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In accordance with EU practice, the EU Member States are listed in this report using the alphabetical order of the country names in the national languages.

Unless stated otherwise, all references in this report to Treaty article numbers reflect the numbering in effect since the Treaty of Lisbon entered into force on 1 December 2009.
In an environment of contracting economic activity and volatile financial conditions brought about by the financial and sovereign debt crisis, 2012 was another challenging year for the conduct of monetary policy. Nevertheless, the credibility of the ECB’s monetary policy remained high, as reflected by the continued firm anchoring of medium to longer-term inflation expectations in line with the Governing Council’s aim of keeping inflation rates below, but close to, 2% over the medium term.

Real economic activity in the euro area declined in 2012, mainly on account of weak investment and private consumption. This development reflected weak economic confidence, heightened uncertainty and the ongoing process of balance sheet adjustment in the financial and non-financial sectors, combined with high unemployment, a tighter fiscal stance and subdued foreign demand. Annual inflation remained at elevated levels in 2012 despite the unfavourable macroeconomic environment, although it declined in the course of the year.

The level of inflation largely reflected strong rises in energy prices and increases in indirect taxes and administered prices in a number of euro area countries. On average, inflation stood at 2.5% in 2012, after 2.7% in 2011. The underlying pace of monetary expansion was subdued throughout 2012. Given the easing of inflationary pressures over the policy-relevant horizon on account of the materialisation of some downside risks to economic growth, the Governing Council decided in July 2012 to lower the key ECB interest rates by 25 basis points.

Financial market tensions were at elevated levels at the beginning of the year. The funding stress in interbank money markets subsequently subsided somewhat, not least in the context of the strong participation of banks in two three-year longer-term refinancing operations launched in December 2011 and February 2012. These operations, like all refinancing operations in 2012, were conducted with full allotment, helping to forestall a curtailment of credit to the real economy. In addition, the Eurosystem took further measures to preserve and increase collateral availability for counterparties, such as accepting certain additional credit claims as collateral and broadening the eligibility criteria for some types of asset-backed security. In combination, these measures have improved the availability of collateral in the euro area.

The reintensification of the euro area sovereign debt crisis in the first half of 2012 revealed a clear need for countries to better and more closely coordinate their economic, fiscal and financial policies to avoid unsustainable developments in individual countries jeopardising the smooth functioning of EMU. A number of important initiatives were taken in 2012 with a view to strengthening EMU.

The Treaty on Stability, Coordination and Governance in the Economic and Monetary Union (also known as the fiscal compact), which was signed in March 2012, was subsequently ratified in 12 euro area countries and entered into force on 1 January 2013. This Treaty aims to strengthen
the existing fiscal framework, notably through the anchoring in national legislation of a structural balanced budget rule. Deviations from the rule and, most importantly, the cumulated impact on government debt will be corrected automatically. If effectively implemented and enforced, the new rule will help to put public finances back on a sustainable path.

In May 2012 the euro area Heads of State or Government invited the Presidents of the European Council, the European Commission, the Eurogroup and the ECB to develop proposals on how to further strengthen Economic Union to make it fully commensurate with the requirements of Monetary Union. Important decisions were taken during the remainder of 2012 on the basis of these proposals, with the decision to establish a single supervisory mechanism (SSM) centred at the ECB being one of the most significant. Important initiatives – which will require further work in 2013 – were launched, including the establishment of a single resolution mechanism for banks and new contractual arrangements for competitiveness and growth to promote structural reforms, intra-euro area competitiveness and thereby the resilience of the euro area.

Conditions in financial markets worsened again towards the middle of 2012, in part indicating unwarranted fears on the part of some investors about the reversibility of the euro. To safeguard the monetary policy transmission mechanism and the singleness of the monetary policy, the Governing Council in August announced its readiness to undertake Outright Monetary Transactions, with further details provided in September. The adherence of governments to their commitments and the fulfilment by the European Financial Stability Facility/European Stability Mechanism of their role are necessary conditions for such transactions to be conducted and to be effective. If such conditions are fulfilled, the Eurosystem could consider purchasing sovereign debt securities in secondary markets, provided that it assesses monetary policy transmission to be severely disrupted. While no such purchases have been made so far, following this announcement and government actions to address fiscal imbalances and current account deficits, financial market tensions gradually subsided. A gradual reduction in the fragmentation of financial markets contributed to a somewhat more homogeneous transmission of monetary policy to the real economy, although fragmentation remains a policy challenge.

Turning to financial stability developments, the risks to the stability of the euro area financial system increased considerably in the first half of 2012. After a relatively calm first quarter of the year, a resurgence of sovereign bond market tensions in some euro area countries led to renewed stress on the euro area financial system. Such stress eased tangibly after the summer in the context of the general easing of financial market tensions. In the second half of the year there were fewer signs of financial stress, but the euro area financial stability environment continued to be fragile. The key element of fragility remained the potential renewed worsening of negative feedback loops among systemic risk factors stemming from imbalances and vulnerabilities in the fiscal, macroeconomic and financial domains.

The agreement on establishing an SSM reached by the ECOFIN Council in December 2012 constituted a milestone in European financial integration by elevating the responsibility for banking supervision to the European level. The Council regulation proposed by the European Commission at the year-end established that the ECB would be the supervisor for all banks in countries participating in the SSM, with direct supervisory powers over large, systemically important banks. At the same time, the ECB and the national supervisors will act as a single system, in line with the conviction that a single banking supervision system is a key element of Monetary Union.
Along with the strengthened regulatory framework for the banking sector, the envisaged banking union comprises, in addition to bank supervision, a single resolution mechanism with a common backstop should temporary fiscal support be needed. The assembly of these building blocks will be instrumental in addressing specific risks to financial system stability, notably stemming from the link between banking sector and sovereign sector fragilities, as well as in reversing the process of financial market fragmentation.

2012 was the second year of existence of the European Systemic Risk Board (ESRB), the EU body responsible for the macro-prudential oversight of the EU financial system. The ECB ensures the Secretariat of the ESRB and provides the ESRB with analytical, statistical, logistical and administrative support. In its second year, the ESRB continued to have regular exchanges of views on systemic risks to the EU financial system and began to publish its “risk dashboard”, a set of quantitative and qualitative indicators to identify and measure systemic risk in the EU financial system. In 2012 the ESRB also adopted two recommendations, one on ways to mitigate risks encountered by banks in their funding activities and the other on strengthening the regulatory framework for money market funds in the EU. The ESRB also worked on the implementation of the recommendations issued in the previous year, on the basis of the “act or explain” mechanism. As an independent EU body, the ESRB continued to fulfil its accountability obligations vis-à-vis the European Parliament through its Annual Report, regular hearings of the Chair of the ESRB before the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs of the European Parliament and confidential oral discussions between the ESRB Chair and the Chair and Vice-Chairs of the above-mentioned committee.

In the area of payment systems and financial market infrastructures, the ECB continued to contribute to the key policy and regulatory initiatives aimed at enhancing the stability of market infrastructures, including legislative initiatives at the EU level. The ECB also contributed to the work of the Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems and the International Organization of Securities Commissions, in particular with respect to the Principles for Financial Market Infrastructures and the resolution and recovery of such infrastructures, as well as to work in the field of over-the-counter derivatives market infrastructure. Furthermore, in the field of retail payments oversight, the Eurosystem defined oversight expectations for links between retail payment systems, and the Forum on the Security of Retail Payments developed security requirements for payments over the internet.

The ECB also continued to facilitate the creation of an integrated European retail payments market. The “End-date Regulation” of 14 March 2012 constitutes a major step in this regard, setting a deadline of 1 February 2014 for completing the migration to the Single Euro Payments Area. In the field of central bank services, the Eurosystem operates the large-value payment system TARGET2. The single platform of TARGET2 facilitates the real-time gross settlement of transactions in euro and enables 24 EU central banks and their respective user communities to benefit from the same comprehensive and advanced services.

An important milestone in the TARGET2-Securities (T2S) project was reached in 2012, as 22 European central securities depositories (CSDs) signed the Framework Agreement and Danmarks Nationalbank signed the Currency Participation Agreement. The CSDs that have signed T2S legal agreements account for almost 100% of current euro settlement volumes. This demonstrates the reinforced commitment of the T2S community to the completion of the project. Substantial progress was achieved in completing the development of the core functions of the T2S application, and T2S
continued to play a pivotal role in fostering harmonisation in the post-trade area. In May 2012 the ECOFIN Council confirmed its support for T2S as an important contributor to the establishment of a single market for securities services.

Turning to organisational issues, the ECB had 1,450.5 full-time equivalent permanent positions at the end of 2012, compared with 1,440.5 positions at the end of 2011. The increase was mainly driven by the increased business requirements resulting from the financial crisis. The members of staff of the ECB come from all 27 EU Member States and are recruited by means of open selection campaigns to fill vacancies published on the ECB’s website. In accordance with the ECB’s mobility policy, 225 members of staff moved internally to other positions in 2012, while 14 members of staff were seconded to other organisations for external work experience and 41 were granted unpaid leave to study or take up employment with another organisation, or for personal reasons. The ECB’s human resources framework continued to focus on the ongoing acquisition and development of skills and competencies by all members of staff. The main developments in the area of human resources policies included the introduction of a pilot mentoring programme and the setting-up of a career transition support pilot programme.

Construction work on the new ECB premises progressed in 2012 and included the mounting of the facade panels on the double office tower, the renovation of the Grossmarkthalle facades, and the start of the installation of the technical infrastructure and the fit-out works. On 20 September 2012 the ECB held the topping out ceremony for its new premises. Completion of the new premises is expected in 2014.

Regarding its financial accounts, the ECB earned a surplus of €2.16 billion in 2012, compared with a surplus of €1.89 billion in 2011. The Governing Council decided to transfer, as at 31 December 2012, an amount of €1.17 billion to the provision for foreign exchange rate, interest rate, credit and gold price risks, thereby increasing it to its ceiling of €7.53 billion, which was the value of the ECB’s capital paid up by the euro area NCBs as at that date. The size of this provision is reviewed annually. The ECB’s net profit for 2012, following the transfer to the provision, was €998 million. This amount was distributed to the euro area NCBs in proportion to their paid-up shares in the ECB’s capital.

Frankfurt am Main, March 2013

Mario Draghi
The restoration of the Grossmarkthalle (Frankfurt’s former wholesale market hall) is one of the remarkable elements of the construction works for the ECB’s new premises. The former splendour of the facades and concrete shell roof structure is slowly being restored, evoking again the vision of its architect, Martin Elsaesser.

Much progress has been made on the restoration of the Grossmarkthalle’s facades. By the end of 2012 the brickwork of the wing buildings had been cleaned and repointed, new, considerably more energy-efficient windows had been fitted and the market hall’s concrete grid facade had been restored. As each floor of the wing buildings was fitted with the new windows, work began on the installation of the technical infrastructure.
CHAPTER 1

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENTS
AND MONETARY POLICY

I MONETARY POLICY DECISIONS

A VERY CHALLENGING ENVIRONMENT FOR MONETARY POLICY

In 2012 the Eurosystem once again conducted its monetary policy in a very challenging environment. The lingering sovereign debt crisis in several euro area countries and a perceived lack of determination on the part of governments to address the root causes of this crisis continued to have an adverse impact on economic confidence, financial market sentiment and financing conditions. The level of stress in financial markets varied over the course of 2012, with some government bond markets being particularly affected by high risk premia which became excessive towards the middle of the year, reflecting, among other factors, unfounded fears of the reversibility of the euro. These tensions added to the funding stress already being experienced in the banking sector, with some banks having no access to interbank and other financial markets. This exerted pressure on banks to tighten their credit standards and to further deleverage, thereby risking a curtailment of the provision of credit to the real economy. Overall, financial markets continued to be highly segmented along national borders and financial conditions displayed significant heterogeneity across euro area countries.

Weak economic confidence, rising commodity prices and the ongoing process of balance sheet adjustment in the financial and non-financial sectors combined with high unemployment, a tighter fiscal stance and subdued foreign demand dampened economic activity in the euro area in 2012. As a result, GDP declined by 0.5% for the year as a whole, following two years of moderate positive growth. Reflecting particularly negative developments in domestic demand, euro area real GDP contracted from the second quarter of 2012 onwards, following stagnation in the first quarter.

HICP inflation stood at elevated levels above 2% throughout 2012, declining from 2.7% at the beginning of the year to 2.2% in November and December. The average rate for the year as a whole was 2.5%, which was only slightly lower than the rate of 2.7% registered in 2011. Persistently elevated inflation in 2012 mainly reflected high energy prices and increases in indirect taxes owing to the ongoing need for fiscal consolidation. Medium and long-term inflation expectations remained firmly anchored at levels consistent with the Governing Council’s aim of keeping inflation rates below, but close to, 2% in the medium term.

M3 growth overall strengthened moderately in the course of 2012, reaching an annual average rate of 3.1%, as compared with the low growth rate of 1.5% recorded in 2011. By contrast, the annual growth rate of loans to the private sector turned negative over the course of 2012, in particular on the back of net redemptions of loans to non-financial corporations. To a large extent, subdued loan dynamics reflected the weak economic situation and outlook, heightened risk aversion and the ongoing adjustment in the balance sheets of households and enterprises, all of which weighed on credit demand. Furthermore, in a number of euro area countries, capital constraints, risk perception and the segmentation of financial markets restricted credit supply.

Against the background of the monetary policy measures adopted, the Governing Council considered throughout the year that price developments remained in line with price stability over the policy-relevant horizon in an environment of weak economic growth, well-anchored medium-term inflation expectations and subdued monetary dynamics. Risks to the outlook for price stability were seen as broadly balanced.
DIMINISHED DOMESTIC INFLATIONARY PRESSURES RELATED TO WEAK ACTIVITY LED TO A FURTHER CUT IN KEY ECB INTEREST RATES

To mitigate the adverse impact of financial market tensions on economic and monetary developments, the Governing Council cut the key ECB interest rates by 25 basis points in July. This decision took account of the fact that inflationary pressures had been further dampened as some of the previously identified downside risks to economic activity materialised. Policy rates were kept at their historically low level of 0.75% for the main refinancing rate, 0.00% for the rate on the deposit facility and 1.50% for the rate on the marginal lending facility throughout the second half of 2012 (see Chart 1).

IMPAIRED MONETARY POLICY TRANSMISSION HAMPERED THE EFFECTIVE PASS-THROUGH OF KEY ECB INTEREST RATE CUTS

The lowering of the key ECB interest rates in July 2012, which followed two 25 basis point cuts in late 2011, led to a further decline in money market interest rates. While bank lending rates for households and non-financial corporations generally decreased at the euro area level in 2012, reflecting the pass-through of the cuts in key ECB interest rates since November 2011, this process did not take place in a homogeneous fashion across euro area countries as had been the case in the past. In particular, the fragmentation of financial markets along national borders hindered a smooth transmission of monetary policy in the euro area. As a result, the cuts in policy rates were to a large extent passed on in some countries, but the interest rates charged on bank loans to the real economy remained unchanged or even increased in other countries.

EUROSYSTEM ADOPTED FURTHER NON-STANDARD MONETARY POLICY MEASURES IN 2012

In order to contribute to a more effective transmission of the ECB’s accommodative monetary policy stance to the real economy, to support the financing of the real economy and thereby to ensure the maintenance of price stability over the medium term, the Governing Council adopted further non-standard monetary policy measures over the course of 2012. Measures related to refinancing operations were taken to support bank lending and market liquidity, while other measures addressed the malfunctioning in certain financial market segments and aimed in particular to prevent risk premia from becoming excessive and leading to destructive scenarios.

NON-STANDARD MEASURES RELATED TO REFINANCING OPERATIONS

To alleviate the funding constraints experienced by banks and to help to avoid the disorderly shedding of bank assets, the ECB continued to provide funding support using longer-term refinancing operations with exceptional maturities, conducting all refinancing operations as fixed rate tender procedures with full allotment, and took measures to increase collateral availability. In particular, the two three-year longer-term refinancing operations that had been announced on 8 December 2011 had a significant impact in 2012.¹ The first of these operations, conducted

on 21 December 2011, provided €489.2 billion to banks, while the second one, conducted on 29 February 2012, provided €529.5 billion. Taking other refinancing operations into account, the two three-year longer-term refinancing operations led to a net liquidity injection of around €500 billion. Together with the reform efforts made in several euro area countries and progress towards a stronger euro area economic governance framework, these operations contributed to an improvement in the financial environment in the first few months of 2012 and an easing of money market strains throughout the year (see also Box 2).

The Governing Council also announced that the Eurosystem would continue to provide liquidity to banks through fixed rate tender procedures with full allotment until at least mid-2013. Furthermore, the Governing Council decided on a number of changes to the collateral eligibility requirements and risk control measures in February, June and September 2012, with the aim of broadening the range of collateral eligible to be used in Eurosystem credit operations. Finally, in December 2012 the ECB announced the extension of the existing foreign currency swap arrangements with other central banks until 1 February 2014.

**TARGETED MEASURES TO ADDRESS MALFUNCTIONING IN GOVERNMENT BOND MARKETS**

Following some calmness in the first few months of 2012, conditions in sovereign debt markets worsened again towards the middle of 2012. This mainly reflected a perceived lack of determination on the part of governments to take the necessary steps to resolve the crisis and to implement agreements made at the European level. Markets particularly focused on the potential repercussions of uncertainties in Greece, and on developments in Spain and Italy, amid fears about the sustainability of government debt and constrained access to the funding market. These fears led to exceptionally high risk premia, related in particular to unfounded concerns on the part of investors about the reversibility of the euro. Differences widened particularly in the pricing of sovereign debt up to July 2012 (see Chart 2).

**Chart 2 Two and ten-year government bond yields for selected euro area countries**

(Percentages per annum)

---

Source: Thomson Reuters.
In order to address severe distortions in the pricing of sovereign debt in some euro area countries, the Governing Council announced its readiness to undertake Outright Monetary Transactions (OMTs) in secondary markets with regard to sovereign bonds in the euro area. These were first announced in August 2012, with further details on the modalities being provided in September. At the same time, the ECB announced that it would terminate the Securities Markets Programme which had been introduced in May 2010.

OMTs have not yet been activated but the Eurosystem is ready to undertake them under certain conditions (as outlined in further detail in Section 1.1 of Chapter 2). The Governing Council will independently consider conducting OMTs to the extent that they are warranted from a monetary policy perspective in the event of market fragmentation. OMTs are aimed at supporting the transmission mechanism in all euro area countries and the singleness of the monetary policy. They provide a fully effective backstop to avoid destructive scenarios with potentially severe challenges for price stability in the euro area.

The announcements about OMTs helped to alleviate tensions in financial markets and reduced tail risks and uncertainty in the second half of 2012. They contributed to an overall improvement in financing conditions, which was evidenced, for example, by a decline in the government bond yields of countries under stress (see Chart 2) and the issuance of new bonds by banks, companies and sovereigns which for some time had not had access to markets.

However, the situation remains fragile as long as the root causes of the current crisis are not fully addressed. This can only be done by governments, which need to ensure sustainable public debt, make their economies more competitive, strengthen the resilience of their banks, and continue to improve the institutional setting of EMU.

2 MONETARY, FINANCIAL AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENTS

2.1 THE GLOBAL MACROECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT

GLOBAL GROWTH MOMENTUM SLOWED IN 2012

In early 2012 survey indicators signalled that the firming of momentum in global economic growth over the final quarter of 2011 was continuing into the first quarter of 2012, with the Purchasing Managers’ Index (PMI) for global all-industry output reaching 54.5 in February, compared with an average of 52.1 in the final quarter of 2011. However, this improvement in sentiment was short-lived and confidence began to deteriorate once more, in a pattern similar to developments seen in 2011. Activity slowed in advanced economies as financial market stress and the sovereign debt crisis affecting some euro area countries intensified, and uncertainty remained persistently high. The ongoing repair of public and private balance sheets, as well as weak labour and housing markets, continued to dampen growth. Growth also slowed in a number of emerging economies but remained robust in comparison with developments in advanced economies. This slowdown was partly driven by higher levels of uncertainty and partly due to past policy tightening in a number of

---

countries. Social unrest and geopolitical tensions in a number of Middle Eastern and North African countries also stifled growth.

By the middle of the year European leaders’ decisions, including the endorsement of common supervisory oversight of the banking sector, had helped to reduce the uncertainty in some areas and global sentiment began to show signs of stabilisation, albeit at very low levels. However, higher energy prices driven by oil supply disruptions, ongoing concerns about the resolution of sovereign debt and governance issues in the euro area and uncertainty surrounding fiscal tightening and the debt ceiling extension in the United States held activity back in the second half of the year. Overall, the underlying growth momentum of the global economy remained weak and the recovery slow. By the end of the year data releases and survey indicators were both showing very tentative signs of improvement.

The slowdown in world trade that began in 2010 intensified over the course of 2012 and, according to data from the CPB Netherlands Bureau of Economic Policy Analysis, total trade volume growth slowed to just 2.2% in 2012, from 5.8% in 2011. Over the course of 2012 world import growth slowed much more sharply than overall activity. High uncertainty – particularly in Europe – and subdued confidence appear to have dampened demand for durable and investment goods, which affected global trade flows. In addition, global trade flows may have been adversely affected by some structural factors (see also Box 1). This might suggest that there is a risk of the weakness in trade growth being long-lasting. Towards the end of 2012 short-term survey indicators continued to point towards a weak trade environment, with the global PMI for new manufacturing export orders having remained below the expansion-contraction threshold for the final nine months of the year.

Chart 3 Main developments in selected economies

Sources: National data, BIS, Eurostat and ECB calculations.
1) Eurostat data are used for the euro area and for the United Kingdom; national data are used for the United States, China and Japan. GDP figures have been seasonally adjusted.
2) HICP for the euro area and for the United Kingdom; CPI for the United States, China and Japan.
Unemployment rates remained high in the OECD area over the course of 2012. The headline numbers masked a divergence in developments in the major advanced economies, with unemployment rates declining in the United States, Canada and Japan but continuing to rise in the euro area.

Regarding price developments, consumer price inflation in advanced economies gradually decreased over the course of 2012, with the exception of a slight increase between August and October, largely driven by energy prices (see Chart 3). Overall, abundant spare capacity and the sluggish recovery in advanced economies ensured that underlying inflationary pressures remained contained. In OECD countries, average headline consumer price inflation stood at 2.2% in 2012, down from 2.9% in 2011. Average consumer price inflation excluding food and energy stood at 1.8%, compared with 1.6% in 2011. Annual inflation rates declined sharply in China and more moderately in other emerging economies over the course of 2012, as the weak global environment dampened inflationary pressures.

**Box I**

**WHY THE RECENT SLOWDOWN IN GLOBAL TRADE?**

Over the last two years there has been a widespread deceleration in world trade growth amid a number of shocks to the global economy: declining consumer and business confidence stemming from the euro area sovereign debt crisis, balance sheet deleveraging and, at a regional level, disruptions caused by the earthquake in Japan and the “Arab Spring”. A slowdown in annual import growth has been observed across both advanced and emerging market economies (see Chart A). Growth in world trade has not only declined in absolute terms but has also weakened relative to global economic activity. Between 1982 and 2007 the ratio of global import growth to GDP growth was, on average, 1.8, whereas this ratio declined to 1.0 in the second half of 2011 and the first half of 2012 (see the table below).

Why has the recent slowdown been stronger than that suggested by the long-run relationship between trade and GDP growth? First, the decline in trade growth relative to GDP growth has been particularly pronounced in advanced economies, being caused to a significant extent by developments in the euro area. Second, in some regions, the decline in GDP was driven by demand components with a relatively high import content, namely fixed investment and inventories. Finally, there is some evidence that smaller trade finance flows may also have had a dampening effect on global trade.

**Chart A World merchandise imports**

(year-on-year percentage changes; seasonally and working day-adjusted)
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Source: CPB Netherlands Bureau for Economic Policy Analysis. Notes: Data shown are calculated as three-month moving averages. The latest observation is for December 2012.
Regional trade developments

The trade slowdown, although widespread, has shown considerable divergence across regions. The ratio of global import growth to global GDP growth fell from 1.7 in the first half of 2011 to 1.0 in the following 12 months. The decline in relative trade growth was stronger in advanced countries than in emerging market economies (see Chart A). An important reason for this is the significant decrease in euro area import growth since mid-2011 as well as its large share of about 50% of advanced country imports. Since the second half of 2011 euro area GDP growth has declined less than import growth and the share of the euro area in advanced country GDP has decreased to around 30%. Excluding the euro area and Japan (the latter’s GDP and trade being affected by the natural disaster in 2011), the decline in relative trade growth in advanced economies is much less pronounced. In many emerging economies, particularly in central and eastern Europe, import growth has also weakened significantly relative to output growth.

The role of weak investment

One explanation for the fall in the ratio of import growth to GDP growth in 2012 is the decline in the growth contribution of demand components with a relatively high import content, namely inventories, fixed investment and durable goods consumption. According to estimates by Bussière et al., the average import content of investment in the OECD economies in 2005 was 32% and that of exports 28%, followed by private consumption at 25% and government spending at 10%. The import content of exports is particularly high in small open economies and in countries, like many in East Asia, which are closely integrated with vertical production networks.

Since the second half of 2011 fixed investment and inventories have contributed negatively to output growth in the euro area, which helps to explain the significant decline observed in the ratio of import growth to GDP growth. While inventory restocking added almost half a percentage point to growth in the first half of 2011, inventories decreased in the second half of 2011 and in the first six months of 2012, with fixed investment also declining over the same period (see Chart B). The consumption of durable goods, which have a higher import content compared with non-durable goods, declined along with investment, albeit at a lower rate.

---

In emerging market economies, some inventory destocking was observed in the second half of 2011, followed by a slight recovery in the first half of 2012 (see Chart C). These developments roughly coincided with the decline in the ratio of import growth to GDP growth. In the EU Member States of central and eastern Europe, the negative growth contribution of inventories was somewhat more pronounced than in the rest of the emerging markets and continued into the first half of 2012. The weaker ratio of trade growth relative to GDP growth in emerging markets can also be explained in part by lower exports to advanced economies, such as the euro area, as exports are also a relatively import-intensive demand component.

**Mixed evidence on the impact of trade finance and trade protectionism**

Two additional factors are frequently cited as possible explanations of the recent decline in global trade growth. The first of these is the availability of trade finance. According to estimates by the Institute of International Finance,\(^2\) the value of trade finance flows has fallen significantly since the end of 2011, with the decline concentrated among euro area banks. While the decrease in trade finance flows coincides with lower global trade growth, the relatively larger contraction in trade finance among euro area banks may reflect in part euro area bank deleveraging and retrenching from areas of cross-border lending.

The evidence on how much the decline in trade finance is due to lower demand or lower supply is mixed. The forward-looking ICC-IMF Market Snapshot (January 2012) concluded that

---

The US economy continued on a path to recovery in 2012, growing at a faster pace than in the previous year. Real GDP growth stood at 2.2%, compared with 1.8% in 2011. Economic growth in the first half of 2012 was sluggish, weighed down by concerns about global economic prospects, by the contraction in government expenditure and by the slowdown in most of the other domestic demand components. In the second half of the year GDP growth gained some momentum on the back of resilient growth in personal consumption expenditure, which was supported primarily by wealth effects coming from continued increases in equity prices and improvements in the housing market and by strong levels of consumer confidence. A strong expansion in private residential investment, reflecting a sustained increase in the housing market, also contributed to the acceleration in GDP growth. Despite the prevailing political gridlock surrounding the fiscal tightening scheduled to take effect in early 2013, private non-residential investment and inventory accumulation contributed positively to growth. By contrast, government spending was a drag on growth in 2012, led especially by a sharp contraction in defence spending in the fourth quarter. Net trade was neutral to growth. The current account deficit stood at 3.1% of GDP in the first three quarters of 2012, the same level as in 2011. As for the labour market, the pace of employment growth was slightly more dynamic than that of the previous year, allowing the unemployment rate to fall from 8.9% in 2011 to 8.1% in 2012.

After average annual inflation of 3.2% in 2011, CPI inflation decelerated to 2.1% in 2012. In the first half of 2012 inflation eased significantly, reflecting lower energy and food prices. In August 2012, however, energy prices reversed their previous downward trend, leading to an increase in CPI inflation until October, before resuming their decline until the end of the year. Excluding food and energy, CPI inflation averaged 2.1%, up from 1.7% in the previous year, on the back of sustained increases in the cost of shelter, medical care and apparel.

The Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) of the Federal Reserve System kept its target for the federal funds rate unchanged within a range of 0% to 0.25% throughout 2012, in an environment of moderate economic growth and employment growth, high unemployment and a subdued...
outlook for inflation over the medium term. In June 2012 the FOMC decided to continue to the end of 2012 (instead of the end of June 2012) its programme to extend the average maturity of its holdings of securities (frequently referred to as “Operation Twist”) and its existing policy of reinvesting principal payments from its holdings of agency debt and agency mortgage-backed securities. In September 2012 the FOMC decided to launch a third round of quantitative easing measures – referred to as “QE3” – to stimulate further the economy. The FOMC planned to purchase additional agency mortgage-backed securities at a rate of USD 40 billion per month. With these actions, the FOMC expected to exert downward pressure on longer-term interest rates, to support mortgage markets and to help make broader financial conditions more accommodative. The FOMC also anticipated that exceptionally low levels for the federal funds rate were likely to be warranted “at least until mid-2015”, having previously, in January 2012, referred to “at least through late 2014”.

In December 2012 the FOMC decided to continue purchasing longer-term Treasury securities at a rate of USD 45 billion per month after its “Operation Twist” programme had concluded at the end of the year, thus increasing the balance sheet of the Federal Reserve. It also announced a shift from calendar-based policy rate guidance to outcome-based guidance, anticipating that exceptionally low levels for the federal funds rate would be appropriate at least as long as the unemployment rate remained above 6.5%, inflation between one and two years ahead was not projected to be above 2.5% and longer-term inflation expectations continued to be well anchored.

As regards fiscal policy, the federal budget deficit declined to 7.0% of GDP in 2012, from 8.7% in the previous year. This led to a further increase in the level of federal debt held by the public, to 73% of GDP at the end of 2012, compared with 68% at the end of 2011. Over the course of 2012 a lack of consensus on avoiding the projected fiscal tightening in early 2013 – referred to as the “fiscal cliff” – comprising tax hikes and automatic spending cuts, contributed to an unusual degree of uncertainty. In early January 2013 a political agreement on tax and spending reforms was reached by the approval in Congress of the American Taxpayer Relief Act, partly avoiding considerable fiscal tightening in the economy. The deal, however, focused on the revenue side, postponing by two months the spending reform decisions. In this context, the issue of tackling long-term fiscal imbalances was left unaddressed, leaving the near-term outlook surrounded by considerable uncertainty.

**JAPAN**

Economic growth in Japan was highly volatile in 2012 owing to the considerable uncertainty surrounding global developments and national policies. Growth in the first quarter was firm, driven mainly by strong domestic demand. Public demand due to the reconstruction work following the earthquake and tsunami in 2011, as well as private consumption demand partly boosted by the subsidised purchase of eco-friendly cars, contributed positively to growth. Starting from the second quarter the economy contracted amid weakening global demand. Owing partly to the strong yen, the current account balance turned negative for the first time since the series began in 1985. Together with subdued domestic demand, the slowdown in external demand led to a sizeable contraction in growth in the third quarter. At the end of the year the economy remained weak, although private consumption picked up once again against the background of a weaker yen. Annual inflation was positive in the first half of the year but turned negative in the second half. Core inflation (excluding food, beverages and energy) was negative throughout 2012.

On account of weak economic developments and deflation, the Bank of Japan maintained its target for the uncollateralised overnight call rate between 0.0% and 0.1%. Over the year the central bank announced increases in its Asset Purchase Program totalling about JPY 46 trillion, and extended its duration until the end of 2013. In addition, it amended its
Growth-Supporting Funding Facility with the Stimulating Bank Lending Facility. The latter is unlimited in size and grants loans to banks equal in size to their increase in net lending. In January 2013 the Bank of Japan also announced the introduction of a price stability target of 2%, replacing the inflation goal of 1% established in February 2012. Furthermore, the central bank introduced the “open-ended asset purchasing method” under the Asset Purchase Program, to come into effect in January 2014. Despite the approval of a gradual VAT increase (from 5% to 10%) starting in 2014, fiscal consolidation in Japan was small. Deadlock over a debt financing bill between the lower and upper houses of the Japanese Diet was resolved by new elections in December, which brought about a change in government and the approval of the bill.

EMERGING ASIA
Economic growth in emerging Asia decelerated further in 2012, with annual GDP growth slowing to around 5.9%, some way below its long-term average. Export growth declined significantly as the slowdown in Europe and other advanced economies contributed to a widespread reduction in trade. Although monetary and credit policy tightening during 2011 and in early 2012 dampened domestic demand somewhat, expenditure remained generally resilient amid strong credit growth and rising residential property prices in a number of countries. Inflation in emerging Asia moderated in 2012. Declining global food prices helped to bring headline inflation rates lower, while weaker activity also moderated inflationary pressures. In the light of the deterioration in activity and trade, and easing inflation, some central banks halted or even reversed the monetary tightening cycle that had begun in the second half of 2010, contributing to renewed growth momentum towards the end of the year.

In China, real GDP growth declined to 7.8% in 2012 from 9.3% in 2011. Growth had been weak at the start of the year but rebounded strongly in the second half, driven by domestic demand. Consumption and investment contributed in almost equal measure, while the contribution of net exports was slightly negative. Domestic demand was supported by government infrastructure investment and accommodative financing conditions. Construction activity picked up towards the end of the year as the housing market recovered from a mild downturn in the first half of the year. Inflation eased during the year on the back of declining food prices, reaching 2.6% for 2012 as a whole, against 5.4% in 2011. Owing to the weak outlook earlier in the year, the authorities cut the reserve requirement ratio for banks by half a percentage point in both February and May. Similarly, the benchmark one-year lending and deposit rates were reduced in June and July by a cumulative 56 and 50 basis points respectively. As part of the ongoing financial sector reform process, banks were given more scope to set lending and borrowing interest rates. In the second half of 2012, as net capital flows turned negative and foreign reserves stabilised, the People’s Bank of China started to rely to a much greater extent on open market operations to steer liquidity conditions. Owing to the weak global environment, growth in exports, mainly to the euro area but also to Japan, decelerated for most of 2012. As import growth declined faster than export growth, the trade surplus rose to USD 232.8 billion, from USD 157.9 billion in 2011. The renminbi appreciated by 1.7% in nominal effective terms and by 2.2% in real effective terms between the end of 2011 and the end of 2012, despite a temporary depreciation over the summer. By the end of 2012 China’s foreign reserves had reached USD 3.3 trillion, up from USD 3.2 trillion in December 2011.

LATIN AMERICA
Economic activity in Latin America slowed overall during 2012, on the back of lower external demand and some signs of weakness in domestic demand in a number of countries. In addition, weaker global prospects led to a decline in commodity prices and terms-of-trade losses for commodity exporters, which account for roughly three-quarters of the output in the region. For the region as a
whole, the year-on-year real GDP growth rate stood at 3.1% in the first half of 2012, compared with average growth of 4.5% in 2011. Private consumption continued to be the main engine of growth, despite recording some deceleration. To a lesser extent, government consumption also contributed positively to growth. By contrast, net exports weighed on growth owing to the slowdown in the world economy, and investment also contributed negatively, reflecting past monetary policy tightening. In particular, Brazil, the largest Latin American economy, slowed substantially as a result of a stronger than expected deterioration in external demand and a weaker pick-up in domestic demand. Year-on-year real GDP growth in Brazil stood at 0.6% in the first half of 2012, compared with average growth of 2.7% in 2011. As for inflation, the moderation in economic growth coupled with lower energy and food prices led to some easing in inflationary pressures in 2012. Average annual consumer price inflation decelerated from 6.9% in 2011 to 6.2% in 2012.

In the last months of 2012 the Latin American economy gained some momentum, reflecting a gradual improvement in the global outlook and the impact of policy-easing measures in some countries. In Brazil, a deteriorating external environment coupled with lower inflation prompted the central bank to cut interest rates significantly between September 2011 and October 2012, reversing previous rounds of tightening. In 2012 the Banco Central do Brasil cut interest rates by 375 basis points. Having held up fairly well in the first half of 2012, the labour market improved further in the second half of the year, with moderate job creation and a relatively low level of unemployment. Financial markets in the region were generally resilient and the banking sectors in the economies with the most developed financial sectors (Brazil, Mexico and Chile) remained robust, profitable and liquid.

