as protection is the notional value (i.e. the nominal amount), irrespective of whether the loans are performing or non-performing;
• the notional amount is to be reported for debt securities issued at par, irrespective of whether or not fair values are available for these securities (including in cases where their fair values are obtainable on the stock exchange or other organised financial markets);
• the protection value of trade receivable is the notional value of the trade receivables;
• for debt securities (bonds) on which interest is paid regularly or deep-discounted or zero-coupon bonds on which little or no interest is paid, the values are reported at their notional amount;
• for currency, the valuation is the notional value of the currency;
• for deposits, the values to be reported are notional (nominal) values.

Please note, however, that any such protection items (including currency and deposits) which are in a currency different from euro are converted to euro at the respective ECB euro foreign exchange rates (i.e. the mid-rate) on the date of protection value as reported in the data attribute “date of protection value”. For protection items valued at notional amount, it is the reporting reference date.

Please note that in the case of life insurance policies pledged, the protection value regards the surrender value of the protection (as opposed to the insured amount). The surrender value is reported and the type of protection value is “notional amount”.

**Fair value**

**Definition:** The price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. To be used if the protection is not immovable property.

The fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the valuation date.

In the case of real estate protection, the term fair value is referred to as the market value. Consequently, fair value is not used for real estate protection.

As regards certain types of protection for which there is no notional amount, or where valuing them at their notional amount is inappropriate, AnaCredit stipulates that such protection items are valued at their fair values. This relates in particular to non-financial protection items such as “other physical collaterals”.

For an indication of types of protection for which the type of protection value is a fair value, consider the following examples:

• gold is to be valued at the price established in organised gold markets;
• equity and investment fund shares/units are valued at their fair values;
• listed shares are valued at their fair value, represented by the mid-market price observed on the stock exchange or other organised financial markets;
• unlisted shares are valued at their fair value, which will be estimated;
• leased assets other than real estate collateral are valued at their fair value.

Please note that in the case of any real estate collateral, the applicable type of protection value is either market value or long-term sustainable value as further discussed in the subsequent sections.

### Market value

Definition: The current “market value” of immovable property as defined in Article 4(1)(76) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013. To be used if the protection is immovable property when the market value is reported in the data attribute “Protection value”.

The market value is a value type to be used only for real estate collateral.

In the context of AnaCredit, the term “market value” is an equivalent of fair value in relation to real estate properties and means “the estimated amount for which the property could be exchanged on the date of valuation between a willing buyer and a willing seller in an arm’s-length transaction after proper marketing wherein the parties had each acted knowledgeably, prudently and without compulsion”, as defined in Article 4(1)(76) of the CRR.

### Long-term sustainable value

Definition: The “mortgage lending value” of immovable property as defined in Article 4(1)(74) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013. To be used if the protection is immovable property when the “mortgage lending value” is reported in the data attribute “Protection value”.

The long-term sustainable value is a value type to be used only for real estate collateral.

The mortgage lending value as the value of immovable property is determined by a prudent assessment of the future marketability of the property taking into account long-term sustainable aspects of the property, the normal and local market conditions, and the current use and alternative appropriate uses of the property, as defined in Article 4(1)(74) of the CRR.

If both a market value and a long-term sustainable value are available for real estate property, the market value is reported to AnaCredit.

### Other protection value

Definition: Other protection value not included in any of the categories listed above.

Other protection values comprise value types not included in any of the categories listed above. Only value types that differ from the definition of the above values are
Protection valuation approach

Definition: Type of protection valuation; method used to determine the protection value.

The protection valuation approach identifies the type of the protection valuation (or the method used to determine the protection value) from among an exhaustive list of values this data attribute may assume.

The type of valuation approach is in particular relevant for protection items which are valued at their fair values (or market or long-term sustainable values in the case of real estate collateral).

Reporting qualification

The protection valuation approach is to be reported for each protection received record.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The reporting agent reports the valuation method that was used to establish the protection value as reported in the data attribute “protection value”.

Please note that if the type of protection value is “notional amount”, the protection valuation approach does not in fact apply, and therefore the value “other type of valuation” is reported.

Otherwise, if the protection is not valued at its notional amount, then the valuation approach that was undertaken in the latest valuation is reported. In this connection, AnaCredit distinguishes between four broadly defined categories:

- mark-to-market valuation;
- counterparty estimation;
- creditor valuation;
- third party valuation.

AnaCredit does not specify a priority as regards the protection valuation approach because there is no intention to restrict the choice of the reporting agents for the appropriate protection valuation approach and thereby to impose an additional constraint.

Accordingly, AnaCredit does not require reporting agents to change or enhance (any step in) the process of protection valuation. On the contrary, this data attribute is intended to indicate which kind of valuation process reporting agents actually have in place.
For the sake of consistency, under the term creditor valuation, it is also presumed that
the actual valuation is performed by a reporting agent by means of a quantitative
valuation model (rather than by an appraiser as such) following a methodology applied
by the reporting agent. Similarly, third party valuation, besides valuations carried out by
appraisers, includes also valuations by means of quantitative techniques and
methodologies of which neither the debtor nor the creditor have any control.

However, reporting agents will naturally face constraints as regards the available
valuation approaches. For example, a quoted price, which is the most recent price at
which an investment (or any other type of asset) has traded in an active market, will
only be available for a subset of protection items which are traded in an active market.

Values

Mark-to-market

Definition: Mark-to-market is a valuation method whereby the protection value is
based on unadjusted prices quoted at an exchange for identical assets and liabilities
in an active market.

For protection items whose fair value reported in the data attribute “protection value”
has been established in organised markets, “mark-to-market” is reported as the
protection valuation approach. This in particular regards the following protection items:

- gold is valued at the price established in organised gold markets;
- listed shares are valued at their fair value, represented by the mid-market
  price observed on the stock exchange or other organised financial markets.

Counterparty estimation

Definition: Counterparty estimation is a valuation method whereby the valuation is
carried out by the protection provider.

For protection items whose value (other than a notional amount) reported in the data
attribute “protection value” has been established by the protection provider, other
than the creditor, “counterparty estimation” is reported.

This in particular may regard equity and investment fund shares/units that are valued
at their fair values.

Creditor valuation

Definition: Creditor valuation is a valuation method whereby the valuation is carried
out by the creditor. The valuation may be undertaken by an external or staff
appraiser who possesses the necessary qualifications, ability and experience to
execute a valuation and who is not independent from the credit decision process.

For protection items whose fair value (or market or long-term sustainable value in the
case of real estate collateral) has been established by the creditor (or by a
methodology which is controlled by the creditor), then the protection valuation approach is reported as “creditor valuation”.

This in particular may regard the following cases:

- unlisted shares valued at their fair value which is estimated on the basis of a methodology controlled by the creditor;
- leased assets valued at their fair value following a valuation methodology controlled by the creditor where the asset value is calculated with the use of asset valuation curves;
- commercial real estate valued at a long-term sustainable value which was based on an appraisal carried out by an appraiser hired by the creditor;
- residential real estate valued at its market value which was based on an appraisal carried out by an appraiser hired by the creditor.

### Third party valuation

**Definition:** Third party valuation is a valuation method in which the valuation is provided by an appraiser who is independent of the credit decision process.

For protection items whose fair value (or market or long-term sustainable value in the case of real estate collateral) has been established by a third party (or by a methodology which is not controlled by the creditor), then the protection valuation approach is reported as “third party valuation”.

This in particular may regard the following cases:

- unlisted shares valued at their fair value which is estimated on the basis of a methodology not controlled by the creditor;
- leased assets valued at their fair value following a valuation methodology not controlled by the creditor where the asset value is calculated with the use of asset valuation curves;
- commercial real estate valued at its market value following a valuation carried out by a third party appraiser, over which the creditor has no control;
- residential real estate valued at its market value following a quantitative valuation technique (using a publicly available house price index) developed by a third party over which the creditor has no control.

### Other type of valuation

**Definition:** Other type of valuation is any other type of valuation that is not included in the previous categories of valuation approaches.

If the protection value is the notional amount of the protection, as reported in the data attribute “type of protection value”, the protection valuation approach is reported as “other type of valuation”.
9.4.7 Real estate collateral location

Definition: Region or country where the collateral is located.

The real estate collateral location is the region or the country where the real estate collateral is located.

Reporting qualification

The real estate collateral location is to be reported only in the case of protection items for which the data attribute "type of protection" is reported in the protection received dataset as any of the three types of real estate collateral:

- residential real estate collateral;
- offices and commercial premises;
- commercial real estate collateral.

Otherwise, if the type of protection is not any of the real estate collateral types, the value "Non-applicable" is reported.

Values

For real estate collateral located in a reporting Member State, the value is the code of the Nomenclature of Units for Territorial Statistics (NUTS) 3 region where the real estate collateral is located.

For real estate collateral not located in any reporting Member State, the value is the ISO 3166-1 alpha-2 code of the country where the real estate collateral is located.

In the context of AnaCredit, the conversion to NUTS 3 region will be done centrally by the ECB on the basis of postal codes. Therefore, reporting agents are expected to report a postal code of the location of real estate collateral rather than the NUTS 3 code determined by the postal code, unless postal codes are not generally available in the country where the real estate collateral is located. In the latter case, reporting agents are expected to report a NUTS 3 code (if available) or an ISO code of the country of the real estate collateral.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

In relation to protection items which are not any of the real estate collateral types, the value "Non-applicable" is reported.

In the case of real estate collateral, the real estate collateral location is the region of a country where the real estate collateral is located, taking the account of the following:

- if a postal code is available, then the location is identified by the two-digit ISO code of the country, a dash, and the postal code of the area where the real estate is located is reported;
• if no postal code is in use in the relevant country, but the NUTS3 codes are in use, then (the country and) the NUTS3 code of the region where the real estate collateral is located is (are) reported;

• if neither a postal code nor a NUTS3 code is available, a two-digit ISO code of the country where the real estate collateral is located is reported.

Please refer also to the section on the attribute "address: county/administrative division" in the chapter on counterparty reference data.

For an illustration of how the real estate collateral location is reported to AnaCredit, consider the following example.

**Example 58: Reporting of the real estate collateral location**

1. In March 2019, an observed agent (OA#1) extends a loan (Inst#1) to a legal entity. The loan is secured by commercial real estate collateral (RealEst#1) and a financial guarantee (Gua#2).

2. The real estate collateral is located in Frankfurt am Main, Germany. The area of the city where the property is located has the following postal code: 60311.

In connection with Inst#1, both the real estate collateral and the financial guarantee are reported in the protection received dataset at 31 March 2019. The reporting of the data attribute "real estate collateral location" in the protection received dataset is depicted in Table 111.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Type of protection</th>
<th>Real estate collateral location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>REALEST#1</td>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
<td>DE-60311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>GUA#2</td>
<td>Financial guarantee other than credit derivatives</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**9.4.8 Date of protection value**

Definition: The date on which the latest appraisal or valuation of the protection was carried out prior to the reporting reference date.

The date of the protection value is the date on which the latest appraisal or valuation of the protection was carried out prior to the reporting reference date, i.e. the date on which the amount reported in the attribute “protection value” of the value type reported in the attribute “type of protection value” was established under the valuation method reported in the attribute protection valuation approach.

**Reporting qualification**

The date of the protection value is to be reported for each protection item reported in the protection received dataset.
Values

This data attribute is reported as a date indicating the day on which the protection value as reported in the data attribute “protection value” is considered to have been established.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

As regards protection items which are valued at their fair values (or market or long-term sustainable values in the case of real estate collateral), the date of the protection value is the date on which the latest appraisal or valuation of the protection was carried out prior to the reporting reference date. More specifically, it is the date on which the amount reported in the attribute “protection value” of the value type reported in the attribute “type of protection value” was established under the valuation method reported in the attribute “protection valuation approach”.

In the case of protection items which are valued at their notional amount, it is the date on which the notional amount changed for the last time prior to the reporting reference date.

For an illustration of how the date of protection value is reported, consider the following example.

Example 59: Reporting of the data attribute “date of protection”

1. On 11 May 2011 an observed agent (OA#1) extends a loan (Inst#55) to a legal entity for a tenor of ten years. The loan is secured by commercial real estate collateral (CRE#1) and a financial guarantee (Gua#2) provided by a third party legal entity (GUARANTOR#AA).

2. The maximum amount GUARANTOR#AA would have to pay if the guarantee is called on equals €500,000. The guarantee can be revoked only if the loan is repaid in full.

3. On 28 April 2011, just prior to the loan origination, the real estate was evaluated by a third party appraiser not related to OA#1 where the market value of the protection was established to be €380,000.

4. On 15 March 2014 and 14 October 2016 the market value of the real estate collateral is re-evaluated by a third party appraiser; taking into account the local market conditions, the protection value is estimated to be €400,000 and €425,000, respectively.

5. Inst#55 is subject to AnaCredit reporting as of 30 September 2018.

6. In October 2018 OA#1 opts for a quantitative valuation of the real estate collateral where the protection value is regularly estimated following a methodology developed by OA#1 on the basis of a publically available house price index. Accordingly, the real estate value is estimated to grow by 0.5% month-to-month. In connection with Inst#55, both the real estate collateral and the financial guarantee are reported in the protection received dataset at 30 September 2018. The reporting of the protection received dataset is depicted in Table 112.
As of October 2018, the data concerning the real estate collateral change compared with the previous periods are therefore subject to reporting. In addition, the data regarding the financial guarantee are also reported as for protection valued at notional amount; the date of protection value is the reporting reference date. Accordingly, the reported records as of October, November and December are illustrated in Table 113.

### Table 113 Subsequent reporting of the protection received dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Type of protection</th>
<th>Protection value</th>
<th>Type of protection value</th>
<th>Protection valuation approach</th>
<th>Date of protection value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
<td>427,125.00</td>
<td>Market value</td>
<td>Creditor valuation</td>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>GUA#2</td>
<td>Financial guarantee other than credit derivatives</td>
<td>500,000.00</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
<td>Other type of valuation</td>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/11/2018</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
<td>429,260.63</td>
<td>Market value</td>
<td>Creditor valuation</td>
<td>30/11/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/11/2018</td>
<td>GUA#2</td>
<td>Financial guarantee other than credit derivatives</td>
<td>500,000.00</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
<td>Other type of valuation</td>
<td>30/11/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
<td>431,406.93</td>
<td>Market value</td>
<td>Creditor valuation</td>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>GUA#2</td>
<td>Financial guarantee other than credit derivatives</td>
<td>500,000.00</td>
<td>Notional amount</td>
<td>Other type of valuation</td>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.4.9 **Original protection value**

Definition: The original protection value is the monetary value of the protection item that was established at the date when the protection item was originally received as a credit protection.

This data attribute captures the value of the protection at the origination date.

**Reporting qualification**

The original protection value is to be reported for each protection item reported in the protection received dataset. In particular, a value is always reported for protection items securing any instrument reported to AnaCredit that was originated on or after 1 September 2018. However, NCBs may decide not to collect the original protection value for a protection item, if all instruments reported to AnaCredit within the scope of the observed agent which are secured by the protection item were originated prior to 1 September 2018. Should this happen, the value “Not required” is to be reported for such protection items.
Values

The original protection value is a monetary amount in units of euro. The reported value is a non-negative real number.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

In the case of a protection item securing the same instrument, the original protection value will remain unchanged throughout the entire life of the instrument. This includes cases where the same protection is pledged to secure another instrument which is originated some period after the first instrument was originated.

For an illustration of how the original protection value and the corresponding date are reported, consider the examples below.

The original protection value is not updated owing to the changes in exchange rates.

In particular, Example 60 depicts the reporting in the case of protection which is pledged once.

Example 60: Reporting of the data attribute “original protection value” in the case of a single pledge

Further considering the case of Example 59 above, Table 114 presents the reporting of the original protection value and the date of original protection value in relation to the real estate collateral and the financial guarantee from September through to December 2018.

Table 114 Protection received dataset in the case of a single pledge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Type of protection</th>
<th>Protection value</th>
<th>Date of protection value</th>
<th>Original protection value</th>
<th>Date of original protection value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
<td>425,000.00</td>
<td>14/10/2016</td>
<td>380,000.00</td>
<td>28/04/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>GUA#2</td>
<td>Financial guarantee other than credit derivatives</td>
<td>500,000.00</td>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>500,000.00</td>
<td>11/05/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
<td>427,125.00</td>
<td>31/10/2018</td>
<td>380,000.00</td>
<td>28/04/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/11/2018</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
<td>429,260.63</td>
<td>30/11/2018</td>
<td>380,000.00</td>
<td>28/04/2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
<td>431,406.93</td>
<td>31/12/2018</td>
<td>380,000.00</td>
<td>28/04/2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that after the initial reporting, records of the protection received dataset are reported to AnaCredit only if a change takes place compared with the data reported previously. In this connection, the financial guarantee (Gua#2) is only reported at 30 September (initial reporting) and is not subject to reporting thereafter.

As regards the real estate collateral, this protection item is reported as of each reporting reference date in the reporting period concerned, because the protection value changes on a monthly basis (as the protection value is updated on the basis of a house price index), which triggers the reporting of the entire dataset in relation to the protection item.
Example 61 illustrates the reporting of the original protection value taking account of multiple pledges of the same protection.

Example 61: Reporting of the data attribute “original protection value” in the case that the same protection is pledged multiple times

1. On 28 November 2018 an observed agent OA#99 extends a loan (Loan#1) to a legal entity and accepts a pledge of real estate property (REPRO#6) as protection for the loan. At the origination of the loan, the original protection value of the property amounted to €750,000, which was established by a physical appraisal carried out on 15 July 2018.

2. On 11 May 2019 OA#66 extends another loan (Loan#2) to the legal entity. The real estate property (REPRO#66) is pledged as protection for the loan and OA#66 considers the protection value as established on 15 July 2018 valid and accurate also as of May 2019.

3. On 25 April 2020, OA#99 extends an additional loan (Loan#3) to the legal entity, accepting the same real estate property (REPRO#6) as protection. For the loan acceptance, however, OA#99 had the real estate property re-evaluated, and on 4 April 2020 the protection value was established to be €699,000 following a physical appraisal.

Table 115 presents relevant data attributes of the protection received dataset. The reporting of the protection is initially triggered in connection with Loan#1 and thereafter also in relation to Loan#2 and Loan#3. Once originated, all the loans continue to be reported beyond 30 April 2020. The connection between the protection and the loans is reported in the instrument-protection received dataset which is reported on a monthly basis (not shown).

Table 115 Protection received dataset in the case of multiple pledges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Type of protection</th>
<th>Protection value</th>
<th>Date of protection value</th>
<th>Original protection value</th>
<th>Date of original protection value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/11/2018</td>
<td>REPRO#6</td>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
<td>750,000.00</td>
<td>15/07/2018</td>
<td>750,000.00</td>
<td>15/07/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/04/2020</td>
<td>REPRO#6</td>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
<td>699,000.00</td>
<td>04/04/2020</td>
<td>750,000.00</td>
<td>15/07/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that after the initial reporting, records of the protection received dataset are reported to AnaCredit only if a change takes place compared with the data reported previously. In this connection, the real estate collateral is initially reported as of 30 November 2018 and it is not reported thereafter until 30 April 2020 when a change to the protection value takes place for the first time. Please note also that the original protection value is not updated.

It is further clarified that the use of the protection in relation to the loans is captured throughout the entire period in the instrument-protection received, which continues to be reported from 30 November 2018 onwards for each reporting reference date, irrespective of whether or not changes occur to the data therein.

For details refer to the example of using the same protection used multiple times for different loans at different moments in time, e.g. in relation to multiple mortgage deeds/claims, please refer to Section 8.4.2.

Finally, Example 62 illustrates the reporting of the original protection value in cases where the same protection is used in relation to the multiple instruments, but over different periods of time (which do not overlap).
Example 62: Reporting of the data attribute “original protection value” in the case that the same protection is pledged multiple times

1. On 28 September 2018 observed agent OA#99 extends a loan (Loan#A) for one year to a legal entity for which real estate property (CRE#1) is pledged. At the origination of the loan, the original protection value of the property amounts to €200,000, which was established by a physical appraisal carried out on 1 August 2018.

2. After one year, i.e. by 30 September 2019, Loan#A is entirely redeemed and the pledge on the real estate is recalled shortly after.

3. On 2 February 2020, OA#99 extends a new two-year loan (Loan#B) to the legal entity, accepting the same real estate property (CRE#1) as protection for the loan. At the same time, the real estate property is re-evaluated, with the protection value established to be €250,000 as at 25 January 2020.

Table 116 presents the reporting of the original protection value when it is used in relation to Loan#A, while Table 117 depicts the reporting in connection with Loan#B.

Table 116 Reporting of protection CRE#1 in relation to Loan#A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Type of protection</th>
<th>Protection value</th>
<th>Date of protection value</th>
<th>Original protection value</th>
<th>Date of original protection value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2018</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
<td>200,000.00</td>
<td>01/08/2018</td>
<td>200,000.00</td>
<td>01/08/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that, after the initial reporting with reference to 30 September, no records of the protection received dataset are reported to AnaCredit because no changes take place compared with the data reported originally. However, the instrument-protection received dataset, where the real estate collateral is associated with Loan#A, is reported for each month as long as Loan#A exists, i.e. until September 2019.

In February 2020 when Loan#B is issued, the commercial real estate is once again subject to reporting as it secures the instruments. In this connection, the instrument-protection received dataset is reported monthly from 29 February 2020, whereas the protection item itself is reported in the protection received dataset which is in turn reported with reference to 29 February 2020 with updated data attributes.

Table 117 Reporting of protection CRE#1 in relation to Loan#B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Protection identifier</th>
<th>Type of protection</th>
<th>Protection value</th>
<th>Date of protection value</th>
<th>Original protection value</th>
<th>Date of original protection value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29/02/2020</td>
<td>CRE#1</td>
<td>Commercial real estate collateral</td>
<td>250,000.00</td>
<td>25/01/2020</td>
<td>250,000.00</td>
<td>25/01/2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that after the initial reporting with reference to 29 February 2020, no records of the protection received dataset are reported to AnaCredit as long as no changes take place over the life of the loan compared with the data reported originally. However, the instrument-protection received dataset (not shown), where the real estate collateral is associated with Loan#B, is reported for each month until the loan is paid off.
9.4.10 Date of original protection value

Definition: The date of original protection value is the date on which the latest appraisal or valuation of the protection was carried out prior to its initial receipt as credit protection.

This data attribute captures the date of the original valuation of the protection as reported in the data attribute “original protection value”.

Reporting qualification

The date of original protection value is to be reported for each protection received record. The date is reported for protection items securing any instrument reported to AnaCredit originating at or after 1 September 2018. For a protection item which secures only instruments reported to AnaCredit which were originated prior to 1 September 2018, NCBs may decide not to collect the date of the original protection value of any such protection item.

Values

This data attribute is reported as a date indicating the day on which the value as reported in the data attribute “original protection value” is considered to have been established.
10 Counterparty default dataset

10.1 General aspects

The counterparty default dataset serves to identify the default status of the counterparty in accordance with Article 178 of the CRR. Each record is uniquely identified by the combination of the following attributes:

- reporting agent identifier;
- observed agent identifier;
- counterparty identifier.

10.1.1 Reporting qualification

The counterparty default dataset is applicable for all debtors and protection providers of certain types of protection\(^7\) which are at the same time the issuers of the protection (in particular, if the protection item is a financial guarantee as defined in the ITS).

The counterparty default dataset is exclusively relevant for counterparties reported to AnaCredit for which the data attribute “default status of the counterparty” is applicable as specified in Section 10.4.1 below.

Conversely, the counterparty dataset need not be reported (i.e. no records at all need be reported) for counterparties which are neither debtors nor protection providers, or for debtors and protection providers for which the data attribute “default status of the counterparty” is not applicable.

In particular, unless the data attribute “default status of the counterparty” is to be reported for a counterparty as of a given reporting reference date, no record at all is to be reported to AnaCredit in the counterparty default dataset in relation to the counterparty at the reporting reference date\(^8\).

For an illustration of how the counterparty default dataset is to be reported consider the following example.

---

\(^7\) i.e. unfunded protection under Article 4(1)(59) of the CRR to which the protection provider is at the same time the protection issuer. This in particular regards financial guarantees in accordance with the ITS.

\(^8\) Please note that no records are to be reported at all in the counterparty default dataset if the data attributes therein are not subject to AnaCredit reporting. By accommodating such a reporting scheme, the reporting of the datasets’ internal identifiers for the mere purpose of reporting that the data attributes are not required/applicable is avoided. The reasoning is that if there is no value to be reported, nothing is to be reported at all. The same reporting scheme is also followed in relation to the counterparty risk dataset as described in Section 11.1.1.
Example 63: Reporting the counterparty default dataset

1. Observed agent (OA#1) is a (part of a) credit institution supervised under the CRR. In March 2019 the observed agent extended a loan (Inst#1) to a legal entity (Cpy#A). The loan is secured by a financial guarantee provided by a legal entity (Guarantor#G) and by securities pledged by a legal entity (ThirdParty#1). Cpy#A was earlier in default in the course of 2016 but was classified not in default in accordance with the CRR on 14 December 2018. Guarantor#G has not been classified in default in accordance with the CRR for the entirety of its business relationship with OA#1 to date.

In connection with Inst#1, the debtor is reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset, while the protection providers of both protection items are reported in the protection received dataset as of 31 March 2019. However, the reporting of the default status of the counterparty is required only in relation to the debtor (Cpy#A) and the guarantor (Guarantor#G), whereas no default status is required for the protection provider (ThirdParty#1) of the securities because ThirdParty#1 is not the issuer of the securities. Ultimately, since the reporting of the default status for ThirdParty#1 is not subject to AnaCredit reporting, the counterparty default dataset is populated only for the debtor and the guarantor and no record at all is reported for the other protection provider. This reporting is depicted in Table 118.

Table 118 Counterparty default dataset for the case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Default status of the counterparty</th>
<th>Date of the default status of the counterparty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>Cpy#A</td>
<td>Not in default</td>
<td>14/12/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>Guarantor#G</td>
<td>Not in default</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Observed agent (OA#XY) is supervised under the CRR and applies the definition of default at the level of an instrument rather than at the level of a counterparty in accordance with Article 178 of the CRR. In March 2019 the observed agent extends a loan (Loan#2) to a legal entity (SmallCpy#B).

While the debtor (SmallCpy#B) is reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset in connection with Loan#2 as of 31 March 2019, the default status of the counterparty is not required as the definition of default is applied at the level of the loan. Consequently, the counterparty default dataset is not reported at all for the debtor (i.e. no record in the counterparty default dataset is reported for the counterparty) as of the reporting reference date.

10.2 Level of granularity

The information in this dataset is compiled at the level of counterparty.

10.3 Reporting frequency

The dataset is reported on a monthly basis.
Nevertheless, in line with Article 16(2) of the AnaCredit Regulation, specific reporting agents may be allowed to report the dataset on a quarterly basis.

10.4 The counterparty default dataset – data attributes

The counterparty default dataset is applicable for counterparties for which the default status of the counterparty is reported. In such cases, the following data attributes are reported.

Table 119 Overview of data attributes in the counterparty default dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data attribute</th>
<th>Internal identifier</th>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Section in Part II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporting agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counterparty identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Default status of the counterparty</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>10.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of the default status of the counterparty</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>10.4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.4.1 Default status of the counterparty

Definition: Identification of the default status of the counterparty. Categories describing the motives for which the counterparty can be in default in accordance with Article 178 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

The identification of the default status of the counterparty is done at the level of a counterparty.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is subject to AnaCredit reporting for counterparties reported to AnaCredit which are:

- debtors;
- protection providers, on condition that they are at the same time the issuer of the protection (in particular, if the protection item is a financial guarantee as defined in the ITS).

This data attribute is not reported to AnaCredit if:

- the observed agent, which is not subject to capital requirements (i.e. is not supervised under the CRR or is a foreign branch of an entity not supervised under the CRR), has been granted a derogation by the relevant NCB in accordance with Article 7 of the AnaCredit Regulation;
- all the debtor's instruments reported to AnaCredit are fully derecognised instruments being serviced, and the observed agent has been granted a derogation by the relevant NCB in accordance with Article 7 of the AnaCredit Regulation from reporting this data attribute in relation the debtor;
all instruments secured by the protection issued by the protection provider are fully derecognised instruments being serviced, and the observed agent has been granted a derogation by the relevant NCB in accordance with Article 7 of the AnaCredit Regulation from reporting this data attribute in relation the protection provider;

- the reporting agent applies, in the case of retail exposures, the definition of default laid down in Article 178 of the CRR at the level of an instrument rather than in relation to the total obligations of a debtor.

In particular, the relevant NCB may not require this data attribute if the observed agent is not subject to capital requirements. This accounts for observed agents of those credit institutions under Article 4(1)(1) of the CRR which are exempted from capital requirements under Article 2 of the CRD IV.

One of the following four values is reported for a given debtor or a protection provider that is subject to reporting.

### Values

1. **Not in default**
   - The counterparty does not fulfil the default definition in accordance with Article 178 of the CRR.

2. **Default because unlikely to pay**
   - The counterparty is classified to be in default because payments are unlikely in accordance with Article 178(1)(a) of the CRR.

3. **Default because more than 90/180 days past due**
   - In accordance with Article 178(1)(b) of the CRR, the counterparty is classified to be in default because the counterparty is past due more than 90/180 days on any material credit obligation to the institution, the parent undertaking under Article 4(1)(15) of the CRR or any of its subsidiaries.
   - Competent authorities may replace the 90 days with 180 days for exposures secured by residential property or SME commercial immovable property in the retail exposure class, as well as for exposures to public sector entities.
   - For the purpose of indications of the past due criterion on a counterparty, see Article 178(2)(a) to (e) of the CRR. The materiality of a credit obligation past due will be assessed at the level of the counterparty. A threshold will be defined by the competent authorities in their jurisdictions. This threshold will reflect a level of risk that the competent authority considers to be reasonable.
   - The sum of all amounts past due that are related to a counterparty needs to be established on a daily basis, to allow for cross-checks with the materiality threshold set by the competent authority in accordance with Article 178(2)(d) of the CRR.

4. **Default because both unlikely to pay and more than 90/180 days past due**
The counterparty is classified in default when both of the following have taken place:

- the counterparty is classified to be in default because payments are unlikely in accordance with Article 178(1)(a) of the CRR;
- the counterparty is classified to be in default because the counterparty is past due more than 90/180 days on any material credit obligation in accordance with Article 178(1)(b) of the CRR.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

This data attribute relates to counterparties.

For details on the ongoing discussion regarding the Draft Guidelines on the application of the definition of default under Article 178 of the CRR, also see the EBA Draft Guidelines on the application of the definition of default under Article 178 of the CRR (EBA BS 2016 173rev1 as of 20-21 June 2016).

In particular, please note that in the case of retail exposures where the definition of default laid down in Article 178 of the CRR is applied at the level of an instrument rather than in relation to the total obligations of a debtor, the default status of the counterparty is not subject to AnaCredit reporting.

For examples regarding the reporting of the data attribute “default status of the counterparty”, including in connection with the data attribute “performing status of the instrument”, please refer to Example 29 through to Example 33 in Section 5.4.9 above.

In particular, this data attribute does not apply if the definition of default is applied in accordance with Articles 178 and 127 of the CRR at the level of an individual instrument.