VOLATILE COMMODITY PRICES IN 2012

The price of Brent crude oil was overall stable in the course of 2012, albeit masking high levels of volatility. Prices were down nearly USD 40 per barrel from peak to trough between mid-March and late June 2012, before they rose again and stabilised at around USD 110 per barrel towards the end of the year (see Chart 4). Moreover, 2012 was the second consecutive year that the average annual Brent oil price stood above USD 110 per barrel.

As in 2011, a combination of supply concerns and demand-side factors explained the sharp movements in oil prices. On the supply side, the escalation of tensions with Iran, culminating with the announcement of a US and European embargo on oil imports from the country, pushed prices sharply higher in the first quarter of 2012, well ahead of the actual launching of the embargo on 1 July 2012. Prices remained elevated even as the market became increasingly well supplied by OPEC-led output rises, particularly owing to a faster than expected recovery in Libyan output. Eventually, however, the rising oil supplies coupled with an accumulation of signals pointing to slowing global demand, further amplified by renewed tensions in some euro area sovereign debt markets, led to a reversal in oil prices in the second quarter of the year. In the second half of...
the year – despite the weakness in oil demand – unplanned outages in non-OPEC supply, coupled with geopolitical tensions, supported a recovery in oil prices.

The prices of non-energy commodities, on aggregate, increased slightly during 2012 (see Chart 4) amid some volatility, but remained throughout the year well below their daily peaks reached during 2008 and 2011. Metal price movements were primarily affected by uncertainty regarding global growth, whereas the prices of some food commodities, particularly soybeans and cereals, were strongly affected by weather-related supply disruptions. In aggregate terms, non-energy commodity prices (denominated in US dollars) were 1.2% higher at the end of 2012 than at the beginning of the year.

THE EFFECTIVE EXCHANGE RATE OF THE EURO WAS BROADLY UNCHANGED OVER THE YEAR

Euro exchange rate developments in 2012 largely reflected evolving market perceptions of the euro area’s economic outlook and of changing risk premia related to the euro area sovereign debt crisis. In the period up to April 2012 the euro appreciated slightly in nominal effective terms and bilaterally against the US dollar. After April renewed tensions related to the resolution of the euro area sovereign debt crisis led to a decline in the value of the euro. Specifically, between April and July 2012, the euro depreciated by around 5% in nominal effective terms and by 8% against the US dollar. This trend was halted and reversed after late July, when the ECB reaffirmed the irreversibility of the euro and announced the Eurosystem’s Outright Monetary Transactions (OMTs). Between the end of July and the end of September, the euro appreciated markedly, largely recovering earlier losses, amid substantially declining volatility. Euro exchange rate movements in the later part of 2012 were characterised by an environment of stability, with volatility indicators in foreign exchange rate markets near levels seen before the financial crisis (see Chart 5).

**Chart 5 Patterns in exchange rates and implied volatilities**
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The nominal effective exchange rate of the euro, as measured against the currencies of 20 of the euro area’s most important trading partners, was broadly unchanged over the year (see Chart 6). By the end of 2012, in nominal effective terms, the euro stood 0.4% below its level at the end of 2011 and 1% below its average level since 1999. Against the US dollar, on 31 December 2012 the euro traded at USD 1.32, which was around 2% higher than at the end of 2011 and 5% below its average for 2011.

Regarding other major currencies, the euro appreciated substantially against the Japanese yen, particularly in the second half of 2012, as uncertainties in Japan negatively affected the value of the Japanese currency. On 31 December 2012 the euro stood at JPY 114, 13% higher than at the start of the year and 2% above its 2011 average. By contrast, the euro depreciated slightly against the pound sterling, trading at GBP 0.82 at the end of 2012, which was around 2% below its level at the beginning of the year and 6% lower than its average level in 2011.

The euro was unchanged during 2012 against the Australian dollar but depreciated against the Canadian dollar (by 1%), the Norwegian krone (by 5%) and the Korean won (by 6%). By contrast, it appreciated against the Asian currencies that are linked to the US dollar, including the Chinese renminbi (by 1%) and the Hong Kong dollar (by 2%).

Against the Swiss franc, the euro continued to trade close to the minimum exchange rate of CHF 1.20 which was unilaterally announced by the Swiss National Bank in September 2011 and defended through interventions by the central bank in the foreign exchange market over the course of 2012. On 30 December 2012 the euro stood at CHF 1.21, broadly unchanged from its level at the beginning of the year.

The real effective exchange rates of the euro based on different cost and price measures decreased during the first three quarters of 2012. By the end of 2012 they stood at levels below those prevailing at the end of 2011 (see Chart 6).

### 2.2 Monetary and Financial Developments

**Monetary Growth Strengthened Moderately**

M3 growth started to recover over the course of 2012, but stayed at moderate levels. The annual growth rate of M3, which had stood at 1.5% in December 2011, increased to around 3.6% in July 2012, before moderating again until September. In December 2012, however, the annual rate of growth of M3 stood at 3.4%. The considerable volatility in the monthly developments in the euro
area mainly reflects the money-holding sector’s preference for liquidity in an environment of low interest rates and, in particular until August, heightened uncertainty. From this perspective, the developments in money continued to reflect the influence of the government debt crisis, triggering portfolio shifts in and out of monetary assets, particularly by institutional investors.

By contrast, the annual rate of growth of loans to the private sector (adjusted for the impact of loan sales and securitisation activity) declined steadily, standing at -0.2% in December 2012, compared with 1.2% in December 2011. As a consequence, the divergence observed since early 2012 in the annual growth rates of money and credit to the private sector continued to widen in the course of 2012 (see Chart 7). At the same time, the impact of the non-standard measures adopted by the Governing Council of the ECB, in particular the three-year longer-term refinancing operations (LTROs) carried out in December 2011 and February 2012 – albeit only materialising with a certain lag – and the announcement on OMTs, alleviated some of the funding pressures faced by MFIs. The increase in the volatility of monthly data for money and credit was also fostered by developments observed in interbank transactions conducted via central counterparties (CCPs), which were traditionally part of the money-holding sector. This led the ECB to amend its statistical measurement of broad money and credit to the private sector to adjust for repurchase agreement transactions with CCPs with effect from the end-August monetary data.3 In addition, changes in the regulatory environment and uncertainty regarding further changes to the regulatory framework impacted on the financial system as a whole, not only affecting lending by MFIs but also stimulating efforts on the part of the banking system to strengthen its deposit base. Overall, looking beyond short-term volatility, developments in broad money and credit indicate that the pace of underlying monetary expansion strengthened moderately in 2012 but remained subdued.

**PORTFOLIO CONSIDERATIONS WERE THE KEY DRIVER OF DEVELOPMENTS IN COMPONENTS OF M3**

As regards the developments in the main components of M3, the heightened uncertainties prevailing in financial markets and the accompanying preference for highly liquid deposits – against the background of very low policy and money market rates – manifested themselves mainly in a strong increase in the annual growth rate of M1, which stood at 6.3% in December 2012 (see Chart 8). These portfolio reallocations away from riskier assets were also accompanied by a decline in the remuneration of more or less all assets contained in M3, with a resultant decline in the opportunity costs of holding highly liquid instruments (see Chart 9). This preference for liquid deposits in the presence of very low policy and market rates points to the establishment of cash buffers by the money-holding sector, possibly as an intermediate step in the portfolio reallocation process.

---

The annual growth rate of marketable instruments declined over the course of 2012 and stood at -7.5% in December 2012, down from -1.2% in December 2011, owing to sizeable reductions in the money-holding sector’s holdings of repurchase agreements (which in the past seem to have been used by investors to temporarily park liquidity). At the same time, the annual growth rate of debt securities with an original maturity of up to two years remained at double-digit levels, before declining significantly in November and even more so in December 2012. In part, this strong growth until autumn might reflect a shift in MFI issuance from long-term to short-term debt securities, as investor confidence in the euro area banking sector’s ability to redeem bonds with a maturity of less than two years may have increased sufficiently to rekindle the short-term market following the two three-year LTROs. In addition, the ECB’s new and stricter definition of money market funds, which was implemented in August 2011, resulted in an increasing number of money market funds being reclassified as investment funds or shifting their investment strategy towards investment funds. As investment funds, unlike money market funds, belong to the money-holding sector, the change in the definition of money market funds resulted in the demand for short-term debt issued by MFIs, on the part of entities previously classified as money market funds, now being recorded under MFI debt securities held by the money-holding sector.

Money market fund shares/units displayed rather volatile behaviour over the course of 2012. In the light of very low short-term interest rates, money market funds have increasingly found it difficult to generate significant positive returns for investors, and were therefore unable to benefit from flows into shorter-term financial assets.

By contrast, an increased demand for repurchase agreements in the first half of 2012 largely reflected an increased reliance on secured interbank transactions conducted via CCPs, which, for banks, have the advantage of greatly reducing counterparty risk. Given the volatility inherent in interbank transactions, the fact that such secured interbank transactions have increased in the
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course of the financial crisis – especially since the collapse of Lehman Brothers – has inevitably translated into higher levels of volatility in the related monetary series. Therefore, in order to ensure consistency between the policy analysis and the statistical framework, the ECB decided to adjust the measurement of M3 and its counterparts for repurchase agreements/reverse repurchase agreements with CCPs with effect from the release of the end-August monetary figures.

**VOLATILITY IN HEADLINE M3 LARGELY REFLECTED THE DEPOSIT HOLDINGS OF OFIs**

The annual growth rate of M3 deposits – which comprise short-term deposits and repurchase agreements and represent the broadest monetary aggregate for which reliable information is available at the sectoral level – increased from 1.2% in December 2011 to 4.4% in December 2012.

Developments in M3 deposits also reflected the contribution made by non-monetary financial intermediaries other than insurance corporations and pension funds (referred to as “other financial intermediaries” or OFIs). The annual growth rate of M3 deposits held by OFIs increased from 1.4% in December 2011 to 3.9% in October 2012, before decreasing again to 0.9% in December 2012, albeit with considerable fluctuations. From an economic perspective, the money demand behaviour of OFIs is strongly influenced by conditions in financial markets and movements in relative yields across a broad spectrum of financial assets. Given the inherent volatility of these determinants, OFI money holdings can at times display quite erratic behaviour, so their short-term dynamics should not be over-interpreted. At the same time, the agility of these investors endows their money holdings with early signalling properties for incipient trends in portfolio allocation, which will only emerge with a lag in the money holdings of other, more inert sectors, such as households. Deposits placed by general government other than the central government also showed dynamic behaviour, increasing from 4.8% in December 2011 to 9.0% in December 2012.

The annual growth rate of M3 deposits held by households continued to increase in 2012, rising from 1.4% in December 2011 to 4.4% in December 2012, partly reflecting the efforts of banks to increase deposit funding, not least for regulatory reasons. Households’ holdings make up the bulk of M3 deposits. There was a similar rise in the annual growth rate of M3 deposits held by non-financial corporations, which increased to 4.7% in December 2012 from -0.9% in December 2011. This may reflect a build-up of liquid buffers in order to prepare for future internal funding needs.

**WEAKENING GROWTH OF CREDIT TO THE PRIVATE SECTOR**

As regards the counterparts of M3, the annual growth rate of MFI credit to euro area residents declined to 0.5% in December 2012 from 0.9% in December 2011 (see Chart 10). This development concealed two opposite movements, namely an increase in the
annual growth rate of credit to general government and a decrease in the annual growth rate of credit to the private sector. The increase in the annual growth rate of credit to general government, which stood at 5.8% in December 2012, reflected both an increase in the annual growth rate of loans to general government in the second half of 2012 and strong demand for government debt securities, particularly in the first half of 2012, resulting in double-digit annual growth rates during the period from January to November 2012. Strong demand in the first months of 2012 can be associated with the ample liquidity provision in the three-year LTROs, while since the late summer MFI holdings of government debt securities have benefited from the stabilisation of euro area sovereign bond markets which followed the announcement of OMTs.

The growth profile of credit to the private sector generally mirrors that of loans, which are the main component of this aggregate. In line with developments in loans, credit to the private sector moved into negative territory, with growth of -0.8% in December 2012. The annual growth rate of MFI loans to the private sector (adjusted for sales and securitisation) also declined over the course of 2012, and stood at -0.2% at the end of December 2012, down from 1.2% in December 2011. This profile is consistent with economic activity and financial market developments in the course of 2012. Developments in loans to the private sector reflected those of its main components. The annual growth rates of loans to non-financial corporations and of loans to households (adjusted for sales and securitisation) both declined for most of 2012. Loans to OFIs also declined, albeit showing considerable volatility.

As regards loans to the non-financial private sector, the annual growth rate of loans to households (adjusted for sales and securitisation), which stood at 2.0% in December 2011, continued to decline gradually, ending at 0.7% in December 2012 in line with the observed weakening in economic activity, heightened uncertainty and risk aversion, a fall in consumer confidence, deteriorating prospects for the housing market and the need to deleverage following past excesses. (For more details on lending to households, see the section on household borrowing later in this chapter.) By contrast, the annual growth rate of loans to non-financial corporations (adjusted for sales and securitisation) stood at -1.3% in December 2012, primarily driven by the weakening of the economic environment, the deterioration in business expectations, increased risk perceptions of banks and firms and deleveraging needs. More broadly, from a time-series perspective, the growth rate of loans to non-financial corporations seems to be following a typical pro-cyclical and lagging pattern. In sum, at the end of 2012 annual growth in loans to households remained moderately positive, whereas loans to non-financial corporations recorded negative annual growth.

At the same time, developments in corporate borrowing seem to have been driven by the interplay of additional factors on both the supply and the demand side, although with some cross-country heterogeneity. On the demand side, in a number of countries, internal and alternative non-bank external funding sources offer rather favourable financing opportunities, thus dampening the demand for MFI loans, whereas in other countries the indebtedness of firms creates a need for deleveraging.

On the supply side, in various euro area countries, capital and market-based funding constraints, albeit declining towards the end of the year, continued to limit the supply of MFI credit to the economy. The segmentation of financial markets further exacerbated developments in credit growth, although there were signs of receding segmentation from September onwards. Indeed, the non-standard monetary policy measures taken by the Governing Council of the ECB, in particular the three-year LTROs and the announcement on OMTs in August and of their technical features in September, are likely to have contributed to averting a disorderly deleveraging of the banking sector by alleviating the funding pressures on euro area credit institutions.
Regarding the other counterparts of M3, the annual growth rate of MFI longer-term financial liabilities (excluding capital and reserves) held by the money-holding sector declined over the course of 2012, standing at -5.1% in December 2012, compared with 1.4% in December 2011, thereby supporting the increase in M3. This development was driven by sizeable declines in the growth of longer-term deposits and in the issuance of longer-term debt securities, the latter being influenced by buyback programmes of MFIs, aimed at improving their capital position, and, in the case of other MFIs, by the replacement of market-based funding with the three-year LTROs. The outflows from longer-term deposits largely reflected a reversal of past securitisation activities.

Finally, the net external asset position of euro area MFIs – which captures the capital flows of the money-holding sector when routed via MFIs and the transfer of assets issued by the money-holding sector – increased by €101 billion over the 12 months to December 2012. While early 2012 mainly saw net outflows, net inflows were registered in the second half.

**MONEY MARKET CONDITIONS CONTINUED TO BE AFFECTED BY THE SOVEREIGN DEBT CRISIS**

Conditions in euro area money markets continued to be affected by the sovereign debt crisis in 2012. The ECB policy decisions which had the most notable impact on euro area money markets were the allotment of the two three-year LTROs (on 21 December 2011 and 29 February 2012) and the lowering of the deposit facility rate to zero in July 2012 (see also Box 2).

Strong demand from counterparties in the two three-year LTROs led to a significant build-up of excess liquidity, which peaked at €773.9 billion on average during the sixth maintenance period of 2012. Partly as a consequence of the increase in excess liquidity, trading activity in euro area money markets declined further (see Chart 11 below, showing the decline in EONIA volumes over the year). However, the underlying reason for the continued decline in trading activity was the continued strong market segmentation caused by the sovereign debt crisis.

The announcement on OMTs in August 2012 led to an overall improvement in financial market conditions and a reduction in excess liquidity, which stood at €622.7 billion on average during the last maintenance period of 2012. Owing to the high level of excess liquidity prevailing throughout 2012, short-term money market rates remained close to the rate on the deposit facility, exhibiting only mild volatility.

Chart 12 shows the development of three-month unsecured interest rates (the three-month EURIBOR) and three-month secured interest rates (the three-month EUREPO and the three-month overnight index swap rate). All of these money market interest rates decreased over the course of 2012. The EURIBOR and overnight index swap rates were particularly affected by the increase in excess liquidity and the increase in the expected duration of excess liquidity following the two three-year LTROs. Both
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**Chart 11 EONIA volumes**

(EUR billions; daily data)

Sources: ECB and Thomson Reuters.
of these interest rates decreased sharply in the first half of the year. During the second half of 2012 the overnight index swap rate remained broadly stable, while the three-month EURIBOR continued to decline.

In July 2012 the Governing Council of the ECB reduced the key ECB interest rates by 25 basis points. The lowering of the rate on the deposit facility to zero was associated with short-term money market rates in the vicinity of zero, with some trades, especially in the secured segment, taking place at negative rates. The EONIA continued to stand at interest rates above zero. Recourse to the deposit facility declined as a result of the lowering of its remuneration rate to zero, as many counterparties decided to keep funds in excess of their reserve requirements in their current accounts. During the last maintenance period of 2012, for example, average recourse to the deposit facility stood at €239.1 billion, while average current account holdings in excess of reserve requirements stood at €383.6 billion.

**Chart 12 Three-month EUREPO, EURIBOR and overnight index swap rates**

(Percentages per annum; spread in basis points; daily data)

- three-month EURIBOR (left-hand scale)
- three-month EUREPO (left-hand scale)
- three-month overnight index swap rate (left-hand scale)
- spread between the three-month EURIBOR and the three-month EUREPO (right-hand scale)

Sources: ECB and Thomson Reuters.
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**Box 2**

**The impact of non-standard measures decided upon on 8 December 2011**

On 8 December 2011 the Governing Council of the ECB decided on additional non-standard monetary policy measures, i.e.:

- to conduct two longer-term refinancing operations (LTROs) with a maturity of three years and the option of early repayment after one year;

- to discontinue for the time being, as of the maintenance period starting on 14 December 2011, the fine-tuning operations carried out on the last day of each maintenance period;

- to reduce the ratio for minimum reserve requirements from 2% to 1% as of the maintenance period starting on 18 January 2012;

- to increase collateral availability by (i) reducing the rating threshold for certain asset-backed securities (ABSs) and (ii) allowing NCBs, as a temporary solution, to accept as collateral additional performing credit claims (i.e. bank loans) that satisfy specific eligibility criteria.

---

1 See the ECB’s press release of 8 December 2011, entitled “ECB announces measures to support bank lending and money market activity”.
This box reviews developments in the Eurosystem balance sheet following the introduction of these additional non-standard measures, as well as developments in money market rates. It also touches upon issues related to the Eurosystem’s risk exposure and discusses whether these measures imply risks to price stability in the euro area.

**Developments in the Eurosystem balance sheet**

The balance sheet of a central bank provides detailed information about how it uses its monetary policy instruments. It is therefore useful for understanding how monetary policy is implemented in both normal and crisis times. The Eurosystem has significantly expanded its balance sheet in response to the breakdown of various financial market segments (see Charts A and B). From 16 December 2011 to end-December 2012, the Eurosystem balance sheet increased by around 25% and reached a level slightly below €2,500 billion. This increase is mainly attributable to increased provision of central bank refinancing at longer-term maturities (the assets side of the simplified Eurosystem balance sheet). Indeed, in the two three-year LTROs conducted on 21 December 2011 and 29 February 2012, a total amount of €1,018.7 billion was allotted. However, taking into account operations which matured in mid-December 2011 as well as shifts in demand between refinancing operations ahead of the two three-year operations, the net increase in liquidity provision through the two three-year LTROs amounted to around €500 billion. In this connection, the provision of central bank refinancing through the weekly main refinancing operations (with a one-week maturity) decreased substantially, from €170 billion in mid-December 2011 to around €90 billion at the end of December 2012. Regarding the asset purchase programmes used for monetary policy, the first and second covered bond purchase programmes (July 2009 to June 2010 and
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November 2011 to October 2012) and the Securities Markets Programme together resulted in gross liquidity that averaged €279.8 billion in the review period (i.e. from mid-December 2011 to end-December 2012). Finally, the Eurosystem continued to hold, in net terms, sizeable amounts of foreign assets (i.e. foreign currency-denominated assets held outright for foreign exchange intervention or investment purposes) and domestic assets, which are held outright by NCBs and are not relevant for monetary policy implementation.

On the liabilities side of the simplified Eurosystem balance sheet, the two three-year LTROs prompted a large increase in recourse to the deposit facility, which reached an all-time high of €827.5 billion on 5 March 2012. However, since July 2012 banks have instead held sizeable amounts of liquidity on their current accounts with their central banks. This stems mainly from the reduction of the deposit facility rate to zero in July 2012, which made banks indifferent as regards transferring their excess liquidity (defined as the liquidity in excess of the banking sector’s liquidity needs given by autonomous factors and reserve requirements) overnight to the deposit facility or leaving it unremunerated on their current accounts as excess reserves.

In the period under review the Eurosystem continued to sterilise the liquidity impact stemming from the Securities Markets Programme. Banknotes in circulation remained broadly stable, while government deposits (i.e. treasury departments’ current account holdings with NCBs) almost tripled. One reason for this development is the difficulty encountered by treasuries in placing funds with the banking system, owing for instance to specific requirements on the part of the former (e.g. a specific set of assets required as collateral for these transactions). Finally, autonomous factors other than banknotes and government deposits increased significantly.
Developments in money markets

After the two three-year LTROs, excess liquidity amounted to around €810 billion. At the end of December 2012 it hovered at €615 billion. As a result of such ample liquidity conditions, the EONIA remained at levels very close to the deposit facility rate; at the end of December 2012 it was around 62 basis points below the rate on the main refinancing operations. The discontinuation of the end-of-maintenance-period fine-tuning operations in January 2012 removed the spikes in the EONIA which traditionally occurred on the last day of the maintenance period and helped to depress volatility further.

Impact on the Eurosystem’s risk exposure

As a consequence of the expansion in monetary policy operations, the Eurosystem’s exposure to risks also increased during 2012. Central banks always take on some financial risk when conducting the market operations that are necessary for the implementation of monetary policy decisions. However, they put in place mechanisms to ensure that the risks are effectively mitigated.

As mentioned above, the decision announced on 8 December 2011 to conduct two LTROs with a three-year maturity was accompanied by a measure to increase collateral availability by (i) reducing the rating threshold for certain ABSs and (ii) allowing NCBs, as a temporary solution, to accept as collateral additional performing credit claims (i.e. bank loans) that satisfy specific eligibility criteria. This expansion of the range of collateral accepted was necessary to address the potential impact on collateral – via diminished eligibility and increased haircuts – of rating downgrades.

Central banks’ risk-taking must be assessed against the expected benefits – in terms of contributions to the fulfilment of institutional objectives – of the associated measures. The Eurosystem’s carefully designed risk management framework enables the central banks of the euro area to support the economy effectively by optimising the relationship between policy efficiency and risk-taking. Risks are constantly monitored and assessed against available financial buffers at the Eurosystem level. The efficiency of the risk management framework is also evaluated on an ongoing basis.

The first line of defence to ensure adequate protection of the Eurosystem’s balance sheet consists in accepting only counterparties considered financially sound. Additionally, the Eurosystem requires that its counterparties provide adequate collateral. This collateral is revalued on a daily basis using observed market prices, or appropriate theoretical pricing methods where reliable daily market prices are not available. Moreover, haircuts are applied in order to protect the Eurosystem from financial losses in the event of sharp declines in the price of an asset after a counterparty’s default (with an additional haircut in the case of theoretical valuation). This framework ensures a high recovery value in the event of a counterparty defaulting. In this way the Eurosystem manages its exposure and risk-taking so as to ensure the fulfilment of its primary mandate, the maintenance of price stability.

Eight frameworks³ for additional credit claims have so far been approved by the Governing Council of the ECB. The haircuts applicable have been calibrated to keep the risks at an acceptable

³ Frameworks proposed by the Central Bank of Ireland, the Bank of Greece, the Banco de España, the Banque de France, the Banca d’Italia, the Central Bank of Cyprus, the Oesterreichische Nationalbank and the Banco de Portugal.
level, complying with Article 18.1 of the Statute of the ESCB (which states that Eurosystem credit operations must be based on “adequate collateral”). The additional credit claims introduce more underlying obligors, belonging to different sectors of the economy, to the collateral pools and hence improve the diversification of the collateral portfolios. All in all, the incremental risks associated with the lower eligibility thresholds applied to the additional credit claims, measured as the expected shortfall at a 99% confidence level over a one-year horizon, are covered by the applied haircuts, not least in view of the diversification effects explained above.

**Implications for price stability**

As discussed above, the expansion of the balance sheet of the Eurosystem between December 2011 and December 2012 was largely driven by banks’ high demand in the two three-year refinancing operations. This level of demand led to an increase in excess liquidity. Banks place excess liquidity in current accounts, the deposit facility or weekly liquidity-absorbing operations with the Eurosystem.

The question may arise as to whether holding such large amounts of excess liquidity poses risks to price stability. This is currently not the case. The high demand on the part of euro area banks for central bank refinancing is a consequence of the malfunctioning of interbank markets. The building-up of large excess liquidity positions by euro area banks is the outcome of central bank policy action to counter funding constraints in interbank money markets and thereby forestall a disorderly deleveraging process that could have curtailed the supply of credit to the real economy. Such a curtailment could have created significant downward risks to price stability.

Over the medium to long term, inflation risk is associated with high growth of monetary liquidity, as measured, in particular, by M3 growth. However, such monetary growth is essentially unrelated to the amount of excess liquidity held by the euro area banking sector. There is not necessarily a stable relationship between bank reserves and, in particular, excess liquidity held by euro area banks on the one hand and monetary liquidity on the other, as evidenced by the subdued pace of money and credit growth observed since late 2010. The reason for the absence of such a relationship is related to the nature of central bank reserves, as their ultimate and main value lies in their nature as the sole medium of exchange by which reserve requirements can be fulfilled and autonomous factors refinanced. It follows that the amount of central bank reserves held by a bank is not the determining factor in its ability to generate new loans. Factors influencing a bank’s loan supply are, among other things, its capital position, its monitoring technology and its cost structure.

A possible tangible effect of the large amount of excess liquidity held by the banking sector might be its impact on asset prices and money market rates, affecting banks’ refinancing costs, the relative prices of alternative investment opportunities and, via these channels, the supply of bank loans. As described above in more detail, the direct impact on short-term money market rates of the additional central bank refinancing of banks through the two three-year LTROs was relatively muted, while longer-term money market rates tended to decrease more, mainly as a consequence of the extended maturity of the operations.

---

TENSIONS IN EURO AREA GOVERNMENT BOND MARKETS EASED MARKEDLY IN THE SECOND HALF OF 2012

AAA-rated long-term government bond yields in the euro area fell from around 2.6% at the beginning of January 2012 to 1.7% at the end of December (see Chart 13). In the United States, yields on long-term government bonds declined only slightly over the same period, from around 1.9% to around 1.8%.

The most pronounced difference in bond market developments in the two main economic areas could be observed in the first quarter of 2012. Whereas AAA-rated ten-year government bond yields in the euro area remained broadly unchanged, ten-year government bond yields in the United States increased by more than 30 basis points. In the second quarter of 2012 yields in the euro area and the United States followed a broadly similar downward trend, although yields on ten-year US government bonds were generally around 30 basis points below those of their euro area counterparts. In the remainder of the year yields in the two areas tended to converge.

The development of government bond yields in 2012 can be broken down into three distinct phases. In the first phase, which lasted from January to mid-March, sovereign bond market sentiment was rather positive on both sides of the Atlantic. In the euro area, this manifested itself in a decline of the spreads between government bond yields in countries under financial stress, on the one hand, and those of AAA-rated issuers on the other. Several positive steps towards the resolution of the euro area sovereign debt crisis played a role in this regard. First, banks in the euro area received an extraordinary monetary policy stimulus, primarily in the form of three-year LTROs; second, a “fiscal compact” was established among euro area countries; and, third, the Greek private sector involvement resulted in a successful debt swap, which improved the prospects for avoiding a disorderly

From the perspective of the monetary pillar of the ECB’s monetary policy strategy, therefore, the additional monetary stimulus resulting from higher asset prices and lower money market rates has not translated into a pick-up in growth of monetary aggregates and is therefore not associated with risks to price stability over the medium to longer term.

Finally, it should be stressed that large quantities of excess liquidity do not prevent the ECB from raising interest rates should its comprehensive analysis of risks to price stability point to a need to tighten the stance of monetary policy.

---

4 The fiscal compact has been implemented as an intergovernmental treaty concluded by 25 of the 27 EU Member States.
restructuring of sovereign debt in Greece. Mixed economic and financial news, including downgrades of the credit ratings of several euro area sovereigns, did not weigh significantly on euro area bond market sentiment. In the United States, the positive market sentiment was driven mainly by better than expected macroeconomic data releases, supporting the increase in US ten-year government bond yields.

The second phase lasted from mid-March to the end of July. During this period AAA-rated long-term government bond yields in the euro area and US long-term government bond yields declined significantly. The reason for this trend reversal was twofold. First, a decline in coincident and forward-looking indicators of activity in the main economic areas, as well as a fall in household and business confidence and weak labour market data, prompted a significant downward reassessment of the outlook for global growth. Second, concerns about the political and financial stability of the euro area re-emerged and triggered renewed flight-to-safety flows and widening spreads for some euro area government bonds. These concerns were mainly fuelled by the outcome of the Greek elections in May, by a number of downgrades of Spanish and Italian banks and by Moody’s downgrade of the Spanish sovereign rating in June.

The third phase started in late July, when the ECB reaffirmed the irreversibility of the euro and stressed that risk premia related to fears of the reversibility of the euro would be addressed. This statement was confirmed by the announcement of OMTs and their modalities in early August and September respectively. As a result, bond market sentiment in the euro area improved significantly. In particular, there was no further decline in yields on AAA-rated government bonds, which subsequently remained relatively stable between early August and the end of 2012. Moreover, investors’ uncertainty about near-term bond market developments in the euro area, as measured by option-implied volatility, dropped significantly from the summer peak of around 9.5% to around 5.6% at the end of the year. In addition, the yields on government bonds of euro area countries under financial stress decreased significantly over the period.

The positive market momentum was supported further by advances in both the design of the single supervisory mechanism (SSM) and the restructuring of the Spanish banking system, as well as by the success of the Greek debt buyback operation in December, with the subsequent approval of the disbursement of aid for Greece. All these factors significantly improved financial market confidence and reduced the fragmentation of financial markets in the euro area at the end of 2012. However, it remains the responsibility of governments to use the more resilient market conditions to take swift actions to tackle the fundamental causes of the crisis. In the United States, concerns about the looming fiscal cliff intensified after the US presidential elections in November. As a result, yields on ten-year government bonds in the United States rose from the historical lows of around 1.4% observed at the end of July to around 1.8% at the end of 2012.

A key feature of the euro area government bond markets in 2012 was that yields tended to converge across most euro area countries, as a consequence of the aforementioned steps towards a positive resolution of the euro area sovereign debt crisis. The converging trend was most pronounced at the beginning of 2012 and in the last five months of 2012, while yields of some countries, notably Spain and Italy, deviated from this trend from mid-March to late July. Overall, from January to December 2012 ten-year government bond spreads vis-à-vis the German Bund declined across all main euro area countries except Spain, where the spread increased by around 70 basis points (see Chart 14). Countries under financial assistance programmes benefited most from the positive market sentiment with respect to the euro area. Greek spreads vis-à-vis the German Bund fell by more than 2,000 basis points, while the corresponding Portuguese and Irish spreads declined by around 600 and 350 basis points respectively. Italy and Belgium also saw a significant
narrowing of spreads, namely decreases of around 190 and 160 basis points respectively.

These developments were accompanied by a significant decline in credit default swap (CDS) premia for sovereigns of all euro area countries. Nevertheless, the tensions were far from over at the end of 2012. In particular, the spreads and CDS premia of euro area sovereigns under financial stress at the end of 2012 remained well above the levels observed before the onset of the sovereign debt crisis.

In the light of the worsening economic outlook, real yields on AAA-rated government bonds in the euro area tended to decline further in 2012, despite the already low levels of around 0.0% and 0.7% recorded for five-year and ten-year bonds respectively at the beginning of 2012. In particular, the prospect of continued weak economic activity dragged the real yields on AAA-rated government bonds with five-year maturities into negative territory in early 2012, where they stayed for the rest of the year. Real yields on AAA-rated government bonds with ten-year maturities also turned negative in 2012, but only in the middle of the year. At the end of December 2012 the real five-year and ten-year spot yields stood at around -0.8% and -0.2% respectively. Overall, the decline in real yields over the year took place in a context of broadly stable inflation expectations (see Box 3). At the end of 2012 the five-year forward break-even inflation rate five years ahead stood at around 2.5%, a level similar to that observed at the beginning of the year, while the inflation swap rate with the same time horizon stood close to 2.2%, around 20 basis points lower than a year earlier.

**Box 3**

**TRENDS IN EURO AREA LONG-TERM INFLATION EXPECTATIONS**

The ECB’s monetary policy is focused on delivering price stability in the euro area over the medium term. To that end, the close monitoring of long-term inflation expectations remains a priority. This box reviews developments in available indicators of long-term inflation expectations in the euro area during 2012, and shows that both survey-based and financial indicators point to firmly anchored inflation expectations at levels consistent with price stability.¹

**Survey-based indicators of inflation expectations**

During 2012 survey-based long-term inflation expectations remained close to their historical average (see Chart A). Long-term inflation expectations from the ECB Survey of Professional Forecasters ticked up from an average of 1.98% in 2011 to 1.99% in 2012. Expectations from

¹ See also the article entitled “Assessing the anchoring of long-term inflation expectations”, Monthly Bulletin, ECB, July 2012.
the Euro Zone Barometer stood at 2.10% on average in 2012, up from 2.03% in 2011, while those from Consensus Economics decreased to 2.00% in 2012, down from 2.05% in 2011.

Financial indicators of inflation expectations

Financial indicators of inflation expectations tend to exhibit higher volatility than their survey-based counterparts (see Charts B and C). Since break-even inflation rates (BEIRs) – calculated as the yield spread between nominal and inflation-linked bonds – and other financial indicators comprise not only the level of expected inflation, but also the compensation (risk premia) for the risks surrounding that future level, their higher volatility is often related to changes in risk premia. In this regard, since the autumn of 2008 the higher volatility of financial asset prices in general and bond yields in particular has also pushed up the (temporary) volatility of financial indicators of inflation expectations.²

Five-year forward BEIRs five years ahead – a benchmark indicator of long-term inflation expectations (and related premia) – stood at around 2.4% on average in 2012, close to the level observed in 2011 and only marginally higher than their historical average of 2.3% since 2004. However, long-term forward BEIRs were somewhat more volatile than usual during 2012, fluctuating within a range of 2.1% to 2.7%.

Fluctuations of long-term forward BEIRs during 2012 appear more strongly related to temporary market phenomena than to changes in inflation expectations (and related premia). Notably, episodes of upward and downward trends in long-term forward BEIRs may result from flight-to-safety flows, which tend to have a different effect on AAA-rated nominal and inflation-linked bond yields. Specifically, following flight-to-safety flows the yield on AAA-rated nominal bonds tends to decrease further than the yields on inflation-linked bonds, thereby reducing the calculated BEIRs. Conversely, when these flows are reversed, the calculated BEIRs increase, without any change in underlying inflation expectations.

In this context, BEIRs tended to be below their year-long average in the first half of the year, when re-emerging market tensions triggered flight-to-safety flows into AAA-rated bonds. In the second half of the year, however, with the easing of market tensions following the announcement

² In late 2011 the ECB changed its method for estimating break-even inflation rates for the euro area to reduce distortions stemming from widening spreads between German and French bond yields; see also the box entitled “Estimating real yields and break-even inflation rates following the recent intensification of the sovereign debt crisis”, Monthly Bulletin, ECB, December 2011.
of additional non-standard monetary policy measures, there was a gradual unwinding of previous flight-to-safety flows, resulting in a widening of the calculated BEIRs. This is, for example, corroborated by lower liquidity premia in German and French government-sponsored agency bonds relative to their sovereign counterparts. In addition, following the downgrading of Italian sovereign debt by Moody’s from A3 to Baa2 in July, Italian inflation-linked bonds were removed from some key inflation-linked bond indices, triggering some portfolio reallocation flows into AAA-rated inflation-linked bonds and lowering their yields. The combination of the unwinding of flight-to-safety flows in the nominal bond market and portfolio reallocation flows into AAA-rated inflation-linked bonds exerted some upward pressure on BEIRs in the second half of the year.