Please note that a special case arises if, in accordance with Article 178(1) of the CRR, the option to apply the definition of default at the level of an instrument is exercised only for a subset of instruments extended to a counterparty, while this option is not exercised for other instruments extended to the same counterparty. This scenario implies that default is assessed both at instrument and counterparty level. In such cases, the default status of the counterparty is subject to AnaCredit reporting.

For an illustration of how the default status of the counterparty is to be reported, consider Example 64 in Section 10.4.2 below.

10.4.2 Date of the default status of the counterparty

Definition: The date on which the default status, as reported in the data attribute “Default status of the counterparty”, is considered to have arisen.

This data attribute captures the date on which the default status as reported in the data attribute “default status of the counterparty” is considered to have arisen.
Reporting qualification

This data attribute is reported only if the data attribute “default status of the counterparty” is subject to AnaCredit reporting as explained under the heading “Reporting qualification” in Section 10.4 above.

Conversely, if the default status of the counterparty is not reported, the date of the default status of the counterparty is not reported either.

Values

If subject to reporting, this data attribute is reported as a date indicating the day on which the status as reported in the data attribute “default status of the counterparty” is considered to have arisen.

However, in the case of counterparties which are considered by the reporting agent not to have been in default in accordance with Article 178 of the CRR since the beginning of the relationship (until the reporting reference date) and for which the default status of the counterparty is reported as “not in default”, and only in such cases, the data attribute “date of the default status of the counterparty” is reported as “Non-applicable”.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

If subject to reporting at a reporting reference date, the date of the default status of the counterparty is no later than the reporting reference date.

If no default is considered to have occurred with regard to a counterparty prior to the reporting reference date, the date of the default status of the counterparty is reported as “Non-applicable”.

In particular, in the case of counterparties which are protection providers – for which the default status of the counterparty is subject to reporting (please refer to Section 10.4.1) – and which are not classified as being in default in accordance with Article 178 of the CRR, the default status of the counterparty is reported as “not in default”, while the date of the default status is reported as “Non-applicable”.

If a counterparty which was in default earlier has not been in default since then and is not in default at a reporting reference date, the default status of the counterparty is reported as “not in default”, and the date of the default status of the counterparty at the reporting reference date is the date as of which the counterparty ceased to be in default.

For an illustration of how the date of the default status of the counterparty is to be reported, consider the following example.
Example 64: Reporting the default status of the counterparty

Observed agent (OA#1) is a (part of a) credit institution supervised under the CRR and applies the definition of default in accordance with Article 178 of the CRR at the level of a counterparty. The observed agent has only one parent undertaking (CredInst#A) (under Article 4(1)(15) of the CRR) which is a credit institution under Article 4(1)(1) of the CRR. The parent undertaking of the legal entity has no other subsidiaries than the observed agent.

1. At 31 March 2019, a legal entity (Debtor#1) has a credit obligation (Loan#1) to OA#1. The loan is secured with a financial guarantee provided by counterparty Guarantor#T. Guarantor#T has no direct credit obligation to OA#1 but has one to CredInst#A. Neither Debtor#1 nor Guarantor#T has been classified in default in accordance with the CRR for the entirety of the business relationship with OA#1 and its parent undertaking CredInst#A.

In the context of AnaCredit, Debtor#1 is reported to AnaCredit vis-à-vis OA#1 in connection with Loan#1 (as reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset). Guarantor#T acts as protection provider to Loan#1 and is reported in the protection received dataset. The data attribute “default status of the counterparty” is required for both Debtor#1 and Guarantor#T. The reporting is depicted in Table 120.

Table 120 Counterparty default dataset for the case as of 31 March

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Default status of the counterparty</th>
<th>Date of the default status of the counterparty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>DEBTOR#1</td>
<td>Not in default</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>GUARANTOR#T</td>
<td>Not in default</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The situation described in 1 above continues unchanged until 15 September 2019, when OA#1 classifies Debtor#1 in default because payments are unlikely in accordance with Article 178(1)(a) of the CRR.

As of 30 September, Debtor#1 and Guarantor#T are reported in the counterparty default dataset as follows:

Table 121 Counterparty default dataset for the case as of 30 September

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Default status of the counterparty</th>
<th>Date of the default status of the counterparty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>DEBTOR#1</td>
<td>Default because unlikely to pay</td>
<td>15/09/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>GUARANTOR#T</td>
<td>Not in default</td>
<td>“Non-applicable”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. On 24 January 2020 Guarantor#T becomes past due more than 90 days on the obligation to CredInst#A and is classified in default in accordance with Article 178(1)(b) of the CRR. The counterparty default dataset reported in relation to OA#1 is presented in Table 122.

Table 122 Counterparty default dataset for the case as of 31 January 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Default status of the counterparty</th>
<th>Date of the default status of the counterparty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>DEBTOR#1</td>
<td>Default because unlikely to pay</td>
<td>15/09/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>GUARANTOR#T</td>
<td>Default because more than 90/180 days past due</td>
<td>24/01/2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11  Counterparty risk dataset

11.1  General aspects

The dataset captures the assessment of the counterparty's credit risk in accordance with the CRR.

11.1.1  Reporting qualification

The counterparty risk dataset is exclusively relevant for counterparties reported to AnaCredit for which the data attribute “probability of default” is applicable as specified in Section 11.4.1 below.

Conversely, the counterparty risk data are not reported (i.e. no records at all are reported) for counterparties which are neither debtors nor protection providers, or for debtors and protection providers for which the PD is not applicable.

Specifically, unless the data attribute “probability of default” in the counterparty risk dataset is reported for a counterparty as of a given reporting reference date, no record at all is to be reported to AnaCredit in the respective dataset in relation to the counterparty at the reporting reference date.

For an illustration of how the counterparty risk dataset is to be reported, consider the following example.
Example 65: Reporting the counterparty risk dataset

1. An observed agent (OA#1) is a (part of a) credit institution supervised under the CRR. In March 2019 the observed agent extends a loan (Inst#1) to a legal entity (Cpy#A) for which a PD of 1.35% is estimated in accordance with the IRB approach of the CRR. The loan is secured by a financial guarantee provided by a legal entity (Guarantor#G) and by securities pledged by a legal entity (ThirdParty#1). A PD of 0.75% is estimated in accordance with the IRB approach for the guarantor, and no PD is required to be estimated for the provider of the securities.

In connection with Inst#1 the debtor is reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset, while the protection providers of both protection items are reported in the protection received dataset. However, the reporting of PD is required only in relation to the debtor (Cpy#A) and the guarantor (Guarantor#G), whereas no PD is required for the protection provider (ThirdParty#1) of the securities because ThirdParty#1 is not the issuer of the protection. Ultimately, since the reporting of the PD for ThirdParty#1 is not subject to AnaCredit reporting, as of 31 March 2019 the counterparty risk dataset is populated only for the debtor and the guarantor and no record at all is reported for the other protection provider.

Table 123 Counterparty risk dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting reference date</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Probability of default</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>Cpy#A</td>
<td>0.0135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03/2019</td>
<td>Guarantor#G</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Observed agent (OA#XY) is supervised under the CRR but does not estimate PD in accordance with the IRB approach. In March 2019 the observed agent extends a loan (Loan#2) to a legal entity (Cpy#B).

The debtor is reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset in connection with Loan#2. However, since the observed agent does not estimate a PD for the debtor in accordance with the IRB approach of the CRR, the PD is not required and the counterparty risk dataset is not reported at all for the debtor (i.e. no record in the counterparty risk dataset is reported).

11.2 Level of granularity

The level of granularity for the counterparty risk dataset is the counterparty.

1 In the case of natural persons being affiliated with instruments reported to AnaCredit, no record for the natural persons is reported.

11.3 Reporting frequency

The records are reported on a monthly basis.

4 However, the relevant NCB may decide to collect the counterparty risk data on a quarterly basis.
11.4 The counterparty risk dataset – data attributes

The counterparty risk dataset is applicable for counterparties for which the PD is reported. In such cases, the following data attributes are reported.

Table 124 Overview of data attributes in the counterparty risk dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data attribute</th>
<th>Internal identifier</th>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Section in Part II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporting agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counterparty identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of default</td>
<td></td>
<td>Numeric</td>
<td>11.4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.4.1 Probability of default

Definition: The counterparty's probability of default over one year, determined in accordance with Articles 160, 163, 179 and 180 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

This data attribute captures the probability of default of the counterparty as established in accordance with the CRR.

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is subject to AnaCredit reporting for counterparties reported to AnaCredit which are:

- debtors;
- protection providers, on condition that they are at the same time the issuers of the protection (in particular, if the protection item is a financial guarantee as defined in the ITS).

The reporting of this data attribute to AnaCredit is not required if:

- the reporting agent is not required to determine PD estimates for debtors and protection providers concerned in accordance with the IRB approach of the CRR;
- the relevant NCB decides not to collect this data attribute from individual reporting agents in accordance with Article 7 of the AnaCredit Regulation (and further specified in Annex II therein).

In particular, the relevant NCB may not require this data attribute if the observed agent is not subject to capital requirements (i.e. is not supervised under the CRR or is a foreign branch of an entity not supervised under the CRR). This accounts for those credit institutions under Article 4(1)(1) of the CRR which are exempted from capital requirements under Article 2 of the CRD IV.
Values

If subject to reporting, this data attribute is reported as a number ranging from 0 to 1, rounded up to six digits after the decimal point.

For an illustration of how the probability of default is reported, consider the following example.

**Example 66: Reporting PD where both product and counterparty PDs are estimated**

In this case, the counterparty PD that is estimated for the counterparty is reported to AnaCredit.

If the PD is equal to 2.53%, the value 0.0253 is reported.

If the PD equals 0.03569%, then the value 0.000357 is reported.

**General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples**

The probability of default (PD) is in accordance with the requirements specific to PD estimation as laid down in the CRR.

In the case of PDs produced at the instrument level (the so-called product PD), the counterparty PD is reported as the exposure weighted average PD of all instruments of the counterparty reported to AnaCredit.

If, for a given counterparty, a reporting agent estimates PD for both the counterparty and (some of) the products of the counterparty, the counterparty’s PD is reported to AnaCredit. For an illustration of how the PD is reported in such cases, consider the following example.

**Example 67: Reporting PD where both product and counterparty PDs are estimated**

1. A counterparty PD has been estimated for a small company for the assessment of loans extended in relation to the counterparty’s business activities. In addition, the counterparty also has a residential mortgage loan (for reasons not related to the counterparty’s business activities), where the PD is estimated specifically for the mortgage loan (the product PD).

In this case, the counterparty PD that is estimated for the counterparty is reported to AnaCredit.

2. A small company is a debtor in a residential mortgage loan for which a product PD has been estimated. At a given moment in time, the mortgage loan is reported to AnaCredit and the debtor does not have any other instruments.

In this case, the product PD is reported to AnaCredit.
In the case of specialised lending as referred to in Article 147(8) of the CRR (e.g. ship finance or project finance), the PD is applicable to entities which correspond to the object financed. More specifically, the debtor is a special purpose entity (SPE) that is not permitted to perform any function other than developing, owning and operating the object, and the creditor is usually paid solely or almost exclusively out of the money generated by the contracts for the object’s output, such as the electricity sold by a power plant. The consequence is that repayment depends primarily on the project’s cash flow and on the collateral value of the project’s assets. In such cases, the PD is applicable to the SPE which corresponds to the object financed, including when there are several instruments extended to the SPE.

In the case of specialised lending as referred to in Article 147(8) of the CRR (e.g. ship finance or project finance), the PD is applicable to entities which correspond to the object financed. More specifically, the debtor is a special purpose entity (SPE) that is not permitted to perform any function other than developing, owning and operating the object, and the creditor is usually paid solely or almost exclusively out of the money generated by the contracts for the object’s output, such as the electricity sold by a power plant. The consequence is that repayment depends primarily on the project’s cash flow and on the collateral value of the project’s assets. In such cases, the PD is applicable to the SPE which corresponds to the object financed, including when there are several instruments extended to the SPE.
12 Counterparty reference dataset

The exact identification of all counterparties involved in the instruments reported to AnaCredit and the completeness and quality of their reference information is a necessary prerequisite to unfold the whole potential of a granular credit dataset such as AnaCredit.

It is important to note the AnaCredit Regulation leaves the NCBs the option not to collect from individual reporting agents some specific reference data attributes (i.e. those marked with an “N” in Tables 2 and 3, Annex III). Moreover, in accordance with Article 9 of the AnaCredit Regulation, depending on national specificities, NCBs might collect from their reporting agents only part of the reference data attributes listed in the AnaCredit Regulation, e.g. when they have access to alternative sources (e.g. national business register) providing the same information in a comparable or better quality. Against this background, it should be clarified that these two options are ignored in the present chapter, with the assumption being made that all mandatory attributes are provided to the NCB by reporting agents. As a result, for a clear understanding of the actual reporting requirements applicable in each country, reporting agents are advised to refer to the national reporting instructions or to consult the respective NCB.

12.1 General aspects

12.1.1 Reporting principles regarding the counterparty reference data

Only legal entities or parts of legal entities according to Article 1(5) of the AnaCredit Regulation are recorded as counterparties in AnaCredit. A list of legal forms, together with information on whether or not they qualify as legal entities for the purposes of AnaCredit, is included in the “List of legal forms” (for details on the list of legal forms, see Section 12.4.13).

It should be noted that in the AnaCredit data model, a legal entity is undistinguishable from its head office and domestic part. In addition, if a legal entity has foreign branches, each foreign branch (under the “one country, one branch” principle) is deemed a distinct institutional unit, linked to the legal entity via the “head office undertaking identifier” data attribute. Moreover, if there are one or more foreign branches, some data attributes in the counterparty reference data of the head office undertaking also include the accounts of the foreign branches. This applies, for instance, to the “balance sheet total”, which includes the balance sheet of each foreign branch (if any).

The counterparties for which counterparty reference data are reported (albeit to varying extents, depending, for example, on the specific role) are all legal entities or foreign branches of legal entities that are counterparties to an instrument reported to AnaCredit. This includes providers of protection to such an instrument, or entities
that have an affiliation (e.g. as parent undertaking) with a counterparty to such an instrument, i.e.:

- reporting agents;
- observed agents acting as
  - creditors;
  - servicers;
- counterparties to an instrument or to protection securing an instrument acting as
  - creditors;
  - servicers;
  - originators;
  - debtors;
  - protection providers;
- Other parties that have an affiliation with a party to an instrument (affiliated population), i.e.
  - head office undertakings of debtors and protection providers;
  - immediate parent undertakings of debtors and protection providers;
  - ultimate parent undertakings of debtors and protection providers.

This population can be illustrated as follows:
Figure 4: Counterparties for which a counterparty reference data record is generally submitted (blue squares)
As a result, the counterparty reference data include:

1. One data record for the reporting agent itself.

2. One data record for the observed agent.

3. One data record for each counterparty playing any of the following roles in the instrument:
   (i) creditor;
   (ii) servicer;
   (iii) debtor.

4. Where relevant (i.e. when an instrument is secured with protection or is subject to a securitisation), one data record for each counterparty playing any of the following roles:
   (i) originator;
   (ii) protection provider.

5. The AnaCredit Regulation stipulates that the head office undertaking identifier is always reported for counterparties that are foreign branches. Nevertheless, it is recommended that the head office undertaking identifier is also reported in the data record of the legal entity (represented by the head office undertaking), in which case the same counterparty identifier is reported twice.

6. The AnaCredit Regulation also stipulates that the immediate parent undertaking identifier and the ultimate parent undertaking identifier are reported for all counterparties which are resident in a reporting Member State. As a result, a distinct counterparty reference data record is reported for each of the following affiliates to a counterparty to an instrument (where relevant):
   (i) head office undertaking;
   (ii) immediate parent undertaking;
   (iii) ultimate parent undertaking.

It is worth noting that a special fund and its managing financial corporation are deemed to constitute the same relationship as a foreign branch and the legal entity to which it belongs (see also Part I, Section 3.4.1.3.1 of the Manual). Therefore, it is recommended that a counterparty reference data record is submitted for the managing financial corporation representing the “head office undertaking” of the special fund (when the latter acts as debtor or protection provider).

While the concept of head office relates to the relationship between a foreign branch and the legal entity of which it is part, the concept of immediate and ultimate parent undertaking relates merely to legal entities (for further information, see following sections). Therefore, no information on the immediate parent undertaking or the ultimate parent undertaking is reported in the data record of a counterparty which is a foreign branch.
As explained, AnaCredit reporting is based on the concept of institutional units as counterparties. If it has no foreign branches, the legal entity corresponds to a single institutional unit. If it has foreign branches, the head office undertaking/domestic part represents an institutional unit, and each foreign branch is a further distinct institutional unit linked to the former via the head office undertaking relationship.

The AnaCredit Regulation (Annex IV) states that the data attribute “head office undertaking identifier” is reported only for debtors or protection providers that are foreign branches. Furthermore, it is recommended (cf. Part I of the Manual) that the “head office undertaking identifier” is also reported for counterparties which are special funds, given that they are considered similarly to a foreign branch of the financial corporation that manages them.

Consequently, if a counterparty acting as a debtor or protection provider is a foreign branch (or a special fund), the counterparty reference data include a record on the debtor or protection provider itself, and a record for its head office undertaking (which represents the legal entity of which the foreign branch is part). In the counterparty reference data record of the foreign branch (or special fund), the counterparty identifier of the head office undertaking is reported in the data attribute “head office undertaking identifier”, which allows the necessary link to be made between the foreign branch and the legal entity of which the branch is part.

If the counterparty acting as debtor or protection provider is the head office undertaking representing the legal entity, it is still recommended that the counterparty identifier of the counterparty itself be reported in the data attribute “head office undertaking identifier”. In this case, however, no additional counterparty reference data report is required for the head office undertaking because it coincides with the debtor or protection provider itself.

Finally, to avoid the reporting of redundant information, the head office undertaking identifier is reported as “Not required” in the counterparty reference data record of the immediate parent undertaking and ultimate parent undertaking (this is illustrated in the following examples).

If an immediate parent undertaking exists for (the head office undertaking of) the debtor or protection provider, a separate data record is reported for the immediate parent undertaking.

The counterparty identifier of the immediate parent undertaking is reported in the data attribute “immediate parent undertaking identifier” of the debtor’s (or protection provider’s) counterparty reference data, unless the debtor (or protection provider) is a foreign branch or a special fund, in which case the “immediate parent undertaking identifier” is reported in the record of the head office undertaking.
While each foreign branch in scope of AnaCredit is part of a legal entity (represented by the head office undertaking), not every legal entity has an immediate parent undertaking. If no immediate parent undertaking exists, the counterparty identifier of the head office undertaking itself is reported as the “immediate parent undertaking identifier” in the head office undertaking’s counterparty reference data record.

Ultimate parent undertaking

If an ultimate parent undertaking exists for the (head office undertaking of the) debtor or protection provider, a separate data record is reported for the ultimate parent undertaking.

The counterparty identifier of the ultimate parent undertaking is reported in the data attribute “ultimate parent undertaking identifier” of the debtor’s (or protection provider’s) counterparty reference data, unless the debtor is a foreign branch or a special fund, in which case the “ultimate parent undertaking identifier” is reported in the record of the head office undertaking.

If the head office undertaking (representing the legal entity) does not have an immediate parent undertaking (and therefore no ultimate parent undertaking either), the counterparty identifier of the head office undertaking of the debtor (or protection provider) itself is reported in the data attributes “immediate parent undertaking identifier” and “ultimate parent undertaking identifier” of the head office undertaking’s counterparty reference data. In this case, no distinct record is submitted for the immediate parent undertaking or ultimate parent undertaking.

If the immediate parent undertaking does not have a parent undertaking (i.e. the immediate and ultimate parent undertakings coincide), the counterparty identifier of the immediate parent undertaking of the debtor (or protection provider) itself is reported in the data attributes “immediate parent undertaking identifier” and “ultimate parent undertaking identifier” of the head office undertaking’s counterparty reference data report.
12.1.1.1 Reporting obligation – examples

The following example illustrates the reporting obligation in the case of a debtor with no immediate and ultimate parent undertaking.

**Example 68: Debtor with no immediate and ultimate parent company**

The observed agent (OA), which is a foreign branch of the reporting agent (RA), extends an instrument to a counterparty (debtor D), which is a legal entity with no immediate or ultimate parent undertaking. The instrument is protected by a guarantee of a protection provider (PP), which is a legal entity.

In this case, counterparty reference data are submitted for the following counterparties:

- reporting agent (RA);
- observed agent (OA) acting as creditor and servicer (one record in the counterparty reference data, but two roles of the observed agent in the counterparty-instrument dataset);
- debtor (D);
- protection provider (PP).

The following example illustrates the reporting requirements in the case of a debtor with an immediate parent undertaking and an ultimate parent undertaking.

**Example 69: Debtor with an immediate and ultimate parent undertaking**

The observed agent (OA) extends an instrument to a counterparty which is a foreign branch (debtor D). The OA is a foreign branch of the reporting agent (RA). The instrument is protected by a guarantee of protection provider (PP), which is a legal entity with no immediate parent undertaking. The debtor D has a head office undertaking (which represents the legal entity of which debtor D is part), an immediate parent and an ultimate parent undertaking.

In this case, counterparty reference data are submitted for the following counterparties:

- reporting agent (RA);
- observed agent (OA) acting as creditor and servicer (one record in the counterparty reference data, but two roles in the counterparty-instrument dataset);
- creditor and servicer (one record in the counterparty reference data, but two roles in the counterparty-instrument dataset);
- debtor (D);
- head office undertaking of the debtor D;
- immediate parent undertaking (of the legal entity of which debtor D is part);
- ultimate parent undertaking (of the legal entity of which debtor D is part);
- protection provider (PP).
The following example illustrates the reporting requirements in the case of a debtor with immediate and ultimate parent undertakings, and a protection provider with just one parent undertaking (which is then both the immediate and the ultimate parent undertaking).

**Example 70: Debtor and protection provider with an immediate and ultimate parent undertaking**

The observed agent (OA) extends an instrument to a counterparty which is a foreign branch (debtor D). The instrument is protected by a guarantee provided by protection provider PP, which is a foreign branch. The legal entity of which PP is part (represented by the head office undertaking) has only one parent (i.e. the immediate parent is also the ultimate parent). The legal entity of debtor D, which is represented by its head office undertaking, has an immediate parent undertaking and an ultimate parent undertaking.

In this case, counterparty reference data are submitted for the following counterparties:

- reporting agent = observed agent (OA) acting as creditor and servicer (one record in the counterparty reference dataset, but two roles in the counterparty-instrument dataset);
- debtor (D);
- head office undertaking of debtor D;
- immediate parent undertaking (of the legal entity of which debtor D is part);
- ultimate parent undertaking (of the legal entity of which debtor D is part);
- protection provider (PP);
- head office undertaking of the protection provider PP, representing the legal entity of which protection provider PP is part;
- immediate parent undertaking (= ultimate parent undertaking) of the legal entity of which protection provider PP is part.
The following example illustrates the reporting requirements in a case where an instrument is held by one counterparty and serviced by another, the debtor to the instrument has immediate and ultimate parent undertakings, and there is a protection provider which provides protection to the instrument.

### Example 71: Instrument serviced and held by two different counterparties

The observed agent (OA) is the creditor of an instrument to a counterparty which is a foreign branch (debtor D). The OA is a foreign branch of the reporting agent (RA). The instrument is protected by a guarantee provided by protection provider PP, which is a legal entity (the legal entity does not have an immediate parent). Debtor D belongs to a legal entity (represented by its head office undertaking) which has an immediate parent undertaking and an ultimate parent undertaking. The instrument is serviced by counterparty C, which is a legal entity.

In this case, counterparty reference data are submitted for the following counterparties:

- reporting agent (RA);
- observed agent (OA) acting as creditor (one record in the counterparty-instrument dataset);
- counterparty C acting as servicer (one record in the counterparty-instrument dataset);
- debtor (D);
- head office undertaking of debtor D;
- immediate parent undertaking (of the legal entity of which debtor D is part);
- ultimate parent undertaking (of the legal entity of which debtor D is part);
- protection provider (PP).
The following example illustrates the reporting requirements in the case of an instrument subject to a securitisation which is held by one counterparty while another counterparty acts as servicer and originator.

Example 72: Instrument held by one counterparty and serviced by another counterparty which also acts as originator

The observed agent (OA) is the creditor of an instrument to a counterparty which is a foreign branch (debtor D). The OA is a foreign branch of the reporting agent (RA). The instrument is protected by a guarantee provided by protection provider PP, which is a legal entity (which does not have an immediate or ultimate parent undertaking). Debtor D belongs to a legal entity (represented by its head office undertaking) which has no immediate parent undertaking or ultimate parent undertaking. The instrument was originated by counterparty C, which still services the instrument.

Based on this situation, counterparty reference data are submitted for the following counterparties:

- reporting agent (RA);
- observed agent (OA) acting as creditor (one record in the counterparty-instrument dataset);
- counterparty C acting as servicer and originator (one record in the counterparty reference dataset, but two roles in the counterparty-instrument dataset);
- debtor (D);
- head office undertaking of debtor D;
- protection provider (PP).

12.1.1.2 Counterparty reference data in relation to debtors and protection providers – examples

In general, the counterparty reference data of a debtor or protection provider include, in addition to the counterparty identifier of the debtor or protection provider itself, the counterparty identifiers of (i) its legal entity (represented by a head office undertaking), (ii) the immediate parent undertaking of the legal entity of which the counterparty is part, and (iii) the ultimate parent undertaking of the legal entity of which the counterparty is part. However, whether or not additional counterparty reference data are reported for any of these affiliated counterparties depends on a number of factors.

Note that, for a given instrument reported to AnaCredit, the counterparty reference dataset includes records referring to the reporting agent, the observed agent, the creditor, the servicer and the debtor to the instrument. However, in the examples below, the emphasis is only on the counterparty identifiers in the counterparty reference data relating to the debtor and to the affiliated parties, leaving out the other counterparties.
The following example illustrates the reporting requirements relating to the counterparty identifiers in the case of a debtor being a legal entity with no parent undertaking.

**Example 73: Counterparty identifiers in relation to a debtor with no immediate and ultimate parent undertaking**

The debtor (counterparty identifier = Cty#DE100) is a legal entity resident in Germany. The debtor has no parent undertaking.

The table below provides an overview of the counterparty reference data related to the debtor (the other records are ignored in this example).

**Table 125 Overview of data relating to the identification of a debtor which is the domestic part of a legal entity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counterparty</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Head office undertaking identifier</th>
<th>Immediate parent undertaking identifier</th>
<th>Ultimate parent undertaking identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>CTY#DE100</td>
<td>CTY#DE100</td>
<td>CTY#DE100</td>
<td>CTY#DE100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that both the legal entity and the head office undertaking (which represent the legal entity) are identified by the same counterparty identifier. In this case, since the debtor is a legal entity (not a foreign branch), the reporting of the head office undertaking identifier (in italics) is recommended, although it is not strictly mandatory.

Moreover, since the legal entity has no immediate or ultimate parent undertaking, the counterparty identifier of the legal entity itself is also reported both in the data attribute “immediate parent undertaking identifier” and in the data attribute “ultimate parent undertaking identifier”.

Since all the affiliates’ counterparty identifiers refer to the same counterparty, the counterparty reference data include only one record in this case.
The following example illustrates the reporting requirements for the counterparty identifiers in the case of a debtor being a foreign branch of a legal entity with no immediate and ultimate parent undertaking.

**Example 74: Counterparty identifiers in relation to a debtor with no immediate and ultimate parent undertaking**

The debtor is a French branch (counterparty identifier = Cty#FR001) of a German legal entity, which is identified by the counterparty identifier Cty#DE100. The German legal entity of which the debtor is part (represented by the head office undertaking of the debtor) has no immediate or ultimate parent undertaking.

The following table below provides an overview of the counterparty reference data related to the debtor. Note that in this case the counterparty reference data of both the debtor and the debtor’s head office undertaking are reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counterparty</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Head office undertaking identifier</th>
<th>Immediate parent undertaking identifier</th>
<th>Ultimate parent undertaking identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Cty#FR001</td>
<td>Cty#DE100</td>
<td>“Not required”</td>
<td>“Not required”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head office undertaking</td>
<td>Cty#DE100</td>
<td>Cty#DE100</td>
<td>Cty#DE100</td>
<td>Cty#DE100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this example, the counterparty reference data of the debtor include its own counterparty identifier (Cty#FR001) as well as the (distinct) identifier of the head office undertaking (Cty#DE100). Since the debtor is a foreign branch, the immediate parent undertaking identifier and the ultimate parent undertaking identifiers are both reported as “Not required” in this data report.

However, as in this case counterparty Cty#DE100 is affiliated with the debtor, the counterparty reference dataset also includes a record for the legal entity of the debtor (represented by the head office undertaking). In this connection, the record relating to the legal entity (as represented by the head office undertaking) is identified by the counterparty identifier of the head office undertaking of the legal entity of which the foreign branch (the debtor) is part. Furthermore, information on the immediate and ultimate parent undertaking is recorded in this report, because it relates to the relationship between legal entities. Please note that since the counterparty is a legal entity (not a foreign branch), the reporting of the head office undertaking identifier (in italics) is recommended, although it is not strictly mandatory.

Therefore, as it is explained in Part I, Section 3.4.2 of the Manual, if, in the case of foreign branches, the legal entity of which the foreign branch is a legally dependant part does not have an immediate parent undertaking, the counterparty identifier of the head office undertaking of the debtor itself is reported as the immediate parent undertaking identifier, which in this case is Cty#DE100. The same reasoning applies to the ultimate parent undertaking of the debtor, whose identifier is Cty#DE100.

The following example illustrates the reporting requirements relating to the counterparty identifiers in the case of a debtor being a foreign branch of a legal entity with an immediate parent undertaking which is also the ultimate parent undertaking of the debtor.
Example 75: Counterparty identifiers in relation to a debtor with an immediate parent undertaking which is also the ultimate parent undertaking

The debtor is located in France and is a foreign branch (counterparty identifier = Cty#FR001) of a German legal entity, which is identified by the counterparty identifier (Cty#DE100). The German legal entity has an immediate parent undertaking, identified by the counterparty identifier (Cty#DE200), which is also the ultimate parent undertaking and is also resident in Germany.

In this case, the counterparty reference data records relating to the debtor, the legal entity of which debtor is part (represented by the head office undertaking) and the legal entity’s immediate parent undertaking are reported. An overview of the data is provided in the table below.

Table 127 Overview of data relating to the identification of the debtor, its head office undertaking and the debtor’s immediate parent undertaking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counterparty</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Head office undertaking identifier</th>
<th>Immediate parent undertaking identifier</th>
<th>Ultimate parent undertaking identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Cty#FR001</td>
<td>Cty#DE100</td>
<td>“Not required”</td>
<td>“Not required”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head office undertaking</td>
<td>Cty#DE100</td>
<td>Cty#DE100</td>
<td>Cty#DE200</td>
<td>Cty#DE200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate parent undertaking</td>
<td>Cty#DE200</td>
<td>“Not required”</td>
<td>“Not required”</td>
<td>“Not required”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The record of counterparty reference data relating to the debtor includes the counterparty identifier of the debtor itself (Cty#FR001), as well as the identifier of the legal entity of which the debtor is part (represented by the head office undertaking (Cty#DE100). Since the debtor is a foreign branch, the immediate and ultimate parent undertaking identifiers are reported as “Not required” in the reference data report of the debtor.