Two further observations confirm the significance of market phenomena in explaining the recent volatility in BEIRs. First, long-term forward indicators based on inflation-linked swaps, which should be less affected by flight-to-safety flows, remained more stable than bond market-based BEIRs, fluctuating around a lower average level of 2.3% and within a range of 2.1% to 2.6%.

Second, a decomposition of the long-term forward BEIRs into inflation expectations, inflation risk premia and measurement errors (which can be related to market liquidity distortions) using term structure models, as presented in Chart D, shows that the identified market distortions affecting the calculation of long-term forward BEIRs have increased significantly since the beginning of the financial crisis, widening the gap between the observed and the model-based long-term forward BEIRs. At the same time, the estimated levels of long-term inflation expectations in financial markets, at slightly below 2% in 2012, remained consistent with price stability and survey data. In addition, the inflation risk premia remained quite contained at relatively low levels by historical standards, possibly reflecting the macroeconomic conditions in the euro area. Needless to say,
Euro area equity prices increased sharply in 2012

Equity prices in the euro area and the United States rose by around 16% and 13% respectively in 2012 (see Chart 15). This compares with an increase of around 23% in Japan. The broad equity indices in the euro area and in the United States moved broadly in tandem throughout the year. Despite the sound overall performance of equity markets in the euro area, the increase in the broad equity index was not distributed evenly across the euro area as there were significant differences from country to country.

In the first quarter of 2012 equity markets rallied strongly in both the euro area and the United States, with the Dow Jones EURO STOXX and the S&P 500 indices increasing by around 14% and 12% respectively between the beginning of January and mid-March. In addition, stock market econometric models covering the crisis period should be interpreted very cautiously. Several pieces of research confirm that distortions in nominal and real yields identified in the term structure model are indeed related to flight-to-safety flows and asset-specific liquidity premium measures respectively.3

Despite the evidence in support of the anchoring of long-term inflation expectations, at the current juncture there is also evidence pointing to significant uncertainty surrounding the inflation outlook. Uncertainty measures from survey data remained at relatively high levels in recent years and relatively extreme (high and low) inflation outcomes continue to be hedged against through inflation caps and floors. Close monitoring of those additional indicators of inflation expectations is also warranted. Nevertheless, on balance, available evidence from both survey data and financial market indicators suggests that euro area long-term inflation expectations remain firmly anchored at levels consistent with price stability.

uncertainty, as measured by implied volatility, decreased markedly on both sides of the Atlantic. Market gains in both economic areas were led by the financial sector, with corresponding equity prices in the euro area and the United States rising by around 17% and 18% respectively. Financial stocks in the euro area grew mainly on the back of the monetary stimulus provided in the form of the three-year LTROs, which significantly alleviated banks’ funding pressures. In addition, financial equities in the euro area benefited from prospects of more limited negative spillovers from sovereigns to the financial sector as a consequence of the policy measures agreed at the meeting of euro area Heads of State or Government at the end of January. By contrast, downgrades of the credit ratings of some euro area banks and generally weak earnings reports did not weigh significantly on market sentiment.

The positive trend in equity prices reversed in the second half of March 2012, with subsequent declines that were more pronounced in the euro area than in the United States. Whereas stock prices in the euro area, as measured by the Dow Jones EURO STOXX index, fell by almost 15% between mid-March and mid-June, those in the United States, as measured by the S&P 500 index, declined by around 4% over the same period. Moreover, stock market uncertainty, as measured by implied volatility, rose markedly in the euro area (by 14 percentage points), while it increased only moderately in the United States (by 5 percentage points). The sharp decline in euro area equities was primarily due to losses in the financial sector, given that the related sub-index declined by more than 20%. Moreover, there appeared to have been a new surge in market participants’ risk aversion on the back of a number of downgrades of Italian and Spanish banks and political uncertainty in Greece. The general moderation of economic activity and the increase in default risk for European corporations also weighed on euro area stock prices in general.

Stock market sentiment improved significantly in the third quarter, which stood in sharp contrast to the negative developments in the second quarter. In particular, stock markets in the euro area rallied on the back of a strong recovery in financial equity prices, as market concerns about financial stability receded following statements from policy-makers regarding their commitment to take the necessary steps to resolve the crisis. The ECB reaffirmed the irreversibility of the euro in late July and subsequently announced OMTs and their modalities in early August and September respectively to address risk premia that were related to fears that national currencies might be reintroduced. Further support was provided by political initiatives to strengthen financial stability in the euro area via a banking union (starting with the creation of the SSM) and by the German constitutional court’s ruling on the European Stability Mechanism. Finally, further monetary stimulus in the United States also bolstered equity markets. As a result, the Dow Jones EURO STOXX index rose by 12% between mid-June and the end of September, while the S&P 500 index increased by around 7% over the same period. In addition, both economic areas experienced a significant decline in stock market uncertainty, as measured by implied volatility.

Stock prices in the euro area continued to rise in the last quarter of the year, albeit at a slower pace than in the third quarter. Further concrete decisions on the design of the SSM also contributed to the positive stock market sentiment, while the worsening economic outlook weighed negatively thereon. Overall, stock prices in the euro area, as measured by the Dow Jones EURO STOXX index, gained around 7% in the final quarter. Equity prices in the financial sector continued to outperform those in the non-financial sector, with the former increasing by 10% and the latter by 6%. In the United States, the S&P 500 index remained broadly unchanged over the same period, as signs of improvement in the US housing and labour markets were offset by concerns about the looming fiscal cliff.
HOUSEHOLD BORROWING DECLINED FURTHER

In 2012 the annual growth rate of total loans to households continued to decline, standing at 0.5% in December 2012, down from 1.6% in December 2011. This reflects a similar development for MFI loans to households when adjusted for loan sales and securitisation. This similarity can be explained by the fact that MFIs remain the main provider of loans to households. In addition, non-MFI provision of loans to households largely mirrors the loan sales and securitisation activities of MFIs, which, depending on national accounting practices, result in household loans being shifted from the MFI sector to the OFI sector.

Lending for house purchase remained the main driver of growth in MFI loans to households. The annual growth rate of loans for house purchase, which stood at 2.3% at the end of 2011, continued to decline, standing at 0.7% in September before rebounding to 1.3% in December 2012. The euro area growth rates mask significant cross-country heterogeneity, which in 2012 continued to be caused by differing degrees of indebtedness, resulting in varying deleveraging needs, as well as by differences in banks’ ability and willingness to lend. Furthermore, the growth profile of lending to households for house purchase is likely to have reflected the weakening in economic activity and the deterioration in housing market prospects and consumer confidence. These factors were also identified in the euro area bank lending survey as the main drivers of the decline in net demand for housing loans in the first half of 2012. From a supply-side perspective, in the second half of 2012 the net tightening of credit standards was rather limited, as the ECB’s non-standard policy measures (especially the two three-year LTROs conducted in late 2011 and early 2012) helped to ease constraints on banks’ lending to households by allowing banks to access medium-term funding at low costs. In line with the decrease in market rates, banks’ lending rates for loans for house purchase declined over the course of 2012 for the euro area as a whole.

After some stabilisation in the first quarter of 2012, the annual growth rate of consumer credit resumed its decline and remained negative, standing at -2.8% in December 2012, down from -1.8% in December 2011. The weak developments observed in consumer credit were due largely to demand factors (affecting this type of lending in particular) and were, at the same time, in line with the results of consumer surveys. Subdued growth in households’ real disposable income and the fact that household indebtedness remained at very high levels in a number of euro area countries continued to dampen demand for consumer credit. In line with this, the bank lending survey reported both declining demand and a slight tightening of credit standards for this type of loan. Banks’ lending rates for consumer credit declined in the second half of the year (see Chart 16). This notwithstanding, there was considerable heterogeneity in MFI lending rates across the euro area.
HOUSEHOLD INDEBTEDNESS WAS BROADLY UNCHANGED, BUT REMAINED HIGH

2012 saw a slight increase in the ratio of household debt to nominal gross disposable income, to a level close to that seen in mid-2010. This moderate increase reflected growth in total household debt combined with stagnating disposable income (see Chart 17). The interest payments by households as a percentage of gross disposable income remained broadly unchanged over the course of 2012, mainly owing to a slight decrease in interest rates on lending for house purchase. By contrast, the household debt-to-GDP ratio is estimated to have declined slightly and stood at 66.0% in the fourth quarter of 2012.

DECLINE IN THE COST OF EXTERNAL FINANCING FOR NON-FINANCIAL CORPORATIONS

The overall cost of external financing for non-financial corporations declined by around 80 basis points from the end of 2011 to the end of 2012. The decline was shared across the sources of external financing (see Chart 18). However, although the average funding costs decreased for non-financial corporations in the euro area as a whole, significant regional dispersion persisted.

The pass-through of the cuts in monetary policy rates, implemented in late 2011, together with the improved market sentiment following the three-year LTROs conducted in December 2011 and February 2012 contributed to the decline in the cost of external financing for non-financial corporations in the first part of the year. The decline came to a halt around the middle of the year when market tensions increased. However, with the further cut in monetary policy rates implemented in July 2012 and the announcement of the OMTs, the external cost of financing fell again, at a quicker pace.

Regarding the cost of bank-based financing, short-term nominal MFI lending rates decreased from 3.7% at the end of 2011 to 2.9% at the end of 2012. Over the same period short-term market rates declined to a greater extent, by around 120 basis points for the three-month EURIBOR. The spread between short-term MFI

Chart 17 Household debt and interest payments

(Percentages)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Interest Payments as a Percentage of Gross Disposable Income (Right-Hand Scale)</th>
<th>Ratio of Household Debt to Gross Disposable Income (Left-Hand Scale)</th>
<th>Ratio of Household Debt to GDP (Left-Hand Scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: ECB and Eurostat.
Notes: Household debt comprises total loans to households from all institutional sectors, including the rest of the world. Interest payments do not include the full financing costs paid by households, as they exclude the fees for financial services. Data for the last quarter shown have been partly estimated.

Chart 18 Overall cost of the external financing of non-financial corporations and components

(Percentages per annum)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Overall Cost of Financing</th>
<th>Short-Term MFI Lending Rates</th>
<th>Cost of Quoted Equity (Right-Hand Scale)</th>
<th>Cost of Market-Based Debt</th>
<th>Long-Term MFI Lending Rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: ECB, Thomson Reuters and Merrill Lynch.
Notes: The overall cost of the external financing of non-financial corporations is calculated as a weighted average of the cost of bank lending, the cost of debt securities and the cost of equity, based on their respective amounts outstanding (see the box entitled “A measure of the real cost of the external financing of euro area non-financial corporations”, Monthly Bulletin, ECB, March 2005).
lending rates and the three-month money market rate hence increased throughout 2012. Long-term nominal MFI lending rates declined less than short-term nominal lending rates, by around 60 basis points. The spread between the long-term nominal MFI lending rate and the ten-year overnight index swap rate also widened.

While the cuts in monetary policy rates implemented in late 2011 may largely be reflected in lending rates by the end of 2012, the pass-through of the cut in the monetary policy rate implemented in July 2012 may still be incomplete, therefore explaining part of the increase in bank lending spreads. Moreover, in 2012 MFI lending rates remained widely dispersed across euro area countries. Indeed, several factors may have contributed to higher lending rate spreads, particularly in some euro area countries, some of them suggesting impairments to the monetary transmission mechanism. On the one hand, the deteriorating creditworthiness of corporations in some jurisdictions due to a prolonged period of weak economic activity and strong uncertainty regarding the growth outlook caused banks to charge higher risk compensation and therefore higher lending rates. On the other hand, the increase in bank lending spreads may have reflected the spillover effects of sovereign market tensions on bank funding conditions, as well as some possible impact from banks’ deleveraging strategies in a context of adjustment towards higher regulatory capital requirements.

The cost of market-based debt financing declined by around 180 basis points between the end of 2011 and the end of 2012, reaching a historical low at the end of the year. The decline took place over two periods. At the beginning of the year it was partly driven by the cuts in monetary policy rates in late 2011 as well as the favourable spillovers resulting from the ECB’s non-standard measures, in particular the two three-year LTROs. The decline came to a halt around the middle of the year when market tensions reintensified, but resumed following the policy actions – the cut in monetary policy rates in July 2012 and the announcement of the OMTs – when confidence was restored and market sentiment strongly improved.

The cost of issuing quoted equity also declined over 2012 and stood in December 2012 around 60 basis points lower than 12 months before. More noticeably than the cost of market debt, the cost of equity was affected by the renewal of market tensions before the middle of 2012. However, the increase recorded in the second quarter of 2012 was quickly reversed as confidence improved following the policy actions implemented or announced in the second half of 2012.

**MUTED RE COURSE TO EXTERNAL FINANCING**

The increase in the net external financing of euro area non-financial corporations was muted in 2012 and reached a historically low amount of less than €100 billion cumulated over the year. In terms of components, the decline in new MFI loans to non-financial corporations was partly counterbalanced by an increasing issuance of market-based debt, while the issuance of quoted shares remained subdued.

Looking in more detail, after the small increase recorded in 2011, loans to non-financial corporations declined in 2012, by around €50 billion in total. Turning to market-based financing, the annual growth rate of debt securities issuance increased, from 5% at the end of 2011 to 12% at the end of 2012, but remained below the previous peak of 16% recorded at the beginning of 2010 (see Chart 19). At the same time, growth in the issuance of quoted shares remained subdued.
The slowdown in the demand for external financing is partly related to deteriorating economic conditions and weak investment dynamics. Indeed, according to the euro area bank lending survey, the demand for corporate loans in the euro area contracted in net terms for the whole year, on the back of lower financing needs for investments and inventories. The availability of internal funds may also explain the moderate dynamics of external financing, in particular for large firms, as shown by financial statements from listed non-financial corporations. As regards small firms, the survey on the access to finance of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in the euro area portrayed a bleaker picture.

Turning to the supply of credit, the euro area bank lending survey showed that euro area banks credit standards for loans to enterprises remained tight in 2012, with the net percentage changes in credit standards revealing some additional tightening over the year. As the year progressed, risk perceptions appeared to have a more significant effect on credit supply conditions, while, conversely, following the ECB’s non-standard policy measures (especially the two three-year LTROs), banks’ balance sheet or funding constraints exerted less pressure on the tightening of credit standards.

The movements in external financing flows recorded in 2012 suggest that some firms were able to diversify their sources of financing in response to tighter bank lending standards. Such substitution away from bank financing remained limited to larger companies, which are predominantly domiciled in countries with more developed corporate bond markets. At the same time, those corporations that are most dependent on bank funding, including SMEs, and firms located in stressed countries remained vulnerable to tight credit supply. More specifically, the two waves of the survey on SMEs’ access to finance conducted in 2012 emphasised that financing conditions for SMEs were diverse across euro area countries, with clear financing obstacles for SMEs in countries that are more strongly affected by the crisis.

**STABLE CORPORATE INDEBTEDNESS**

The weak economic activity recorded in 2012 weighed on the euro area non-financial corporate sector’s earnings capacity, with corporate profitability remaining at relatively low levels throughout the year. With a reduced capacity to accumulate earnings, the corporate sector’s efforts to deleverage have been hampered.

Across firms’ size, however, developments in profitability varied markedly in 2012. On the one hand, signs of a moderate improvement in firms’ profitability have appeared for listed (and generally larger) companies. By contrast, the survey on SMEs’ access to finance shows that SMEs’ profits have continued to deteriorate since the end of 2011.
The euro area non-financial corporate sector’s indebtedness remained broadly unchanged in 2012 and, taking a longer-term perspective, has done so since 2008 (see Chart 20). It remained high, especially at this point of the economic cycle and particularly in some sectors, such as construction. Although the ability of firms to service their debt continued to be supported by the low interest rate environment and low corporate bond yields, the income of non-financial corporations is still relatively weak so that, in relation to it, net interest payments remained at an elevated level.

### 2.3 Price and Cost Developments

In 2012 headline HICP inflation in the euro area was 2.5%, on average, after standing at 2.7% in 2011 and 1.6% in 2010. Since the end of 2010 annual inflation has been somewhat elevated, owing mainly to the strong annual rates of growth in energy prices, as well as to pronounced increases in indirect taxes and administered prices in some euro area countries. After declining in the second quarter of 2012, overall HICP inflation edged up during the summer months, before decreasing again from October, reflecting mainly developments in energy prices.

As evidenced by developments in producer prices and survey data, pipeline pressures in the supply chain receded further in the first half of 2012, driven mainly by a moderation in oil and commodity prices. After dipping to a temporary low in July 2012, the producer price index for industry (excluding construction) rose, although it started to decline again in October. The profile was shaped by oil price fluctuations and the spike in international food prices over the summer.

Throughout 2012 domestic cost pressures stemming from labour costs remained subdued. Wage data, including compensation per employee, showed signs of moderation compared with 2011, amid a weakening in economic activity and a rise in slack in the labour market. Annual labour productivity grew at a very low, but positive, rate in 2012. Growth in compensation per employee decelerated compared with the previous year. This moderation partly absorbed the upward impact of lower productivity growth on unit labour cost growth, which hovered at around 1.5% in the first three quarters of the year.

Consumer inflation perceptions and short-term inflation expectations remained more or less stable in 2012. Long-term inflation expectations, as measured by surveys, were very stable, remaining firmly anchored in line with the Governing Council’s aim of keeping inflation below, but close to, 2% over the medium term.
HIJCPI NFLATION STOOD AT ELEVATED LEVELS IN 2012

Changes in indirect taxation were an important determinant of inflation levels at both the national and euro area levels. Increases in the value added tax rate and excise duties introduced during 2011 and 2012 had a greater impact on euro area HICP inflation in 2012 than those introduced in previous years and occurred in a larger number of countries. Box 4 investigates in more detail the impact of indirect taxes on HICP inflation in both the euro area as a whole and individual euro area countries. Another factor that influenced the development of headline HICP inflation in 2012 was, as in previous years, fluctuations in energy and commodity prices (see Table 1 and Chart 21).

The annual rate of change in the energy component, which has a weight of 11.0% in the overall HICP, remained in single digits throughout 2012, recording a 12-month average of 7.6%, compared with 11.9% in 2011. This was mainly the result of base effects. The greatest impact was visible in the price developments of items directly related to oil prices, such as liquid fuels, fuels and lubricants for personal transport equipment, although the prices of electricity, gas and heating fuel were also affected.

Reflecting developments in international food commodity prices, as well as local supply conditions, the annual rate of change in food prices remained at a high level, of around 3%, throughout 2012. However, there was no concrete evidence that the sharp increases in commodity prices over the summer had a more protracted impact. The fact that inflation in the food component hovered around the same level masked divergent developments in the two sub-components. Unprocessed food price inflation followed an upward trend in 2012, with an average annual rate of change of 3.0%. This was markedly higher than that in 2011 (1.8%) and 2010 (1.3%). By contrast, processed food price inflation declined steadily to 3.1% on average in 2012, compared with 3.3% in 2011 and 0.9% in 2010.

Average HICP inflation excluding food and energy was higher in 2012 than in 2011 and 2010, in spite of weaker demand and lower wage growth. This measure of underlying inflation consists of two main components, namely non-energy industrial goods and services. Over the last one and a half years the annual rates of change in these sub-components were driven upwards by hikes in the value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Price developments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(annual percentage changes, unless otherwise indicated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HICP and its components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unprocessed food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processed food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-energy industrial goods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other price and cost indicators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial producer prices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil prices (EUR per barrel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-energy commodity prices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Sources: Eurostat, ECB and ECB calculations based on Thomson Reuters data.
added tax rate in several euro area countries. The annual rate of change in non-energy industrial goods prices continued its rising trend that had started in the second quarter of 2010, compounding upward base effects and a series of month-on-month increases. The latter reflected some pass-through of previous exchange rate depreciation, commodity price increases and tax hikes. In the first half of 2012 the annual rate of inflation in services prices fell gradually from the level of around 2% observed in late 2011. It then remained relatively stable at a level of around 1.7% for the rest of the year, with upward bouts as a result of hikes in indirect taxes in a number of countries, against the background of a general slowdown in demand and, to a lesser extent, labour costs.

**Chart 2 I Breakdown of HICP inflation: main components**

(annual percentage changes; monthly data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total HICP (left-hand scale)</th>
<th>Unprocessed food (left-hand scale)</th>
<th>Energy (right-hand scale)</th>
<th>Total HICP excluding energy and unprocessed food (left-hand scale)</th>
<th>Processed food (right-hand scale)</th>
<th>Non-energy industrial goods (left-hand scale)</th>
<th>Services (left-hand scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Eurostat.

**Box 4**

**THE IMPACT OF INDIRECT TAXES ON HICP INFLATION IN 2012**

Changes in indirect taxation have become an increasingly prominent feature of inflation dynamics, at both the national and the euro area level. Increases in value added tax (VAT) rates and excise duties introduced during 2011 and 2012 had a greater impact on euro area HICP inflation in 2012 than those introduced in previous years and occurred in a larger number of countries. The positive net contribution from indirect taxes to inflation over the past decade is related to a general trend towards imposing higher tax rates on consumption and reducing taxes on labour income.\(^1\) The main reason for this shift is the fact that indirect taxes are generally considered to be easier to collect, more difficult to evade and more supportive of economic growth. The number of increases in indirect tax rates has risen in recent years as a result of

---

fiscal consolidation efforts in many euro area countries that have attempted to boost revenues without adversely affecting their competitiveness. This box reviews the impact of indirect taxes on inflation and examines how inflation has developed in both the euro area as a whole and individual euro area countries when abstracting from these temporary effects.

**Impact of changes in indirect taxation on inflation in the euro area and individual euro area countries**

The “mechanical” impact of changes in indirect tax rates on the euro area HICP can be derived from the HICP at constant tax rates (HICP-CT), which is published by Eurostat. Such an impact is mechanical in the sense that it assumes that tax changes are passed on to consumer prices fully and immediately. It can therefore be viewed as the likely upper bound for the actual direct impact, which is typically lower and spread over time, depending on a number of factors related to the cyclical situation and competitive environment of the price-setting firms. The fact that consumer demand remains very weak in many of the countries that have introduced increases in indirect taxes suggests that the pass-through may have been less than full.

On the basis of the HICP-CT, the mechanical upward impact of increases in indirect taxes at the euro area level was, on average, 0.4 percentage point in 2012, after 0.3 percentage point in 2011 and 0.1 percentage point in 2010 (see Chart A). This compares with an average impact of 0.2 percentage point since 2004. Despite the temporary nature of individual tax changes, which – assuming an immediate pass-through – drop out of the annual inflation rate after 12 months, the impact at the euro area level has, to some extent, been smoothed by the large number of measures taken by different countries at different times.

In 2012 ten euro area countries saw a change in indirect tax rates that had an upward impact of ¼ percentage point or more on their HICP inflation rate (see Chart B). This was more countries than in any year since the HICP-CT was first compiled in 2004. Nevertheless, the size of the impact in 2012 varied considerably across countries. The largest impact by far was recorded in Portugal (1.9 percentage points), followed by Greece and Finland (0.9 percentage point). In the case of Greece,

---

2 The HICP at constant tax rates is not published for Ireland. This country is therefore not included in the data presented in this box.

3 Econometric evidence of the pass-through of tax changes is mixed. For example, a study by the Deutsche Bundesbank on the VAT increase in Germany in 2007 concluded that, although it was some months before the tax change had any impact on consumer prices, overall the pass-through was complete. Another study, by the Banco de España, concluded that the pass-through of the VAT increase in Spain in mid-2010 was only around 40% to 60% of the full impact. For further information, see Monthly Report, Deutsche Bundesbank, April 2008; and Economic Bulletin, Banco de España, October 2010.
the impact in 2012 was considerably lower than in 2011 and 2010, when it stood at 2.0 percentage points and 3.3 percentage points respectively. In the case of those countries that introduced a tax change later in the year, the average annual impact masked much larger impacts: for example, the VAT increase in Spain in September 2012 had a mechanical impact of 2.1 percentage points in the last four months of the year, but only 0.7 percentage point over the year as a whole. This tax change will continue to have an upward effect on inflation in the first eight months of 2013, resulting in a mechanical impact of 1.4 percentage points over the year as a whole.

Sources: Eurostat and ECB calculations.
Producer prices continued to decline in 2012

Having increased in late 2010 and the first half of 2011, the annual rate of change in producer prices decreased in 2012, owing mainly to declining commodity prices. In the first half of the year pipeline pressures in the supply chain receded further. On the back of a hike in energy prices associated with rising oil prices, producer prices increased temporarily in the summer, before falling again in the fourth quarter. The lagged effects of the spike in international food commodity prices, which occurred during the summer months and was largely reversed in the autumn, continued to feed through the food production chain.

On average, producer prices for industrial goods (excluding construction) in the euro area rose by 2.6% in 2012, compared with 5.9% in 2011 and 2.9% in 2010. The annual rate of growth in industrial producer prices excluding construction and energy was also lower in 2012 than in previous years (1.3% compared with 3.8% in 2011 and 1.6% in 2010). The easing of price pressures was more visible at the earlier stages of the pricing chain (producer prices for intermediate goods) than at the later stages (producer prices for consumer goods excluding food; see Chart 22).
DomeStiC priCe preSSureS remained Subdued in 2012

In 2012 wage data, including compensation per employee, showed some signs of moderation compared with 2011, amid a weakening in economic activity and a rise in slack in the labour market. This development should be seen against the background of the high level of wage pressures observed in the first half of 2011, at a time of improving labour market conditions following the latest cyclical upswing.

The annual rate of growth in compensation per employee was 1.8% in the third quarter of 2012, which was markedly lower than the average of 2.2% in 2011 (see Table 2). Other wage indicators, such as hourly labour cost growth, decreased slightly in 2012, in contrast to what was witnessed in 2011, when growth in hourly wages increased significantly. Overall, non-wage costs continued to grow at a faster rate than the wages and salaries component of euro area hourly labour costs.

Euro area negotiated wages grew slightly faster in 2012 than in 2011, reaching an annual average of 2.1% in 2012, compared with 2.0% in 2011. The fact that actual wages, measured by compensation per employee, rose more moderately than negotiated wages suggests that some adjustment of wage costs at the euro area level is taking place via a negative wage drift.

Owing to a slowdown in GDP growth since the second quarter of 2011, annual labour productivity growth per employee slowed to around 0.3%, on average, in the first three quarters of 2012, compared with 1.2% in 2011. As labour productivity growth per employee in the euro area was significantly lower than the increase in compensation per employee, unit labour costs grew at a significantly higher rate than in previous years. In the third quarter of 2012 unit labour costs increased by 1.7% year on year, compared with 1.0% in 2011.

Table 2 Labour cost indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(annual percentage changes, unless otherwise indicated)</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011 Q4</th>
<th>2012 Q1</th>
<th>2012 Q2</th>
<th>2012 Q3</th>
<th>2012 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negotiated wages</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hourly labour cost index</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation per employee</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memo items:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour productivity</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit labour costs</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Eurostat, national data and ECB calculations.
Reflecting this increase in unit labour costs and the adverse economic conditions, unit profit growth declined in the first three quarters of 2012 and even turned negative in the third quarter. Following the rebound from mid-2009 onwards, the level of profits remained broadly unchanged in 2011 and 2012. At the same time, the increase in unit taxes strengthened slightly in 2012 compared with 2011. Overall annual growth in the GDP deflator has remained broadly stable at around 1.2% over the past two years.

**RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY PRICES DECLINED**

Euro area residential property prices, which are not included in the HICP, fell by 2.6% year on year in the third quarter of 2012 (see Chart 23). Against the background of tensions in several euro area financial markets, the annual growth rate of this indicator decreased gradually from the end of 2010, when it stood at around 2%, and entered negative territory in the last quarter of 2011. A significant dispersion of annual house price growth among euro area countries could also be observed in 2012. While annual house price growth was negative and continued to decline in many euro area countries, it remained positive in Belgium, Germany, Austria, Luxembourg, Estonia and Finland.

**DEVELOPMENTS IN INFLATION EXPECTATIONS**

Data from Consensus Economics, the Euro Zone Barometer and the ECB Survey of Professional Forecasters show that survey-based long-term inflation expectations (five years ahead) were close to 2.0% in 2012. Market-based indicators, such as break-even inflation rates derived from inflation-linked bonds and comparable rates extracted from inflation-linked swaps, were also fully consistent with the Governing Council’s definition of price stability (see also Box 3).

**2.4 OUTPUT, DEMAND AND LABOUR MARKET DEVELOPMENTS**

**GROWTH TURNED NEGATIVE IN 2012**

Following the sharp contraction in output in 2009, euro area real GDP recorded positive growth for two consecutive years. In 2012, however, growth lost momentum and GDP is estimated to have declined by 0.5% (see Table 3). As in the downturn in 2009, both domestic demand and changes in inventories made negative contributions to GDP growth in 2012. The pattern of GDP growth was largely influenced by weak investment and private consumption, which, in turn, were adversely affected by low business and consumer confidence, high oil prices, tight bank credit supply conditions and tensions in sovereign debt markets mainly caused by worries about the sustainability of public finances in a number of euro area countries. In contrast to 2009, net trade made a positive contribution to growth in 2012 despite weak export growth, owing to a decline in imports. These developments are consistent with the view that the weakness in euro area economic activity in 2012 primarily originated in domestic markets rather than being a global phenomenon, which was the case in 2009.
In terms of quarter-on-quarter developments, following a decline of 0.3% in the last quarter of 2011, which reflected an intensification of the financial crisis in combination with a temporary weakening in foreign demand, euro area real GDP was stable in the first quarter of 2012. In the second and third quarters the level of output declined by 0.2% and 0.1% respectively, as domestic demand and inventories continued to slow in an environment characterised by low confidence and high uncertainty. Eurostat’s first estimate for the fourth quarter puts the quarter-on-quarter change in real GDP at -0.6%. No breakdown is available as yet for the fourth quarter, but the latest information points towards a continued negative contribution from domestic demand alongside a fall in exports.

**DOMESTIC DEMAND CONTRACTED FOR THE FIRST TIME SINCE 2009**

In 2012 private consumption was lower than in 2011, when it increased by just 0.1%. The decline in 2012 appears to reflect lower growth in consumer spending on retail goods as well as fewer car purchases. Consumption of services appeared to increase, albeit marginally. Developments in consumption were in line with the reduction in real income caused by elevated inflation and falling employment. At the same time, in order to mitigate the effect of lower disposable income on current consumption standards, households drew on their savings. As a result, in 2012 their saving ratio fell to the lowest level recorded for many years. The indicator of consumer confidence, which provides a reasonably good indication of trends in consumption, improved slightly, albeit remaining negative, during the first half of 2012, following the period of decline that started in mid-2011. In the latter half of 2012, however, confidence declined sharply to stand at a level well below its long-term average (see Chart 24).
Growth in total fixed investment, which had turned positive in 2011 after contracting for three consecutive years, turned negative again in 2012. This contraction was broadly based across both construction and non-construction investment. The negative developments in the course of 2012 were chiefly attributable to a high degree of uncertainty and a deterioration in sentiment, as well as to tight bank credit supply conditions in some countries and in the euro area as a whole. Ongoing balance sheet restructuring and adverse financial conditions also dampened the growth momentum throughout the year. Further considerable adjustments in the housing markets in some countries exerted downward pressure on residential investment.

Annual growth in government consumption was, in all likelihood, close to zero or marginally negative in 2012, broadly continuing the slight decline seen in 2011. This was the result of further fiscal consolidation efforts in a number of euro area countries. Such efforts focused in particular on curbing the compensation of government employees, which accounts for about half of total government consumption in the euro area. Intermediate consumption expenditure was also cut, while social transfers in kind continued to rise broadly in line with the trend in previous years.

In a context of falling output, a deterioration in the economic outlook and significant tightening of financing conditions in some countries, growth was also dampened by destocking. As a result, inventories made a negative contribution to growth in 2012, at around -0.6 percentage point of GDP (based on data for the first three quarters of 2012), after making positive contributions of 0.2 percentage point and 0.6 percentage point in 2011 and 2010 respectively.

**NET TRADE HELD UP, LARGELY Owing TO WEAK IMPORTS**

External trade was the only component to make a positive net contribution to euro area real GDP growth in 2012. While exports registered modest growth, imports contracted for the first time since 2009. Accordingly, the contribution of net trade to euro area real GDP growth in
2012 was one of the highest on record. The decline in imports was mainly driven by the weakness in economic activity in the euro area, which led to reduced demand for imported consumption, investment and intermediate goods. At the same time, export growth was dampened by the decline in foreign demand, amid the global economic slowdown, and therefore remained well below the rates seen in 2010 and 2011. Nevertheless, improvements in price competitiveness in the wake of the ongoing rebalancing process supported export growth in some euro area countries (see Box 5). Looking at the geographical breakdown of euro area exports of goods, exports to the United States remained fairly robust, despite decelerating somewhat (see Chart 25). By contrast, intra-euro area trade slowed markedly, as did exports to non-euro area EU Member States and Asia.

Box 5

THE REBALANCING PROCESS WITHIN THE EURO AREA

Before the recent crisis, some euro area countries experienced wage growth and inflation rates persistently above the euro area average. These developments, together with favourable financing conditions, contributed to a loss of competitiveness and the build-up of external and internal macroeconomic imbalances. This box reviews the process of rebalancing among euro area countries which started with the onset of the crisis.

The build-up of macroeconomic imbalances prior to 2008

Low real financing costs and overly optimistic assumptions on the part of households, firms and the public sector about future economic developments were some of the key factors contributing to the imbalances. In particular, they led to a significant cumulative deterioration in competitiveness, with wage growth persistently above productivity growth and inflation rates above the euro area average. A number of countries saw unsustainable credit-financed domestic demand growth and housing bubbles. Owing to deteriorating competitiveness and strong import growth on the back of robust domestic demand, current account deficits increased significantly in some euro area countries. Given that the strong demand largely reflected developments in private and public consumption and investment in the non-tradable sector (in particular in the construction sector, leading to a housing bubble in some countries), there was no corresponding increase in the capacity to service the growing external debt burden. On the fiscal side, strong revenue growth, buoyed by the unsustainable boom in domestic demand, gave rise to structural increases in public expenditure, even in those countries where public budget deficits and debt were already relatively high. The subsequent crisis-related weakness in domestic demand exposed the resulting significant fiscal vulnerabilities, with public deficits and debt levels increasing strongly.

1 This box examines the rebalancing process in the 12 EU Member States that had joined the euro area by 2001, i.e. Belgium, Germany, Ireland, Greece, Spain, France, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Austria, Portugal and Finland. Those countries that joined the euro area after 2001 are excluded, given that issues of real and nominal convergence play a more important role in explaining inflation differentials in those countries.

2 In the early years of EMU, substantially increasing relative costs and prices could be partly attributed to the normal process of real and nominal convergence. However, empirical work has been unable to find unambiguous evidence of catching-up effects (in the form of Balassa-Samuelson effects) at play after the start of Stage Three of EMU. Earlier studies of Balassa-Samuelson effects in the euro area (e.g. Hofmann, B. and Remsperger, H., “Inflation Differentials among the Euro Area Countries: Potential Causes and Consequences”, conference paper presented at the 2005 annual meeting of the Allied Social Science Associations, and Katsimi, M., “Inflation divergence in the euro area: the Balassa-Samuelson effect”, Applied Economics Letters, Vol. 11, Issue 5, pp. 329-332) did not find any significant contribution of cross-country price convergence to national inflation rates in Stage Three of EMU. Some Balassa-Samuelson effects in a group of euro area countries between 1992 and 2001 were set out in Wagner, M., “The Balassa-Samuelson Effect in ‘East and West’: Differences and Similarities”, Economics Series, No 180, Institute for Advanced Studies, Vienna.
The process of rebalancing since the start of the crisis

The rebalancing process, which began in 2008, involves adjustment in relative competitiveness positions and internal and external macroeconomic imbalances. Average unit labour cost growth has declined in most euro area countries with adjustment needs since 2008, helping to restore competitiveness (see Chart A). Labour shedding on a large scale led to an improvement in cost competitiveness through higher measured productivity. At the same time, although wage adjustments were initially rather limited, they have recently been stronger, driven in some cases by reductions in public sector pay in the context of fiscal consolidation efforts. The implementation of structural reforms to address the deep-rooted structural rigidities in some countries has started to gain momentum, including in countries that are subject to an EU-IMF adjustment programme. In particular, reforms to increase labour market flexibility may have started to contribute to gains in relative competitiveness.

For price competitiveness to improve, reductions in relative labour costs need to translate into corresponding adjustments in relative prices. However, relative prices did not adjust in line with relative labour cost improvements (see Chart B). This can partly be attributed to countries’ fiscal consolidation efforts, which involved increasing indirect taxes and administered prices, thereby exerting upward pressure on prices. In addition, the limited price adjustment could also reflect a simultaneous increase in profit margins, which may have been caused by a number of factors. It may reflect the normal cyclical recovery of profit margins, following the initial compression experienced in previous years on the back of still resilient wage dynamics. Moreover, the stronger aggregate profit margins could be partly driven by compositional effects, as unprofitable firms close down, for example. However, increasing profit margins could also reflect a lack of competition in certain sectors of the economy, allowing firms to apply a high markup as they are not forced through competition to pass on the improvements in labour costs to final prices. Against this background, given that reforms in product markets have so far been
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**Chart A Average annual change in unit labour costs relative to the euro area average in the periods 1999-2007 and 1999-2012**

(percentage points)

Sources: European Commission and ECB calculations.
Notes: The data refer to the 12 EU Member States that had joined the euro area by 2001. Countries are shown in descending order for the period 1999-2007. The data for Greece only cover the periods 2001-07 and 2001-12.
generally limited, efforts to strengthen competition are essential to ensure that prices can adjust in line with labour costs.

The compression of domestic demand since 2008 and, in turn, the substantially lower growth of non-energy imports have been the main drivers of the improvement in current account balances observed in the euro area countries which recorded current account deficits prior to the crisis.

**Chart B Average annual HICP inflation differentials relative to the euro area average in the periods 1999-2007 and 1999-2012**

(percentage points)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LU</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Eurostat and ECB calculations.
Notes: The data refer to the 12 EU Member States that had joined the euro area by 2001. Countries are shown in descending order for the period 1999-2007. The data for Greece only cover the periods 2001-07 and 2001-12.

**Chart C Current account balances**

(as a percentage of GDP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LU</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: European Commission and ECB calculations.
Notes: The data refer to the 12 EU Member States that had joined the euro area by 2001. Countries are shown in ascending order according to the current account balance in 2008.
(see Chart C). Part of the current improvement in external balances may be reversed as economic activity in these countries recovers. However, demand is not expected to return to the excessive levels reached prior to the crisis, and the production potential of the affected economies has declined as a result of the crisis. In addition, in some countries the current account balance should increasingly reflect the gains in relative cost and price competitiveness, as well as, in certain cases, the increase in the number of exporting firms. Increasing import substitution (i.e. replacing imports with domestic production) and gains in export market shares should support the external adjustment. ³ Notwithstanding this improvement in current account balances, a significant challenge in terms of stocks remains, as the persistent deficits experienced over the past decade have led to an accumulation of net liabilities vis-à-vis other countries. Net international investment positions have continued to deteriorate in recent years in most countries which experienced current account deficits prior to the crisis, despite the notable reduction in current account deficits. Countries will need to run current account surpluses for some time in order to achieve a reduction in external indebtedness and regain investor confidence.

**Further efforts to continue the process of rebalancing remain necessary**

Despite the adjustment observed so far, substantial further rebalancing appears necessary in order to restore competitiveness positions and unwind remaining imbalances, as indicated by the remaining differentials in costs and persisting current account deficits (see Charts A and C).

To continue this process, countries will need to take further ambitious measures to enhance both price and non-price competitiveness. The set of measures that need to be taken and the urgency of those measures vary across countries. A significant genuine reduction in unit labour costs and profit margins (where a lack of competition is apparent) is particularly urgent in countries where high unemployment rates risk becoming structural and where competition is weak.

A number of policy areas are particularly important in supporting the continuing rebalancing process. They relate to reforms increasing the flexibility of labour markets and competition in product markets to facilitate the necessary adjustment of price competitiveness. With respect to the labour market, flexibility in the wage determination process must be strengthened in several euro area countries, for example by relaxing employment protection legislation, abolishing wage indexation schemes, lowering minimum wages and permitting wage bargaining at the firm level. In addition, a permanent increase in productivity facilitates competitiveness adjustment as it both lowers unit labour costs and increases potential output. However, raising productivity (by way of process and product innovation, labour force skills or business environment factors) typically requires structural reforms which can take considerable time to have visible effects (such as the liberalisation of closed professions and labour immigration, the reorientation of spending towards education and R&D, and reforms of key framework conditions, such as the amendment of judicial and regulatory frameworks to enhance their business-friendliness). Moreover, high profit margins are particularly prevalent in domestically-oriented sectors (predominantly the services sectors). Therefore, structural reform measures can address this situation by removing obstacles to (international) competition, particularly in sheltered professions, for example by lowering entry barriers for new firms and, generally, by abolishing excessive bureaucracy. This, in turn, will help to reduce the prevailing downward rigidities in prices and enable countries to reap the benefits of the adjustment.

³ However, in the medium term import substitution could have a potentially negative effect on productivity and hence on export growth owing to the limited use of better, more diverse and cheaper inputs.
The economic slowdown in 2012 was broadly based from a sectoral perspective. Value added in industry (excluding construction) declined on an annual basis, falling by 1.4% on average during the first three quarters of the year. This compares with an increase of 3.4% in 2011. The growth dynamics weakened throughout the year, in line with the slowdown in GDP growth. This trend was confirmed by developments in industrial production (excluding construction), which in December 2012 stood 2.3% below its level one year earlier. Among the components of industrial production (excluding construction), intermediate goods recorded the most pronounced decline in 2012 (see Chart 26).

Construction production contracted further in 2012, continuing a prolonged period of weakness. After a decline of 0.8% in 2011, value added in construction shrank on average by 3.5% year on year in the first three quarters of 2012 (based on non-seasonally adjusted data). Value added in services recorded modest average annual growth of 0.2% during the same period, which is weaker than in 2011, when it rose by 1.3%.

Labour markets continued to deteriorate
Headcount employment, which had started to decline in the second half of 2011, fell further in the course of 2012 (see Chart 27). As a result, in the third quarter of 2012 euro area employment stood about 0.7% below its level one year earlier. Survey data point to further job losses in the fourth quarter of 2012. Overall in 2012 employment is likely to have fallen by around 0.7%, which compares with a rise of 0.3% in 2011. From a sectoral perspective, this decline in the number of jobs was broadly based across the main sectors. Total hours worked recorded

With regard to fiscal policies, issues related to public sector imbalances and fiscal sustainability need to be addressed. Restoring the sustainability of public finances by putting the debt ratio on a downward path is a priority. To this end, precise and credible medium-term consolidation plans are crucial to regain financial market confidence and lower debt service costs.

Rebalancing price and cost competitiveness across euro area countries implies that wage and price growth in countries that have previously seen excesses in this respect needs to be significantly lower than the euro area average during a transition phase. At the same time, several of the euro area economies that increased their competitiveness prior to the crisis are likely to grow faster and temporarily experience wage and price increases above the euro area average. However, excessive wage increments and a strong surge in prices need to be avoided in the more competitive countries – also during this transition phase – as they would harm real growth and lead to higher unemployment.
a more pronounced decline than headcount employment in 2012. In the light of the renewed weakening of growth, many firms chose to reduce their labour input by cutting hours worked rather than the number of employees, in order to avoid the adjustment costs associated with dismissing workers.

As labour market developments tend to lag behind overall cyclical developments, employment growth declined less than output growth. This implies that annual productivity growth per person declined from 0.7% in the last quarter of 2011 to 0.1% in the third quarter of 2012. The average annual growth rate over the first three quarters of the year was 0.3%, which compares with an increase of 1.2% in the previous year. The decline in productivity growth was broadly based across sectors, although the sharpest decline was observed in the industrial sector (excluding construction). As a result of the sharper decline in hours worked than in jobs, annual growth in overall productivity measured by hours worked stood slightly above 1% on average during the first three quarters of 2012, which was only marginally lower than in 2011.

After bottoming out in the first half of 2011, the unemployment rate continued to increase in 2012, reaching levels not seen since the start of the euro area series in 1995 (see Chart 27). In December 2012 the unemployment rate stood at 11.8%, an increase of almost 2 percentage points from its trough in April 2011. The annual change in the unemployment rate has, however, started to ease since mid-2012. In 2012 as a whole the unemployment rate stood on average at 11.4%, which compares with an average rate of 10.2% in 2011.

### 2.5 Fiscal Developments

The general government deficit for the euro area is expected to have declined significantly for a second consecutive year in 2012, reflecting sizeable consolidation efforts in most countries. The crisis experienced in recent years confirms that ensuring sound public finances or designing credible consolidation strategies to restore debt sustainability when it is endangered is a prerequisite for overall macroeconomic and financial stability, as well as for the smooth functioning of Monetary Union. The euro area countries, including the most affected, have responded forcefully to the ongoing sovereign debt crisis and negative spillover effects, implementing fiscal consolidation and structural reform measures and strengthening their domestic budgetary frameworks. The EU fiscal governance framework has been further strengthened by the adoption of the fiscal compact (see Section 1 of Chapter 4).
Despite economic headwinds, the aggregate general government deficit for the euro area fell to 3.5% of GDP in 2012, from 4.2% of GDP in 2011, according to the European Commission’s winter 2013 economic forecast (see Table 4). The reduction in the budget deficit was driven by higher government revenues as a percentage of GDP, reflecting higher taxes on income and wealth and, to a lesser extent, increased indirect taxes, while the expenditure ratio increased somewhat. Total government revenue increased from 45.4% of GDP in 2011 to 46.3% of GDP in 2012, while the total government expenditure-to-GDP ratio increased from 49.5% in 2011 to 49.8% in 2012.

According to the European Commission’s winter 2013 forecast, the euro area average gross general government debt-to-GDP ratio increased further in 2012, to 93.1% from 88.1% in 2011, as a result of a large “snowball” effect of 2.5% of GDP (which captures the impact of interest expenditure, real GDP growth and inflation on the debt ratio) and a stock-flow adjustment of 2.2% of GDP, while the primary budget balance of -0.4% of GDP contributed to the debt deterioration only marginally. The debt ratio was above the reference value of 60% of GDP at the end of 2012 in 12 out of 17 euro area countries.

As can be seen in Table 4, the European Commission’s winter 2013 forecast showed, for most countries, higher 2012 deficit and debt figures than the estimates presented in the April 2012 stability programmes, the revised excessive deficit targets (Spain and Portugal) or the review of the second adjustment programme target (Greece). For some countries, the deficits were significantly higher than expected. This was the case in particular for Spain, Italy, Cyprus and Slovenia. The targets are projected to have been missed by a smaller margin in Belgium, France, Malta, Slovakia and Finland. Regarding the countries covered by an EU-IMF financial assistance programme, the fiscal deficits in Ireland and Greece improved noticeably compared with 2011, while in Portugal...

| Table 4 Fiscal positions in the euro area and euro area countries (as a percentage of GDP) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                               | General government surplus (+)/deficit (-) | General government gross debt |
|                                               | European Commission forecast | Stability programme | European Commission forecast | Stability programme |
| Belgium                                      | -3.8  | -3.7  | -3.0  | -2.8  | 95.5  | 97.8  | 99.8  | 99.4  |
| Germany                                      | -4.1  | -0.8  | 0.1   | -1.0  | 82.5  | 80.5  | 81.6  | 82.0  |
| Estonia                                      | 0.2   | 1.1   | -0.5  | -2.6  | 6.7   | 6.1   | 10.5  | 8.8   |
| Ireland                                      | -30.9 | -13.4 | -7.7  | -8.3  | 92.2  | 106.4 | 117.2 | 117.5 |
| Greece (1)                                   | -10.7 | -9.4  | -6.6  | -6.6  | 148.3 | 170.6 | 161.6 | -     |
| Spain (1)                                    | -9.7  | -9.4  | -10.2 | -6.3  | 61.5  | 69.3  | 88.4  | -     |
| France                                       | -7.1  | -5.2  | -4.6  | -4.4  | 82.3  | 86.0  | 90.3  | 89.0  |
| Italy                                        | -4.5  | -3.9  | -2.9  | -1.7  | 119.2 | 120.7 | 127.1 | 123.4 |
| Cyprus                                       | -5.3  | -6.3  | -5.5  | -2.6  | 61.3  | 71.1  | 86.5  | -     |
| Luxembourg                                   | -0.8  | -0.3  | -1.5  | -1.5  | 19.2  | 18.3  | 20.5  | 20.9  |
| Malta                                        | -3.6  | -2.7  | -2.6  | -2.2  | 67.4  | 70.4  | 73.1  | 70.3  |
| Netherlands                                  | -5.1  | -4.5  | -4.1  | -4.2  | 63.1  | 65.5  | 70.8  | 70.2  |
| Austria                                      | -4.5  | -2.5  | -3.0  | -3.0  | 72.0  | 72.4  | 74.3  | 74.7  |
| Portugal (1)                                 | -9.8  | -4.4  | -5.0  | -5.0  | 93.5  | 108.0 | 120.6 | -     |
| Slovenia                                     | -5.7  | -6.4  | -4.4  | -3.5  | 38.6  | 46.9  | 53.7  | 51.9  |
| Slovakia                                     | -7.7  | -4.9  | -4.8  | -4.6  | 41.0  | 43.3  | 52.4  | 50.2  |
| Finland                                      | -2.5  | -0.8  | -1.7  | -1.1  | 48.6  | 49.0  | 53.4  | 50.7  |
| Euro area                                    | -6.2  | -4.2  | -3.5  | -3.2  | 85.6  | 88.1  | 93.1  | -     |

Sources: European Commission’s winter 2013 economic forecast, April 2012 update of the stability programmes and ECB calculations.
Notes: Data are based on ESA 95 definitions.
1) For Greece, Spain and Portugal, figures refer not to the stability programme targets but to the review of the second adjustment programme (for Greece) and revised excessive deficit procedure targets (for Spain and Portugal).
the deficit deteriorated slightly, partly reflecting the unwinding of a temporary transaction. The following brief review of recent budgetary developments is limited to the countries covered by an EU-IMF financial assistance programme.

DEVELOPMENTS IN THE EU-IMF PROGRAMME COUNTRIES

GREECE

In Greece, a remarkable fiscal consolidation has been achieved since the inception of the first economic adjustment programme in May 2010. However, more still needs to be done to put public debt firmly on a sustainable path.

In view of deteriorating government debt sustainability prospects, it became necessary to modify the original programme design, and the authorities committed in March 2012 to a second adjustment programme. This involved a contribution from the private sector through a voluntary bond exchange, which led to substantial relief in the Greek debt burden. Later in the year, however, policy implementation in many areas was subject to substantial delays and macroeconomic conditions worsened considerably, reflecting the heightened political uncertainty in the context of two elections. Significant doubts emerged as to the capacity and willingness of the Greek government to implement the fiscal and structural reforms needed to restore debt sustainability and competitiveness. Following the second election, held in June 2012, the new Greek government committed itself to bringing the programme back on track.

The fiscal consolidation path under the second programme was reviewed in December 2012 to take worse than expected macroeconomic conditions into account. As a result, the 2012 primary balance target was revised from -1.0% of GDP to -1.5% of GDP and the target of achieving a primary surplus of 4.5% of GDP was put back from 2014 to 2016. The deadline for correcting the excessive deficit was also extended from 2014 to 2016.

As regards the debt-to-GDP ratio, the achievement of 120% by 2020, targeted at the time of the approval of the second programme, was no longer within reach. In order to bring the debt down and ease programme financing, the Eurogroup agreed on 26 and 27 November 2012 on a series of debt-reducing measures, including the possibility of debt buybacks. Taking into consideration the impact of debt-reducing measures, including the successful completion of the debt buyback in December 2012, and assuming a rigorous implementation of the programme, the debt ratio is expected to reach 124% of GDP in 2020 and fall substantially below 110% of GDP by 2022.

IRELAND

In Ireland, where all previous deficit targets have been met, the EU-IMF adjustment programme continued to be implemented successfully in 2012. The 2012 general government deficit is expected to be below both the stability programme and the adjustment programme target.

The updated Medium-Term Fiscal Statement for 2013-15 was published in November 2012. In this statement the government pledged to continue fiscal consolidation beyond programme completion so as to meet the 2015 deadline for correcting the excessive deficit. The statement foresees an unchanged composition of adjustment, with one-third of the remaining correction taking place on
the revenue side, and the remainder on the expenditure side. The revenue measures include the introduction of a value-based real estate tax and base broadening for personal income taxes and social security contributions. Important expenditure savings will be made in social welfare and the public pay and pensions bill.

Looking ahead, the Irish government remains committed to the reform path agreed under the adjustment programme and is ready to implement additional measures if needed. Revisions to the composition of the structural adjustment effort should be minimised in order to limit uncertainty. Continued compliance with the fiscal targets would send a strong signal to markets and would reinforce the chances of Ireland regaining full access to market funding in 2013, following the successful initial market access in summer 2012.

PORTUGAL
In Portugal, the adjustment programme remained broadly on track in 2012. While spending (except for unemployment benefits) performed better than budgeted, revenues lagged behind the initial budget plans, mainly on account of weaker than expected macroeconomic conditions.

The fiscal consolidation path under the programme was adjusted to accommodate the internal rebalancing of the economy, while safeguarding the reduction of the debt-to-GDP ratio over the medium term. For 2012 the deficit target was revised upwards from 4.5% of GDP to 5%.

Significant additional consolidation efforts are required to further improve the fiscal situation. For 2013 consolidation measures amounting to 3% of GDP have been incorporated in the budget. The bulk of the consolidation comes from the revenue side, with a significant increase in personal income tax rates. On the expenditure side, broadly based new consolidation measures are almost fully offset by the reinstatement of annual additional pension/salary payments for pensioners and public employees as a consequence of a Constitutional Court decision.

Looking ahead, continued political commitment to programme implementation and the achievement of agreed fiscal targets will be crucial to maintain the reform momentum and regain access to financial markets in 2013 as planned.

CYCLICALLY ADJUSTED INDICATORS
As shown in Table 5, all three fiscal indicators which correct for the cyclical conditions of the economy (i.e. the cyclically adjusted balance, the cyclically adjusted primary balance and the structural balance) confirm a remarkable consolidation effort for the euro area aggregate in 2012. The cyclically adjusted balance and the cyclically adjusted primary balance, which excludes the effects of interest payments, improved by respectively 1.2 and 1.3 percentage points of GDP. The euro area structural balance (which excludes one-off and temporary measures) improved by 1.4 percentage points of GDP. These numbers should be interpreted with caution because real-time estimates of the cyclical impact on budget balances are characterised by a degree of uncertainty, particularly in economies undergoing significant rebalancing.

EXCESSIVE DEFICIT PROCEDURES
All euro area countries except Germany, Estonia, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta and Finland are expected to have recorded a deficit above or equal to the 3% of GDP reference value in 2012, according to the European Commission’s winter 2013 economic forecast. By the end of 2012 12 euro area countries were subject to an excessive deficit procedure, with deadlines for reducing deficit ratios to below the reference value ranging from 2012 for Belgium, Italy and Cyprus to 2016
for Greece (see Table 6). The EU Council abrogated the excessive deficit procedure for Germany in June 2012 and for Malta in December 2012, as final data showed that the deficits had not exceeded the 3% of GDP reference value in 2011 and the Commission’s forecast projected deficits below 3% of GDP for both countries in 2012.

FURTHER FISCAL CONSOLIDATION EXPECTED IN 2013

In 2013 further improvement in the euro area fiscal situation is expected. According to the European Commission’s winter 2013 economic forecast, the general government deficit ratio for the euro area will decline by 0.7 percentage point to 2.8% of GDP (see Chart 28). The euro area revenue
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<thead>
<tr>
<th>Belgium</th>
<th>-3.0</th>
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<td>France</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>-5.5</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>-4.4</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: European Commission’s winter 2013 economic forecast.
ratio is projected to increase by 0.5 percentage point of GDP, whereas the expenditure ratio is projected to fall by 0.3 percentage point of GDP. The government debt ratio for the euro area is projected to continue to rise, by 2.0 percentage points to 95.1% of GDP, with debt ratios exceeding 100% of GDP in five countries, namely Belgium, Ireland, Greece, Italy and Portugal.

**FISCAL CONSOLIDATION MUST CONTINUE**

Notwithstanding the progress achieved so far, further efforts are needed to restore long-term debt sustainability in the euro area. While fiscal consolidation may result in a temporary deterioration in economic growth, well-designed fiscal adjustment leads to a permanent improvement in structural balances and thus has a favourable impact on the path of debt-to-GDP ratios. Growth concerns should be addressed by accelerating structural and financial sector reforms.

If left unaddressed, high debt levels and persistent deficits may undermine economic growth prospects. While the rate of increase in the euro area general government debt-to-GDP ratio is projected to have slowed in 2012, the government debt ratio remains on an increasing path in many countries in 2013. Empirical evidence shows that persistently high government debt ratios (around 90% of GDP and higher) weigh on economic growth. In addition to directly crowding out private investment, they are associated with higher risk premia on government debt, which in turn lead to higher real interest rates with negative implications for investment and other interest rate-sensitive areas of the economy.

Fiscal consolidation can support economic growth in the short term by fostering financial market confidence. It should go hand in hand with structural and financial sector reforms. Enhancing the flexibility of labour, product and service markets would decisively improve the resilience of euro area economies. Fiscal policies can also make a contribution to raising competitiveness and medium and long-term growth. This will require expenditure restraint and higher spending efficiency, notably in the areas of education, health, public administration and infrastructure, as well as more growth-friendly tax structures and efforts to combat the shadow economy.

Fiscal consolidation strategies should be anchored by strict adherence to existing commitments under the Stability and Growth Pact and the fiscal compact. The countries receiving EU-IMF financial assistance must comply fully with their programme commitments. All countries subject to an excessive deficit procedure must ensure full compliance with their budgetary targets. Medium-term budgetary plans must provide credible measures and sufficient buffers, with fiscal strategies focused firmly on correcting excessive deficits. Where this is not the case, timely procedural steps

---

should be taken under the excessive deficit procedure to prevent consolidation efforts from being unduly delayed and the newly strengthened fiscal governance framework from losing credibility from the outset.

3 ECONOMIC AND MONETARY DEVELOPMENTS IN NON-EURO AREA EU MEMBER STATES

ECONOMIC ACTIVITY

Despite improving financial conditions, economic activity remained weak in most of the ten non-euro area EU Member States (hereafter also referred to as the EU10 countries) in 2012, especially towards the end of the year. GDP growth was considerably lower in 2012 than in 2011. The economic recovery was mainly curtailed by the continued deleveraging needs in a number of countries and economic weakness in the euro area, which affected above all those economies where growth had been driven primarily by external demand in 2011. Domestic demand (excluding inventories) continued to be an important driver of GDP growth, with largely positive contributions in those countries that saw economic growth. Investment increased in a number of countries including Bulgaria, Denmark, Romania and the United Kingdom. Weak or still negative credit growth continued to restrain economic growth in a number of countries as a result of both tight supply-side conditions and low demand, reflecting moderate economic activity and debt deleveraging. Although unemployment declined in a few countries, continued low growth meant that its level remained high in the majority of EU10 countries, especially Bulgaria, Latvia, Lithuania and Hungary.

In weighted average terms, EU10 annual GDP growth declined over the course of the first three quarters of 2012 in comparison with 2011. Cross-country differences, however, were substantial, but smaller than at the peak of the crisis in 2009 (see Table 7). Although slower than in 2011, GDP growth remained robust in Latvia and Lithuania, while growth remained well above the EU average

| Table 7 Real GDP growth in the non-euro area EU Member States and the euro area (annual percentage changes) |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|               | Q1    | Q2    | Q3    | Q4    | Q1    | Q2    | Q3    | Q4    |       |
| Bulgaria      | -5.5  | 0.4   | 1.7   | .     | 0.5   | 0.5   | 0.5   | .     |       |
| Czech Republic| -4.5  | 2.5   | 1.9   | .     | -0.5  | -1.0  | -1.3  | .     |       |
| Denmark       | -5.7  | 1.6   | 1.1   | -0.6  | 0.2   | -1.4  | 0.0   | -1.0  |       |
| Latvia        | -17.7 | -0.9  | 5.5   | .     | 5.6   | -4.8  | 5.2   | .     |       |
| Lithuania     | -14.8 | 1.5   | 5.9   | 3.6   | 4.3   | 3.1   | 3.4   | 3.0   |       |
| Hungary       | -6.8  | 1.3   | 1.6   | .     | -1.3  | -1.4  | -1.6  | .     |       |
| Poland        | 1.6   | 3.9   | 4.3   | .     | 3.5   | 2.3   | 1.8   | .     |       |
| Romania       | -6.6  | -1.7  | 2.5   | 0.2   | 0.8   | 1.3   | -0.3  | 0.1   |       |
| Sweden        | -5.0  | 6.6   | 3.7   | .     | 1.2   | 1.4   | 0.6   | .     |       |
| United Kingdom| -4.0  | 1.8   | 0.9   | 0.2   | 0.3   | -0.2  | 0.2   | 0.3   |       |
| EU7          | -3.1  | 2.1   | 3.2   | .     | 1.7   | 1.1   | 0.6   | .     |       |
| EU10         | -4.0  | 2.4   | 1.8   | .     | 0.8   | 0.3   | 0.3   | .     |       |
| Euro area     | -4.4  | 2.0   | 1.4   | -0.5  | -0.1  | -0.5  | -0.6  | -0.9  |       |

Source: Eurostat.
Notes: Annual data are calculated using non-seasonally adjusted data. Quarterly data are seasonally and working day-adjusted for all countries except Romania, for which the data are only seasonally adjusted.
1) Figures for 2012 are flash estimates, i.e. preliminary data.
2) The EU7 aggregate comprises the seven non-euro area EU Member States that joined the EU in 2004 or 2007.
3) The EU10 aggregate comprises the ten non-euro area EU Member States as at 31 December 2012.
in Poland. In Latvia, there was a broad-based recovery in output growth, which stood at 5.2%, the strongest in the whole EU for the first three quarters of 2012. In Lithuania, heightened uncertainty weighed on domestic spending and, although weaker external demand dampened exports, the positive contribution of net exports to GDP growth remained substantial. In Poland, real GDP growth decelerated notably in 2012 from relatively high levels, mostly reflecting a broad-based slowdown in domestic and external demand. GDP contracted in the first three quarters of 2012 in the Czech Republic and Hungary. The main factor behind the economic slowdown in the Czech Republic was domestic demand owing to falling household consumption and lower government expenditure. Hungary experienced a steep cumulative decline in economic activity as domestic demand fell amid deleveraging by households and companies, fiscal consolidation, and weak consumer and business confidence. The United Kingdom experienced a decline in output during the first half of 2012. Real GDP increased markedly in the third quarter, boosted by positive calendar effects as well as the London Olympics, but declined again in the fourth quarter. The recovery in economic activity in Bulgaria and Romania remained moderate in 2012. In Denmark, real GDP growth remained low on the back of subdued domestic demand, reflecting the need for balance sheet repair following the rapid leveraging in the run-up to the crisis. Economic growth in Sweden in 2012 moderated somewhat compared with 2011, but remained noticeably above the EU average.

**PRICE DEVELOPMENTS**

The weighted average annual HICP inflation in the EU10 economies was 3.0% in 2012, after 4.0% in 2011. Nevertheless, cross-country variation in inflation dynamics remained significant (see Table 8). The highest annual inflation in 2012 was experienced by Hungary (5.7%), whereas in Sweden the inflation rate declined to 0.9%, the lowest among the EU10 countries. In most countries, subdued domestic demand and large spare capacities had a limiting effect on inflationary pressures. As regards other factors affecting inflation rates, base effects from international commodity price increases in the first half of 2011 led to a moderation in inflationary pressures in most EU10 countries. However, an uptick in certain commodity prices in the third quarter exerted upward pressure on inflation, especially in Bulgaria and Romania as these two countries are more exposed to fluctuations in food prices. Changes in administered prices and indirect taxes had a heterogeneous effect on inflation in the EU10 countries. In Latvia and the United Kingdom, the fading effects of previous indirect tax

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 8 HICP inflation in the non-euro area EU Member States and the euro area (annual percentage changes)</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012 Q1</th>
<th>2012 Q2</th>
<th>2012 Q3</th>
<th>2012 Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU7 1)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU10 2)</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro area</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Eurostat.

1) The EU7 aggregate comprises the seven non-euro area EU Member States that joined the EU in 2004 or 2007.
2) The EU10 aggregate comprises the ten non-euro area EU Member States as at 31 December 2012.
increases helped to dampen inflation (alongside a reduction in the standard VAT rate by 1 percentage point to 21% in Latvia as of July 2012). As a result, inflation declined from 4.2% in 2011 to 2.3% in 2012 in Latvia and from 4.5% in 2011 to 2.8% in 2012 in the United Kingdom. Conversely, in the Czech Republic and Hungary, recent fiscal consolidation measures have had the opposite effect. Thus, inflation in the Czech Republic increased from 2.1% in 2011 to 3.5% in 2012, while in Hungary inflation increased from 3.9% in 2011 to 5.7% in 2012. Average HICP inflation excluding energy and unprocessed food declined in the EU10 countries in 2012 to 2.5%, compared with 3.1% in 2011. Overall, the strong influence of idiosyncratic factors resulted in considerable intra-year price variations across countries, but EU10 inflation was on average lower in 2012 than in 2011.

**FISCAL POLICIES**

The budget balance-to-GDP ratio improved in most non-euro area EU countries; only the Czech Republic, Denmark, Hungary and Sweden recorded a worsening fiscal balance in 2012. In Hungary, however, excluding the one-off revenues linked to the nationalisation of the obligatory private pension pillar in 2011, the underlying fiscal situation improved in 2012, after a deterioration in the two preceding years. Five of the ten non-euro area EU Member States are estimated to have posted budget deficits above the 3% of GDP reference value in 2012 (see Table 9). Despite a significant consolidation effort, the United Kingdom continued to record a very large deficit, estimated at 6.3% of GDP, owing to a less favourable macroeconomic environment than previously expected. In Denmark, the deficit strongly widened to 4.0% of GDP as a consequence of lower GDP growth, higher public investment and a one-off payout of voluntary early retirement pension contributions. Deficits in 2012 are estimated to have exceeded the reference value also in the Czech Republic, Lithuania and Poland, with the latter two countries posting large improvements. Overall, except for the Czech Republic and Poland, the fiscal outcomes for 2012 were broadly in line with the targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 9 General government finances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(as a percentage of GDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2012 stability/convergence programme updates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU10(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro area(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: European Commission’s winter 2013 economic forecast, April 2012 updated stability/convergence programmes and ECB calculations.
Notes: Data are based on ESA 95 definitions. The 2012 figures in the April 2012 stability/convergence programme updates were established by national governments and hence could differ from the final outcomes.
1) The EU7 aggregate comprises the seven non-euro area EU Member States that joined the EU in 2004 or 2007.
2) The EU10 aggregate comprises the ten non-euro area EU Member States as at 31 December 2012.
3) The euro area budget balance aggregate is based on EU/IMF and/or EDP targets for Ireland, Greece, Spain and Portugal and April 2012 stability programme updates for the other euro area countries. The euro area gross debt aggregate is not reported owing to missing information for some countries.
contained in the April 2012 convergence programmes. The improvements in budget balances in 2012 were mainly due to structural fiscal consolidation in most countries.

At the end of 2012 all non-euro area EU Member States apart from Bulgaria and Sweden were subject to an EU Council decision on the existence of an excessive deficit. In Bulgaria, the excessive deficit procedure (EDP) was abrogated by the EU Council in June 2012 and fiscal consolidation continued in 2012. Deadlines to correct the excessive deficit situation were set at 2012 for Latvia, Lithuania, Hungary, Poland and Romania, at 2013 for the Czech Republic and Denmark, and at the financial year 2014/15 for the United Kingdom.

The gross general government debt-to-GDP ratios are estimated to have increased in 2012 in five non-euro area EU Member States (Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Lithuania, Romania and the United Kingdom). The debt-to-GDP ratio remained above the 60% reference value in Hungary and the United Kingdom, decreasing in the former but further increasing in the latter. The decline in the government debt ratio in Hungary reflected, inter alia, the appreciation of the forint and thereby the revaluation of the foreign currency-denominated part of the public debt.

**Balance of Payments Developments**

In 2012 the combined current and capital account balances of the EU10 countries (as a percentage of GDP) continued to improve in most countries except for Bulgaria, Denmark and the United Kingdom (see Table 10). Surpluses were registered in Denmark, Latvia, Hungary and Sweden, whereas Bulgaria and Lithuania recorded small deficits. In the Czech Republic, Poland and Romania, the combined current and capital account deficits narrowed significantly, with Poland and Romania recording the smallest deficits since they joined the EU. External adjustment in the Czech Republic and Poland was largely brought about by an improvement in the goods balance backed by robust export growth in the first half of the year, whereas in Romania it reflected a more favourable income balance and capital account balance. In Hungary and Sweden, the combined current and capital account surpluses did not move significantly. Latvia and Lithuania, which recorded the largest current account deficits prior to the global financial crisis and saw sharp improvements afterwards,

| Table 10 Balance of Payments of the non-euro area EU Member States and the euro area (as a percentage of GDP) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| **Current and capital account balance** | **Net direct investment flows** | **Net other investment flows** |
| Bulgaria | -7.6 | -0.7 | 1.6 | -0.5 | 7.2 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 5.9 | -2.0 | -2.7 | -5.1 | -0.4 |
| Czech Republic | -1.0 | -3.0 | -2.5 | -1.2 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 4.1 | -1.4 | -1.8 | 0.3 | -4.2 |
| Denmark | 3.4 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.3 | -0.8 | -3.7 | -0.2 | -1.8 | 3.8 | 4.2 | -3.0 | -4.1 |
| Latvia | 11.1 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 4.9 | 2.9 | -9.8 | -0.8 | -7.2 | -5.7 |
| Lithuania | 7.1 | 2.7 | -1.3 | -0.4 | -0.6 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 1.7 | -10.3 | -9.2 | -1.5 | -5.0 |
| Hungary | 0.9 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 9.1 | 0.7 | -3.8 | -10.6 |
| Poland | -2.2 | -3.3 | -2.9 | -1.5 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 0.5 | -0.7 |
| Romania | -3.6 | -4.2 | -3.9 | -2.7 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 4.7 | 1.6 | -0.3 |
| Sweden | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.6 | -3.9 | -4.2 | -2.3 | -4.5 | -10.0 | -8.8 | -9.7 | -5.6 |
| United Kingdom | -1.5 | 3.1 | -1.2 | -2.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | -2.3 | -1.2 | -3.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 12.3 |
| EU7 | -1.4 | -2.1 | -1.9 | -0.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 0.9 | -0.4 | -2.7 |
| EU10 | -0.2 | -1.1 | 0.0 | -0.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.0 | -0.8 | -1.9 | -0.4 | 1.7 | 5.9 |
| Euro area | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.1 | -0.8 | -1.6 | -0.9 | -2.1 | -0.3 | -1.6 | 0.3 |

Source: ECB.

1) Figures for 2012 refer to the four-quarter average up to the third quarter of 2012.
2) The EU7 aggregate comprises the seven non-euro area EU Member States that joined the EU in 2004 or 2007.
3) The EU10 aggregate comprises the ten non-euro area EU Member States as at 31 December 2012.
slightly improved their combined current and capital account and kept it close to balance.

On the financing side, net foreign direct investment outflows from the EU10 countries were on aggregate close to 1% of GDP. While Denmark, Sweden and the United Kingdom were net exporters of direct investment, non-euro area EU countries in central and eastern Europe posted net inflows. Denmark and the United Kingdom recorded large portfolio investment outflows, while considerable inflows were observed for Lithuania and Sweden. Regarding other investment, all EU10 countries except for Denmark and the United Kingdom experienced net inflows in 2012, which was probably related to the ongoing process of deleveraging.

**EXCHANGE RATE DEVELOPMENTS**

Exchange rate developments in EU10 currencies reflected the different exchange rate regimes that were in place in individual countries. The currencies of Denmark, Latvia and Lithuania participated in the exchange rate mechanism II (ERM II). The Latvian lats and the Lithuanian litas were subject to a standard fluctuation band of ±15% around their central rates against the euro, and the Danish krone was subject to a narrower band of ±2.25% (see Chart 29). ERM II participation was, in the case of Latvia and Lithuania, accompanied by unilateral commitments to maintain narrower fluctuation bands or currency board regimes. These unilateral commitments place no additional obligations on the ECB. The Lithuanian litas joined ERM II with its existing currency board arrangement in place, and the Latvian authorities decided to maintain the exchange rate of the lats at its central rate against the euro with a fluctuation band of ±1%. During 2012 the Lithuanian litas remained at its central rate, while the Latvian lats fluctuated within the unilaterally set band of ±1% vis-à-vis the euro.