As regards the counterparty reference data of the head office undertaking (which represents the legal entity of which the debtor is part), it is identified by the counterparty identifier of the legal entity of which the foreign branch (the debtor) is part. Please note that since in the record of the head office undertaking the counterparty is a legal entity (not a foreign branch), the reporting of the head office undertaking identifier (in italics) is recommended, although it is not strictly mandatory. In this connection, the counterparty identifier of the immediate parent undertaking (Cty#DE200) of the legal entity of which the debtor is part is reported in the data attribute “immediate parent undertaking identifier” in the record of the head office undertaking of the debtor.

Finally, since the immediate and ultimate parent undertakings of the legal entity of the debtor coincide (i.e. the immediate parent undertaking is the only parent undertaking), the counterparty reference data of the immediate parent undertaking include only its own counterparty identifier, while the data attributes “head office undertaking identifier”, “immediate parent undertaking identifier” and “ultimate parent undertaking identifier” are reported as “Not required”.

The following example illustrates the reporting with regard to the counterparty identifiers in the case of a debtor being a foreign branch of a legal entity with both an immediate and an ultimate parent undertaking (distinct from the immediate parent undertaking).
Example 76: Counterparty identifiers in relation to a debtor with an immediate and ultimate parent undertaking

The debtor is located in France and is a foreign branch (counterparty identifier = Cty#FR001) of a German legal entity, which is identified by the counterparty identifier (Cty#DE100). The German legal entity has an immediate parent undertaking, identified by the counterparty identifier (Cty#DE200), and an ultimate parent undertaking, identified by the counterparty identifier (Cty#IT400). The legal entity of which the debtor is part (as represented by the head office undertaking) and the immediate parent undertaking are both resident in Germany, while the ultimate parent undertaking is resident in Italy.

In this case, the counterparty reference data record of the debtor, the identifiers of the legal entity of which the debtor is part (represented by the head office undertaking) and the legal entity’s immediate and ultimate parent undertaking are reported.

Table 128 Overview of data attributes relating to the identification of the debtor, its head office undertaking and the immediate and ultimate parent undertakings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counterparty</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Head office undertaking identifier</th>
<th>Immediate parent undertaking identifier</th>
<th>Ultimate parent undertaking identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>CTY#FR001</td>
<td>CTY#DE100</td>
<td>“Not required”</td>
<td>“Not required”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head office undertaking</td>
<td>CTY#DE100</td>
<td>CTY#DE100</td>
<td>CTY#DE200</td>
<td>CTY#IT400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate parent undertaking</td>
<td>CTY#DE200</td>
<td>“Not required”</td>
<td>“Not required”</td>
<td>“Not required”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate parent undertaking</td>
<td>CTY#IT400</td>
<td>“Not required”</td>
<td>“Not required”</td>
<td>“Not required”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As in the previous example, since the debtor is a foreign branch, the counterparty identifier of the legal entity of which the debtor is part (as represented by the head office undertaking Cty#DE100) is reported in the record of the debtor, while the counterparty identifiers of the immediate and ultimate parent undertaking are as “Not required”.

Given that the legal entity of which the debtor is part (as represented by the head office undertaking) is identified in the record of the debtor, the counterparty reference data include a record on the legal entity (i.e. the record on the head office undertaking). Furthermore, the record on the legal entity includes the counterparty identifiers of the immediate and ultimate parent undertakings of the legal entity. In this connection, the counterparty identifier of the immediate parent undertaking (Cty#DE200) is reported in the data attribute “immediate parent undertaking identifier” and the counterparty identifier of the ultimate parent undertaking (Cty#IT400) is reported as the “ultimate parent undertaking identifier” in the record of head office undertaking which represents the legal entity of which the debtor is part. Please note that since in the record of the head office undertaking the counterparty is a legal entity (not a foreign branch), the reporting of the head office undertaking identifier (in italics) is recommended, although it is not strictly mandatory.

Finally, since the immediate and ultimate parent undertakings are identified in the record relating to the head office undertaking, additional records of the counterparty reference data are reported for both the immediate and ultimate parent undertakings. In these records, the data attributes “head office undertaking identifier”, “immediate parent undertaking identifier” and “ultimate parent undertaking identifier” are reported as “Not required”.
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12.1.1.3 A counterparty playing different roles

In AnaCredit, the same counterparty can play multiple roles in relation to different instruments issued by the same or by different observed agents. For example, a credit institution may act as creditor, debtor, protection provider, originator or servicer in different transactions. Moreover, it may be reported as the legal entity of which the debtor is part (as represented by the head office undertaking), the legal entity’s immediate parent undertaking and the legal entity’s ultimate parent undertaking in other transactions. The roles played by the various counterparties are recorded in the respective counterparty-instrument datasets, not in the counterparty reference datasets.

Example 77: Counterparty identifiers in relation to a debtor with no immediate and ultimate parent undertaking

A counterparty in this example (Cty#100) is a legal entity with no immediate or ultimate parent undertaking. This counterparty acts as a debtor in one instrument (instrument 1) and as protection provider in relation to another instrument (instrument 2). The debtor of instrument 2 (Cty#800) is another legal entity, which has no immediate or ultimate parent undertakings.

These roles are recorded in the counterparty-instrument dataset, in the data attribute “counterparty role” (in relation to instrument 1) and in the protection received dataset (in relation to instrument 2). Note that other roles regarding the instrument (e.g. observed agent and reporting agent) are also reported, but these are beyond the scope of the present example.

The following counterparty reference data have to be submitted in relation to instruments 1 and 2, respectively.

Table 129 Overview of data relating to the identification of the debtor in relation to Instrument 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counterparty</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Head office undertaking identifier</th>
<th>Immediate parent undertaking identifier</th>
<th>Ultimate parent undertaking identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>CTY#100</td>
<td>CTY#100</td>
<td>CTY#100</td>
<td>CTY#100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 130 Overview of data relating to the identification of the debtor and the protection provider in relation to Instrument 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counterparty</th>
<th>Counterparty identifier</th>
<th>Head office undertaking identifier</th>
<th>Immediate parent undertaking identifier</th>
<th>Ultimate parent undertaking identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>CTY#800</td>
<td>CTY#800</td>
<td>CTY#800</td>
<td>CTY#800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection provider</td>
<td>CTY#100</td>
<td>CTY#100</td>
<td>CTY#100</td>
<td>CTY#100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that since neither the debtor nor the protection provider are foreign branches, the reporting of the head office undertaking identifiers (in italics) in the respective reference data is recommended, although not strictly mandatory.
12.1.2 The reporting obligation for counterparty reference data depending on the role and residency of the counterparty

In the previous section it was explained that the reporting obligation of counterparty reference data varies with the type of counterparty, i.e. depending on whether the counterparty is a legal entity (as represented by the head office undertaking) or a foreign branch (or special fund). Moreover, while in general applicable to any counterparty, the specific set of attributes which is eventually reported depends on the role and the country of residency of the counterparty. This section provides further clarification on the reporting requirements detailed in Annex III to the AnaCredit Regulation.

The relevant distinction as regards residency is whether or not the counterparty is resident in a reporting Member State. Tables 2 and 3 of Annex III to the AnaCredit Regulation set out in detail, for the various roles of the counterparty (creditor, debtor, etc.), the list of reference data attributes requested in the respective cases (Table 2 for reporting Member States, Table 3 for non-reporting Member States).

Depending on the residency of the counterparty and on national arrangements, the relevant NCB of the reporting agent may have access to alternative sources of reference information on counterparties (e.g. a national business register). The possibility for an NCB to make use of such alternative sources is explicitly acknowledged in Article 9(2) of the AnaCredit Regulation. As a result, in some countries, and within the limits established in the Regulation, the relevant NCB may decide to request that respective reporting agents report, e.g. for counterparties resident in the same country, only a subset of the data attributes listed in the AnaCredit Regulation. This is further illustrated below.

As explained, the counterparty reference data relating to the legal entity of which the foreign branch is part (as represented by the head office undertaking) are also reported in the record of the counterparty reference data relating to a foreign branch. The specific reporting requirements for the legal entity (as represented by the head office undertaking), in turn, depend on the role of the foreign branch in the instrument at stake. For instance, a larger set of attributes is generally requested when the foreign branch acts as a debtor rather than as a servicer. Please note that counterparties that are immediate and ultimate parent undertakings are, by definition, legal entities and their counterparty reference data are reported accordingly. By contrast, counterparties taking on all other roles may also be foreign branches or special funds.

As another general caveat, please note that the unique identification of counterparties is an essential feature of AnaCredit. Therefore, it is highly recommended – including in cases where the counterparty is different from the debtor – that the legal entity identifier (LEI) be reported or, if an LEI is not available, a national identifier from the list of national identifiers (for details on the list of national identifiers, see Section 12.4.3) in addition to the (mandatory) counterparty identifier. This recommendation applies irrespective of the residency of the counterparty.
12.1.2.1 Actual reporting requirements for special categories of counterparty

In the previous section it was explained that the actual reporting requirements of counterparty reference data depend not only on whether the counterparty is a legal entity (as represented by the head office undertaking) or a foreign branch (or a special fund), but also on the role and the residency of the counterparty.

It is important to note that further deviations from the general reporting requirements can also arise for special categories of counterparties. For instance, while all data attributes listed in the counterparty reference data are applicable (and hence requested) in the case of a counterparty which is a legal entity constituted under corporate (private) law, for other counterparties the reporting of certain data attributes may not be meaningful. This is the case, for instance, for the "immediate parent undertaking identifier", "ultimate parent undertaking identifier", and "balance sheet total" or "annual turnover" of an entity belonging to the public sector.

As a result, similarly to what was explained for the possible derogations and exemptions granted by each relevant NCB (i.e. given the "N"s in Table 2 and 3 of Annex III to the AnaCredit Regulation and the possibility of relying on alternative data sources), for a clear understanding of the actual reporting requirements for each category of counterparty, reporting agents are invited to consult the respective NCB, as such special cases can also vary considerably from country to country, reflecting the different national legal and institutional frameworks.

Monetary and financial institutions (MFIs)

The ECB publishes the official list of MFIs on its website9, which is updated on a daily basis. Unless otherwise decided by the relevant NCB, only the counterparty identifier, as communicated by the relevant NCB, is reported for (non-debtor) counterparties included in the list, while all other counterparty reference data attributes are reported as “Not required”.

This does not apply to counterparties included in the list of MFIs and acting as debtors, for which the general requirements apply, unless otherwise decided by the relevant NCB.

International organisations

International organisations are listed in the “list of international organisations” (the list of international organisations can be found on the ECB’s website10). Unless otherwise decided by the relevant NCB, only the counterparty identifier, as communicated by the relevant NCB, is reported for these entities, while all other counterparty reference data attributes are reported as “Not required”.

---

No data on natural persons

According to paragraph 1.6, Template 1 of Annex I to the AnaCredit Regulation, “in the case of natural persons being affiliated with instruments reported to AnaCredit, no record for the natural persons must be reported”. This means that in no case will a record of counterparty reference data referring to a natural person be reported to AnaCredit.

12.1.3 Reporting requirements

This section summarises the actual reporting requirements derived from the principles elaborated in the previous sections.

12.1.3.1 General requirements

Counterparty reference data reports are transmitted for all counterparties which are linked to instruments as described in Part I, Chapter 3 of the Manual, or provide protection to secure such instruments, or are affiliated with such counterparties.

However, in the case of counterparties which are natural persons (linked with an instrument reported to AnaCredit or providing protection), no counterparty reference data are reported.

A single counterparty may be linked to several instruments or take different roles in relation to one an instrument. However, the counterparty reference data of one counterparty are reported only once (at all levels, i.e. reporting agent, NCB, European System of Central Banks), thus guaranteeing the uniqueness in the respective counterparty reference dataset.

The level of granularity for the counterparty reference data is the counterparty. Each record is uniquely identified by the counterparty identifier on the reporting agent level. The counterparty data describe the characteristics of the counterparty.

For each reporting agent, each counterparty identifier is unique for each counterparty, and each counterparty is always identified with the same counterparty identifier. This identifier is never reused by the same reporting agent to refer to a different counterparty. NCBs may communicate to their reporting agents the set of counterparty identifiers to be used for the AnaCredit reporting.

12.1.3.2 Specific requirements

The information required for each type of counterparty is indicated in Annex III of the AnaCredit Regulation and further described in this chapter.
Not all data attributes need to be reported for all roles or types of counterparties. The reporting obligation varies depending on the type of counterparty and on whether or not the counterparty is resident in a reporting Member State.

As regards the roles of counterparties, Tables 2 and 3 of Annex II to the AnaCredit Regulation define specific reporting requirements for each data attribute in the counterparty reference data described in Template 1 of Annex I to the Regulation.

Table 2 specifies the requirements for counterparties resident in a reporting Member State, while Table 3 specifies the requirements for counterparties not resident in a reporting Member State across all types of counterparties.

For details regarding the application of the specific requirements, please refer to Part I, Chapter 7 of the Manual, which deals with the reduced data requirements.

### 12.2 Level of granularity

Article 1(10) of the AnaCredit Regulation implies that for legal entities with foreign branches, the reporting is based on the concept of the “institutional unit”. This means that the legal entity is deemed to be composed of one institutional unit represented by the head office undertaking, and one for each foreign branch (to be considered as one foreign branch per country only). Each foreign branch is linked to the legal entity (of which it is part) via the information on the “head office undertaking identifier”.

Where there are no foreign branches, the only existing institutional unit coincides with the whole legal entity.

Consequently, the AnaCredit reporting relates to legal entities or foreign branches of legal entities, with the legal entity being represented by the head office undertaking. Given the multi-purpose nature of the dataset, the availability of information on relationships (head office undertaking, foreign branches, special funds) and group structures (immediate parent undertaking, ultimate parent undertaking) is of particular importance for the overall usability and informative value of the data.

As described in Part I, Sections 2.2.1 and 3.2 of the Manual, some of the counterparty reference data reported in the data record of a head office undertaking refer to the legal entity as a whole, and not to the distinct institutional units of which it is formed. For example, the data attribute “status of legal proceedings” refers to the legal entity as a whole (a bankruptcy always affects the whole legal entity and is not limited to one or more of its individual institutional units), while the institutional sector relates to the single institutional unit to which the counterparty reference data report refers. This topic is addressed in more detail in the next section.

### 12.2.1 Legal entities

AnaCredit head office undertakings represent legal entities. This means, for example, that the name and address reported for a head office undertaking are always those of the legal entity. As a consequence, the counterparty reference data
record of the head office undertaking includes data attributes which refer to the legal
entity as a whole (see Table 118 for details).

As explained, the “counterparty identifier” of a legal entity always coincides with the
“head office undertaking identifier”. This is also true for counterparties which are
reporting agents, because the record always refers to their respective head office
undertakings.

Table 131 General applicability and scope of the reference data attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counterparty reference data attributes</th>
<th>Is a value for this data attribute generally reported in the reference data record of a counterparty which is a foreign branch (or special fund)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counterparty identifier</td>
<td>Yes, and it refers to the foreign branch only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal entity identifier (LEI)</td>
<td>Yes, and it refers to the foreign branch only (still to be implemented)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National identifier</td>
<td>Yes, and (usually) it refers to the foreign branch only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head office undertaking identifier</td>
<td>Yes, and it is the same for all foreign branches of the same legal entity (represented by the head office undertaking)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate parent undertaking identifier</td>
<td>No. This information is only applicable at the legal entity level and is therefore reported in the counterparty reference data record of the head office undertaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate parent undertaking identifier</td>
<td>No, as it is reported in the counterparty reference data record of the head office undertaking (representing the legal entity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Yes, and it refers to the foreign branch only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address: street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address: city/town/village</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address: county/administrative division</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address: postal code</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address: country</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal form</td>
<td>No, as it is reported in the counterparty reference data record of the head office undertaking (representing the legal entity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional sector</td>
<td>Yes, and it refers to the foreign branch only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status of legal proceedings</td>
<td>No. This information is only applicable at the legal entity level and is therefore reported in the counterparty reference data record of the head office undertaking (representing the legal entity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of initiation of legal proceedings</td>
<td>No. This information is reported in the counterparty reference data record of the head office undertaking and is calculated as specified in the AnaCredit Regulation (Annex IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise size</td>
<td>No. This information is reported in the counterparty reference data record of the head office undertaking (reporting agent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of enterprise size</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of employees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance sheet total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual turnover</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting standard (only for reporting agents)</td>
<td>No. This information is reported in the counterparty reference data record of the head office undertaking (reporting agent)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similarly, the immediate and ultimate parent undertaking identifiers also refer to the
respective legal entities as represented by the head office undertakings. This means
that for affiliated counterparties acting as immediate or ultimate parent undertakings,
only the counterparty reference data record for the legal entity is reported (and not
for any foreign branches it may have). As usual, the counterparty reference data also include some data attributes that refer to the legal entity as a whole.

12.2.2 Foreign branches and special funds

As explained in detail in Part I, Chapter 2.1.3.2 of the Manual, a foreign branch is defined in accordance with the concept of a “single branch” referred to in Article 2(3) of Regulation (EC) No 2533/98.

Whenever the counterparty reference data of a foreign branch or special fund are reported, an additional counterparty reference data record is reported for the legal entity (represented by the head office undertaking) of the foreign branch (or the managing company of the special fund).

Please note that in relation to a single counterparty, the same counterparty identifier is used in every counterparty reference dataset in which the counterparty is referred to. In particular, if a counterparty is a foreign branch, the counterparty identifier of the foreign branch (not of its head office undertaking) is used in the counterparty risk dataset and in the counterparty default dataset for the counterparty, even though the data attributes in these datasets are established at the level of the legal entity to which the counterparty belongs. The correct reassignment of these datasets will be performed in the ECB’s systems.

12.3 Reporting frequency

Counterparty reference data are reported no later than the monthly transmission of credit data relevant for the reporting reference date on which the counterparty entered into a contract recorded in AnaCredit. If some changes occur, the data attributes affected are updated no later than the monthly transmission of credit data for the reporting reference date on which the change came into effect.

For details regarding the reporting frequency, please refer to Part I, Section 6.3.2 of the Manual, “Reporting methods, frequencies and timeliness”.

12.4 The counterparty reference dataset – data attributes

This section provides detailed definitions and guidance on the reporting requirements related to the various reference data attributes.

The counterparty reference dataset is applicable for all counterparties which are reported in the counterparty-instrument dataset or in the protection received dataset or are affiliated with such counterparties as reported in the head office, immediate or ultimate parent undertakings.
Table 132 Overview of data attributes in the counterparty reference data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data attribute</th>
<th>Internal identifier</th>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Section in Part II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporting agent identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counterparty identifier</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>2.2.1 and 12.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal entity identifier (LEI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>String</td>
<td>12.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National identifier</td>
<td></td>
<td>String</td>
<td>12.4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head office undertaking identifier</td>
<td></td>
<td>String</td>
<td>12.4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate parent undertaking identifier</td>
<td></td>
<td>String</td>
<td>12.4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate parent undertaking identifier</td>
<td></td>
<td>String</td>
<td>12.4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td></td>
<td>String</td>
<td>12.4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address: street</td>
<td></td>
<td>String</td>
<td>12.4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address: city/town/village</td>
<td></td>
<td>String</td>
<td>12.4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address: postal code</td>
<td></td>
<td>String</td>
<td>12.4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address: county/administrative division</td>
<td></td>
<td>String</td>
<td>12.4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address: country</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>12.4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal form</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>12.4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional sector</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>12.4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic activity</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>12.4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status of legal proceedings</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>12.4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of initiation of legal proceedings</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>12.4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise size</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>12.4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of enterprise size</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>12.4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of employees</td>
<td></td>
<td>Numeric value</td>
<td>12.4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance sheet total</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>12.4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual turnover</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amount in euro</td>
<td>12.4.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting standard</td>
<td></td>
<td>Code list</td>
<td>12.4.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12.4.1 Counterparty identifier

1 Reporting qualification

The counterparty identifier is reported for all counterparties.

Definition: The counterparty identifier is an identifier applied by the reporting agent to uniquely identify each counterparty. Each counterparty must have a unique and exclusive counterparty identifier. This value will not change over time and can never be used as the counterparty identifier for any other counterparty.

In general, as long as the above characteristics are fulfilled, the counterparty identifier can be specific to the reporting agent. At the same time, in those countries where an entity register has already been established by the NCB (e.g. for the purpose of running the national credit register), a unique counterparty identifier may have been assigned to each counterparty by the NCB and will be used by all reporting agents at national level.
Values

Alphanumeric: a code consisting of alphabetical and numerical symbols.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The counterparty identifier consists of a sequence of alphanumeric signs which is used by reporting agents to refer to each counterparty, irrespective of the country of residency, when transmitting AnaCredit data to the respective NCB.

Reporting agents use these identifiers consistently across all data transmissions, and always use the same code for the same counterparty (principle of uniqueness), even if a counterparty is reintroduced into AnaCredit reporting after a period in which the counterparty was not subject to reporting (e.g. owing to the impact of the reporting threshold on the data to be transmitted).

Moreover, in no case (e.g. including when the counterparty no longer falls under the AnaCredit reporting requirements, is no longer active or is to be deleted from the system for any reason) will a counterparty identifier which was used to identify a counterparty at any point in time be re-used to identify another counterparty (principle of exclusivity).

Together, uniqueness and exclusivity ensure that each counterparty is uniquely identified at the reporting agent level.

As specified in Annex I of the AnaCredit Regulation, NCBs may require reporting agents to use counterparty identifiers which have been assigned by the relevant NCB and communicated by the latter to the reporting agents.

Note that the above guidance is to be interpreted without prejudice to the national discretion of the relevant NCBs to implement all necessary measures to ensure the unique identification of counterparties at the national level.

12.4.2 Legal entity identifier (LEI)

Reporting qualification

A legal entity identifier (LEI) is reported for each counterparty playing any role in the exposures to be reported for AnaCredit purposes, provided that such a code is available and that its status is either "issued", "lapsed" or "merged" (i.e. a pending, retired or annulled code is not accepted for the AnaCredit purposes).

Although LEIs are currently assigned only to legal entities, it is foreseen that a distinct LEI will be assigned in the near future to each foreign branch, if any, of legal entities.11

11 See the policy document on including data on international/foreign branches in the Global LEI System https://www.leiroc.org/publications/gls/roc_20160711-1.pdf
Definition: A legal entity identifier of the counterparty assigned in accordance with the
International Organisation for Standardisation’s (ISO) 17442 standard.

An (“issued”, “lapsed” or “merged”) LEI is always reported for any counterparty.

Values

Alphanumeric: a code consisting of alphabetical and numerical symbols.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

An (“issued”, “lapsed” or “merged”) LEI is always reported for any counterparty as long as it is available. Only as a second-best solution, i.e. where an (“issued”, “lapsed” or “merged”) LEI is not available for a given counterparty, is an identifier from the “list of national identifiers” (see Section 12.4.3) is reported.

If no (“issued”, “lapsed” or “merged”) LEI exists for a given counterparty, the data attribute “Legal entity identifier (LEI)” is reported as “Non-applicable”, while the reporting of a national identifier and of the identifier type becomes mandatory for that counterparty.

12.4.3 National identifier and identifier type

Reporting qualification

A national identifier (code) and the corresponding identifier type are reported for any counterparty, irrespective of its role in the instruments to be reported to AnaCredit, as soon as an (“issued”, “lapsed” or “merged”) LEI code is not available for that counterparty.

At the discretion of the relevant NCB, the national identifier can also be used as the counterparty identifier.

Moreover, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect a national identifier from its reporting agents for all or some of the counterparties, e.g. in cases where this information is already available to the NCB via other sources and can be derived from the counterparty identifier, which is always reported.

Definition: A national identifier is a commonly used identification code which enables the unambiguous identification of a counterparty or of the legal entity of which the counterparty forms part within its country of residency. For a counterparty which is a foreign branch, the national identifier refers to the foreign branch. For a counterparty which is not a foreign branch, the national identifier refers to the legal entity.
Values

Alphanumeric: a code consisting of alphabetical and numerical symbols.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

For each counterparty, a national identifier from the “list of national identifiers” for the relevant country (the list of national identifiers can be found on the ECB’s website) is reported, together with the indication of the respective identifier type, as soon as an (“issued”, “lapsed” or “merged”) LEI code is not reported.

When more than one identifier type is included in the list for the relevant country of residency of the counterparty, the first available identifier from the ranked list is reported.

If the list for the country of residency of the counterparty includes the option “Other”, an identification code not included in the list can be reported for that counterparty, as long as such a code allows the unambiguous identification of the counterparty in its country of residency (i.e. the code is publicly available and exclusive to the counterparty). This option, however, is used only in cases where no other pre-determined national identifier from the list is available for that counterparty.

Moreover, when the option “Other” is reported for the data attribute “identifier type”, a short (free text) description of the type of code being reported (e.g. “company identification number in the public registry”) is included. Unless otherwise decided at the national level by the relevant NCB, this information is provided for the data attribute “description of other identifier type”, which is reported as “Not required” in all other cases.

Certain counterparties are not assigned any national identifier in their country of residency, e.g. when they belong to a particular sector or category (e.g. in the public sector). The list of national identifiers in some countries therefore includes the option “Not applicable”. If a counterparty does not have any national identifier and is resident in a country for which “Not applicable” is included in the list of national identifiers, the value “Not applicable” is reported in the data attribute “identifier type”, while the data attribute “national identifier” is reported as “Not required”.

Once an identifier type from the country list has been identified by the reporting agent and reported for the data attribute “identifier type”, the corresponding code is reported – in the format indicated in the “list of national identifiers” (see the list of national identifiers on the ECB’s website), if applicable – in the data attribute “national identifier”.

12.4.4 Head office undertaking identifier

Reporting qualification

A “head office undertaking identifier” is reported for each debtor (or protection provider) which is a foreign branch resident in a reporting Member State, and to which at least one instrument has been originated at or after 1 September 2018. It is recommended that the head office undertaking identifier also be reported when the debtor (or protection provider) is a legal entity, as long as at least one instrument was originated at or after 1 September 2018.

Definition: The head office undertaking identifier is the counterparty identifier of the domestic part of the legal entity of which the institutional unit is a legally dependent part.

For counterparties which are foreign branches, the head office identifier enables a link to be established between the foreign branch and the respective legal entity, as represented by the head office undertaking. For counterparties which are a legal entity, the head office undertaking identifier coincides with the counterparty identifier (as the legal entity is represented by the head office undertaking) and signals that the counterparty in question is a legal entity.

Values

Alphabetic: a code consisting of alphabetical and numerical symbols.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

If the counterparty is a legal entity (represented by the head office undertaking), it is recommended that the head office undertaking identifier is reported, with the same value as the counterparty identifier itself. Therefore, whenever the counterparty identifier and the head office undertaking identifier in a given data record coincide, the record refers to the legal entity, not to a foreign branch. By contrast, whenever the counterparty identifier and the head office undertaking identifier are distinct codes, the counterparty reference data record is understood to refer to a foreign branch (or special fund).

12.4.5 Immediate parent undertaking identifier

Reporting qualification

The immediate parent undertaking identifier is reported for all debtors resident in a reporting Member State (RMS) to which at least one instrument has been originated at or after 1 September 2018. For other debtors and protection providers that are resident in an RMS, there is a general reporting obligation. However, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information for some or all counterparties from individual reporting agents.
Definition: The immediate parent undertaking identifier is the counterparty identifier for the domestic part of the legal entity which is the immediate parent undertaking of the counterparty. If the counterparty has no parent undertaking and is a domestic part of a legal entity, the counterparty identifier for the counterparty itself is to be reported. Parent undertaking has the same meaning as defined in Article 4(1)(15)(a) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

Note that natural persons do not qualify as parent undertakings according to the CRR. In addition, according to the CRR and Directive 83/349/EEC, an immediate parent undertaking can generally exist only for enterprises. Therefore, no “immediate parent undertaking” is normally reported for counterparties belonging to the local, central and general government sectors.

Values

Alphanumeric: a code consisting of alphabetical and numerical symbols.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

According to Article 4(1)(15)(a) of the CRR, “parent undertaking” means “a parent undertaking within the meaning of Articles 1 and 2 of Directive 83/349/EEC”.

As the concept of immediate parent undertaking relates only to legal entities, no information on the immediate parent undertaking is recorded in the counterparty reference data of a foreign branch (or special fund). In line with the general approach, the immediate parent undertaking of a counterparty is the legal entity which is the immediate parent undertaking of the legal entity of which the counterparty is part.

If a debtor or protection provider does not have an immediate parent undertaking, the counterparty identifier of the legal entity to which the debtor or protection provider belongs is reported as the immediate parent undertaking identifier (in the data record of the head office undertaking, if the debtor is a foreign branch).

If a counterparty or protection provider has more than one immediate parent undertaking, the entity with the most significant influence over the debtor/protection provider (normally, but not necessarily, the one with the highest share of ownership) is reported as the immediate parent undertaking. If two or more undertakings have the same influence over a debtor/protection provider, only one of them, at the discretion of the reporting agent, is reported as the immediate parent undertaking.

Note that the immediate parent undertaking identifier and the respective counterparty reference data are reported irrespective of whether there is a business relationship between the observed agent and the immediate parent undertaking.
12.4.6 Ultimate parent undertaking identifier

Reporting qualification

The ultimate parent undertaking identifier is reported for all debtors resident in a reporting Member State (RMS) to which at least one instrument has been originated at or after 1 September 2018. For other debtors and protection providers that are resident in an RMS, there is a general reporting obligation. However, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

Definition: The ultimate parent undertaking identifier is the counterparty identifier for the domestic part of the legal entity which is the ultimate parent undertaking of the counterparty. If the counterparty has no parent undertaking, the counterparty identifier for the counterparty itself is to be reported. Parent undertaking has the same meaning as defined in Article 4(1)(15)(a) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

Note that natural persons do not qualify as parent undertakings according to the CRR. In addition, according to the CRR and Directive 83/349/EEC, an ultimate parent undertaking can generally exist only for enterprises. Therefore, no “ultimate parent undertaking” is normally reported for counterparties belonging to the local, central and general government sectors.

Values

Alphanumeric: a code consisting of alphabetical and numerical symbols.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

According to Article 4(1)(15)(a) of the CRR “parent undertaking” means “a parent undertaking within the meaning of Articles 1 and 2 of Directive 83/349/EEC”.

As the concept of ultimate parent undertaking relates only to legal entities, no information on the ultimate parent undertaking is recorded in the counterparty reference data of a foreign branch (or special fund). In line with the general approach, the ultimate parent undertaking of a counterparty is the legal entity which is the ultimate parent undertaking of the legal entity of which the counterparty is part.

In the context of AnaCredit, a legal entity is uniquely identified by the counterparty identifier of the head office undertaking.

If a debtor or a protection provider does not have an ultimate parent undertaking, the counterparty identifier of the legal entity to which the debtor or protection provider belongs is reported as the ultimate parent undertaking identifier (in the data record of the head office undertaking, if the debtor is a foreign branch).