Turning to the currencies of EU10 countries that did not participate in ERM II in 2012, three distinct phases of exchange rate developments can be identified (see Chart 30). During the first quarter of the year the currencies of central and eastern European countries mostly appreciated...
against the background of a stabilising economic outlook for the region and declining risk aversion among international investors. During the second quarter and the summer of 2012, increased uncertainty about the economic outlook and the evolution of the euro area sovereign debt crisis resulted in higher volatility in central and eastern European foreign exchange markets and an appreciation of the pound sterling and the Swedish krona. This trend was reversed after the summer as global financial market sentiment improved and risk aversion declined. As a result, the pound sterling and the Swedish krona lost some of their earlier gains and in early January 2013 traded about 2½% and 4%, respectively, above their levels a year earlier. At the same time, the exchange rates of central and eastern European currencies stabilised mostly above the levels prevailing in early 2012. In early January 2013 the Hungarian forint and the Polish zloty traded about 7% and 9% higher than in January 2012, while the Czech koruna stood about 1% above its level at the beginning of 2012 and the Romanian leu traded 2½% lower than a year earlier. The exchange rate of the Bulgarian lev remained unchanged against the euro throughout the period under review given its euro-based currency board arrangement.

**FINANCIAL DEVELOPMENTS**

Financial market conditions generally improved in the non-euro area EU Member States in the course of 2012. Long-term interest rates, as measured by ten-year government bond yields, declined for all countries, and for many countries they fell to historically low levels. The declines partly resulted from a decrease in perceived tail risks and expectations of low growth. Long-term interest rates in Denmark, Sweden and the United Kingdom declined also as a consequence of flight-to-safety flows, mostly concentrated in the first half of 2012. Higher-yielding bonds issued by other EU10 countries benefited from the global search for yield, which was particularly strong in the second half of 2012. Credit risk premia, as measured by credit default swaps, decreased sharply in the second half of 2012 as a result of the general improvement in financial markets. This was to a significant extent due to a reduction in tail risks stemming from the euro area which followed the announcement, in August-September, of Outright Monetary Transactions. With regard to money markets, interest rates declined in all EU10 countries except Romania, where the upward trend in money market rates reflected a number of factors including the revision of banks’ expectations regarding the outlook for the policy rate and liquidity situation as well as the tightening of liquidity provision by Banca Naţională a României since August 2012. Stock markets in EU10 countries rose on average by 11% in 2012, slightly less than the 16% increase observed for the euro area. Equity prices increased the most in Denmark and Poland (up by 28% and 25% respectively), increased slightly in Bulgaria and were broadly unchanged in Romania.

**MONETARY POLICY**

The primary objective of monetary policy in all EU10 countries is price stability. Monetary policy strategies, however, continued to differ considerably from country to country in 2012 (see Table 11).

The lowering of the key ECB interest rates by 25 basis points in both December 2011 and July 2012 set the environment for the measures taken by several EU10 central banks. Interest rate reductions took place in a number of central and eastern European countries and Denmark. Following a series of rate reductions by Danmarks Nationalbank ending in July, the interest rate on certificates of deposit stood at -0.20% (down from +0.30% in December 2011), the lending rate at 0.20% (down from 0.70%) and the current account and discount rates at 0.00% (down from 0.25% and 0.75% respectively). Latvijas Banka lowered its refinancing rate by a total of 100 basis points to 2.5%. In the first quarter of 2012 Banca Naţională a României continued to lower the monetary policy rate, reducing it in three 25 basis point steps to 5.25%. The policy rate was subsequently left...
unchanged. Similarly, Sveriges Riksbank cut its repo rate by 75 basis points in total to 1.00% owing
to dampened economic and inflation prospects. The Magyar Nemzeti Bank loosened its monetary
policy by reducing its base rate by 175 basis points cumulatively between August 2012 and
February 2013 to 5.25%. Narodowy Bank Polski raised its repo rate by 25 basis points in May
before cutting it in November, December, January and February (each time by 25 basis points), thus
reducing the repo rate to 3.75% at the end of February 2013. The key policy rates were also reduced
by Česká národní banka, with the repo rate standing at 0.05% at the end of 2012.

With regard to other monetary policy measures, along with the changes in policy rates
mentioned above, Danmarks Nationalbank intervened in the foreign exchange market in the
first half of 2012 to stem appreciating pressure on the Danish krone. The overall objective of
these measures was to keep the exchange rate vis-à-vis the euro stable and, at the same time, to
narrow the spread between the lending rate and the rate on certificates of deposit in order to
reduce the possibility of fluctuations in short-term money market rates. Česká národní banka
signalled its readiness to use the Czech koruna exchange rate as a policy tool for more aggressive
monetary easing. Meanwhile, the Bank of England increased the size of its asset purchase
programme by GBP 50 billion in February and by a further GBP 50 billion in July. As a result,
the total assets purchased with central bank reserves increased from GBP 275 billion in 2011 to
GBP 375 billion at the end of 2012. In addition, the Bank of England and HM Treasury introduced

### Table 11 Official monetary policy strategies of the non-euro area EU Member States

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monetary policy strategy</th>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>Exchange rate target</td>
<td>Exchange rate target: fixed to the euro at BGN 1.95583 per euro within the framework of a currency board arrangement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Inflation target</td>
<td>Inflation target: 2% ±1 percentage point. Managed floating exchange rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Exchange rate target</td>
<td>Participates in ERM II with a ±2.25% fluctuation band around a central rate of DKK 7.46038 per euro.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>Exchange rate target</td>
<td>Participates in ERM II with a ±15% fluctuation band around a central rate of LVL 0.702804 per euro. Latvia continues with a fluctuation band of ±1% as a unilateral commitment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>Exchange rate target</td>
<td>Participates in ERM II with a ±15% fluctuation band around a central rate of LTL 3.45280 per euro. Lithuania continues with its currency board arrangement as a unilateral commitment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>Inflation target</td>
<td>Inflation target: 3% medium-term target since 2007 with ±1 percentage point to assess target achievement (ex post). Free-floating exchange rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Inflation target</td>
<td>Inflation target: 2.5% ±1 percentage point (12-month increase in the CPI). Free-floating exchange rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>Inflation target</td>
<td>Inflation target: 3.0% ±1 percentage point for end-2011 and end-2012, and 2.5% ±1 percentage point for the year-end from 2013. Managed floating exchange rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Inflation target</td>
<td>Inflation target: annual change in the CPI of 2%. Free-floating exchange rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Inflation target</td>
<td>Inflation target: 2% as measured by the 12-month increase in the CPI. In the event of a deviation of more than 1 percentage point, the Governor of the Bank of England is expected to write an open letter on behalf of the Monetary Policy Committee to the Chancellor of the Exchequer. Free-floating exchange rate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ESCB.
Note: For the United Kingdom the CPI is identical to the HICP.
the Funding for Lending Scheme, which gives banks access to cheap funding along with incentives to expand lending. The Magyar Nemzeti Bank also introduced a new long-term liquidity-providing instrument and stands ready to launch a mortgage bond purchase programme as soon as the universal mortgage bond issuance model is introduced. The purpose of the liquidity-providing instrument was to support the liquidity buffer of the banking system and to help the sector in reducing its asset-liability mismatches. Latvijas Banka lowered its minimum reserve ratio by 1 percentage point in view of the downward trend in inflation and the resulting ability to increase the availability of the financial sector’s resources to the economy. Meanwhile, Lietuvos bankas introduced limited open market operations to help banks manage their liquidity in a more optimal way.

Rate cut decisions in the EU10 countries were generally due to perceived disinflationary pressures over the medium term, but also aimed to further support the domestic post-crisis rebound and credit growth (in Latvia) and later to combat a weakening economic outlook. The monetary policy stance, on average, remained accommodative in the EU10 countries. There were some large downward movements in real money market interest rates in ex ante terms (in Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary and the United Kingdom). Measures of real short-term interest rates in ex post terms declined in most countries and stayed negative on average. Overall, the accommodative monetary policy stance reflected the subdued inflation outlook, as well as uncertainties related to the economic recovery in most EU10 countries.
In 2012 the new ECB premises started to take shape as a new visible element of Frankfurt’s city skyline. The high-rise grew slightly more quickly than anticipated, at a rate of approximately one floor every six days.
CHAPTER 2

CENTRAL BANK OPERATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

I MONETARY POLICY OPERATIONS, FOREIGN EXCHANGE OPERATIONS AND INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES

1.1 MONETARY POLICY OPERATIONS

The monetary policy instruments used by the Eurosystem in 2012 comprised open market operations, such as main refinancing operations (MROs), longer-term refinancing operations (LTROs) and fine-tuning operations, as well as standing facilities and minimum reserve requirements. In September 2012 the ECB announced the operational features of a new non-standard measure, the Outright Monetary Transactions (OMTs). This measure is aimed at safeguarding an appropriate monetary policy transmission and the singleness of the monetary policy in the euro area. The second covered bond purchase programme ended as scheduled on 31 October 2012 and the Securities Markets Programme was also terminated following the decision on OMTs.

During 2012 the Governing Council changed the key ECB interest rates on one occasion (see Chart 31). On 11 July 2012 the interest rates on the MROs, the marginal lending facility and the deposit facility were reduced by 25 basis points to 0.75%, 1.50% and 0.00% respectively. The width of the interest rate corridor was thus kept at 150 basis points (75 basis points on either side of the fixed rate on the MROs).

The implementation of monetary policy during 2012 was driven by the Eurosystem’s efforts to address the tensions in some segments of the financial markets and the impairment of the monetary policy transmission mechanism. Full allotment and fixed rate tender procedures continued to apply in all liquidity-providing refinancing operations.\(^1\) As a consequence, the outstanding volume of refinancing operations continued to be driven by the demand from counterparties, thereby reflecting their underlying liquidity preferences. The banking system’s demand for liquidity from the Eurosystem increased sharply as a consequence of the two three-year LTROs, in which a combined amount of more than €1,000 billion was allotted to as many as 800 different counterparties. As a result, the banking system’s overall demand for liquidity reached a level of over €1,200 billion during the first half of 2012, but stabilised in the second half.

\(^1\) The rates in the three-month LTROs were fixed at the average rate of the MROs over the lifetime of the LTROs.
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and declined marginally towards the end of the year when financial market tensions partially abated (see Chart 32).

During the 12 maintenance periods of 2012 (from 18 January 2012 to 15 January 2013), the average daily liquidity needs of the euro area banking system – defined as the sum of autonomous factors and reserve requirements – amounted to €487.6 billion, which was 4% higher than during the 12 maintenance periods of 2011. The slight increase in liquidity needs was the combined result of an increase in autonomous factors, by 47%, to €381.6 billion on average (see Chart 33) and a considerable decrease in reserve requirements, reflecting the Governing Council’s decision in December 2011 to reduce the reserve ratio from 2% to 1%, as of the reserve maintenance period starting on 18 January 2012. The main contributor to the increase in autonomous factors was government deposits, which rose considerably in 2012. Minimum reserve requirements decreased to €106 billion on average during the 12 maintenance periods of 2012, compared with €208 billion during the 12 maintenance periods of 2011.

The average monthly amount of excess reserves (current account holdings in excess of reserve requirements) in 2012 stood at €208.7 billion, averaging €4.61 billion during the first six maintenance periods of 2012 (from 18 January 2012 to 10 July 2012) and €412.74 billion during the last six maintenance periods of 2012 (from 11 July 2012 to 15 January 2013), which was higher than in previous years (€2.53 billion during the 12 maintenance periods of 2011 and €1.26 billion during the 12 maintenance periods of 2010).

---

2 Autonomous factors are those items on the Eurosystem’s balance sheet, such as banknotes in circulation and government deposits, which have an impact on credit institutions’ current account holdings, but are not under the direct control of the ECB’s liquidity management function.
Excess reserves increased in the second half of 2012 because of the reduction of the deposit facility rate to zero in July 2012, which, in principle, made banks indifferent as to whether they use the deposit facility overnight or leave excess reserves on their current accounts (see Chart 33). The average daily amount of excess reserves amounted to €29.7 billion during the first six maintenance periods of 2012 and €419.9 billion during the last six maintenance periods of the year. The average daily use of the deposit facility stood at around €701.9 billion during the first six maintenance periods and decreased to €282.8 billion during the last six maintenance periods.

**OPEN MARKET OPERATIONS**

In 2012 the Eurosystem used MROs, regular three-month LTROs, special-term refinancing operations with a maturity of one maintenance period, three-year LTROs and fine-tuning operations to manage the liquidity situation in the money market. All liquidity-providing operations have to be fully collateralised.

MROs are regular operations with a weekly frequency and normally have a maturity of one week. They are the traditional, main instrument for signalling the ECB’s monetary policy stance. However, given the abundant excess liquidity, the relative importance of MROs temporarily declined after the three-year LTRO allotments. In 2012 all 52 MROs were conducted as fixed rate tender procedures in which all bids were satisfied. The number of counterparties which have taken the necessary steps to become eligible for open market operations decreased to 2,298 in 2012, from 2,319 in 2011, while the number of euro area MFIs decreased from 7,533 at the end of 2011 to 7,059 at the end of 2012. On average, 95 counterparties participated in the MROs in 2012. The average volume allotted in the MROs in 2012 was €98 billion (compared with €159 billion in 2011), whereby the average allotment in the first half of the year was about 20% lower than that during the second half. In 2012 the lowest number of counterparties (65) to participate in an MRO as well as the lowest MRO volume allotted (€17.5 billion) were recorded in the operation that was settled on 7 March.
The highest number of participants (169) was observed on 22 February, while the highest allotment amount (€180.4 billion) was recorded on 27 June.

In 2012 the daily average outstanding volume of liquidity allotted in the regular three-month LTROs, special-term refinancing operations and supplementary LTROs was €1,022 billion. Participation in the regular three-month LTROs averaged €14.4 billion and remained volatile throughout 2012, ranging from a minimum of €6.2 billion allotted in October to a maximum of €26.3 billion allotted in June. The average volume allotted in the one-month special-term refinancing operations was €17.8 billion and the average number of participating counterparties was 26. Finally, in the three-year LTRO which was settled on 1 March, 800 counterparties participated for a total amount of €529.5 billion, while in the three-year LTRO settled on 22 December 2011, 523 counterparties participated for a total amount of €489.2 billion.

In addition to the open market operations used for the implementation of monetary policy, the ECB can also provide eligible counterparties with liquidity in other currencies (see Section 1.2 of this chapter).

**SECURITIES MARKETS PROGRAMME**

The Governing Council decided in May 2010 to establish the Securities Markets Programme (SMP). The objective of this temporary programme was to address the malfunctioning of certain euro area debt securities market segments and to restore an appropriate monetary policy transmission mechanism. The programme was implemented by Eurosystem portfolio managers carrying out purchases of certain euro area sovereign debt securities in secondary market interventions. The purchases were made between May 2010 and March 2011 and between August 2011 and February 2012. With the announcement of the technical features of the OMTs on 6 September 2012, the SMP was terminated. The liquidity injected through the SMP will continue to be absorbed as in the past, and the existing securities bought under the SMP will be held to maturity. At the programme’s peak, the Eurosystem held SMP securities for a total settlement amount of €219.5 billion, compared with €208.7 billion at the end of 2012.4

**THE SECOND COVERED BOND PURCHASE PROGRAMME**

In October 2011 the Governing Council announced a second covered bond purchase programme, the CBPP2. This programme was launched with the purpose of easing funding conditions for banks and companies and encouraging banks to maintain or expand lending to their customers. It allowed the Eurosystem to purchase eligible euro-denominated covered bonds issued in the euro area for an intended nominal amount of €40 billion. The purchases were conducted in both the primary and secondary markets from November 2011 until the end of October 2012, with the purchases totalling a nominal amount of €16.418 billion. The programme was not fully utilised owing to the lack of primary market covered bond issuance, along with the positive effects of the three-year LTROs. It is intended that the purchased bonds will be held until maturity.

The Governing Council decided to make the portfolios of the first and second covered bond purchase programmes available for securities lending. Lending is voluntary and conducted through securities lending facilities offered by central securities depositories, or via matched repurchase transactions with eligible counterparties. While this lending activity has remained limited in terms of amounts, it is considered useful for a proper market functioning that the securities held under the programmes are in principle available for lending.

---

3 The rate of this LTRO is fixed at the average rate of the MROs over its lifetime. As of 27 February 2013 counterparties have the possibility to redeem on a weekly basis (i.e. on the day that the weekly MRO is settled) the funds (or a part thereof) borrowed in this second three-year LTRO.

4 More information on the SMP can be found in the ECB’s press release of 10 May 2010, in the ECB’s Decision of 14 May 2010 establishing a securities markets programme, and in the weekly financial statements of the Eurosystem.
OUTRIGHT MONETARY TRANSACTIONS

In August 2012 the Governing Council announced the setting-up of the OMTs, the technical features of which were further specified in September5. These OMTs aim to safeguard an appropriate monetary policy transmission and the singleness of the monetary policy in the euro area.

A necessary condition for OMTs is strict and effective conditionality attached to an appropriate European Financial Stability Facility/European Stability Mechanism (EFSF/ESM) programme. This conditionality aims to ensure that governments will make the necessary reforms and maintain fiscal discipline. The Governing Council will consider OMTs to the extent that they are warranted from a monetary policy perspective as long as programme conditionality is fully respected, and terminate them once their objectives have been achieved or when there is non-compliance with the macroeconomic adjustment or precautionary programme. OMTs will be considered for future cases of EFSF/ESM macroeconomic adjustment programmes or precautionary programmes (such as an enhanced conditions credit line). They may also be considered for Member States already under a macroeconomic adjustment programme as they regain bond market access. OMTs would not take place while a given programme is under review and would resume after the review period once programme compliance has been assured.

Transactions would be focused on the shorter part of the yield curve, and in particular on sovereign bonds with a maturity of between one and three years. No ex ante quantitative limits are set on the size of OMTs. The Eurosystem intends to accept the same (pari passu) treatment as private or other creditors with respect to bonds issued by euro area countries and purchased by the Eurosystem through OMTs. The liquidity created through the OMTs would be fully sterilised, as continues to be the case for the SMP, while the transparency of the OMT portfolio would be greater than that of the SMP portfolio. The OMTs had not been activated by the end of 2012.

AGENT FOR THE EUROPEAN FINANCIAL STABILITY FACILITY/EUROPEAN STABILITY MECHANISM

In December 2011 the Governing Council gave approval for the ECB to act as an agent on behalf of the EFSF/ESM in secondary bond market interventions for the EFSF. In June 2012 it was announced that all the necessary preparatory work had been undertaken, but no actual transactions had been carried out under this agency function by the end of 2012.

FINE-TUNING OPERATIONS

In order to absorb the liquidity injected through the SMP, the ECB conducted weekly liquidity-absorbing fine-tuning operations to collect one-week fixed-term deposits for a weekly amount corresponding to the size of the SMP operations settled up to the previous Friday. These fine-tuning operations were carried out as variable rate tender procedures with a maximum bid rate equal to the prevailing MRO rate. Upon termination of the SMP, the ECB announced that the liquidity injected through the SMP would continue to be absorbed as in the past. In addition, it announced that any liquidity created through OMTs would also be sterilised. Fine-tuning operations to counter the liquidity imbalance on the last day of the maintenance periods were suspended from 14 December 2011.

STANDING FACILITIES

Counterparties can use the two standing facilities on their own initiative to obtain overnight liquidity against eligible collateral or to place overnight deposits with the Eurosystem. At the end of 2012 2,321 counterparties had access to the marginal lending facility and 2,910 counterparties had access to the deposit facility. The use of the deposit facility remained at high levels during the first half of 2012, with a peak of €827.5 billion on 5 March. Owing to the reduction of the

5 See the press release of 6 September 2012, which is available on the ECB’s website.
deposit facility rate to zero in July 2012, the average recourse to the deposit facility decreased from €701.9 billion during the first six maintenance periods of 2012 to €282.8 billion during the last six maintenance periods of 2012, as banks left larger amounts on their current accounts instead, as explained earlier in this section. The average daily use of the deposit facility over the 12 maintenance periods of 2012 was €484.3 billion (compared with €120 billion for the 12 maintenance periods of 2011 and €145.9 billion for the 12 maintenance periods of 2010). In 2012 the use of the deposit facility followed a broadly similar pattern during each reserve maintenance period: the deposit facility amounts were lower at the beginning of each period, but increased subsequently as more counterparties fulfilled their reserve requirements. The average daily recourse to the marginal lending facility was €1.76 billion (compared with €2.1 billion in 2011 and €0.62 billion in 2010).

MINIMUM RESERVE SYSTEM
Credit institutions in the euro area are required to hold minimum reserves on current accounts with the Eurosystem. From 1999 to 2011 the minimum reserve requirements were equal to 2% of credit institutions’ reserve base. On 8 December 2011 the Governing Council decided, as an additional enhanced credit support measure, to reduce the reserve ratio from 2% to 1%, as from the reserve maintenance period starting on 18 January 2012. The minimum reserve requirements amounted to €106 billion on average during the 12 maintenance periods of 2012, which was half of the amount held during the 12 maintenance periods of 2011. As the Eurosystem remunerates reserve holdings at a rate corresponding to the MRO rate, the minimum reserve system does not impose a tax on the banking sector.

ELIGIBLE ASSETS FOR CREDIT OPERATIONS
As stipulated in Article 18.1 of the Statute of the ESCB, all credit operations of the Eurosystem are based on adequate collateral. This is standard central bank practice worldwide. Collateral adequacy implies that the Eurosystem is to a large extent protected from losses in its credit operations (see below for more details on risk management issues). Furthermore, the Eurosystem ensures that sufficient collateral is available to a wide set of counterparties, so that the Eurosystem can provide the amount of liquidity it deems necessary in its monetary policy operations.

The Eurosystem accepts a broad range of assets as collateral in all its credit operations. This feature of the Eurosystem’s collateral framework, together with the fact that access to Eurosystem open market operations is granted to a large pool of counterparties, has been key in supporting the implementation of monetary policy in times of stress. The inbuilt flexibility of its operational framework has allowed the Eurosystem to provide the necessary liquidity to address the impaired functioning of the money market during the financial crisis, without counterparties encountering widespread collateral constraints.

In 2012 the Eurosystem took a number of measures to preserve and increase collateral availability for counterparties. Since 9 February 2012 euro area NCBs have been allowed, as a temporary solution and following explicit approval by the Governing Council, to accept as collateral for Eurosystem credit operations additional performing credit claims that satisfy specific eligibility criteria. The responsibility entailed by the acceptance of such credit claims is borne by the NCB authorising their use. In addition, on 29 June 2012 the eligibility criteria for certain types of asset-backed security (ABS) were broadened. Furthermore, on 9 November 2012 marketable debt instruments denominated in currencies other than the euro, namely the US dollar, the pound sterling and the Japanese yen, and issued and held in the euro area, became eligible until further notice.
In 2012 the average amount of marketable assets eligible as collateral was €13.7 trillion, an 8% increase compared with 2011 (see Chart 34). This development was related in particular to an increase in the eligibility of uncovered bank bonds. Central government securities, which amounted to €6.1 trillion, accounted for 44% of total eligible collateral, followed by uncovered bank bonds (€2.4 trillion, or 18%) and covered bank bonds (€1.6 trillion, or 12%). As well as marketable collateral, eligible collateral includes non-marketable assets, mostly in the form of credit claims (also referred to as bank loans). In contrast to the situation for marketable assets, the volume of potentially eligible non-marketable assets cannot easily be measured. The deposited amount of non-marketable assets reached €0.6 trillion in 2012.

The average amount of collateral put forward by counterparties increased to €2,448 billion in 2012, from €1,824 billion in 2011 (see Chart 35). At the same time, the average amount of outstanding credit increased from €538 billion in 2011 to €1,131 billion in 2012, as a result of the two three-year LTROs settled in December 2011 and March 2012. Consequently, the share of deposited collateral not used to cover credit from monetary policy operations decreased during 2012, whereas the absolute amount increased slightly. The level of over-collateralisation shows that, at the aggregate level, the Eurosystem’s counterparties experienced no shortage of collateral.

As regards the composition of collateral put forward (see Chart 36), non-marketable assets (mostly credit claims and fixed-term deposits) were the largest component in 2012, accounting for 26% of the total (compared with 23% in 2011). The share of covered bank bonds increased, while the
share of ABSs declined. The average share of central government bonds increased slightly from 14% in 2011 to 15% in 2012.

On 17 September 2012 the Governing Council approved the launch of the Common Eurosystem Pricing Hub (CEPH), which has replaced the two existing valuation hubs operated by the Banque de France and the Deutsche Bundesbank. The CEPH provides the Eurosystem with an integrated platform delivering single prices that are used by all Eurosystem central banks to value collateral submitted in Eurosystem credit operations. The first release of the CEPH went live on 21 September 2012.

**RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES RELATED TO CREDIT OPERATIONS**

In the implementation of monetary policy operations, the Eurosystem is exposed to the risk of counterparty default. This risk is mitigated by requiring counterparties to submit adequate collateral. However, in the event of a counterparty default, the Eurosystem would also be exposed to credit, market and liquidity risks associated with the resolution of collateral assets. In addition, liquidity-providing operations in foreign currencies against euro-denominated collateral, as well as euro-denominated liquidity-providing operations against foreign collateral, entail currency risk. In order to reduce all these risks to acceptable levels, the Eurosystem maintains high credit standards for assets accepted as collateral, valuates collateral on a daily basis and applies appropriate risk control measures.

As a matter of prudence, the Eurosystem has established a buffer against potential shortfalls resulting from the eventual resolution of collateral received from defaulted counterparties. The level of the buffer is reviewed annually, pending the eventual disposal of the received collateral and in line with the prospect of recovery.

More generally, financial risks in credit operations are continuously monitored and quantified at the Eurosystem level and regularly reported to the ECB’s decision-making bodies.

In 2012 the Governing Council decided to adopt a number of adjustments to its collateral eligibility criteria and risk control framework.

With regard to the Eurosystem credit assessment framework (ECAF), the Governing Council took several decisions in 2012. On 4 April the Governing Council approved the rating tool of Creditreform Rating AG for use within the ECAF. In view of their non-compliance with the Basel II definition of default, the Governing Council excluded on 5 July three rating tools from use as ECAF sources for the time being, namely those of ICAP, Coface Serviços Portugal and Cerved. In order to improve the ECAF performance monitoring process, the Governing Council decided...
on 28 November that the providers of credit assessment systems accepted within the ECAF will be required to submit performance monitoring data on a disaggregated basis. A signed certificate confirming the accuracy and validity of the performance monitoring information will also need to be provided. Finally, on 19 December the Governing Council approved the in-house credit assessment system of Banka Slovenije for use within the ECAF.

With regard to the establishment of higher transparency standards for ABSs, the Governing Council decided on 5 July 2012 to implement loan-level data reporting as a collateral eligibility requirement for ABSs in the Eurosystem collateral framework. The Governing Council also announced the dates from which such reporting requirements would apply for the different asset classes, beginning with a phasing-in period. Furthermore, the Governing Council announced that in order to monitor compliance with the loan-level template requirements and to check the timeliness, consistency and completeness of loan-level data, a single loan-level data repository would automatically check ABSs for which loan-level data are submitted and assign them a score. This score will strictly reflect the specific requirements set out in the provisions governing the Eurosystem’s collateral framework.

The Eurosystem also designed adequate risk control measures to accompany the decisions to preserve collateral availability for counterparties taken by the Governing Council in 2012. First, with regard to the temporary acceptance of additional performing credit claims described above, the Eurosystem adopted common guidelines aiming to ensure the adequacy of eligibility criteria and risk control measures, which would be subject to the prior approval of the Governing Council. Second, when deciding to reduce the rating threshold and to amend the eligibility requirements for certain ABSs effective as of 29 June 2012, the Governing Council also adopted a revised risk control framework for these ABSs ensuring adequate protection of the Eurosystem.

1.2 FOREIGN EXCHANGE OPERATIONS AND OPERATIONS WITH OTHER CENTRAL BANKS

In 2012 the Eurosystem did not intervene in the foreign exchange markets. Furthermore, the ECB did not undertake any foreign exchange operations in the currencies that participate in ERM II. The standing agreement between the ECB and the IMF to facilitate the initiation of special drawing right (SDR) transactions by the IMF on behalf of the ECB with other SDR holders was not activated in 2012, whereas it had been activated on five occasions in 2011.

The temporary liquidity swap line which the ECB established with the Federal Reserve System in 2007, which was reactivated in May 2010 to counter strains in the European US dollar funding markets, was extended on 13 December 2012 until 1 February 2014. In close cooperation with other central banks, the Eurosystem provided US dollar funding to counterparties against eligible collateral in operations which took the form of repurchase agreements and were carried out as fixed rate tender procedures with full allotment. In addition to these regular weekly seven-day operations, the ECB, in coordination with the Federal Reserve, the Bank of England, the Bank of Japan and the Swiss National Bank, continued to conduct every four weeks US dollar liquidity-providing operations with a maturity of 84 days. In 2012 the Eurosystem conducted 50 operations with a seven-day maturity, as well as one 14-day operation at the year-end and 13 84-day operations. The temporary reciprocal swap lines established in 2011 between the ECB, the Bank of England, the Bank of Japan and the Swiss National Bank to enhance their capacity to provide liquidity support to the global financial system and to ease strains in financial markets were not used in 2012. They are seen, however, as effective in easing financial strains by serving as backstop facilities that support money market liquidity by reducing funding risk. These swap lines were extended
on 13 December 2012 until 1 February 2014. The Governing Council also decided that the ECB would, until further notice, continue to conduct regular US dollar liquidity-providing operations with maturities of approximately one week and three months.

On 12 September 2012 the Governing Council decided, in agreement with the Bank of England, to extend the liquidity swap arrangement with the Bank of England up to 30 September 2013. The swap facility agreement of 17 December 2010 had been authorised until 28 September 2012. Under this facility, the Bank of England can provide, if necessary, up to GBP 10 billion to the ECB in exchange for euro. The agreement allows pounds sterling to be made available to the Central Bank of Ireland as a precautionary measure, for the purpose of meeting any temporary liquidity needs of the banking system in that currency.

1.3 INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES

The ECB invests the funds related to its foreign reserve portfolio as well as its own funds. These investment activities, which are separate from monetary policy programmes such as the OMTs, the SMP and the CBPP2, are organised in such a way as to ensure that no inside information about central bank policy actions may be used when making investment decisions.

FOREIGN RESERVE MANAGEMENT

The ECB’s foreign reserve portfolio was originally set up through transfers of foreign reserve assets from the euro area NCBs. Over time, the composition of the portfolio reflects changes in the market value of the invested assets, as well as foreign exchange and gold operations of the ECB. The main purpose of the ECB’s foreign reserves is to ensure that, whenever needed, the Eurosystem has a sufficient amount of liquid resources for its foreign exchange policy operations involving non-EU currencies. The objectives for the management of the ECB’s foreign reserves are, in order of importance, liquidity, security and return.

The ECB’s foreign reserve portfolio consists of US dollars, Japanese yen, gold and SDRs. The US dollar and Japanese yen reserves are actively managed by the ECB and those euro area NCBs that wish to take part in this activity as agents for the ECB. Since January 2006 a “currency specialisation model” has been in operation to increase the efficiency of the ECB’s investment operations. Under this scheme, each NCB which wishes to be involved in ECB foreign reserve management, or group of NCBs acting jointly for this purpose, is as a rule allocated a share in the US dollar or the Japanese yen portfolio.6

The value of the ECB’s net foreign reserve assets7 at current exchange rates and market prices decreased from €65.6 billion at end-2011 to €64.8 billion at end-2012, of which €43.8 billion was in foreign currencies and €21 billion was in gold and SDRs. The decrease in the value of the foreign reserve portfolio reflected a 13% depreciation of the Japanese yen against the euro. Positive contributions from capital gains and interest income generated by portfolio management activities offset some of these losses. Applying end-2012 exchange rates, US dollar-denominated assets represented 79% of the foreign currency reserves, while those assets denominated in Japanese yen accounted for 21%. The value of gold and SDR holdings increased slightly, driven by the appreciation of gold by around 4% in 2012 (as measured in euro terms).

6 For more details, see the article entitled “Portfolio management at the ECB”, Monthly Bulletin, ECB, April 2006.

7 Net foreign reserve assets are calculated as official reserve assets excluding the net, marked-to-market value of foreign currency swaps, plus deposits in foreign currency with residents, minus future predetermined net drains on foreign currency holdings owing to repurchase and forward transactions. For detailed information on the data sources, see the ECB’s website.
OWN FUNDS MANAGEMENT
The ECB’s own funds portfolio consists of the invested counterpart of the ECB’s paid-up capital, as well as amounts held in its general reserve fund and its provision against foreign exchange rate, interest rate, credit and gold price risks. The purpose of this portfolio is to provide the ECB with income to help cover its operating expenses. The objective of its management is to maximise expected returns, subject to a no-loss constraint at a certain confidence level. The portfolio is invested in euro-denominated fixed income assets.

The value of the portfolio at current market prices grew from €15.9 billion at end-2011 to €18.9 billion at end-2012. The increase in market value was due to an increase in the ECB’s paid-up capital effective on 27 December 2012, an increase in the provision against foreign exchange rate, interest rate, credit and gold price risks and, to a lesser extent, investment returns.

Given that the same business area is responsible for both the implementation of the CBPP2 and the SMP (and potentially also the OMTs) and the management of the own funds portfolio, it was decided in 2012 to apply a largely passive management style to the ECB’s own funds portfolio. This decision was taken to ensure that no inside information about central bank policy actions can have an impact on investment decisions.

RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES RELATED TO INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES AND MONETARY POLICY PORTFOLIOS
The financial risks to which the ECB is exposed in its investment activities and monetary policy portfolios (SMP, CBPP and CBPP2) are closely monitored and measured. For this purpose, a detailed limit structure is in place. The monitoring of limit compliance is performed daily. Furthermore, regular reports ensure that all stakeholders are adequately informed of the level of such risks. As is already the case for the existing monetary policy portfolios, the financial risks of the OMTs would also be closely monitored and measured. The OMTs also incorporate two important risk-mitigating features, namely the element of conditionality entailing that purchases would only be conducted during limited time periods, subject to a successful implementation of economic policies, and the focus of the purchases on instruments with a residual maturity of between one and three years.

In 2012 the ECB continued to enhance the information technology infrastructure supporting the risk management framework for its investment operations and monetary policy portfolios.

One of the measures used to quantify market risk is Value-at-Risk (VaR). Calculating this measure for the ECB’s investment portfolio on 31 December 2012, using as parameters a 95% confidence level, a time horizon of one year, and a sample of one year for asset price volatility, VaR would amount to €8.98 billion, compared with €13.08 billion on 30 December 2011.\(^8\) Computing the same measure with a five-year instead of a one-year sample would result in a VaR of €12.30 billion, compared with €12.16 billion on 30 December 2011. The largest part of the market risk is due to movements in currency and gold prices. The low levels of interest rate risk in the ECB’s investment portfolios reflect the fact that the modified duration of these portfolios remained relatively low in 2012.

\(^8\) The market risk for the CBPP, CBPP2 and SMP portfolios is not included in these estimates since the portfolios will be held until maturity following the valuation principle of amortised cost subject to impairment.
2 PAYMENT AND SECURITIES SETTLEMENT SYSTEMS

The Eurosystem has the statutory task of promoting the smooth operation of payment systems. Payment systems and securities clearing and settlement systems are fundamental infrastructures that are necessary for the proper functioning of market economies. They are indispensable for the efficient flow of payments for goods, services and financial assets, and their smooth functioning is crucial for the implementation of a central bank’s monetary policy and for maintaining the stability of and confidence in the currency, the financial system and the economy in general. For the performance of this task, the Eurosystem applies three approaches: it takes on an operational role, conducts oversight activities and acts as a catalyst (for further details on the second and third of these, see Sections 4 and 5 of Chapter 3). In terms of its operational role, the Eurosystem may, in accordance with the Statute of the ESCB, provide facilities to ensure efficient and sound clearing and payment systems.

2.1 THE TARGET2 SYSTEM

The Eurosystem operates the TARGET2 system for large-value and urgent payments in euro. TARGET2 plays an important role in the smooth implementation of the single monetary policy and the functioning of the euro money market. It offers a real-time settlement service in central bank money and broad market coverage. It processes large-value and urgent transactions without any upper or lower limit on the value of payments and has also attracted a variety of other payments. The system is based on a single technical infrastructure, the Single Shared Platform (SSP). Three Eurosystem central banks – the Deutsche Bundesbank, the Banque de France and the Banca d’Italia – jointly provide the SSP and operate it on behalf of the Eurosystem.

By December 2012 TARGET2 had 985 direct participants. The overall number of banks (including branches and subsidiaries) that can be addressed worldwide through TARGET2 reached 55,000. In addition, TARGET2 settled the cash positions of 82 ancillary systems.