Note that the ultimate parent undertaking identifier and the respective counterparty reference data report are reported irrespective of whether there is a business relationship between the observed agent and the ultimate parent undertaking.
12.4.7 Name

Reporting qualification

The name is reported for all counterparties irrespective of their role and of the country of residency. It also applies to foreign branches and special funds.

Definition: The full legal name of the counterparty.

Each counterparty has a full legal name. This is the one that is reported.

Values

String of characters: a finite sequence of characters.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The name is reported in accordance with the information contained in the national business register, when applicable. No translation from the original national language is required, unless otherwise decided by the relevant NCB.

For a legal entity (represented by the head office undertaking), the name registered upon incorporation is reported.

For counterparties which are not registered (e.g. public sector entities, associations) and can therefore have multiple names that are all equally valid, the preferred to be reported is the name in the language of the country where the counterparty is resident.

12.4.8 Address: street

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is reported for all counterparties, irrespective of the country of residency. While a general reporting obligation also applies for servicers, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

This attribute is also reported for foreign branches, but it is generally not applicable to special funds.

Definition: The counterparty's street address, including the street number.

It is the name of the street and the civic number (including the subsection, e.g. 1/E, where relevant) of the street where the counterparty is registered, e.g. in accordance with the business register if applicable. If deemed feasible, the two fields will preferably be separated by a comma ("," and will be reported in the following order: street name, civic number.
Values

String of characters: a finite sequence of characters, where the street name is followed by the civic number (including the floor number, if applicable), possibly separated by a comma (",").

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The address is reported in line with the residency of the counterparty according to Article 1(4) of Regulation (EC) No 2533/98.

The street address of a foreign branch differs from that of the legal entity to which it belongs (represented by the head office undertaking). Moreover, if more than one branch office of the same legal entity is established in the same country, they are deemed to constitute a single institutional unit (i.e. the foreign branch) for AnaCredit reporting purposes. The street address of a single foreign branch per country is therefore, at the discretion of the reporting agent, reported in this case.

12.4.9 Address: city/town/village

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is reported for all counterparties, irrespective of the country of residency. While a general reporting obligation also applies for servicers, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

This attribute is also reported for foreign branches, but it is generally not applicable to special funds.

Definition: The counterparty’s city, town or village.

This is the name of the place (i.e. the city, town or village) where the counterparty is registered, e.g. in the business register, if applicable.

Values

String of characters: a finite sequence of characters.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The address is reported in line with the residency of the institutional unit according to Article 1(4) of Regulation (EC) No 2533/98.

The city, town or village of residency of a foreign branch differs from the address of the legal entity to which it belongs (represented by the head office undertaking). Moreover, if more than one branch office of the same legal entity is established in the same country, they are deemed to constitute a single institutional unit (i.e. the foreign branch) for AnaCredit reporting purposes. Therefore, at the discretion of the
reporting agent, the city, town or village of a single foreign branch per country is reported in this case.

12.4.10 Address: postal code

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is reported for all counterparties, irrespective of the country of residency. While a general reporting obligation also applies for servicers, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

This data attribute is also reported for foreign branches, but it is generally not applicable to special funds.

Definition: The counterparty's postal code.

This is the postal code of the place (the area in the city, town or village) where the counterparty is registered, e.g. in the business register, if applicable.

Values

Alphanumeric: a code consisting of alphabetical and numerical symbols.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The address will be reported in line with the residency of the institutional unit according to Article 1(4) of Regulation (EC) No 2533/98.

The postal code of a foreign branch differs from that of the legal entity to which it belongs (represented by the head office undertaking). Moreover, if more than one branch office of the same legal entity is established in the same country, they are deemed to constitute a single institutional unit (i.e. the foreign branch) for AnaCredit reporting purposes. The postal code of a single foreign branch per country, at the discretion of the reporting agent, is therefore reported in this case.

Branch offices of a legal entity established in the same country constitute a single institutional unit (i.e. the foreign branch) for AnaCredit reporting purposes (i.e. regarding attributes such as the address). The postal code of foreign branches always differs from the address of the legal entity.

If no postal code exists for a given address, the value “Non-applicable” is reported.
12.4.11 Address: county/administrative division

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is reported for all counterparties resident in a reporting Member State (RMS). While a general reporting obligation also applies for servicers resident in an RMS, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

There is no obligation to report the county/administrative division for counterparties not resident in an RMS. In these cases, “Not applicable” is reported.

This attribute is also reported for foreign branches, but it is generally not applicable to special funds.

Definition: The county or similar administrative division of counterparties resident in European Union Member States.

This is the county/administrative division (NUTS 3 classification) of the place (the city, town or village) where the counterparty is registered, in the business register, if applicable.

Values

String of characters: NUTS 3 regions.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The address is reported in line with the residency of the institutional unit according to Article 1(4) of Regulation (EC) No 2533/98.

The county/administrative division of a foreign branch differs from the one of the legal entity to which it belongs (represented by the head office undertaking). Moreover, if more than one branch office of the same legal entity is established in the same country, they are deemed to constitute a single institutional unit (i.e. the foreign branch) for AnaCredit reporting purposes. Therefore the county/administrative division of a single foreign branch per country is reported in this case.

For counterparties resident in the European Union, the Nomenclature of Units for Territorial Statistics (NUTS) generally applies. This is a hierarchical geocode standard for referencing the subdivisions of countries for statistical purposes, developed and regulated by the European Union.

For each EU Member State, a hierarchy of three NUTS levels is established by Eurostat. The subdivisions in some levels do not necessarily correspond to administrative divisions within the country.
The current NUTS 2013 classification is valid from 1 January 2015 and lists 98 regions at NUTS 1, 276 regions at NUTS 2 and 1,342 regions at NUTS 3 level.

As in the case of the data attribute “real estate collateral location” (cf. Section 9.4.7), the information on NUTS 3 regions is derived centrally by the ECB on the basis of the postal code of the counterparty, according to the information available on the Eurostat website.

Therefore, the data attribute “address: county/administrative division” is reported only when the information about the postal code of the counterparty is not reported, while it is reported as “Not required” when a postal code is reported.

12.4.12 Address: country

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is reported for all counterparties, irrespective of the country of residency. While a general reporting obligation also applies for servicers, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

This data attribute is also reported for foreign branches, but it is generally not applicable to special funds.

Definition: The counterparty’s country.

This is the country of the place (the city, town or village) where the counterparty is registered, e.g. in the business, register if applicable.

Values


General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The address is reported in line with the residency of the institutional unit according to Article 1(4) of Regulation (EC) No 2533/98.

The country of residency of a foreign branch differs from that of the legal entity to which it belongs (represented by the head office undertaking). Moreover, if more than one branch office of the same legal entity is established in the same country, they are deemed to constitute a single institutional unit (i.e. the foreign branch) for AnaCredit reporting purposes. Therefore the country of a single foreign branch is reported in this case.

---


12.4.13 Legal form

**Reporting qualification**

This data attribute is reported for all counterparties, irrespective of the country of residency. While a general reporting obligation also applies for servicers, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

This data attribute is applicable only at the legal entity level. This implies that for a counterparty which is a foreign branch, the “legal form” is only reported in the counterparty reference data of the head office undertaking which represents the legal entity, while it is reported as “Not applicable” in the record of the counterparty (foreign branch) itself.

**Definition:** The type of business entity as defined in the national legal system.

The type of business entity as defined in the national legal system is reported in this data attribute. Depending on the country of residency of the counterparty, the list of admissible options is presented in the “list of legal forms” (the list of legal forms can be found on the ECB’s website).

**Values**

This is a value from the “list of the legal forms” (see the list of legal forms on the ECB’s website) available in the country of residency of the counterparty (or head office undertaking, if there are foreign branches).

In addition to the country-specific legal forms, legal entities resident in any country of the European Union can also have one of the following European legal forms, i.e.:

- European Company (SE);
- European Cooperative Society (SCE);
- European Economic Interest Grouping (EEIG);
- European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC).

For legal entities resident outside the European Union, one of the following options is reported (i.e. the one that best represents the country-specific legal form of that particular counterparty):

- corporation;
- cooperative;
- partnership;
- sole trader;
- limited liability company;
- other.

**General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples**

A list of legal forms applicable in the country of residency of (the head office undertaking of) the counterparty is provided in the “list of legal forms” (see the list of legal forms on the ECB’s website).

Although special funds are treated similarly to foreign branches for AnaCredit reporting purposes, a value for the attribute “legal form” is also reported in the counterparty reference data record of a counterparty which is a special fund. In this case, the value “special fund” is reported, unless a legal form from the relevant country list is deemed more appropriate in that specific case.

As explained, in the case of counterparties which are foreign branches, information on the legal form is reported in the counterparty reference data of the head office undertaking which represents the legal entity.

**12.4.14 Institutional sector**

**Reporting qualification**

This data attribute is reported for all counterparties, irrespective of the country of residency. While a general reporting obligation also applies for servicers, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

This data attribute is also reported for foreign branches and special funds.


The institutional sector refers exclusively to the institutional unit. Therefore, in the case of a legal entity which has foreign branches, a distinct value for the “institutional sector” is potentially reported in the reference data record of the head office undertaking (representing the legal entity) and in the reference data records of the foreign branches.
The following table lists the applicable institutional sectors:

### Table 133 List of applicable institutional sectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institutional sector</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-financial corporations</td>
<td>Non-financial corporations as defined in paragraphs 2.45 to 2.50 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Bank</td>
<td>Central banks as defined in paragraphs 2.72 to 2.74 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit institutions</td>
<td>Credit institutions as defined in Article 4(1)(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposit-taking corporations other than credit institutions</td>
<td>Deposit-taking corporations other than credit institutions as defined in Article 1(a)(2)(a)(ii) of Regulation (EU) No 1071/2013 (ECB/2013/33).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money market funds (MMF)</td>
<td>Money market funds (MMF) as defined in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1071/2013 (ECB/2013/33).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-MMF investment funds</td>
<td>Non-MMF investment funds as defined in paragraphs 2.82 to 2.85 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial vehicle corporations (FVCs) engaged in securitisation transactions</td>
<td>FVCs engaged in securitisation transactions, as defined in Article 1(1) and (2) of Regulation (EU) No 1075/2013 (ECB/2013/40).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other financial intermediaries, except financial auxiliaries, captive financial institutions and money lenders, insurance corporations, pension funds and financial vehicle corporations engaged in securitisation transactions</td>
<td>Other financial intermediaries, except insurance corporations and pension funds, as defined in paragraph 2.86 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013 and excluding FVCs engaged in securitisation transactions, as defined in Article 1(1) and (2) of Regulation (EU) No 1075/2013 (ECB/2013/40).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captive financial institutions and money lenders</td>
<td>Captive financial institutions and money lenders as defined in paragraphs 2.98 to 2.99 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance corporations</td>
<td>Insurance corporations as defined in paragraphs 2.100 to 2.104 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension funds</td>
<td>Pension funds as defined in paragraphs 2.105 to 2.110 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local government</td>
<td>Local government as defined in paragraph 2.116 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social security funds</td>
<td>Social security funds as defined in paragraph 2.117 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-profit institutions serving households</td>
<td>Non-profit institutions serving households, as defined in paragraphs 2.129 to 2.130 of Annex A to Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The institutional sector always refers to the institutional unit, not to the legal entity of which it forms part. Hence, it is also reported for foreign branches and special funds. Usually, although not always, the head office undertaking and the foreign branches of a single legal entity have the same institutional sector.

For a reporting agent, the institutional sector of the legal entity (represented by the head office undertaking) and of its foreign branches is always “Credit institutions”.

Special funds belong either to the institutional sector “Money market funds (MMF)” (ESA 1230) or “Non-MMF investment funds” (ESA 1240).

12.4.15 Economic activity

Reporting qualification

The economic activity is reported for all creditors, debtors, head office undertakings and immediate parent undertakings resident in a reporting Member State. A general reporting obligation applies for protection providers, ultimate parent undertakings, originators and servicers resident in a reporting Member State. However, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

A general reporting obligation also applies for the economic activity of creditors, debtors, protection providers, head office undertakings, immediate and ultimate parent undertakings, originators and servicers not resident in a reporting Member State. However, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

In the case of reporting agents and observed agents resident in a reporting Member State, the value “Not required” is reported in this data attribute.

Definition: Economic activity is a classification of counterparties according to their economic activities, in accordance with the NACE revision 2 statistical classification as laid down in Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Values

NACE code: a level two, three or four NACE code in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The level four NACE code in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 is generally reported. If the level four NACE code is not available to the reporting agent, the NCB may allow the reporting of a level three or level two NACE code.

The economic activity always refers to the institutional unit, not to the legal entity of which it forms part. Hence, it is also reported for foreign branches and special funds. In addition, the economic activity of a foreign branch can be different from that of its head office undertaking.

If a counterparty is engaged in several activities, the principal activity is taken into account when determining the economic activity to be reported.

12.4.16 Status of legal proceedings

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is reported for all debtors resident in a reporting Member State to which at least one instrument has been originated at or after 1 September 2018.

A general reporting obligation applies for other debtors, protection providers, head office undertakings, immediate and ultimate parent undertakings, originators and servicers resident in a reporting Member State. However, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

In the case of reporting agents, observed agents and creditors resident in a RMS, the value “Not required” is reported in this data attribute.

This data attribute is generally not required for counterparties not resident in an RMS. A general reporting obligation only applies for non-resident debtors to which at least one instrument has been originated at or after 1 September 2018, and for protection providers. However, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

Definition: The status of legal proceedings covers the categories describing a counterparty’s legal status in relation to its solvency based on the national legal framework. The NCB should transpose these values (listed below) into the national legal framework.

In due course, a reference table will be prepared by each NCB to facilitate the interpretation and comparison of these values across countries.
Values

One of the following values is reported in the data attribute “Status of legal proceedings”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status of legal proceedings</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No legal actions taken</td>
<td>is reported if no legal actions have been taken concerning the solvency or indebtedness of a counterparty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under judicial administration, receivership or similar measures</td>
<td>is reported if any proceedings have been taken involving the intervention of a judicial body or similar aimed at reaching a refinancing agreement among the creditors, with the exception of any bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankruptcy/insolvency</td>
<td>is reported if collective and binding bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings under judicial control have taken place which entail the partial or total divestment of a counterparty and the appointment of a liquidator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other legal measures</td>
<td>is reported if legal measures other than those already specified have been applied in relation to the counterparty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

This data attribute is intended to contain, to the extent possible, “objective” information, i.e. information that represents the actual situation of the counterparty at a given point in time and is independent of the specific observed agent reporting it.

A value other than “no legal actions taken” is thus not only to be reported if the respective observed agent has taken some form of legal action, but also when the reporting agent is aware that some sort of legal action has been taken against the counterparty by a third party (e.g. by a distinct reporting agent).

Moreover, as the attribute relates directly to the legal entity, it is only applicable to a head office undertaking which represents the legal entity. Consequently, in the case of foreign branches and special funds, the value “Non-applicable” is reported in the respective reference data record.

12.4.17 Date of initiation of legal proceedings

Reporting qualification

This data attribute is reported whenever a change in the data attribute “status of legal proceedings” is reported for a given counterparty. No value is reported for counterparties for which the data attribute “status of legal proceedings” has always been reported as “no legal actions taken”.
Definition: The date on which the legal proceedings, as reported under the attribute “status of legal proceedings”, were initiated. This date should be the most recent relevant date prior to the reporting date.

This data attribute captures the date when the information reported in the data attribute “status of legal proceedings” is considered to have been initiated, irrespective of whether the legal proceedings were initiated by the reporting agent or by a third party.