TARGET2 OPERATIONS

The TARGET2 system functioned smoothly in 2012 and settled a large number of euro payments. The system’s market share remained stable, with 92% of the total value of payments in euro large-value payment systems being executed via TARGET2. In 2012 the system processed a total of 90,671,378 transactions, with a daily average of 354,185 transactions. The total value of TARGET2 traffic in 2012 was €634,132 billion, with an average daily value of €2,477 billion. Table 12 provides an overview of payment traffic in the TARGET2 system in 2012, comparing it with traffic in the previous year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 12 Payment traffic in TARGET2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARGET2 overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily average</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ECB.
Note: There were 257 operating days in 2011 and 256 in 2012.
In 2012 the overall availability\(^9\) of the SSP of TARGET2 reached 100%.

Over the course of the year 99.98% of the payments in the SSP were processed within five minutes and the system’s positive performance met with the satisfaction of participants.

**COOPERATION WITH TARGET2 USERS**

The Eurosystem maintains close relations with TARGET2 users. Regular meetings were held between the NCBs and national TARGET2 user groups in 2012. In addition, joint meetings of the Eurosystem’s Working Group on TARGET2 and the TARGET Working Group of the European credit sector associations took place on a semi-annual basis to discuss TARGET2 business issues at the pan-European level. An ad hoc group created in 2011 and composed of representatives from these two groups continued its work, addressing major changes affecting TARGET2, such as the connection of TARGET2-Securities (T2S). Further strategic issues were addressed in the Contact Group on Euro Payments Strategy, a forum composed of senior representatives from commercial and central banks.

**MANAGEMENT OF NEW SYSTEM RELEASES**

The Eurosystem attaches the greatest importance to the development of TARGET2 in order to further increase the level of service offered and to meet the needs of its participants.

In view of the considerable effort involved in preparing for T2S, the Eurosystem decided in 2011 that there would not be an annual release of TARGET2 in 2012. The Eurosystem nonetheless continued to work on the TARGET2 system in 2012, preparing for the adaptations that will be necessary in order to connect to T2S. A final decision was taken on the services that TARGET2 will offer to its participants to support their cash activities in T2S. These services will be made available to participants when T2S goes live. The Eurosystem also launched a review of the strategy for migrating TARGET2 to the new industry standards (ISO 20022). It was decided that all current messaging standards should move to their equivalents in ISO 20022 in a “big bang” scenario scheduled for November 2017.

**COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING IN TARGET2**

All euro area countries participate in TARGET2, as its use is mandatory for the settlement of all payment orders related to the Eurosystem’s monetary policy operations. Non-euro area EU central banks may connect to the TARGET2 system on a voluntary basis in order to facilitate the settlement of euro-denominated transactions in these countries. From a legal and business point of view, each central bank is responsible for managing its system component and for maintaining relationships with its participants. In addition, some financial institutions located in other EEA countries participate in TARGET2 via remote access.

24 central banks of the EU and their respective user communities are currently connected to TARGET2: the 17 euro area NCBs, the ECB and six central banks of non-euro area countries.\(^{10}\)

---

\(^{9}\) The level of availability is the extent to which participants were able to use the TARGET2 system during their business hours without incident.

\(^{10}\) Bulgaria, Denmark, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland and Romania.
2.2 TARGET2-SEcurities

TARGET2-Securities (T2S) is the future Eurosystem service for securities settlement in central bank money in Europe. The project is currently in the development phase and is due to go live in June 2015. Virtually all traded securities in Europe will be settled in T2S, leading to significant economies of scale and a reduction of settlement costs. By removing the distinction between cross-border and domestic settlement, T2S will constitute a major breakthrough in the creation of an integrated capital market for Europe, providing a solid basis for increasing efficiency and competition in the entire post-trading sector. T2S will enable significant savings in terms of collateral and liquidity, a particularly valuable feature at a time when the demand for high-quality collateral is ever-increasing as a result of the financial turmoil and new regulatory developments. It will harmonise market processes and thus facilitate back office streamlining.

By the end of June 2012 a total of 22 central securities depositaries (CSDs) had signed the T2S Framework Agreement, i.e. almost all of the CSDs based in the euro area and five CSDs based outside the euro area. These CSDs account for almost 100% of settlement volumes in euro, and the T2S entry fee will be waived for them in view of their decision for early participation in the system. Furthermore, in June Danmarks Nationalbank signed the Currency Participation Agreement and agreed to make the Danish krone available in T2S as of 2018. The signing of these agreements marks the end of more than two years of contractual negotiations, but T2S remains open to new participants; non-euro area central banks and CSDs may still sign the Currency Participation Agreement or the Framework Agreement at a later stage. However, CSDs will henceforth have to pay an entry fee.

11 The T2S Framework Agreement is the contract stipulating the rights and obligations of the Eurosystem and of CSDs outsourcing their settlement function to the Eurosystem.
12 The T2S Currency Participation Agreement is the contract governing the relationship between the Eurosystem and non-euro area NCBs that wish to make their currency available in T2S.

---

**Chart 37 Central securities depositaries participating in T2S**

- Bank of Greece Securities Settlement System – BOGS (Greece)
- Centrálny depozitár cenných papierov (Slovakia)
- Clearstream Banking (Germany)
- Depozitarul Central (Romania)
- Eesti Väärtabерikeskus (Estonia)
- Euroclear Belgium
- Euroclear Finland
- Euroclear France
- Euroclear Nederland
- Iberclear – BME Group (Spain)
- Interbolsa – Sociedade Gestora de Sistemas de Liquidação e de Sistemas Centralizados de Valores Mobiliários (Portugal)
- KDD – Centralna Klirinško Depotna Družba (Slovenia)
- Központi Elszámolóház és Értéktár – KELER (Hungary)
- Lietuvos centrinis vertybinų popierijų depozitoriųas (Lithuania)
- LuxCSD (Luxembourg)
- Malta Stock Exchange
- Monte Titoli (Italy)
- National Bank of Belgium Securities Settlement System – NBB-SSS (Belgium)
- Oesterreichische Kontrollbank (Austria)
- SIX SIS (Switzerland)
- VP LUX (Luxembourg)
- VP Securities (Denmark)
With these two contractual agreements entering into force, a new governance structure was put in place as of 1 July 2012. The new governance structure, which remains based on the principles of wide market involvement and transparency, assigns greater influence to the contractual counterparties of the Eurosystem and will also apply after T2S begins live operations. It is divided into steering, advisory and working levels. The first comprises the T2S Board, responsible for the day-to-day management of the project and live operations, and the CSD Steering Group, which represents the “single voice” of the CSDs vis-à-vis the Eurosystem. At the advisory level, the T2S Advisory Group brings together all stakeholder categories, i.e. central banks, CSDs and the banking community as well as regulators, overseers and the industry. The National User Groups represent the formal link between national markets and the Advisory Group. At the working level, the ECB’s T2S Programme Office and the Technical Groups support the steering-level bodies.

Significant technical progress was achieved in 2012. Among other things, the Eurosystem published the T2S User Handbook as well as amended versions of the User Requirements Document, the User Detailed Functional Specifications and the Business Process Description. The four central banks responsible for developing and operating the T2S platform (the Deutsche Bundesbank, the Banco de España, the Banque de France and the Banca d’Italia) expect to finish developing the necessary software (core functions) in the first quarter of 2013 and have made good progress in their internal testing of the software. CSDs and NCBs completed their feasibility assessments in June 2012, resulting in more than 30 change requests. At the end of 2012 the CSDs and the Eurosystem agreed on the timing and composition of three migration waves, planned to take place between June 2015 and November 2016. In early 2013 they continued to discuss the possibility of alternative migration solutions in order to better balance the operational efforts involved in migration.

Progress was also made on connectivity to T2S, i.e. the choice of networks through which market participants and CSDs will be able to send instructions to and receive messages from T2S. Licences were awarded to two value-added network service providers following a tender procedure launched in 2011. The Eurosystem also largely completed its legal framework for the dedicated link service that will be made available in parallel to the two value-added network solutions, if there is demand for such a service from the market.

Market participants stressed the need for T2S to become more involved in post-trade harmonisation in order for the full benefits of T2S to be reaped. The T2S Harmonisation Steering Group supports the T2S Advisory Group in pursuing several harmonisation activities and prepared the third T2S harmonisation progress report in the course of 2012, for publication in early 2013. For further details on T2S harmonisation activities and their impact on European financial integration, see Section 4 of Chapter 3.

2.3 SETTLEMENT PROCEDURES FOR CROSS-BORDER COLLATERAL

Eligible assets may be used to collateralise all types of Eurosystem credit operation, not only at the domestic level but also across national borders. The cross-border mobilisation of collateral in the euro area is conducted mainly via the correspondent central banking model (CCBM) and through eligible links between euro area securities settlement systems (SSSs). Whereas the first solution is provided by the Eurosystem, the latter is a market-led initiative. In addition, cross-border collateral can be mobilised on the accounts of NCBs with a non-domestic (international) CSD on an exceptional basis.

At the end of 2012 the amount of cross-border collateral (including both marketable and non-marketable assets) held by the Eurosystem stood at €643 billion, down from €731 billion at
the end of 2011. Overall, at the end of 2012 cross-border collateral represented 22.8% of the total collateral provided to the Eurosystem (compared with 29.9% in 2011).

**COLLATERAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES**

The CCBM remained the main channel for transferring cross-border collateral in Eurosystem monetary policy and intraday credit operations in 2012. Assets held in custody through the CCBM decreased from €434 billion at the end of 2011 to €354 billion at the end of 2012. Cross-border collateral held on accounts of NCBs with a non-domestic (international) CSD on an exceptional basis amounted to €134 billion at the end of 2012.

The CCBM was initially set up in 1999 as an interim solution and, since it builds upon the principle of minimum harmonisation, market participants have called for some improvements. In particular, they have requested that it no longer be necessary to repatriate (marketable) assets from investor CSDs to issuer CSDs before they are mobilised as collateral through the CCBM, and that tri-party collateral management services which are currently only used domestically may also be used on a cross-border basis. The Eurosystem supports the inclusion of these enhancements to the CCBM and is currently working on their incorporation into the framework in 2014.

Issues related to euro securities settlement and collateral mobilisation in Eurosystem credit operations were discussed in the Contact Group on Euro Securities Infrastructures, which is a forum for representatives of market infrastructures, market participants and central banks.

**ELIGIBLE LINKS BETWEEN NATIONAL SECURITIES SETTLEMENT SYSTEMS**

Cross-border collateral can also be mobilised by using links between national SSSs. However, such links are only eligible for Eurosystem credit operations if they meet the Eurosystem’s user standards. Once the securities have been transferred to another SSS via eligible links, they can be used through local procedures in the same way as any domestic collateral. The amount of collateral mobilised through direct and relayed links decreased from €175 billion at the end of 2011 to €156 billion at the end of 2012.

In 2012 one new direct link was added to the list of eligible links and three direct links were removed. In total, there were 52 direct and 8 relayed links available to counterparties at the end of 2012, of which only a limited number are actively used.

### 3 BANKNOTES AND COINS

In accordance with Article 128 of the Treaty, the Governing Council of the ECB has the exclusive right to authorise the issuance of euro banknotes within the EU. The ECB and the NCBs may issue these banknotes.

#### 3.1 THE CIRCULATION OF BANKNOTES AND COINS

**DEMAND FOR EURO BANKNOTES AND COINS**

At the end of 2012 there were 15.7 billion euro banknotes in circulation, with a total value of €912.6 billion. This compares with 14.9 billion banknotes, with a total value of €888.6 billion, at the end of 2011 (see Chart 38). In terms of value, the €50 and the €500 banknotes accounted for the largest shares of the banknotes in circulation, standing at 35% and 32% respectively at the end of the
The €50 banknote was the most-used denomination in terms of volume, accounting for 41% of all euro banknotes in circulation (see Chart 39). In 2012 the annual growth rate of banknotes in circulation was 2.7% in value terms and 4.9% in volume terms. The €50 banknotes showed by far the highest annual growth rate, at 6.5%, followed by the €20 and €10 banknotes at 4.7% and the €5 banknotes at 4.4%. The growth rate of the €500 banknote, which had been considerable in the past, turned negative (-2.0%).

It is estimated that, in terms of value, 20-25% of the euro banknotes in circulation (with the figure likely to lie towards the upper end of that range) are held outside the euro area, predominantly in countries neighbouring the euro area. In 2012 net shipments of euro banknotes by financial institutions to regions outside the euro area increased by 19%, or €2.1 billion, as compared with 2011. Euro banknotes, mainly high-value denominations, are held outside the euro area as a store of value and for settling transactions on international markets.

In 2012 the total number of euro coins in circulation (i.e. net circulation excluding stocks held by the euro area NCBs) increased by 4.4% to stand at 102.0 billion. The low-value coins of 1, 2 and 5 cent accounted for 62% of the total number of coins in circulation. At the end of 2012 the value of coins in circulation stood at €23.7 billion, 2.5% higher than at the end of 2011.

**BANKNOTE HANDLING BY THE EUROSYSTEM**

In 2012 the euro area NCBs issued 33.1 billion banknotes worth €1.0 trillion, while 32.2 billion banknotes worth €1.0 trillion were returned to them. These figures were similar to those recorded in 2011. 34.6 billion banknotes were processed using fully automated banknote processing machines,
which checked the banknotes for both authenticity and fitness for circulation in order to maintain the quality and integrity of the banknotes in circulation according to the common minimum sorting standards established by the Eurosystem. In that process, some 5.6 billion banknotes were identified as being unfit for circulation and destroyed. The replacement rate\textsuperscript{13} of the banknotes in circulation (i.e. the share of banknotes that needed to be replaced because they became unfit) was 44\% for the denominations up to and including €50 and 9\% for the high-denomination banknotes.

The average return frequency\textsuperscript{14} of banknotes in circulation was 2.16 at end-2012, meaning that, on average, a banknote returned to a euro area NCB around every six months. The return frequencies were 0.34 for €500 banknotes, 0.46 for €200 banknotes and 0.70 for €100 banknotes, while the return frequencies of the denominations which are typically used for transactions were higher (1.56 for €50 banknotes, 3.38 for €20 banknotes, 4.11 for €10 banknotes and 2.27 for €5 banknotes).

### 3.2 Banknote Counterfeiting and Counterfeit Deterrence

#### Counterfeit Euro Banknotes

In 2012 the National Analysis Centres\textsuperscript{15} received some 531,000 counterfeit euro banknotes. When compared with the number of genuine euro banknotes in circulation, the proportion of counterfeits remains at a very low level. Long-term developments in the quantity of counterfeits removed from circulation are shown in Chart 40. Counterfeiters tend to target the €20 and €50 banknotes, which in 2012 accounted for 42.5\% and 40.0\% of total counterfeits respectively. Further details of the denominational breakdown are shown in Chart 41.

\textsuperscript{13} Defined as the number of banknotes identified as being unfit in a year divided by the average number of banknotes in circulation during that year.

\textsuperscript{14} Defined as the total number of banknotes returned to euro area NCBs in a year divided by the average number of banknotes in circulation during that year.

\textsuperscript{15} Centres established in each EU Member State for the initial analysis of counterfeit euro banknotes at the national level.

![Chart 40 Number of counterfeit euro banknotes recovered from circulation](chart40.png)

![Chart 41 Breakdown of counterfeit euro banknotes by denomination in 2012](chart41.png)

Source: ECB.
Although confidence in the security of the euro is fully justified by the ongoing anti-counterfeiting measures of European and international authorities, this should not give rise to complacency. The ECB continues to advise the public to remain alert to the possibility of fraud, to remember the “feel-look-tilt” test, and never to rely on just one security feature. In addition, training is offered to professional cash handlers on a continuous basis, both in Europe and beyond, and up-to-date information material is made available to support the Eurosystem’s fight against counterfeiting. The ECB’s well-established cooperation with Europol and the European Commission also serves this goal.

**COUNTERFEIT DETERRENCE AT THE GLOBAL LEVEL**

Cooperation on counterfeit deterrence goes beyond the European level. The Eurosystem participates actively in the work of the Central Bank Counterfeit Deterrence Group. The ECB hosts the International Counterfeit Deterrence Centre (ICDC), which acts as the technical centre for this group. The ICDC maintains a website which provides information and guidance concerning the reproduction of banknote images, as well as links to country-specific websites.

### 3.3 BANKNOTE PRODUCTION AND ISSUANCE

**PRODUCTION ARRANGEMENTS**

In 2012 NCBs were responsible for the production of 8.5 billion euro banknotes, with the new €5 banknote, which is to be introduced in May 2013 (see below), accounting for 2.9 billion of that total (around 34% of the banknotes produced). The €5 banknote of the first series ceased to be produced. The allocation of euro banknote production continued to be based on decentralised production with pooling, an arrangement initially established in 2002. Under this arrangement, each euro area NCB is responsible for the procurement of an allocated share of the total requirement for certain denominations (see Table 13).

**ROADMAP FOR GREATER CONVERGENCE OF NCB CASH SERVICES**

In 2007 the Governing Council adopted a roadmap for procedural steps contributing, in the medium term, to increased convergence of NCB cash services. On 1 October 2012 electronic messaging between NCBs and national and international professional clients for cash transactions – one of the remaining items from the roadmap – was put in place by the NCBs of Belgium, Germany, Ireland, Cyprus, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Austria and Finland. The principles governing this facility are laid down in the ECB Guideline on the Data Exchange for Cash Services. An interface hosted by the ECB ensures that messages can be exchanged between NCBs using different data formats. This allows professional clients that wish to transact cash with a non-domestic NCB to send the order for withdrawal, or notification of deposit, via

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Denomination</th>
<th>Quantity (millions of banknotes)</th>
<th>NCB commissioning production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>€5</td>
<td>2,915.30</td>
<td>BE, ES, FR, IT, AT, DE, GR, FR, IE, PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>€10</td>
<td>1,959.04</td>
<td>CY, EE, FR, IT, MT, LU, NL, SI, SK, FI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>€20</td>
<td>1,703.95</td>
<td>BE, DE, ES, IT, DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>€50</td>
<td>1,530.43</td>
<td>DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>€100</td>
<td>298.13</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>€200</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>€500</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>8,456.87</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ECB.

---

16 See the “Security features” section of the ECB’s website under “The Euro”, then “Banknotes”.

17 A group comprising 32 central banks and banknote printing authorities that work together under the auspices of the G10.

18 See http://www.rulesforuse.org

their domestic NCB. The remaining euro area NCBs will connect to the interface in the course of 2013 and 2014.

The electronic exchange of messages facilitates the organisation of cash transportation across national borders and thus complements Regulation (EU) No 1214/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 November 2011 on the professional cross-border transport of euro cash by road between euro area Member States\(^{20}\), which entered into force on 30 November 2012. This interface also makes possible bulk transfers between NCBs, which will be useful with regard to the introduction of the second series of euro banknotes.

**Recirculation of Banknotes**

When putting euro banknotes back into circulation, cash handlers (credit institutions, cash in transit companies and other economic agents, such as retailers and casinos) must adhere to the rules laid down in Decision ECB/2010/14 on the authenticity and fitness checking and recirculation of euro banknotes\(^{21}\). This Decision aims in particular to ensure that all banknotes distributed to the public via cash dispensers have been checked for both authenticity and fitness. According to data reported by cash handlers to the euro area NCBs, around one-third of the total number of euro banknotes that were put back into circulation in 2012 after machine processing were recirculated by cash handlers using banknote handling machines.

In preparation for the issuance of the second series of euro banknotes, the scope of Decision ECB/2010/14 has been amended by Decision ECB/2012/19\(^{22}\), which entered into force on 20 September 2012. The amended Decision ensures that the checking procedures to be followed by cash handlers when recirculating euro banknotes are also applicable to the new banknotes.

**The Extended Custodial Inventory Programme**

Following the decision by the Governing Council in 2011 that the Extended Custodial Inventory (ECI) programme for euro banknotes would be extended from Asia to North America and the Middle East, an open tender was conducted in 2012. The successful bidders will be selected in the course of 2013. The programme follows on from the pilot ECI programme which was originally planned to end in January 2012, but was extended until the end of January 2013. The ECI programme aims to ensure the smooth circulation of euro banknotes in geographically remote regions and to provide detailed statistical data on the international use of euro banknotes.

**The Second Series of Euro Banknotes**

The Eurosystem continued work on a new series of euro banknotes in 2012, focusing on the large-scale production of the new €5 banknote, which will be the first denomination of the new series to be launched. The Eurosystem also helped the relevant stakeholders to prepare for the introduction of the new banknotes and continued developing the subsequent denominations of the new series. The new series is called the Europa series and features a portrait of Europa, a figure from Greek mythology, in the watermark and hologram. The Europa series will have the same denominations as the first series of banknotes – €5, €10, €20, €50, €100, €200 and €500 – and most of the same design elements.\(^{23}\)

---

23 For more details, see http://www.newfaceoftheeuro.eu
The Governing Council decided on 9 March 2012 that the new €5 banknote would be introduced on 2 May 2013. The exact timing of the issuance of the other denominations of the Europa series will be determined at a later stage. It is anticipated that the new banknotes will be introduced gradually over several years in ascending order. The Eurosystem will inform the public, cash handlers and banknote equipment manufacturers well in advance about the modalities of the introduction of the new banknotes. The banknotes of the first series will remain legal tender for a relatively long period and their withdrawal from circulation will be gradual and communicated to the public well in advance. Even after the banknotes of the first series have been withdrawn, it will be possible to exchange them at the euro area NCBs for an unlimited period of time.

4 STATISTICS

The ECB, assisted by the NCBs, develops, collects, compiles and disseminates a wide range of statistics which support the monetary policy of the euro area, various other tasks of the ESCB and the tasks of the European Systemic Risk Board (ESRB). These statistics are also used by public authorities (for example, in the European Commission’s scoreboard for monitoring macroeconomic imbalances), financial market participants, the media and the general public. In 2012 regular euro area statistics continued to be provided in a smooth and timely manner. In addition, work focused on strengthening the information basis for the fulfilment of the mandates given to the ECB and the ESRB, although this represented a considerable challenge in view of the limited resources available. In accordance with the annually reviewed statistical work programme, significant efforts were devoted to meeting new data requirements, particularly in the fields of monetary policy and financial stability analysis.

The challenges associated with the growing data demands were discussed in April 2012 at the ECB’s sixth biennial conference on statistics, which was devoted to the theme of “Central bank statistics as a servant of two separate mandates – price stability and mitigation of systemic risk”.

4.1 NEW AND ENHANCED EURO AREA STATISTICS

Complying with its duty to provide statistical support to the ESRB, the ECB was heavily involved in 2012 in the preparation of the ESRB risk dashboard, which was released for the first time after the ESRB General Board meeting on 20 September 2012. In order to improve the dashboard’s ability to capture systemic risks, the initial set of 45 indicators will be regularly updated and revised (see also Section 2.2 of Chapter 3).

In September 2012 the ECB also published for the first time an adjusted statistical measure of the broad monetary aggregate M3 and credit to the private sector in the euro area, which excludes repurchase agreement transactions conducted through central counterparties.

4.2 OTHER STATISTICAL DEVELOPMENTS

The ESCB continued its work on enhancing the availability and quality of statistics on the basis of micro-databases, as these provide more flexibility in meeting user needs and help to minimise the burden for reporting agents.
In this context, the Governing Council in September 2012 adopted the enhanced data quality management framework for the Centralised Securities Database, which is composed of Guideline ECB/2012/21 and Recommendation ECB/2012/22. In addition, in October 2012 the ECB adopted Regulation ECB/2012/24 concerning statistics on holdings of securities. The Regulation lays down reporting requirements for euro area financial investors, custodians and euro area parent institutions of certain banking groups. These requirements apply to security-by-security data on holdings of securities by euro area (financial and non-financial) investors, as well as on holdings by non-euro area investors of securities issued by euro area residents which are kept in custody in the euro area. The data collection will start in March 2014 with reference to data for December 2013.

The first wave of the Eurosystem’s survey on household finance and consumption was conducted by the NCBs of 15 euro area countries (in a few cases in collaboration with national statistical institutes). The survey, the results of which are expected to be published in early 2013, provides micro-level data on households’ real and financial assets, liabilities, consumption and saving, income and employment, future pension entitlements, intergenerational transfers and gifts, and attitudes to risk.

The ESCB continued its work on developing a register of all financial institutions in the EU, including large banking and insurance groups. As well as providing comprehensive lists of all financial institutions and facilitating their classification as counterparts for statistical reporting purposes, the enhanced Register of Institutions and Affiliates Database (RIAD) will support financial stability analysis and facilitate the assessment of collateral in market operations. To increase its usefulness, the RIAD will be interoperable with the EuroGroups Register of (mainly) non-financial enterprises in Europe, which is being developed and run in parallel by Eurostat.

In addition, a number of other datasets are under development. The ESCB is developing enhanced statistics for the insurance sector, which would to the extent possible reuse data to be collected using Solvency II quantitative reporting templates, as designed by the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority. Work is also under way to harmonise a core set of data attributes and design a pilot area for data from credit registers or other similar loan-level datasets with a view to reusing information to meet various statistical and analytical needs. Payments statistics are being improved to cover recent developments resulting from the Single Euro Payments Area, notably Regulation (EU) 260/2012 on credit transfers and direct debits (see Section 2 of Chapter 3), and statistics on payment cards are being developed on the basis of individual datasets collected from international card schemes.

As regards general economic statistics, the ECB is conducting work with a view to publishing a monthly estimate of industrial new orders for the euro area in 2013, given that Eurostat no longer produces such statistics. In addition, the ECB held a conference in May 2012 in the context of its work towards the publication in 2013 of commercial property price indicators.

The ECB continued its close working relationship with Eurostat and with other international organisations. The legislative process to align the European System of Accounts (ESA 95) with the System of National Accounts 2008 and the sixth edition of the IMF’s “Balance of Payments and International Investment Position Manual” is close to being finalised. In parallel, work is being conducted to finalise the revision of all related ECB and EU legal acts in order to ensure that the new standards are implemented in 2014.
The ECB also contributes to the improvement of financial statistics at the global level, notably via its participation in the Inter-Agency Group on Economic and Financial Statistics (IAG), together with the BIS, Eurostat, the IMF, the OECD, the United Nations and the World Bank. The IAG is coordinating and monitoring the statistical initiatives supported by the finance ministers and central bank governors of the G20 to close global information gaps. The ECB also helped to enhance the Principal Global Indicators website, which in March 2012 published for the first time an aggregated figure for the quarterly GDP growth of the G20 economies. In 2012 the G20 endorsed an initiative to launch a global “Legal Entity Identifier” system in March 2013, which the ECB fully supports. Together with the BIS and the IMF, the ECB published the third part of a handbook on securities statistics, which covers equity securities (issues and holdings).

In order to maintain the public’s confidence in the statistics produced by the ESCB, upon which policy decisions are based, it is important for the ESCB to demonstrate that it adheres to the highest quality standards. For this reason, in February 2012 the Governing Council approved an amended version of the “Public commitment on European statistics by the ESCB” with a view to enhancing its convergence with the European Statistics Code of Practice developed by the European Statistical System Committee.

The ESCB is committed to providing its statistics as a public good. The ESCB therefore announced in September 2012 a common policy of free access to and reuse of its publicly available statistics, irrespective of any subsequent commercial or non-commercial use.

5 Economic Research

Consistent with the approach adopted throughout the Eurosystem, the purpose of research activities at the ECB is: (i) to provide research results relevant for monetary policy and other Eurosystem tasks, (ii) to maintain and use econometric models in order to construct economic forecasts and projections and compare the impact of alternative policy choices, and (iii) to communicate with the academic and research community, for example through the publication of research results in peer-reviewed scientific journals and by participating in and organising research conferences. The following two sections analyse the main research areas and activities in 2012.

5.1 Research Priorities and Achievements

Economic research at the ECB is performed in a decentralised manner by several business areas according to their needs and expertise. The Directorate General Research is charged with producing high-quality economic research, as well as coordinating the research work conducted across the ECB. Its Director General chairs the Research Coordination Committee (RCC), which aligns research activities at the ECB with the requirements of the institution and the needs of its policy processes. Each year, the RCC establishes a set of priority areas and provides a forum to help the various business areas collaborate in their research activities in these priority areas. The high-level research priorities identified for 2012 were: financial stability, macro-prudential supervision and regulation; money markets and the operational framework in the post-crisis environment; monetary policy, fiscal policy and sovereign debt; country surveillance and inflation dynamics; and household finance and consumption. Considerable progress was made in all of these areas.
In the context of the first priority area, the ESCB Macro-prudential Research Network provided significant analytical support to the relevant ECB policy areas, as well as to the European Systemic Risk Board (see Section 2 of Chapter 3). Substantial progress was made in linking financial stability and economic developments, and devising new early warning indicators. Part of this research was presented at the Network’s second conference, which was held in Frankfurt in October 2012.

In the area of money markets and the operational framework, the focus of research activities had to shift from preparing for “normal” times to reflecting substantial changes in market functioning, as well as new instruments and a changed regulatory environment.

In the area of monetary policy, fiscal policy and sovereign debt, research work was completed on drivers of sovereign debt premia, the interaction between monetary and fiscal policies, and spillover effects from fiscal to financial variables.

Research in the area of country surveillance and inflation dynamics included work on: (i) adjustment issues and imbalances, (ii) cross-country spillovers and linkages, and (iii) the conceptual underpinning of competitiveness determinants. Work in the latter area was also supported by a new research network on competitiveness (CompNet).

Finally, the Household Finance and Consumption Network collected critical micro-information towards the end of 2012. This information will need to be analysed in more detail, but the initial results indicate that the data obtained will provide the Eurosystem with a greater understanding of the investment, saving, borrowing and consumption behaviour of euro area households.

5.2 DISSEMINATION OF RESEARCH: PUBLICATIONS AND CONFERENCES

As in previous years, ECB staff research was published in the ECB’s Working Paper Series and Occasional Paper Series. 91 Working Papers and 7 Occasional Papers were published in 2012. A total of 75 Working Papers were written or co-written by ECB staff, a large number together with other Eurosystem economists, with the remainder being written by external visitors attending conferences and workshops, participating in research networks or spending a prolonged period at the ECB for the completion of a research project.24 As is now the established norm, most of the papers are eventually expected to be published in leading peer-reviewed academic journals. In 2012 ECB staff published almost 100 articles in academic journals.

Another regular ECB publication is the Research Bulletin,25 which is used to disseminate research work of general interest to a wide audience. In 2012 three issues of the Research Bulletin were published. Articles examined a variety of issues, such as “The impact of the Securities Markets Programme”, “Does regulation at home affect bank risk-taking abroad?” and “Bubbles, banks and financial stability”.

The ECB organised or co-organised 23 conferences and workshops on research topics in 2012. Co-organised conferences involved the Centre for Economic Policy Research, the BIS and other central banks, both within and outside the Eurosystem. As in previous years, most of the conferences

24 External researchers visit the ECB on an ad hoc basis or in the context of formal programmes such as the Lamfalussy Research Fellowship.
25 All Research Bulletins can be found on the ECB’s website under “Publications”.
and workshops were related to specific research priorities. The programmes for these events and the papers presented are available on the ECB’s website.

Another long-standing mechanism for the dissemination of research is the organisation of seminar series, of which two are of particular relevance: the Joint Lunchtime Seminars, co-organised with the Deutsche Bundesbank and the Center for Financial Studies, and the Invited Speaker Seminars. These two series comprise weekly seminars at which external researchers are invited to present their recent work at the ECB. The ECB also organises research seminars outside the scope of these two series on a more ad hoc basis.

6 OTHER TASKS AND ACTIVITIES

6.1 COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROHIBITION OF MONETARY FINANCING AND PRIVILEGED ACCESS

Pursuant to Article 271(d) of the Treaty, the ECB is entrusted with the task of monitoring the compliance of the 27 EU NCBs and the ECB with the prohibitions implied by Articles 123 and 124 of the Treaty and Council Regulations (EC) Nos 3603/93 and 3604/93. Article 123 prohibits the ECB and the NCBs from providing overdraft facilities or any other type of credit facility to governments and EU institutions or bodies, as well as from purchasing debt instruments directly from them. Article 124 prohibits any measure, not based on prudential considerations, which establishes privileged access by governments and EU institutions or bodies to financial institutions. In parallel with the Governing Council, the European Commission monitors Member States’ compliance with the above provisions.

The ECB also monitors the EU central banks’ secondary market purchases of debt instruments issued by the domestic public sector, the public sector of other Member States and EU institutions and bodies. According to the recitals of Council Regulation (EC) No 3603/93, the acquisition of public sector debt instruments in the secondary market must not be used to circumvent the objective of Article 123 of the Treaty. Such purchases should not become a form of indirect monetary financing of the public sector.

In 2012 the following case could be seen as non-compliant with the provisions of Articles 123 and 124 of the Treaty and the related Council Regulations. Advance payments continued to be made by De Nederlandsche Bank in the context of the operation of the Dutch deposit insurance scheme. While new legislation is under way, the solution chosen will not make the Dutch deposit insurance scheme complaint with the monetary financing prohibition as it would continue to have a type of overdraft facility. Further changes by the Dutch government to the legal framework are therefore urgently required.

6.2 ADVISORY FUNCTIONS

Articles 127(4) and 282(5) of the Treaty require that the ECB be consulted on any proposed EU or draft national legislation falling within its fields of competence.26 All ECB opinions are published on the ECB’s website. ECB opinions on proposed EU legislation are also published in the Official Journal of the European Union.

26 The United Kingdom is exempt from the consultation obligation, pursuant to the Protocol on certain provisions relating to the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, which is annexed to the Treaty, OJ C 83, 30.3.2010, p. 284.
In 2012 the ECB adopted 16 opinions on proposed EU legislation and 95 on draft national legislation falling within the ECB’s fields of competence. A list of the opinions adopted in 2012 is annexed to this Annual Report (see Annex 1).

**OPINIONS ON PROPOSED EU LEGISLATION**

The ECB opinions issued at the request of the EU Council, the European Parliament, the European Council and the European Commission concerned, inter alia, strengthened economic governance of the euro area; the proposals for a directive and a regulation to replace the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive; a proposal amending the Regulation on credit rating agencies; a proposal for a regulation on improving securities settlement and on central securities depositories (CSDs); a proposal for a directive establishing a framework for the recovery and resolution of credit institutions and investment firms; and a proposal for a regulation conferring specific tasks on the ECB concerning policies relating to the prudential supervision of credit institutions.

The opinion on strengthened economic governance of the euro area welcomed the two proposed regulations and recommended some amendments aimed at further strengthening the budgetary discipline of the euro area countries and at further enhancing the surveillance of those experiencing or threatened with serious financial stability difficulties. In particular, the opinion recommended that one of the regulations cover the substance of the Treaty on Stability, Coordination and Governance in the Economic and Monetary Union, including the provisions on a mandatory medium-term budgetary objective and an automatic correction mechanism. The opinion also suggested that the EU Council should be obliged to make a recommendation to a Member State to seek financial assistance if the situation of that Member State has significant adverse effects on the financial stability of the euro area.

The ECB opinion on the proposal for a regulation amending the Regulation on credit rating agencies expressed support for the general objective of contributing to a reduction in financial stability risks and restoring the confidence of investors and market participants in financial markets and the quality of ratings. The ECB supported the gradual approach advocated by the Financial Stability Board and noted that references to the ratings of credit rating agencies should be removed or replaced only once credible alternatives have been identified and can be safely implemented.

In its opinion on a proposal for a regulation on improving securities settlement in the EU and on CSDs, the ECB strongly supported the Commission’s proposal, especially with regard to the enhancement of the legal and operational conditions for cross-border settlement in the EU in general and in TARGET2-Securities (T2S) in particular. The ECB recommended that the proposed regulation and corresponding implementing acts be adopted before the launch of T2S, which is planned for June 2015. This is important in order to ensure a safer, harmonised regulatory environment, as well as increased and fair competition among CSDs in the T2S context. The ECB also recommended that close and effective cooperation should be fostered between the European Securities and Markets Authority, the national competent authorities and the members of the ESCB, in their capacity as both overseers and central banks of issue, in particular with regard to the development of draft technical standards. Such cooperation should facilitate comprehensive supervision and oversight in a cross-border context.