Values

This data attribute is reported as a date indicating the day, month and year on which the status as reported in the data attribute “status of legal proceedings” is considered to have been initiated.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

If the value for a counterparty of the data attribute “status of legal proceedings” has been “no legal actions taken” from the inception date, then “Non-applicable” is reported in the data attribute “Date of initiation of legal proceedings”.

If the status has a value other than “no legal actions taken”, the date that is reported is the date on which the status is considered to have arisen.

If the status changes from any other status to “no legal actions taken” (e.g. because the counterparty has recovered following a period where it was under judicial administration), the date of such a change is reported in the data attribute “Date of initiation of legal proceedings”. If the latter date is before the first reporting reference date, “Non-applicable” is reported in this data attribute.

12.4.18 Enterprise size

Reporting qualification

This attribute is reported for all debtors resident in a reporting Member State (RMS) to which at least one instrument has been originated at or after 1 September 2018.

A general reporting obligation applies for other debtors, protection providers, head office undertakings, immediate and ultimate parent undertakings, originators and servicers resident in an RMS. However, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

In the case of reporting agents, observed agents and creditors resident in an RMS, the value “Not required” is reported in this data attribute.

This attribute is not reported for most counterparties not resident in an RMS. A general reporting obligation only applies for non-resident debtors to which at least one instrument has been originated at or after 1 September 2018, and for protection...
providers. However, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

Definition: The classification of enterprises by size, in accordance with the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC.

This data attribute classifies counterparties which are enterprises by size.

Values

One of the following values is reported in the data attribute “Enterprise size”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enterprise size</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large enterprise</td>
<td>is reported if the counterparty is an enterprise not qualifying as a micro, small or medium-sized enterprise (SME), in accordance with the Annex to Recommendation 2003/361/EC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium enterprise</td>
<td>is reported if the counterparty is an enterprise qualifying as an SME, but not as a small enterprise or as a microenterprise, in accordance with the Annex to Recommendation 2003/361/EC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small enterprise</td>
<td>is reported if the counterparty is an enterprise qualifying as a small enterprise, in accordance with the Annex to Recommendation 2003/361/EC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microenterprise</td>
<td>is reported if the counterparty is an enterprise qualifying as a microenterprise in accordance with the Annex to Recommendation 2003/361/EC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

This data attribute is only applicable to enterprises, as defined in Article 1 of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC: “an enterprise is considered to be any entity engaged in an economic activity, irrespective of its legal form. This includes, in particular, self-employed persons and family businesses engaged in craft or other activities, and partnerships or associations.”

This means that this attribute is generally not applicable for counterparties which are not engaged in any economic activity, such as general government units. In such cases, the value “Non-applicable” is reported in the data attribute “enterprise size”.

In accordance with Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC, the concept of enterprise size applies at the legal entity level. Therefore, in case of a counterparty which is a foreign branch, the enterprise size is reported in the counterparty reference data record of the head office undertaking and is calculated taking into account all foreign branches of the legal entity. Consequently, in the case of foreign branches and special funds, the value “Non-applicable” is reported.

This attribute is only applicable at the legal entity level (not for a foreign branch). Therefore, the value reported in this data attribute (in the data record of the head office representing the legal entity) is assessed taking into account the total employees (staff headcount), annual turnover, and/or the annual balance sheet of the head office and all foreign branches (if any) of a legal entity. At the same time, as
a general rule, the enterprise size is determined on the basis of the accounts and
other data of the enterprise, without taking into account partner enterprises, linked
to enterprises or consolidated accounts.

If a counterparty is a foreign branch, then “Non-applicable” is reported.

The classification of the enterprise size is performed in accordance with Article 2 of
the Annex to Recommendation 2003/361/EC, taking into account the staff headcount
and financial ceilings as follows:

1. the category of micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) is made up
   of enterprises which employ fewer than 250 persons and which have an annual
   turnover not exceeding €50 million, and/or an annual balance sheet total not
   exceeding €43 million;

2. within the SME category, a small enterprise is defined as an enterprise which
   employs fewer than 50 persons and whose annual turnover and/or annual
   balance sheet total does not exceed €10 million;

3. within the SME category, a microenterprise is defined as an enterprise which
   employs fewer than 10 persons and whose annual turnover and/or annual
   balance sheet total does not exceed €2 million.

Please note that these definitions of enterprise size deviate from the definition of
SMEs subject to the reduction factor for capital requirements according to Article
501(2)(b) of the CRR, which states that only the annual turnover is taken into
account.

This data attribute is reported for all counterparties that have originated at least one
instrument after 1 September 2018 and is updated when the enterprise size
changes. If the determination of the enterprise size is not possible at an
economically justifiable expense, then the size is updated at least when the legal
entity submits a request for a new instrument (e.g. a new loan application) to the
reporting agent.

According to Article 4 of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC,
the data to apply to the headcount of staff and the financial amounts are those
relating to the latest approved accounting period and are calculated on an annual
basis. They are taken into account from the date of closure of the accounts. The
amount selected for the turnover is calculated excluding value added tax (VAT) and
other indirect taxes. Where, at the date of closure of the accounts, an enterprise
finds that, on an annual basis, it has exceeded or fallen below the headcount or
financial ceilings stated in Article 2 of the Annex to Recommendation 2003/361/EC,
this will not result in the loss or acquisition of the status of medium-sized, small or
microenterprise unless those ceilings are exceeded over two consecutive accounting
periods. In the case of newly established enterprises, whose accounts have not yet
been approved, the data to apply are derived from a bona fide estimate made in the
course of the financial year.
Finally, all the parameters used in the calculation of the enterprise size (number of employees, annual turnover and balance sheet total) refer to the same year. When this is not the case, the enterprise size is reported for the last year for which all parameters are available.

For details regarding the calculation of the number of employees, the annual turnover and the annual balance sheet total, refer to the respective attributes in the subsequent chapters.

Table 134 further illustrates the reporting principles with regard to the data attribute “enterprise size” by means of a set of examples.

Table 134 Application of the reporting principles when establishing enterprise size: example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Number of employees</th>
<th>Annual turnover (EUR millions)</th>
<th>Balance sheet total (EUR millions)</th>
<th>Enterprise size in accordance with Annex to Recommendation 2003/361/EC</th>
<th>Report of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legal entity A</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Medium enterprise</td>
<td>Head office/ Legal entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head office A</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Not to be taken into account</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign branch B in RMS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Not to be taken into account</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign branch C not in RMS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Not to be taken into account</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal entity B</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Small enterprise</td>
<td>Head office/ Legal entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head office A</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Not to be taken into account</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign branch B in RMS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Not to be taken into account</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign branch C not in RMS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Not to be taken into account</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managing financial corporation C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Head office/ Legal entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special fund A in RMS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special fund B not in RMS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public legal entity D</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12.4.19 Date of enterprise size

Reporting qualification

This attribute is reported for all debtors resident in a reporting Member State (RMS) to which at least one instrument has been originated at or after 1 September 2018.

A general reporting obligation applies for other debtors, protection providers, head office undertakings, immediate and ultimate parent undertakings, originators and servicers resident in an RMS. However, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.
In the case of reporting agents, observed agents and creditors resident in an RMS, the value “Not required” is reported in this data attribute.

This attribute is not reported for counterparties not resident in an RMS.

Definition: The date to which the value provided in the “enterprise size” refers. This is the date of the latest data used to classify or review the classification of the enterprise.

This data attribute represents the date to which the data used to establish the enterprise size of a counterparty refer.

Values

This is the day, month and year to which the enterprise size refers.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

The date of enterprise is the date to which the value provided in the “enterprise size” refers.

If the value “Non-applicable” is reported for the data attribute “enterprise size”, the value “Non-applicable” is also reported in the data attribute “date of enterprise size”.

12.4.20 Number of employees

Reporting qualification

This attribute is reported for all debtors resident in a reporting Member State (RMS) to which at least one instrument has been originated at or after 1 September 2018.

A general reporting obligation applies for other debtors, protection providers, head office undertakings, immediate and ultimate parent undertakings, and originators resident in an RMS. However, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

In the case of reporting agents, observed agents, creditors and servicers resident in an RMS, the value “Not required” is reported in this data attribute.

This attribute is not reported for counterparties not resident in an RMS.

Definition: Number of employees working for the counterparty, in accordance with Article 5 of the Annex to Recommendation 2003/361/EC.

This data attribute represents the staff headcount of a counterparty.

Values

Numeric: a non-negative number.
As already described for the data attribute “enterprise size”, according to Article 4 of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC, the data to apply to the staff headcount are those relating to the latest approved accounting period and are calculated on an annual basis. They are taken into account from the date of closure of the accounts. In the case of newly established enterprises, whose accounts have not yet been approved, the applicable data are to be derived from a *bona fide* estimate made in the course of the financial year.

Analogously to the “enterprise size”, the “number of employees” is applicable only to enterprises. Moreover, this attribute is applicable only at the legal entity level (not for a foreign branch) and the value reported (in the data record of the head office undertaking representing the legal entity) is assessed taking into account the number of employees of the head office and all foreign branches (if any) of the legal entity.

If a counterparty is a foreign branch, “Non-applicable” is reported.

According to Article 4 of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC, the headcount corresponds to the number of annual work units (AWU), i.e. the number of persons who worked full-time within the enterprise in question or on its behalf during the entire reference year under consideration.

This data attribute is updated whenever the reporting agent is aware of a change, and at least when a new instrument is issued vis-à-vis the counterparty.

**Example 78: The number of employees of a debtor**

A legal entity L, resident in a reporting Member State, has two foreign branches. Additionally, the legal entity has one subsidiary (i.e. another legal entity controlled by L). The legal entity employs 1,000 employees in the country of the head office, while the two foreign branches have 100 and 200 employees, respectively. The subsidiary has 500 employees.

The legal entity is a debtor to an instrument reported in AnaCredit. Therefore, the counterparty reference data report of the debtor includes the number of employees.

The value to be reported in the data attribute “number of employees” in the counterparty reference data of the head office undertaking (representing the counterparty L) is equal to 1,300 (adding up the employees of the domestic part and of the two foreign branches, while not including the subsidiary).
12.4.21 **Balance sheet total**

**Reporting qualification**

This attribute is reported for all debtors resident in a reporting Member State (RMS) to which at least one instrument has been originated at or after 1 September 2018.

A general reporting obligation applies for other debtors, protection providers, head office undertakings, immediate and ultimate parent undertakings, and originators resident in an RMS. However, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

In the case of reporting agents, observed agents, creditors and servicers resident in an RMS, the value “Not required” is reported in this data attribute.

This attribute is not reported for most counterparties not resident in an RMS. A general reporting obligation only applies for non-resident debtors to which at least one instrument has been originated at or after 1 September 2018, and for protection providers. However, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

**Definition:** The carrying value of the counterparty’s total assets in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 549/2013.

This data attribute measures the balance sheet of the counterparty.

**Values**

The carrying value of the counterparty’s total assets referring to the latest approved accounting period of the legal entity is reported. This amount is reported in units of euro. Foreign currency amounts are converted into euro at the respective ECB euro foreign exchange reference rate (i.e. the mid-rate) on the relevant reference date. For more details regarding the relevant date, please refer to Section 1.6 in this Manual.

**General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples**

As already described for the data attribute “enterprise size”, according to Article 4 of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC, the data to apply to the balance sheet total are those relating to the latest approved accounting period and are calculated on an annual basis. They are taken into account from the date of closure of the accounts. In the case of newly established enterprises, whose accounts have not yet been approved, the applicable data are to be derived from a bona fide estimate made in the course of the financial year.

As with the data attribute “number of employees”, the data attribute “balance sheet total” is calculated in relation to the enterprise only (i.e. no consolidation is performed).
Analogously to the “enterprise size”, the “balance sheet total” is applicable only to enterprises. Moreover, this attribute is applicable only at the legal entity level (not for a foreign branch) and the value reported (in the data record of the head office undertaking representing the legal entity) is assessed taking into account the balance sheet total of the head office and all foreign branches (if any) of the legal entity.

If a counterparty is a foreign branch, “Non-applicable” is reported.

This data attribute is updated whenever the reporting agent is aware of a change, and at least when a new instrument is issued vis-à-vis the counterparty.

### 12.4.22 Annual turnover

#### Reporting qualification

This attribute is reported for all debtors resident in a reporting Member State (RMS) to which at least one instrument has been originated at or after 1 September 2018.

A general reporting obligation applies for other debtors, protection providers, head office undertakings, immediate and ultimate parent undertakings, and originators resident in an RMS. However, the relevant NCB may decide not to collect this information from individual reporting agents.

In the case of reporting agents, observed agents, creditors and servicers resident in an RMS, the value “Not required” is reported in this data attribute.

This attribute is not reported for counterparties not resident in an RMS.

**Definition:** The annual sales volume net of all discounts and sales taxes of the counterparty in accordance with Recommendation 2003/361/EC. It is equivalent to the concept of “total annual sales” in Article 153(4) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013.

This data attribute represents the annual turnover (sales) of a counterparty.

#### Values

The annual turnover is reported in units of euro. Foreign currency amounts are converted into euro at the respective ECB euro foreign exchange rate (i.e. the mid-rate) at the relevant reference date. For more details regarding the relevant date, please refer to Section 1.6 in this Manual.

#### General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

As already described for the more general attribute “enterprise size”, according to Article 4 of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC the data to apply to the annual turnover are those relating to the latest approved accounting period and calculated on an annual basis. They are taken into account from the date
of closure of the accounts. The amount reported for the turnover is calculated excluding value added tax (VAT) and other indirect taxes. In the case of newly established enterprises, whose accounts have not yet been approved, the data to apply are to be derived from a bona fide estimate made in the course of the financial year.

Analogously to the “enterprise size”, the “annual turnover” is applicable only to enterprises. Moreover, this attribute is applicable only at the legal entity level (not for a foreign branch) and the value reported (in the data record of the head office undertaking representing the legal entity) is assessed taking into account the annual turnover of the head office and all foreign branches (if any) of the legal entity.

If a counterparty is a foreign branch, “Non-applicable” is reported.

This data attribute is updated whenever the reporting agent is aware of a change, and at least when a new instrument is issued vis-à-vis the counterparty.

### 12.4.23 Accounting standard

**Definition:** Accounting standard used by the observed agent’s legal entity. If the reporting agent is subject to Regulation (EU) 2015/534 (ECB/2015/13), the data are recorded in accordance with the accounting standard – International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) or national generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) – applied to fulfil the requirements under Regulation (EU) 2015/534 (ECB/2015/13) by the observed agent’s legal entity.

This data attribute represents the accounting standard applied by the legal entity of the observed agent. The accounting standard describes not only the accounting standard according to which instruments are assigned to the accounting portfolio but also all other relevant rules in accordance with which the instrument is recognised in the balance sheet, the carrying amount is established, the impairment is assessed, etc.

**Reporting qualification**

The accounting standard is reported for reporting agents. The value is reported as "Not required" in all other cases.

**Values**

1. “IFRS" is reported if the legal entity applies IFRS, as applicable under Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

2. “National GAAP consistent with IFRS" is reported if the accounting standard applied by the legal entity of the observed agent is a national accounting framework developed under Council Directive 86/635/EEC applying IFRS criteria for the instruments.
3. “National GAAP not consistent with IFRS” is reported if the accounting standard
applied by the legal entity of the observed agent is a national accounting
criteria for the instruments.

General reporting instructions, specific cases and examples

This data attribute represents the accounting standard used by the reporting agent’s
legal entity. In particular, if the reporting agent is subject to Regulation (EU)
2015/534 (ECB/2015/13), the data are recorded in accordance with the accounting
standard – International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) or national generally
accepted accounting principles (GAAP) – applied to fulfil the requirements under
Regulation (EU) 2015/534 (ECB/2015/13) by the observed agent’s legal entity.

Note that the accounting classification in the accounting table will be reviewed when
IFRS 9 comes into force on 1 January 2018.
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