---

27 CON/2012/21. This opinion is discussed in detail in Section 3.2 of Chapter 3.
28 CON/2012/99. This opinion is discussed in detail in Section 1.2 of Chapter 3.
29 CON/2012/96. This opinion is discussed in detail in Section 1.2 of Chapter 3.
30 CON/2012/18.
31 CON/2012/24.
32 CON/2012/62.
33 For more information about the T2S project, see Section 2.2 of this chapter
OPINIONS ON DRAFT NATIONAL LEGISLATION

There were a significant number of consultations by national authorities, many of which concerned measures relating to financial market stability.34

Several Member States consulted the ECB on recapitalisation measures. The ECB stressed the importance of bank recapitalisation as an essential condition for financial and systemic stability, as well as a precondition for the preservation, by Eurosystem counterparties, of their eligible counterparty status. The ECB expressed, in relation to Slovenia,35 a preference for bank recapitalisation to be carried out against cash contributions rather than against bonds issued by a state-owned bank assets management company, which would reinforce the link between the state and the banking sector.36 It also stated that the direct placement of government-issued debt instruments for the purpose of bank recapitalisation and their subsequent use as collateral in Eurosystem liquidity operations would give rise to monetary financing concerns in the absence of any alternative market-based funding sources.37 Commenting on amendments to the legal framework for the recapitalisation and resolution of credit institutions in Greece,38 the ECB highlighted the importance of clearly defining the Hellenic Financial Stability Fund’s responsibilities in order to avoid inadvertent interference with the Bank of Greece’s powers as a supervisory and resolution authority and guarantor of financial system stability. Addressing the issue of bridge recapitalisation, the ECB stated that the basic purpose of bridge recapitalisation was to ensure an increase in the loss-absorbing capital of a beneficiary credit institution.

The ECB welcomed the new framework for the recovery and resolution of credit institutions and investment firms in France.39 As part of the resolution framework, the ECB welcomed the development of a bail-in tool as a debt write-down or conversion mechanism to absorb losses of institutions that are failing or likely to fail. The bail-in mechanism should be in line with internationally agreed key attributes for effective resolution.

In relation to the financing of stabilisation funds, the ECB noted the need to ensure that the financial resources of funds used for the resolution or stabilisation of credit institutions are adequate and that the contributions to such funds raised from credit institutions are set at a level which is appropriately calibrated to ensure financial stability.40

The ECB broadly welcomed requirements for Spanish credit institutions to increase provisions for real estate exposures (both impaired and performing), as they would strengthen the resilience of the Spanish banking sector while limiting the scope for public intervention.41

Commenting on debt arrangements for over-indebted individuals in Greece and on personal insolvency measures in Ireland,42 the ECB called for a thorough impact assessment to be undertaken in view of the possible negative implications of such measures for credit institutions in their capacity as creditors and for the functioning of the financial system.

35 CON/2012/48 and CON/2012/71.
36 The ECB expressed a similar preference with regard to Portugal in CON/2012/23.
37 CON/2012/64 and CON/2012/71.
38 CON/2012/14, CON/2012/25 and CON/2012/39. See also CON/2012/90.
39 CON/2012/106.
40 CON/2012/88 and CON/2012/91.
41 CON/2012/11 and CON/2012/46.
42 CON/2012/40 and CON/2012/70.
In the context of measures to strengthen the credit union regulatory framework in Ireland, the ECB welcomed the substantial enhancement of the regulatory tools available to the Central Bank of Ireland to improve protection for credit union members and stability in the credit union sector.

In an opinion on a financial transaction tax imposed on certain transactions of the Magyar Nemzeti Bank, the ECB considered that such a tax would, inter alia, disrupt the monetary policy transmission mechanism, affect the selection of monetary policy tools, and possibly result in monetary financing. In a follow-up opinion, the ECB welcomed amendments which exclude central bank transactions from the base of the financial transaction tax and recommended further exempting from the tax all counterparties to transactions with the central bank involving securities that have not been issued by the central bank, in order to enable it to achieve its monetary policy objectives.

The ECB adopted several opinions concerning the NCBs, including amendments to the statutes of the Hungarian, Greek, Czech, Latvian, Spanish and Lithuanian NCBs. In its opinions on successive amendments to the Law on the Magyar Nemzeti Bank, the ECB expressed serious concerns with regard to central bank independence, inter alia, criticising the frequent changes in the number of decision-making body members and in their remuneration during their term of office. The ECB was consulted on amendments to the Statute of the Bank of Greece related to the central bank’s operations, tasks and governance, shareholder rights and profit distribution. In relation to the shareholder rights, the ECB noted the revision of the structure and rights of the Bank of Greece’s shareholders to eliminate possible conflicts of interest in the public policy role of the Bank. The ECB considered amendments to the Law on Latvijas Banka in the context of Latvia’s preparations for the introduction of the euro. Considering various aspects of central bank independence, the ECB noted that the financial independence of a central bank may be strengthened by arrangements whereby the operational costs for the discharge of some of its tasks are borne by the entities affected by such tasks.

The ECB considered the central bank’s role in macro-prudential oversight in the context of its opinions on the Law on Česká národní banka and with regard to a new financial stability committee in Germany. The opinions discussed, in particular, the importance of access to information relevant for the exercise of macro-prudential oversight tasks and issues relating to cross-border cooperation between the relevant authorities, in particular on actions taken to address systemic risks at the national level.

Several Member States consulted the ECB on limitations on cash payments, in the context of which the ECB stressed that such measures should be proportionate to the general objective of combating tax evasion and should not go beyond what is necessary to achieve this objective.

The ECB considered the treatment of unexchanged national banknotes, stating that the direct allocation of the value of such banknotes to state revenue outside the distribution of a central
bank’s profits would give rise to concerns with regard to the monetary financing prohibition and the principle of financial independence.\textsuperscript{53}

\textbf{CASES OF NON-COMPLIANCE}

In 2012 the ECB recorded 30 cases of non-compliance with the obligation to consult on draft national legislation\textsuperscript{54} and one case of non-compliance with the obligation to consult on draft EU legislation. The following 14 cases were considered clear and important.\textsuperscript{55}

There were five clear and important cases of non-compliance with the consultation obligation in relation to Greece. The first case concerned, inter alia, the purchase by credit institutions of own shares. This case was of general significance to the ESCB as it related to the framework for enhancing the liquidity of credit institutions. The ECB was also not consulted on legislation entailing a reduction in the salaries of staff and management at the Bank of Greece. The third case, considered to be of general significance to the ESCB, concerned legislation affecting financial institutions and Bank of Greece staff matters. Finally, there were two cases related to the recapitalisation and resolution of credit institutions where the ECB was consulted late in the legislative procedure.\textsuperscript{56}

There were also five clear and important cases of non-compliance in relation to Hungary. One of these cases related to measures to help distressed foreign exchange borrowers and another related to amendments to the rescue scheme for such borrowers; both concerned financial stability and the risks associated with foreign currency loans.\textsuperscript{57} Two further cases of non-compliance pertained to financial transaction tax legislation and were of general significance to the ESCB as they referred to central bank independence.\textsuperscript{58} Finally, the ECB was not consulted on legislation which, inter alia, extended the obligation of a deposit guarantee scheme to compensate a credit institution’s creditors to include cases where a credit institution’s winding-up procedure has been initiated.

The four remaining cases concerned Italy, Luxembourg, Slovenia and Spain. The Italian authorities failed to properly comply with the obligation to consult the ECB on legislation regarding a guarantee scheme for the liabilities of Italian banks and the treatment of outstanding lira banknotes. In its opinion,\textsuperscript{59} issued after the conversion of the relevant decree-law into law, the ECB made significant critical comments concerning the principle of financial independence and monetary financing. The Luxembourg government did not consult the ECB on legislation concerning the increase of Luxembourg’s quota with the IMF; this is deemed to be an important case since it is highly likely that the ECB would have been critical in its response. The Slovenian Ministry of Finance consulted the ECB on draft legislation allowing the recapitalisation of systemically important Slovenian banks in accordance with the European Banking Authority recommendations. This consultation took place too late in the legislative procedure for the ECB opinion\textsuperscript{60} to be taken into account before the legislation was adopted. Finally, the ECB issued an opinion on Spanish legislation concerning the reorganisation and sale of real estate assets in the financial sector.\textsuperscript{61} In this case, the ECB was consulted on a law which was already in force and not on draft legislative provisions.

\textsuperscript{53} CON/2012/4.
\textsuperscript{54} This includes (i) cases where a national authority failed to submit draft legislative provisions within the ECB’s field of competence for consultation to the ECB; and (ii) cases where a national authority formally consulted the ECB, but did not afford it sufficient time to examine draft legislative provisions and to adopt its opinion prior to adoption of these provisions.
\textsuperscript{55} The ECB understands “clear” to mean cases where there is no legal doubt that the ECB should have been consulted, and “important” to mean cases: (i) where, if consultation had properly taken place, the ECB would have made significant critical comments on the substance of the legislative proposal, and/or (ii) which are of general significance to the ESCB.
\textsuperscript{56} See CON/2012/23 and CON/2012/39.
\textsuperscript{57} See also CON/2012/27, in which the ECB made significant critical comments on the substance of the legislation.
\textsuperscript{58} See CON/2012/59 and CON/2012/94.
\textsuperscript{59} See CON/2012/4.
\textsuperscript{60} See CON/2012/48.
\textsuperscript{61} See CON/2012/46.
In addition, the failures to consult the ECB by Greece, Hungary, Italy, Lithuania and Spain in 2012 were considered to be clear and repetitive cases. Repetitive cases are those where the same Member State fails to consult the ECB at least three times in two consecutive years, with at least one case of non-compliance in each year under consideration.

6.3 Administration of Borrowing and Lending Operations

In line with earlier requests, the ECB continued to administer and/or process several borrowing and lending operations in 2012.

The ECB is responsible for the administration of the borrowing and lending operations of the EU under the medium-term financial assistance facility, as set out in Decision ECB/2003/14 of 7 November 2003.62 Interest payments on 11 loans were processed by the ECB. The total amount of outstanding EU lending operations under this facility as at 31 December 2012 was €11.4 billion, the same amount as at 31 December 2011.

The ECB is responsible for processing, on behalf of the lenders and the borrower, all payments related to the loan facility agreement for Greece.63 The original six loans were consolidated into a single loan on 15 June 2012. In 2012 the ECB processed interest payments on the loans as well as a balancing payment between two lenders on the occasion of the loan consolidation. The balance outstanding as at 31 December 2012 in respect of the pooled bilateral loans for the benefit of Greece was €52.9 billion, the same amount as at 31 December 2011.

The ECB is responsible for processing, on behalf of the lenders and the borrower, all payments related to the loan facility agreement for Greece.63 The original six loans were consolidated into a single loan on 15 June 2012. In 2012 the ECB processed interest payments on the loans as well as a balancing payment between two lenders on the occasion of the loan consolidation. The balance outstanding as at 31 December 2012 in respect of the pooled bilateral loans for the benefit of Greece was €52.9 billion, the same amount as at 31 December 2011.

The ECB is responsible for processing, on behalf of the lenders and the borrower, all payments related to the loan facility agreement for Greece.63 The original six loans were consolidated into a single loan on 15 June 2012. In 2012 the ECB processed interest payments on the loans as well as a balancing payment between two lenders on the occasion of the loan consolidation. The balance outstanding as at 31 December 2012 in respect of the pooled bilateral loans for the benefit of Greece was €52.9 billion, the same amount as at 31 December 2011.

The ECB is responsible for the administration of the European Financial Stabilisation Mechanism (EFSM).64 In 2012 the ECB processed eight disbursement payments on behalf of the EU and transferred these amounts to the borrower countries (Ireland and Portugal). Interest payments on ten loans were processed by the ECB. The total amount of outstanding EU lending operations under the EFSM as at 31 December 2012 was €43.8 billion, compared with €28 billion as at 31 December 2011.

The ECB is responsible for the administration of European Financial Stability Facility (EFSF) loans to Member States whose currency is the euro.65 In 2012 the ECB processed 15 disbursement payments on behalf of the EFSF and transferred these amounts to the borrower countries (Ireland, Portugal and Greece). Interest payments on eight loans and six interim loan rollover payments were processed by the ECB.

In accordance with Articles 17 and 21 of the Statute of the ESCB, the ECB may open accounts, and act as fiscal agent, for the European Stability Mechanism (ESM). Accordingly, the ECB has agreed with the ESM that it will be responsible for the transfer of funds of ESM loans to beneficiary Member States whose currency is the euro, and has opened an account for the ESM.

62 In accordance with Article 141(2) of the Treaty, Articles 17, 21.2, 43.1 and 46.1 of the Statute of the ESCB and Article 9 of Council Regulation (EC) No 332/2002 of 18 February 2002.
63 In the context of the loan facility agreement between the Member States whose currency is the euro (other than Greece and Germany) and Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau (acting in the public interest, subject to the instructions of and with the benefit of the guarantee of the Federal Republic of Germany) as lenders and the Hellenic Republic as borrower and the Bank of Greece as agent to the borrower, and pursuant to Articles 17 and 21.2 of the Statute of the ESCB and Article 2 of Decision ECB/2010/4 of 10 May 2010.
64 In accordance with Articles 122(2) and 132(1) of the Treaty, Articles 17 and 21 of the Statute of the ESCB, and Article 8 of Council Regulation (EU) No 407/2010 of 11 May 2010.
65 In accordance with Articles 17 and 21 of the Statute of the ESCB (in conjunction with Article 3(5) of the EFSF Framework Agreement).
6.4 EUROSYSTEM RESERVE MANAGEMENT SERVICES

In 2012 a comprehensive set of services continued to be offered within the framework established in 2005 for the management of Eurosystem customers’ euro-denominated reserve assets. The complete set of services – which is available to central banks, monetary authorities and government agencies located outside the euro area, as well as to international organisations – is offered under harmonised terms and conditions in line with general market standards by individual Eurosystem central banks (the Eurosystem service providers). The ECB performs an overall coordinating role, ensuring the smooth functioning of the framework. The number of customers maintaining a business relationship with the Eurosystem increased slightly, from 289 in 2011 to 299 in 2012. With regard to the services themselves, in the course of 2012 there was a substantial increase (+17%) in customers’ total cash balances (including deposits), whereas securities holdings remained stable. The ECB began work in 2012 to examine possible ways of further improving the general operational efficiency and the range of services offered to customers of the Eurosystem Reserve Management Services. Changes are being considered to improve the flexibility of limits on customer cash holdings. This work is to be finalised in the early part of 2013.
The mounting of the energy-efficient facade panels for the double office tower began in February 2012 and is continuing alongside the fit-out works and the installation of the technical infrastructure. The facade has a grey, non-mirror finish with a light green sheen so that it blends into the surrounding environment.
CHAPTER 3

FINANCIAL STABILITY, TASKS RELATED TO THE ESRB, AND FINANCIAL INTEGRATION

I. FINANCIAL STABILITY

The Eurosystem contributes to the smooth conduct of policies pursued by the competent national authorities relating to the prudential supervision of credit institutions and the stability of the financial system. The ECB provides advice to the European Commission on the scope and implementation of EU legislation in the fields of prudential supervision and financial stability. Furthermore, the ECB provides analytical, statistical and logistical support to the macro-prudential discussions in the European Systemic Risk Board (ESRB).

1.1 FINANCIAL STABILITY MONITORING

THE EURO AREA BANKING SECTOR

As part of the Eurosystem and together with the ESCB’s Financial Stability Committee, the ECB monitors risks to financial stability and assesses the shock-absorbing capacity of the euro area financial system. Much of the focus of this important task is on banks, as they remain the primary financial intermediaries in the euro area. This notwithstanding, other financial institutions, in particular insurance corporations, are also monitored closely. The related monitoring necessarily extends to non-financial sectors of the economy, which interact closely with the banking sector in its intermediation role. Moreover, owing to the importance of financial markets, financial infrastructures and other financial institutions, and their linkages with banks, vulnerabilities in these components of the financial system are also monitored by the ESCB.

In the course of 2012 several actions taken by policy-makers in the euro area contributed to alleviating heightened financial stability concerns. In late 2011 the ECB announced two three-year longer-term refinancing operations (LTROs), the first of which was conducted in December 2011 and the second in February 2012. The significant take-up of liquidity in these two LTROs helped to alleviate the acute funding pressures faced by euro area banks at that time and thereby prevented what could otherwise have been a disorderly deleveraging process. Moreover, at the EU summit on 28 and 29 June 2012, several decisions to strengthen the foundations of the euro area were taken. Notably, these concerned the establishment of a single supervisory mechanism (SSM), a more flexible and efficient use of the European Financial Stability Facility and the European Stability Mechanism, and the possibility of direct banking sector recapitalisation. Market sentiment and bank funding conditions, however, only rebounded forcefully after the speech given by the President of the ECB in London on 26 July and following the ECB’s announcement of measures to remove euro area “tail risk”, including the unveiling of Outright Monetary Transactions on 6 September 2012 (see also Section 1 of Chapter 2). As a follow-up to the June summit, the Presidents of the European Council, the European Commission, the Eurogroup and the ECB submitted a first report to the EU Heads of State or Government outlining the four building blocks of a deeper union: banking union,

1 For example, since the end of 2004 the ECB has published its Financial Stability Review, a semi-annual report on the stability of the euro area financial system.
fiscal union, economic union and political union. On 12 December 2012 the ECOFIN Council set out its position on proposals aimed at establishing an SSM for the oversight of banks.2

Following a period of relative calm in the financial system at the beginning of 2012 in the wake of the two three-year LTROs, the euro area sovereign debt crisis reintensified in the late spring and early summer of 2012. A pernicious interplay between distress in the sovereign debt market, deteriorating economic activity and funding and capital constraints on banks – especially in countries where the sovereign was under stress – continued to pose risks to euro area financial stability. The decisions by the European Council in June to initiate concrete steps towards a banking union and the speech by the President of the ECB in London in July, as well as the ECB’s announcement in the late summer of measures to remove euro area tail risk, provided a significant boost to flagging market sentiment. This notwithstanding, fragility in the financial system persists. In particular, risks remain regarding policy implementation, weak macroeconomic growth prospects and the fragmentation of euro area financial markets.

The financial performance of euro area large and complex banking groups (LCBGs) deteriorated somewhat in the first half of 2012, negatively affected by lower trading income, loan loss provisions and sovereign debt write-downs. Net interest income continued to be the main source of income for LCBGs. In an environment of weak profitability, this source remained broadly stable across banks. Net fee and commission income likewise remained relatively stable, as the negative effects from sluggish economic activity and weak lending growth were mitigated by income generated from corporate bond issuance. Although characterised by considerable heterogeneity, the average earnings outlook for euro area banks remained subdued owing to a combination of economic and regulatory forces.

The solvency position of euro area LCBGs continued to steadily improve, thus extending the trend observed in previous years. This development was due both to increases in capital levels – primarily through retained earnings and asset-liability management – and to reductions in risk-weighted assets via deleveraging and risk-weighted-asset optimisation. The European Banking Authority’s 2011 EU Capital Exercise, which was concluded in September 2012, contributed to increasing bank capital ratios, with all affected banks closing the identified capital gaps in the course of 2012.3

Regarding bank funding, euro area banks refinanced only a fraction of their term debt that matured in 2012, implying a negative net issuance of close to €200 billion for the euro area banking sector as a whole in the first ten months of 2012. While this development may be partly related to the Eurosystem’s three-year LTROs, which attenuated the need for some banks to access financing in the near term, the negative net issuance could also reflect the ongoing deleveraging and restructuring processes in some banking sectors.4 Moreover, the cost of issuing new debt remained highly heterogeneous across banks, mainly according to the country of the issuer. In the third quarter of 2012 improved sentiment in credit markets contributed to better bank funding conditions, which led both to increased debt issuance volumes and to a tightening of spreads on senior unsecured debt and covered bonds. As a further sign of improvement, second-tier lenders from distressed countries also returned to the debt markets, despite the fact that they still faced high refinancing costs. Indeed, the fragmentation of funding conditions in the euro area remained a feature of bank funding markets throughout 2012.

2 For more details, see Section 1.2 of this chapter.
3 Further recapitalisations of Spanish banks in response to the capital shortfalls, identified in the stress-test exercise conducted by the Spanish authorities during the second and third quarters of 2012, were initiated in the fourth quarter of 2012 and should be completed by the second quarter of 2013.
4 See also Special Feature A in Financial Stability Review, ECB, June 2012.
The weakening economic environment and the associated deterioration in credit quality resulted in a broad-based increase in loan loss provisions of LCBGs in the second half of 2012 following a decrease earlier in the year. The levels of credit exposure of the euro area banking sector to households and firms remained broadly stable after increasing in early 2012, although there was considerable heterogeneity across countries and banks. Moreover, the level of credit risk facing euro area banks increased in the course of the year owing to the deterioration in the credit quality of borrowers, as reflected by higher levels of non-performing loans and loan write-off rates.

OTHER FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
The non-bank financial sector was affected by strong links between financial sectors and across market segments. On aggregate, the financial soundness of large primary insurers in the euro area remained broadly stable in the first three quarters of 2012, whereas underwriting performance was moderate on account of modest economic activity. Despite the toll taken by natural disasters over recent years, the capital buffers of large euro area insurers still seemed to include some shock-absorption capacity. Reinsurers in particular were able to strengthen their capital base by retaining earnings, although these stronger positions partially reflected accounting effects such as low yields on highly rated government bonds and the fact that liabilities are not marked to market in most euro area countries. While the outlook for large euro area insurers is stable, there is a high degree of heterogeneity across the individual institutions and countries. Low government bond yields and subdued economic activity continued to strain profitability and therefore capital.

Turning to the “shadow banking” sector, which comprises activities related to credit intermediation that take place outside the regular banking system, recent estimates suggest that it accounts for around half of all banking sector assets. Hedge funds constitute an important part of the shadow banking sector. In 2012 hedge fund investment performance was quite volatile, being closely tied to rapidly evolving market conditions over the course of the year. For the sector as a whole, the investment performance was slightly below the median of historical returns. According to various estimates, investor net flows into the hedge fund sector slowed down after having increased in the first quarter of 2012. Nonetheless, institutional investors continued to express interest in hedge fund investments, not least because of low nominal yields on traditional debt investments. In the course of 2012 funding pressures on hedge funds, associated with large and sudden withdrawals of short-term financing provided by banks and the resulting disorderly fire sales of assets, were relatively subdued. However, bank financing could be withdrawn quickly should banking sector soundness or market conditions suddenly deteriorate. Flows of capital from US prime money market funds to euro area banks remained fairly volatile throughout the year, closely correlated with euro area financial stress.

1.2 FINANCIAL STABILITY ARRANGEMENTS

Important work was undertaken in the field of crisis management and resolution in 2012, with substantial progress being made towards improving financial stability arrangements at the EU level.

On 6 June 2012 the European Commission published a legislative proposal for a framework for recovery and resolution of credit institutions and investment firms. The proposed framework sets

5 See, for example, “Shadow banking in the euro area: an overview”, Occasional Paper Series, No 133, ECB, April 2012.
6 A more detailed analysis of hedge fund investment performance can be found in Financial Stability Review, ECB, December 2012.
out the necessary steps and establishes the tools and powers to ensure that bank failures across the EU are managed in a way which avoids financial instability and minimises costs for taxpayers. In its opinion on the proposal, the ECB fully supported the development of a recovery and resolution framework and the removal of obstacles to effective crisis management at financial institutions. All financial institutions should be allowed to fail in an orderly manner, safeguarding the stability of the financial system as a whole and minimising public costs and economic disruption. For this purpose, the development of common support tools to manage the failure of financial institutions – such as recovery and resolution plans, a bridge bank, as well as bail-in, sale of business and asset separation tools – is essential. In particular, the ECB supports the development of the bail-in tool, but also acknowledges that further studies need to be conducted prior to its introduction. The ECB intends to contribute to the further analysis of the practical implications of the bail-in as a resolution tool.

The proposed EU framework for recovery and resolution is fully in line with the new international standard for resolution regimes – the “Key Attributes of Effective Resolution Regimes for Financial Institutions” – developed by the Financial Stability Board (FSB). As a member of the FSB, the ECB continued to actively contribute to and support the development of such a framework in 2012, notably by being fully involved in the activities of the FSB’s Resolution Steering Group and Cross-Border Crisis Management Working Group. In this context, the ECB also contributed to supporting authorities and crisis management groups in developing effective resolution strategies and operational resolution plans for global systemically important financial institutions (G-SIFIs). In 2012 it was agreed that the FSB’s Resolution Steering Group, in which the ECB participates, would address the remaining obstacles to the implementation of resolution strategies for G-SIFIs, with the aim of having in place the first series of strategies and operational plans by mid-2013.

The proposed EU recovery and resolution framework is a vital building block in the process of creating a financial market union. During 2012 the ECB was actively engaged in preparing and supporting the progression towards a financial market union, not least in the context of the four presidents’ work on how to achieve a genuine Economic and Monetary Union. In this context, at the end of June 2012 the euro area summit and the European Council affirmed their resolve to achieve a genuine Economic and Monetary Union. To this end, the President of the European Council was invited to develop, in close collaboration with the President of the European Commission, the President of the Eurogroup and the President of the ECB, a specific and time-bound roadmap for the achievement of a genuine Economic and Monetary Union.

A major step towards the creation of a financial market union was taken in September 2012 with the European Commission’s proposal to establish a single supervisory mechanism, which will confer specific tasks on the ECB concerning policies relating to the prudential supervision of credit institutions. The SSM will be created at the euro area level, but with the possibility for non-euro area countries to engage in close cooperation with the ECB and be part of the SSM.

In its opinion, the ECB supports the creation of the SSM and stands ready to perform the new tasks relating to the prudential supervision of credit institutions provided for in the proposed regulation. From the ECB’s perspective, the proposed SSM regulation should comply with the following main principles. First, the ECB, within the SSM, should be able to carry out the tasks assigned to it effectively and rigorously without any risk to its reputation. Second, the ECB should remain

---

8 CON/2012/99. For further details of this contribution, see the ECB’s website.
9 The Presidents of the European Council, the European Commission, the Eurogroup and the ECB. For further details, see the European Commission’s website (http://ec.europa.eu).
10 CON/2012/96. For further details of this contribution, see the ECB’s website.
independent in carrying out all its tasks. Third, there should be a strict separation between the ECB’s new tasks concerning supervision and its monetary policy tasks assigned by the Treaty. Fourth, the ECB should be able to have full recourse to the knowledge, expertise and operational resources of national supervisory authorities. Fifth, the SSM should operate in a manner fully consistent with the principles underpinning the single market in financial services and in full adherence to the single rulebook for financial services. In this regard, the ECB also welcomed the possibility to involve non-euro area Member States in the SSM to ensure greater harmonisation of supervisory practices within the EU, thus strengthening the internal market. Sixth, the ECB is ready to comply with the highest standards of accountability when performing these supervisory tasks. Furthermore, the ECB is of the opinion that a single resolution mechanism is a necessary complement to the SSM to achieve a well-functioning financial market union. Therefore, such a mechanism should be established, or at least there should be clear deadlines for its establishment, when the ECB assumes its supervisory responsibility in full. Accordingly, the ECB called upon the Commission to urgently present a separate proposal for an independent European resolution mechanism, including aspects of a common European resolution fund.11

On 12 December the ECOFIN Council agreed on a general approach towards proposals aimed at establishing a single supervisory mechanism for the prudential supervision of credit institutions. The establishment of the SSM is essential for the functioning of Monetary Union. The independent supranational supervision by the SSM will help to restore confidence in the banking sector, which will help to reverse financial fragmentation, prevent the flight of bank deposits and contribute to restoring a well-functioning interbank market.

The agreement on the SSM is a milestone in European integration. Member States have agreed to assign to the European level a complete set of banking supervision powers over all banks of the euro area countries, as well as the banks of non-euro area countries joining the SSM, by conferring specific supervisory tasks on the ECB. The SSM will be fully open to the participation of all Member States on an equal footing. It is also a major step for the single financial market. In addition, the SSM will contribute to the effective application of the single rulebook for financial services, while helping to better address systemic risks in Europe.

On 14 December the European Council agreed on a roadmap for the completion of EMU, based on deeper integration and reinforced solidarity. The Council concluded that the process would begin with the completion, strengthening and implementation of new enhanced economic governance, as well as the adoption of the SSM and new rules on recovery, resolution and deposit guarantees. This will be completed by the establishment of a single resolution mechanism. A number of other important issues will be further examined before the June 2013 European Council meeting, concerning the coordination of national reforms, the social dimension of EMU, the feasibility and modalities of mutually agreed contracts for competitiveness and growth, and solidarity mechanisms, as well as measures to promote the deepening of the Single Market and to protect its integrity. Throughout this process, democratic legitimacy and accountability will be ensured.

Finally, during 2012 the ECB also issued several opinions related to financial market stability in the euro area.12

---

11 See also CON/2012/99.
12 See, for example, CON/2012/14, CON/2012/30, CON/2012/53, CON/2012/58 and CON/2012/71, among others. All ECB opinions are published on the ECB’s website.
2 TASKS CONCERNING THE FUNCTIONING OF THE EUROPEAN SYSTEMIC RISK BOARD

2.1 INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

2012 was the second year of operation of the European Systemic Risk Board (ESRB). The ESRB is responsible for the macro-prudential oversight of the EU financial system, with the ECB providing the ESRB Secretariat with analytical, statistical, logistical and administrative support.

For the first five years of its existence, the ESRB will be chaired by the President of the ECB. In 2012 the ESRB was therefore chaired by Mario Draghi.

With 25 staff, the ESRB Secretariat supported the day-to-day business of the ESRB, including the provision of administrative support to the institutional bodies, the conduct of relevant analytical work, contributions to defining a framework for macro-prudential strategy and its implementation, as well as cooperation with supervisory authorities.

In terms of its activities in 2012, the ESRB used the powers entrusted to it by Regulation (EU) No 1092/2010 and adopted two recommendations: Recommendation ESRB/2012/1 on money market funds and Recommendation ESRB/2012/2 on funding of credit institutions.13 Moreover, in accordance with Article 3(2)(g) of the above-mentioned Regulation, the ESRB published in September 2012 the first issue of the ESRB risk dashboard – a set of quantitative and qualitative indicators aimed at identifying and measuring systemic risk.

The ESRB used the various channels foreseen in Regulation (EU) No 1092/2010 to ensure its accountability as a new European public body. This included three hearings in the European Parliament where the Chair explained how he intended to discharge his duties, as well as other regular hearings and confidential discussions on the ongoing activity of the ESRB with the Chair and Vice-Chairs of the Economic and Monetary Affairs Committee of the European Parliament. The ESRB also reported in several forms to the European Council on warnings and recommendations and their follow-up. The ESRB published its first Annual Report, covering 2011, in May 2012.

2.2 ANALYTICAL, STATISTICAL, LOGISTICAL AND ORGANISATIONAL SUPPORT TO THE ESRB

The ECB continued to ensure the ESRB Secretariat and thereby provide analytical, statistical, logistical and organisational support to the ESRB. In the second year of ESRB operations, the ECB contributed to the regular monitoring, identification and assessment of EU-wide systemic risks. In addition to the regular financial stability surveillance and assessment activities, the ECB continued to provide its analytical and statistical support, as described below.

13 The two recommendations were made public in February 2013.
ANALYTICAL SUPPORT

In 2012 the ECB continued to provide analytical support to the ESRB in the form of contributions to the regular reports monitoring and identifying systemic risks faced by the EU financial system and including assessments of the potential impact of those risks.

Contributions are based on ECB expertise as well as information collected through market intelligence efforts, in particular to support the systemic risk identification process. Regular systemic risk surveillance and assessment work also relies on and benefits from information received from ESRB member institutions. Systemic risk indicators are important analytical tools aiding the risk surveillance work of the ESRB. The risk assessment is supported, in particular, by macro-stress-testing tools and the analysis of propagation channels to assess and rank the potential severity of specific risks.

In addition, the ECB also contributed to the various workstreams set up by the ESRB to assess systemic risk and to the preparation of recommendations on regulatory matters or legislative initiatives.

In cooperation with the NCBs and national and European supervisory authorities – via the ESRB’s Advisory Technical Committee and its sub-structures – the ECB regularly reviews the available tools, with the objective of continuously improving the analytical framework, and develops new analytical tools to fill identified analytical gaps.

The ESCB’s Macro-prudential Research Network plays an important role in this regard. The work conducted so far can be grouped according to the Network’s three main workstreams: (i) macro-financial models linking financial stability and the performance of the economy; (ii) early warning systems and systemic risk indicators; and (iii) assessing contagion risks.

The main focus of the first workstream has been to provide theoretical and empirical frameworks which integrate widespread financial instability into aggregate models. Work is progressing on a joint cross-country project involving nine NCBs and the ECB to develop a quantitative model for assessing macro-prudential policies. The model features heterogeneous banks, households and firms, which can default in equilibrium, an interbank market with a central bank, various financial frictions and externalities (including fire sales) associated with business and mortgage loan defaults.

The model also incorporates variables such as capital and liquidity requirements, dividend restrictions, loan-to-value and loan-to-income ratios for mortgages, leverage ratios and certain taxes or levies, which can be used as regulatory instruments. The model is intended to be used in assessing the impact of macro-prudential regulatory policies on the stability of the financial system (in terms of the probability of widespread bank defaults), as well as on lending and real activity.

Research in the second workstream is intended to produce output which is more immediately operational. Researchers provided the ESRB with a number of useful operational tools, such as indicators of current systemic stress and key early warning indicators for systemic financial instability and widespread imbalances. Another contribution was the production of a database of

---

14 The establishment of the Network was approved by the General Council of the ECB in 2010, with the objective to develop core conceptual frameworks, models and/or tools that would provide research support with the aim of improving macro-prudential supervision in the EU.

15 For more details, see The report on the first two years of the Macro-prudential Research Network, ECB, October 2012.

16 For instance, the composite indicator of systemic stress, which was developed at the ECB within the context of the Macro-prudential Research Network, is now included in the new risk dashboard released by the ESRB. See ESRB risk dashboard, Issue 1, European Systemic Risk Board, 20 September 2012 (available on the ESRB’s website at http://www.esrb.europa.eu).
crises in EU countries, which provides a homogeneous basis for assessing the performance of early warning and systemic stress indicators.

The main focus of the research in the third workstream is the assessment of cross-border bank contagion via money markets across EU countries. Over the last year, a special effort was undertaken to account for the recent crisis developments in Europe, with a focus on sovereign contagion. In order to complete several ongoing cross-country projects, the Macro-prudential Research Network will continue to operate until the end of 2013 and a final report is envisaged for the spring of 2014.

**STATISTICAL SUPPORT**

In 2012 the ECB, assisted by the NCBs, provided statistical support to the ESRB through several channels, having full regard for the fact that the availability of reliable and sound data is a prerequisite for risk identification and assessment.

In the second year of activity of the ESRB, several important legislative initiatives were developed at the EU level. In the context of the elaboration of a sound statistical basis for macro-prudential policy in the EU, the ECB reviewed the potential impact of forthcoming EU legislation on the provision of statistical information to the ESRB. In the framework of its statistical support to the ESRB, the ECB contributed to the development by the European Supervisory Authorities (ESAs) of relevant and harmonised reporting standards and, where appropriate, clarified the ESRB data requirements which had been reflected in public ESRB contributions.

The statistical support provided by the ECB benefited from its participation in the ESRB Contact Group on Data. This group, which was established under the aegis of the ESRB Steering Committee to address issues related to the exchange of information among the ESCB, the ESRB and the ESAs, in particular promotes further coordination between the ECB and these institutions, facilitates the minimisation of the reporting burden and fosters the necessary cooperation across institutions to enhance the relevance, reliability and timeliness of data.

The ESCB was also involved in the preparation of the ESRB risk dashboard, released for the first time after the ESRB General Board meeting on 20 September 2012. The ESRB risk dashboard – as required by the ESRB Regulation (Article 3(2)(g)) – is a set of quantitative and qualitative indicators to identify and measure systemic risk in the EU financial system. This statistical instrument comprises indicators broken down by risk category, namely interlinkages and composite measures of systemic risk, macro risk, credit risk, funding and liquidity risk, market risk, profitability and solvency. The ESRB risk dashboard is now one of the communication instruments of the ESRB, as it provides statistical information concerning risks and vulnerabilities in the financial system to the public at large.

In the same vein, the ECB supports the ESRB’s risk surveillance and risk analysis work through a quarterly macro-prudential review that presents detailed statistical information with a pivotal role in the identification and assessment of systemic risk faced by the national and EU financial systems. For this purpose, the ECB has responsibility for ensuring the regular provision to the ESRB of statistical and other information, in accordance with ESRB Decision 2011/6. In particular, steps

17 Such ongoing initiatives include the Capital Requirements Directive and the Capital Requirements Regulation, the Solvency II Directive, the European Market Infrastructure Regulation, the Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive and the Regulation on Credit Rating Agencies.
were taken during the year to improve the quality of the aggregated supervisory information that
the ESAs transmitted to the ECB and to include this information in the ESRB risk dashboard and
the quarterly macro-prudential review. Finally, the ECB put in place measures to ensure access by
the ESAs and the ESRB to non-published statistical information collected within the framework of
the ESCB.

3 FINANCIAL REGULATION AND SUPERVISION

3.1 BANKING

In 2012 the ECB continued to participate in and contribute to the work being carried out by the
Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS), including the revision and finalisation of certain
elements of the new capital and liquidity standards (Basel III). A key area of current policy debate is
liquidity regulation. In this regard, the ECB welcomes the endorsement by the Group of Governors
and Heads of Supervision of the Basel Committee’s amendments to the liquidity coverage ratio
(LCR), as agreed in January 2013. The amendments include a limited recognition of additional
assets in the range of eligible high-quality liquid assets, some refinements to the assumed inflow
and outflow rates to better reflect experience in times of stress, a revised timetable for the phasing-in
of the standard and a reaffirmation of the usability of the stock of liquid assets in periods of stress.
These changes are in line with the ECB’s view that, while the need for strict liquidity requirements
for banks to hold more liquid assets as well as to better price and manage liquidity risk is indisputable,
the review of the initially proposed framework takes better into account the possible impact of
the regulation on the interbank market and on the interaction with monetary policy operations.
The ECB also supported a more gradual implementation of the liquidity framework in order to avoid
disrupting the recovery process in the banking sector and lending to the real economy, especially
in light of the stress in some countries and the ongoing macroeconomic adjustment programmes.
The LCR is planned to come into force in 2015, although banks will now have until 1 January 2019 to
fully meet the standard.

In line with the commitment made by the G20 leaders in November 2010, the ECB to a large
extent supports national and international efforts currently focusing on a timely and consistent
implementation of the Basel III standards.

The ECB also contributes to the implementation of the Basel standards in Europe and considers the
European Commission’s proposals for a capital requirements directive and regulation (known as
CRD IV/CRR), which will transpose the Basel III framework into European law, to be an important
step towards strengthening the regulation of the banking and investment firm sector and creating a
sounder and safer financial system in the EU.

In this regard, the ECB published its opinion on CRD IV/CRR on 27 January 2012. The ECB
stressed the importance of reaching an agreement among EU Member States on the CRD IV/CRR
text as soon as possible. In its opinion, the ECB expressed strong support for the “single rulebook”

---

18 Supervisors may now choose to include within the Level 2 category of high-quality liquid assets (HQLAs) an additional class of assets,
knew as Level 2B assets. This new category may comprise no more than 15% of the total stock of HQLAs. It includes a broader range
of corporate bonds, a selection of listed equities (both with a 50% haircut), and some highly rated residential mortgage-backed securities
(with a 25% haircut).

19 CON/2012/5.
approach, which will ensure that financial institutions providing financial services in the Single Market comply with one set of prudential rules.

This approach is expected to further enhance financial integration in Europe and provide a sound basis for the uniform application of rules in the planned single supervisory mechanism, where it is envisaged that the ECB will take responsibility for specific tasks both for micro- and macro-prudential supervision, in close cooperation with national authorities, of credit institutions. As regards macro-prudential supervision, the ECB considers it important that the regulation make it possible for the ECB to apply more stringent prudential requirements than those applied by national authorities where systemic or macro-prudential risks arise.

Following the publication in November 2011 of policy measures to address the issue of global systemically important banks (G-SIBs), the Financial Stability Board (FSB) and the BCBS, in line with the G20 mandate, made good progress in completing the framework, by extending it from G-SIBs to also encompass domestic systemically important banks (D-SIBs).

The basic premise of this line of work is that the financial distress of a D-SIB – even in the absence of major direct cross-border externalities – has a wider impact on the domestic financial system when compared with that of a non-systemic institution. Against this background, the D-SIB approach adopts a complementary perspective to the G-SIB regime, i.e. by focusing on the impact of an eventual failure on the domestic economy.

Furthermore, in view of the implementation of the G-SIB framework, work was conducted in 2012 to enhance both the data quality and the robustness of the methodology underlying the G-SIB identification and calibration. In this context, the FSB published in November 2012 an updated list of G-SIBs based on the most recently available data (as at end-2011), with the important indication of their bucket classification by level of prospective additional loss absorbency requirements.

The ECB actively supported the work of the FSB and the BCBS in this important field. The ECB endorses these new international standards, as they are instrumental in addressing the negative externalities related to systemic institutions. In particular, the extension to D-SIBs represents welcome progress towards ensuring that all systemic institutions, irrespective of their scope of activity, are subject to regulatory requirements commensurate to the risks that they pose to the financial system and the real economy.

### 3.2 Securities

In 2012 the comprehensive reform of the EU framework for securities regulation continued, in particular regarding the discussions on the finalisation of key legislative initiatives such as the review of the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MiFID review). The ECB, in its opinion of 22 March 2012, broadly supported the MiFID review, which represents an important step towards strengthening the protection of investors and creating a sounder and safer financial system in the EU.

More specifically, the ECB welcomed the European Commission’s suggestions to upgrade the market structure framework by broadening the scope of the EU regulatory framework to include a new trading platform – the Organised Trading Facility (OTF). It also supported the proposed
extension of the scope of pre- and post-trade transparency requirements beyond equity instruments, to include bonds, structured products and derivatives, as it would enhance the price formation process of such instruments. The ECB also supported the proposals to increase data consolidation, as they would ensure an efficient comparison of prices and trades across venues. Given that algorithmic and high-frequency trading may pose risks to the liquidity and efficiency of financial markets, especially in times of stress, the ECB welcomed the proposals to introduce appropriate safeguards for trading venues offering access to high-frequency traders and measures aimed at facilitating the oversight and monitoring of these new trading strategies. Finally, the ECB recommended further improvements in the cooperation and exchange of information within the European System of Financial Supervision and between supervisory authorities and ESCB central banks.

On 2 April 2012 the ECB issued an opinion on a legislative proposal of the European Commission amending Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 on credit rating agencies, as well as Directives 2009/65/EC and 2011/61/EU.22

In the course of 2012 the ECB was also actively involved in the initiatives aimed at strengthening the regulation of shadow banking, by contributing to the policy and regulatory debate at the FSB level. In addition, the ECB contributed to the Commission’s public consultation on a Green Paper on shadow banking23 by providing a Eurosystem response.24 In that response, it was emphasised that any regulatory initiative should be consistent with the work of the FSB in order to ensure a level playing field and avoid regulatory arbitrage.

As regards concrete regulatory proposals, the ECB supported the Commission’s view that a permanent process should be created at the EU level for the collection and exchange of information concerning shadow banking, suggesting that the European Systemic Risk Board would be well placed to play such a role. It also pointed out the need for more transparency with regard to repo transactions in view of their relevance for monetary policy implementation and financial stability. In this context, the ECB put forward a proposal to set up a central EU database for collecting repo data directly from infrastructures and custodian banks, which would improve the visibility of this market segment. In addition, the ECB emphasised the beneficial effects in terms of financial stability of the use of central counterparties in repo transactions. Lastly, it supported proposals to define a regulatory framework for repo haircuts to curb pro-cyclical effects, which should however be set out and calibrated carefully so as to preserve the efficient transmission of monetary policy.

3.3 ACCOUNTING

In 2012 the ECB continued to contribute to the accounting work carried out in various fora, including in sub-structures of the BCBS and the European Banking Authority.

Throughout the year, the International Accounting Standards Board and the Financial Accounting Standards Board continued the work on aligning their respective accounting frameworks. Despite some progress in 2012, material differences continue to exist in key accounting areas, namely in financial instruments accounting (e.g. impairment methodologies, netting). In addition, the US Securities and Exchange Commission’s much-delayed decision on the adoption of the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) in the United States was pushed back even

22 CON/2012/24. This opinion is discussed in detail in Section 6.2 of Chapter 2.
24 Commission’s Green Paper on shadow banking – the Eurosystem’s reply, 5 July 2012 (available on the ECB’s website).
further. All in all, the developments in 2012 render nearly impossible a timely response to the G20 call for a single set of high-quality global accounting standards and to meet the target of issuing standards on key convergence projects by mid-2013 at the latest. Nonetheless, achieving convergence in key areas of accounting remains critically important. A case in point is the standard on impairment of financial assets. The two accounting standard-setters are expected to renew their efforts to converge in a timely manner. Such a convergence process should, however, not be detrimental to the quality of the IFRS. Furthermore, a sound impairment methodology is important from a financial stability perspective.

4 FINANCIAL INTEGRATION

The Eurosystem and the ESCB contribute to enhancing European financial integration by: (i) raising awareness of and monitoring financial integration; (ii) acting as a catalyst for private sector activities by facilitating collective action; (iii) giving advice on the legislative and regulatory framework for the financial system and direct rule-making; and (iv) providing central banking services that foster financial integration.

RAISING AWARENESS OF AND MONITORING FINANCIAL INTEGRATION

In April 2012 the ECB published its sixth annual report on “Financial integration in Europe”.25 The main purpose of the report is to contribute to the analysis of the advancement of European financial integration and to raise public awareness of the Eurosystem’s role in supporting this process, providing information about the state of integration and thus an empirical basis for policy measures to foster further financial integration. This issue of the report focused on the impact of the sovereign debt crisis in the euro area on financial integration and provided an outlook for future policy initiatives. The report also contained more detailed analyses of the following topics: (i) the impact of weakening financial integration on monetary policy transmission and the Eurosystem’s operational framework; (ii) sectoral balances and euro area financial integration; (iii) the benefits of the EU’s single financial market revisited in the light of the crisis; and (iv) institutional reform in the European Union and financial integration. The report concluded with an overview of the Eurosystem’s contribution towards the achievement of more integrated and developed financial markets in Europe during 2011.

The Research Network on Capital Markets and Financial Integration in Europe closed down in the autumn of 2011. During its existence, this network brought together academics, market participants, policy-makers and central bankers in an effort to contribute to the understanding of the current and future structure and integration of the financial system in Europe and its international linkages with the United States and Japan. Overall, the network held 14 research workshops.26

As in previous years, the ECB offered five “Lamfalussy Fellowships” to young researchers. The current research priorities are: (i) models and analytical tools for the early identification and assessment of systemic risks; (ii) incorporating financial instability into models of the aggregate economy; (iii) designing and assessing the effectiveness of macro-prudential regulatory policy instruments; (iv) the design of central bank operational frameworks; and (v) the role played by fiscal rules and fiscal governance in financial market confidence, stability and efficiency.

25 This report is available on the ECB’s website.
26 Information on these and related activities can be found under http://www.eu-financial-system.org
**ACTING AS A CATALYST FOR PRIVATE SECTOR ACTIVITIES**

**SEPA**

The Single Euro Payments Area (SEPA) is an initiative aimed at achieving a fully integrated market for retail payment services in euro, with no distinction between cross-border and national payments. During 2012 the Eurosystem continued to monitor and support the work in this field. Special attention was paid to the migration to the new SEPA instruments. According to the SEPA indicators, the migration in the euro area from domestic credit transfers to SEPA credit transfers reached 34.9% in December 2012. As regards the SEPA direct debits, the migration amounted to only 1.9%. An end-date regulation to support the migration was adopted in March 2012. The regulation lays down rules for the initiation and processing of credit transfer and direct debit transactions denominated in euro within the EU. It also defines a clear timeline for the implementation of these rules. For the euro area, the deadline is 1 February 2014. By this date, existing national euro credit transfer and direct debit schemes will need to have been replaced by the SEPA-compliant alternatives. In order to promote a timely start of the preparations, especially those by citizens and smaller and medium-sized companies, the Eurosystem has stepped up its SEPA communication efforts.

Further progress is still needed in the field of SEPA for cards. The Eurosystem analysed the merits of a SEPA Cards Processing Framework, which would connect card processors in order to process card transactions more efficiently across borders within the EU. Furthermore, in July 2012 the Eurosystem organised a third meeting of the Forum on the SEPA Certification Framework. At this meeting, the Eurosystem expressed its support for the joint efforts of card schemes, certification authorities, evaluation laboratories, terminal manufacturers and the banking industry to develop a thorough methodology for the security evaluation and certification of new payment terminals, which would at the same time establish a single approval process for terminals to be deployed within the EU. These and other elements of information security and fraud prevention in retail payments remain under the constant attention of the Eurosystem.

The migration to the SEPA schemes and the realisation of an integrated and competitive cards market based on common business practices, technical standards and security requirements form the foundation for innovative payment services offered on a pan-European scale. However, in the field of internet payments, the roll-out and usage of this way of making payments has not kept pace with the rapid development of e-commerce. Pilot projects for m-payments have been organised in several European countries, sometimes followed by actual deployments. The Eurosystem is concerned that too little attention is being paid to technical standardisation and business interoperability, which are key factors of success also in this area of SEPA.

In 2012 the SEPA Council held in-depth discussions regarding the review of SEPA governance. Moreover, the SEPA Council discussed several issues related to the end-date regulation and SEPA migration, SEPA for cards, and innovation. On the latter, in view of the limited progress achieved by the market so far, it identified a number of key challenges to be examined in order to ensure the provision of EU-wide e-payment services for e-commerce.

---


28 This is a stakeholders’ forum, co-chaired by the ECB and the European Commission, which aims to promote an integrated euro retail payments market by ensuring the proper involvement of all parties and by fostering consensus on the next steps towards the realisation of SEPA.
Finally, in September 2012 the ECB published the results of its study on the social and private costs of making retail payments, conducted in cooperation with 13 NCBs. These costs amount to around €45 billion, or almost 1% of GDP. If extrapolated to cover all 27 EU Member States, they would be around €130 billion. The results underline how much retail payment services matter for European society and in the economy as a whole. The ECB and the Magyar Nemzeti Bank jointly organised a conference on retail payments, which took place in Budapest on 15 and 16 November 2012. The conference enhanced knowledge of the main forces driving changes in the overall efficiency of retail payments, the role of central banks and other public authorities, and likely future developments in the retail payments market.

**SEcurities MARKETS**

To increase transparency in the area of asset-backed securities (ABSs), the Governing Council decided in December 2010 to establish loan-by-loan information requirements for ABSs in the Eurosystem collateral framework. Since then, preparatory work has been conducted and concluded, and a market-led single loan-level data repository, the European DataWarehouse, has been created in order to handle the loan-level data. In November 2012 the Governing Council announced that loan-level data reporting would be mandatory within the Eurosystem collateral framework as of 3 January 2013 for residential mortgage-backed securities and for ABSs whose underlying assets include loans to small and medium-sized enterprises, as of 1 March 2013 for commercial mortgage-backed securities, and as of 1 January 2014 for consumer finance ABSs, leasing ABSs and auto loan ABSs. Loan-level data are to be provided in accordance with the templates available on the ECB’s website, at least on a quarterly basis.

In addition, the ECB acted as an observer and catalyst in two other market-led initiatives in securitisation markets. The first initiative aims to reinforce ABSs as sustainable investment and funding tools, in particular with a view to improving market resilience in Europe. It is promoted by the European Financial Services Round Table and the Association for Financial Markets in Europe. This initiative, which is called the Prime Collateralised Securities (PCS) initiative, rests on EU-wide standards for ABSs which relate to quality, transparency, standardisation and simplicity. These standards are expected to lead to increased liquidity for securities which acquire the PCS label. The work was finalised during 2012 and the first asset was PCS labelled in November 2012. The second initiative is promoted by the European Covered Bond Council. It aims to establish a “Covered Bond” label, which will be granted to covered bond programmes which meet specific criteria, such as increased transparency, strong safeguards provided by dedicated national covered bond legislation, supervision of both the issuing credit institution and the cover pool, and compliance with the requirements of Article 52(4) of the UCITS Directive. This initiative was also concluded during 2012, and the first covered bond that complied with the label was introduced in January 2013. The ECB acts as an observer in advisory groups for the PCS initiative and for the Covered Bond label, in the same way as it acts as an observer in the STEP Market Committee.

A well-functioning securitisation market supported by standardisation and enhanced transparency, by ensuring investor access to comprehensive and standardised information across the European securitisation markets, will contribute to the completeness of the European financial system and foster integration through the improved comparability of instruments across borders.

---

30 See www.pcsmarket.org
31 More information can be found at www.coveredbondlabel.com
ADVICE ON THE LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR THE FINANCIAL SYSTEM AND DIRECT RULE-MAKING

To enhance financial integration, the ECB and the Eurosystem, in line with their advisory and regulatory functions, monitor and actively contribute to the development of the EU regulatory framework. Apart from these activities, as covered in other sections of this report,32 the following ECB activities contributed to increasing financial integration through regulatory initiatives.

The ECB participates in the UNIDROIT Study Group, which was set up following an endorsement by the General Assembly of UNIDROIT on 1 December 2010 to establish draft principles regarding the enforceability of close-out netting provisions.33 The ECB supports the objectives set for the Study Group, which relate to reducing the fragmentation of the various national netting regimes and enhancing the effectiveness of close-out netting in international financial markets. The Study Group will work towards establishing principles guiding the consistency and cross-recognition of the national netting regimes.

At the EU level, the ECB participates in the Securities Law Directive Member States Working Group, which is preparing the groundwork for the future harmonisation of the EU securities law and hence for improving the EU’s current fragmented legal framework for holding intermediated securities. The ECB contributed to the earlier public consultations34 by the European Commission in 2009 and 2011 and continues to provide substantive expert input, in particular into the Commission’s proposals related to collateral management (e.g. on the regulation of collateral reuse).

Furthermore, the ECB contributes to the work of the European Post Trade Group, a joint initiative of the European Commission, the ECB, the European Securities and Markets Authority and the Association for Financial Markets in Europe. The group is mandated to contribute to the development of an efficient, safe and sound post-trade market in the EU and, as such, it builds on the work carried out in previous initiatives, i.e. by the Expert Group on Market Infrastructures and the Clearing and Settlement Advisory and Monitoring Expert Group II. However, the scope of the present work is limited to areas of financial harmonisation which are currently not covered by other existing regulatory initiatives. This work is therefore complementary to the current regulatory initiatives of the Commission and to the implementation of the TARGET2-Securities (T2S) programme by the ECB.

PROVIDING CENTRAL BANKING SERVICES WHICH FOSTER FINANCIAL INTEGRATION

TARGET2, the second generation of the Eurosystem’s large-value payment system, is the first market infrastructure which is completely integrated and harmonised at the European level. The Eurosystem has continued to make enhancements to TARGET2 in collaboration with the banking industry (see Section 2.1 of Chapter 2).

T2S – the future Eurosystem service for securities settlement – will have an important impact on the harmonisation and integration of the post-trading environment in Europe. T2S will automatically remove many of the “Giovannini Barriers”35 to cross-border clearing and settlement by, among

---

32 See in particular Section 3 of this chapter and Section 6.2 of Chapter 2.
33 See “Study LXXVIII C - Principles and rules on the netting of financial instruments” available on the UNIDROIT website (www.unidroit.org).
34 See the first and second consultation documents entitled “Legislation on legal certainty of securities holding and dispositions” of 16 April 2009 and 5 November 2010, respectively, available on the Commission’s website (http://ec.europa.eu).
35 These barriers are technical or market-practice barriers, legal barriers or barriers related to tax procedures (more information can be found on the official website of the European Union (http://europa.eu)).
other things: (i) providing a single IT platform with a common interface and a single messaging protocol; (ii) introducing a harmonised timetable for all connected markets; and (iii) extending a single harmonised settlement model comprising delivery versus payment in central bank money to all national and cross-border transactions. Nevertheless, even with a single technical platform, there are still significant barriers to cross-border settlement, which must be removed in order for financial markets to reap the full benefits of T2S. In order to make further progress, a Harmonisation Steering Group (HSG), composed of senior representatives from the industry and from the public sector, was established in 2011. The group’s main task is to foster the T2S post-trade harmonisation agenda, as well as to identify where markets that will use T2S are lagging behind the rest of Europe in the implementation of commonly agreed harmonisation standards. The group regularly publishes harmonisation progress reports (the third report will be published in March 2013) and constantly monitors the progress made by markets that will be connected to T2S. These reports are presented to the T2S Advisory Group and shared with the T2S Board and the ECB’s Governing Council. The HSG is therefore at the core of the T2S harmonisation agenda, giving a major boost to T2S and the broader post-trade harmonisation work in Europe, in cooperation with the European Commission and other relevant actors (see Section 2.2 of Chapter 2).

Finally, in the area of collateral management, the correspondent central banking model (CCBM) has fostered financial integration since its implementation in 1999, by enabling all euro area counterparties to use on a cross-border basis eligible assets as collateral in Eurosystem credit operations, regardless of the country in which the asset has been issued. The Eurosystem is currently incorporating enhancements to the CCBM, to be implemented in 2014 (see Section 2.3 of Chapter 2).

5 OVERSIGHT OF PAYMENT SYSTEMS AND MARKET INFRASTRUCTURES

Through its oversight function, the Eurosystem aims to ensure the safety and efficiency of payment and securities clearing and settlement systems processing the euro, as well as of payment instruments, major service providers and other critical infrastructures, by monitoring and assessing them against applicable oversight standards and, where necessary, inducing change.36

The Eurosystem has translated its oversight objectives into specific standards and requirements that financial market infrastructures should meet. These standards and requirements are largely based on internationally accepted oversight standards. On 16 April 2012 the Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems (CPSS) and the Technical Committee of the International Organization of Securities Commissions (IOSCO) published the CPSS-IOSCO Principles for Financial Market Infrastructures (PFMIs). The PFMIs are new international standards for financial market infrastructures, including systemically important payment systems, central securities depositories (CSDs), securities settlement systems, central counterparties (CCPs) and trade repositories. The PFMIs replace the previous CPSS Core Principles for Systemically Important Payment Systems (CPSIPS) and CPSS-IOSCO Recommendations for Securities Settlement Systems and Central Counterparties. They are designed to make financial market infrastructures more resilient to financial crises and, in particular, defaults by system participants. In December 2012 the CPSS and IOSCO published a disclosure framework and assessment methodology for PFMIs. The Eurosystem

36. Detailed information on the Eurosystem’s oversight function and activities is also provided by the ECB on its website and through specific Eurosystem oversight reports, including the Eurosystem oversight policy framework published in July 2011 (available on the ECB’s website).
considers a timely and consistent implementation of the new principles by major economies to be important in order to strengthen global financial stability, enhance financial market infrastructures’ ability to manage various risks and avoid the risk of regulatory arbitrage.

In April 2012 the ECB published the Eurosystem Oversight Report 2011, which provides information on the Eurosystem’s oversight policies and main oversight activities.

5.1 LARGE-VALUE PAYMENT SYSTEMS AND INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICE PROVIDERS

Large-value payment systems form the backbone of the euro area market infrastructure and play an important role for the stability and efficiency of the financial sector and the overall economy. The Eurosystem applies a well-defined oversight policy framework to all large-value payment systems that settle euro-denominated transactions – both its own system and those that are privately operated. This framework is based on the CPSIPS and the business continuity oversight expectations (BCOE) for systemically important payment systems, which were adopted by the Governing Council in 2006.

TARGET2

The oversight of TARGET2 is led and coordinated by the ECB, in close cooperation with the participating NCBs. In 2012 the Eurosystem’s oversight of TARGET2 focused on operational risk issues. This included the follow-up to some findings from the assessment of TARGET2 against the CPSIPS and the BCOE, as well as the analysis of TARGET2 incidents.

The Eurosystem also conducted an analysis of TARGET2 interdependencies, including system-based, institution-based and environmental interdependencies (including those which could arise from the connection with TARGET2-Securities), with the objective of increasing the overseer’s and operator’s awareness of risks stemming from such interdependencies. The need to address risks stemming from interdependencies is recognised by the PFMIs. Operators of financial market infrastructures should regularly review the material risks they bear from and pose to other entities as a result of interdependencies and develop appropriate risk management tools to address these risks. The analysis of TARGET2 interdependencies focuses on the risks other entities pose to TARGET2 and will contribute to the identification of entities which are critical for TARGET2’s normal performance, the assessment of related risks, as well as the assessment of the efficiency of risk management measures used by the operator.

Furthermore, the Eurosystem continued the analytical work initiated in 2011 with the support of the TARGET2 Simulator, using transaction-level data. This comprised scenario-based failure analysis as well as studies on intraday patterns and timing of payment flows and on the network topology of TARGET2. Another workstream aims to identify money market loans within payments data, which should support further analytical work in the fields of payments simulation, monetary policy and financial stability.

EURO1

EURO1 is a large-value payment system for transactions in euro between banks operating in the EU. It is operated by EBA CLEARING. EURO1 works on a multilateral net basis, while the end-of-day positions of EURO1 participants are ultimately settled in central bank money via the TARGET2 system, with the ECB acting as the settlement agent.
The ECB is entrusted with primary oversight responsibility for EURO1, acting in close cooperation with all euro area NCBs. In 2012 EBA CLEARING reduced the mandatory limit to be granted by each participant to each other participant from €2 million to €1 million, with effect from 2 July 2012. EBA CLEARING adopted this change with the objective of improving credit risk control within EURO1. This reduction follows a previous mandatory limit change, from €5 million to €2 million, in October 2011. In light of the systemic relevance of EURO1 in the euro area payment landscape, the Eurosystem assessed the impact that the envisaged change might have on EURO1’s compliance with the currently applicable oversight standards, namely the CPSIPS, and concluded that it would not adversely affect the legal structure and risk profile of EURO1. The ECB is also closely monitoring the implementation of the recommendations that were made to the system operator following the comprehensive assessment of EURO1 against the CPSIPS in 2011. Concerning its operational performance, EURO1 operated smoothly throughout 2012 and no incidents occurred.

**CONTINUOUS LINKED SETTLEMENT SYSTEM**

The Continuous Linked Settlement system (CLS) was launched in 2002 and is operated by CLS Bank International (CLS Bank). The system provides a multi-currency service for the synchronous—i.e. payment versus payment (PvP)—settlement of payment instructions relating to foreign exchange transactions. Through its PvP mechanism, CLS virtually eliminates the principal risk associated with the settlement of foreign exchange transactions. CLS currently settles in 17 of the world’s most traded currencies, including the euro. Given that CLS Bank is established in the United States, the Federal Reserve System accepts primary oversight responsibility for CLS under a cooperative oversight arrangement involving the G10 central banks and the central banks of issue of currencies settled by CLS. In 2012 CLS Bank was designated in the United States as a systemically important financial market utility under the Dodd-Frank Act. The existing cooperative oversight arrangement continues to apply. The ECB, in close cooperation with the euro area NCBs, is part of this cooperative oversight arrangement and has primary oversight responsibility for settlement in euro by CLS.

In 2012 the ECB’s oversight activities with respect to CLS covered the implementation of CLS governance reform, changes in the CLS Board and the senior management team, the progress achieved with strategic initiatives and the review of the CLS risk management framework.

**SWIFT**

SWIFT is important from a financial stability perspective, as it provides secure messaging services to the financial community in more than 210 countries around the world. SWIFT is a limited liability cooperative company which is established in Belgium. The central banks of the G10 have established a cooperative oversight arrangement for SWIFT. The ECB and some other euro area NCBs contribute to various oversight activities carried out by the cooperative oversight group, with the Nationale Bank van België/Banque Nationale de Belgique being the lead overseer.

In recognition of global economic changes and the increased use of SWIFT services by financial institutions in various countries, a SWIFT Oversight Forum was set up in order to expand to a larger group of central banks information-sharing and dialogue in relation to SWIFT oversight. The inaugural meeting of the SWIFT Oversight Forum was held in Brussels on 4 May 2012.

The SWIFT cooperative oversight activities are conducted in the framework of a yearly SWIFT oversight plan, which is prepared using a risk-based oversight planning approach. The SWIFT oversight framework is provided by the High Level Expectations for the oversight of SWIFT[^37]. It

[^37]: These High Level Expectations can be found on the website of the Nationale Bank van België/Banque Nationale de Belgique (www.nbb.be).
focuses on those SWIFT services with a potential impact on systemic risk for the global financial infrastructure. In 2012 the oversight activities continued to be focused on the implementation of the Distributed Architecture programme, mainly through the construction of an additional operations centre in Europe. Another SWIFT project being closely monitored by the overseers is the renewal of the FIN software, which aims to mitigate technology risk and reduce costs, while ensuring strategic alignment of IT platforms. Other important activities in 2012 included the review of the operational performance and availability of SWIFT’s services and the monitoring of new projects that could potentially have an impact on the confidentiality, integrity and availability of SWIFT’s critical services. The level of availability of the FIN service in 2012 was 99.992%.

5.2 RETAIL PAYMENT SYSTEMS AND PAYMENT INSTRUMENTS

The Eurosystem also has oversight responsibility for retail payment systems and payment instruments. The 2003 oversight standards for retail payment systems, which draw on the CPSIPS, are currently being reviewed to take into account the implementation of the PFMI and also to reflect the increased integration of retail payment systems owing to the harmonisation of technical standards and procedures resulting from the Single Euro Payments Area (SEPA) project. SEPA gives incentives to an increasing number of retail payment systems to either establish cross-border links with other such systems or to offer services in more than one country. Therefore, the revised oversight framework will include a new classification for euro retail payment systems, reflecting the importance of a system from a European perspective, as the old classification that had a national focus is no longer appropriate. The revised framework will also incorporate the oversight expectations for links between retail payment systems, adopted by the Governing Council in November 2012.

With respect to retail payment systems, the ECB is the lead overseer of the STEP2 system, which is a pan-European automated clearing house for retail payments in euro and is managed and operated by EBA CLEARING. STEP2 offers the processing of different kinds of retail payments, such as SEPA credit transfers and SEPA direct debits as well as “national” transactions within Italy, which are processed according to domestic technical standards and limited to the national banking community. Moreover, it offers a settlement service specifically designed for Irish banks. In 2012 there were two important changes in the services of STEP2 that were subject to an oversight assessment by the ECB. In February STEP2 introduced three additional settlement cycles per day in its STEP2 SCT service, in which SEPA credit transfers are processed. In April a batch processing option, which allows banks to exchange batches of credit transfers, was introduced in the same service. Neither of these changes affected the continued full compliance of STEP2 with the applicable oversight standards.

Concerning payment instruments, in 2012 the focus of Eurosystem oversight remained on payment cards. The Eurosystem made further progress on the oversight assessments of international card payment schemes active in the euro area. Moreover, the ECB published on 25 July the first Eurosystem report on card fraud within SEPA. It reveals that although the overall levels of fraud decreased, fraud using remote payments, mainly over the internet, increased.

The European Forum on the Security of Retail Payments, a voluntary cooperative initiative in particular between central bank overseers and supervisors of payment service providers established in 2011, developed recommendations on the security of internet payments made using payment cards, credit transfers, direct debit electronic mandate and e-money. The “Recommendations for the security of internet payments” prepared by the Forum were released for public consultation by the ECB in April 2012 and finalised in January 2013. These recommendations should be
implemented by all payment service providers and governance authorities of payment schemes by 1 February 2015. Furthermore, in 2012 the Forum initiated work on security recommendations on third-party access to payment accounts and on mobile payments.

5.3 SECURITIES AND DERIVATIVES CLEARING AND SETTLEMENT

The Eurosystem has a strong interest in the smooth functioning of securities clearing and settlement systems because failures in the clearing, settlement and custody of securities could jeopardise the implementation of monetary policy, the smooth functioning of payment systems and the maintenance of financial stability.

CENTRAL COUNTERPARTIES AND TRADE REPOSITORIES


Most regulatory and implementing technical standards under EMIR were published in the Official Journal of the European Union on 23 February 2013 and entered into force 20 days thereafter, while the technical standard relating to CCP colleges will be adopted at a later stage.

The ECB considers the adoption of EMIR and the work of the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) on the technical standards a major achievement in terms of ensuring the effective implementation of the global commitment to OTC derivatives market reform and welcomes the significant efforts that have been made to align the requirements for CCPs and trade repositories with the PFMIs in order to ensure consistency and pre-empt potential regulatory arbitrage. In addition, the ECB welcomes the fact that cooperation among authorities will be significantly strengthened for CCPs, notably through the involvement in CCP colleges of the central banks of issue of the most important currencies with respect to financial instruments cleared by a given CCP and of central bank overseers. The ECB expects that appropriate cooperation between supervisors and central banks will also be established with respect to trade repositories and that existing cooperative oversight arrangements, such as those in place for the DTCC Derivatives Repository Limited in the United Kingdom, will be continued once ESMA takes over supervisory responsibilities.

CENTRAL SECURITIES DEPOSITORIES

On 7 March 2012 the European Commission issued a proposal for a regulation on improving securities settlement in the European Union and on central securities depositories. This regulation will establish a common EU framework for the authorisation and supervision of CSDs.

The ECB strongly supports the Commission’s proposal to strengthen the legal framework applicable to CSDs, also in the context of the future TARGET2-Securities environment.

38 National authorities may wish to define a shorter transition period where appropriate.

39 The G20 decided at its 2009 Pittsburgh summit that: “All standardised OTC derivative contracts should be traded on exchanges or electronic trading platforms, where appropriate, and cleared through central counterparties by end-2012 at the latest. OTC derivative contracts should be reported to trade repositories. Non-centrally cleared contracts should be subject to higher capital requirements.”
opinion of 1 August 2012 the ECB expressed some concerns that the proposed regulation would not sufficiently reflect the statutory competence of the members of the ESCB as overseers and central banks of issue. While the proposal recognises the need for cooperation with the ESCB in the definition of technical standards for CSDs, in line with international principles, there should be comprehensive cooperation regarding decisions to grant or withdraw authorisations of CSDs as well as in the ongoing risk assessment of CSDs. In addition, there should be close alignment with existing requirements developed by the CPSS-IOSCO, in particular the PFMIIs, in order to ensure global consistency and avoid putting EU CSDs at a competitive disadvantage.

**TARGET2-SECURITIES**

TARGET2-Securities (T2S) is an infrastructure project that aims to provide European CSDs with a single, pan-European platform for securities settlement in central bank money.

As confirmed in the Eurosystem oversight policy framework published in July 2011, the Eurosystem will oversee T2S services and cooperate with participating CSDs’ competent supervisors and overseers, as well as with central banks of issue for eligible non-euro currencies, in order to share with them comprehensive T2S-related information so that they can perform their statutory duties under their respective legal framework.

The Eurosystem oversight framework for T2S, as well as the cooperative arrangement with other authorities, are currently under preparation and expected to be finalised in the course of 2013. This framework is being developed in accordance with the new PFMIIs published in April 2012. The Eurosystem will thus assume the oversight function and the other authorities with competence for CSDs using T2S will be involved via the T2S cooperative arrangement.

Before T2S goes live, the Eurosystem will also carry out the oversight monitoring of the T2S development and testing phase, as a follow-up to the pre-assessment of the T2S design conducted in 2011.
In the summer of 2012 work started in the market hall on the construction of the steel structure for the conference area. This will function as a separate building integrated into the spacious hall according to the "house-in-house" principle. The first panels of the interior facade of the conference area have already been installed. Work has also progressed on the construction of the steel structure for the entrance building, which will connect the Grossmarkthalle with the high-rise and house the press centre.
CHAPTER 4

EUROPEAN ISSUES

1 POLICY AND INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES

As Europe had to step up its response to the ongoing economic and financial crisis, 2012 was another year of intense cooperation among EU institutions and bodies, in particular the European Council, the ECOFIN Council, the Eurogroup, the European Commission, the European Parliament and the ECB.

The President of the ECB participated regularly in Eurogroup and ECOFIN Council meetings when matters relating to the objectives and tasks of the ESCB were discussed. Moreover, the President of the ECB was invited to take part in European Council meetings, when issues related to the EU’s policy response to the economic and financial crisis were addressed, as well as in the Euro summit meetings. The President of the Eurogroup and the Commissioner for Economic and Monetary Affairs participated in meetings of the Governing Council of the ECB, when considered appropriate.

TOWARDS A GENUINE ECONOMIC AND MONETARY UNION

The EU Heads of State or Government asked the President of the European Council, in close cooperation with the Presidents of the European Commission, the Eurogroup and the ECB, to develop a vision for completing EMU. Reports were submitted to the European Council in June, October and December 2012. Moreover, in November 2012, the European Commission presented its blueprint for a deep and genuine EMU.

These reports outlined proposals for a genuine EMU around four building blocks: (i) an integrated financial framework, (ii) an integrated budgetary framework, (iii) an integrated economic policy framework, and (iv) democratic legitimacy and accountability.

(i) Integrated financial framework

The crisis has led to an increasing fragmentation of financial markets along national lines, with corresponding implications for credit conditions. A financial market union is needed to overcome this fragmentation and to break the interdependency between banks and their sovereigns, which can lead to vicious downward spirals.

In June 2012 the euro area Heads of State or Government made a political commitment to establish a single supervisory mechanism (SSM) for the euro area involving the ECB. In September 2012 the Commission issued a draft Council regulation on the SSM, proposing to give the ECB ultimate responsibility for specific supervisory tasks under Article 127(6) of the Treaty. On 12 December 2012 the ECOFIN Council adopted a position on several key features related to the set-up and functioning of the SSM.1

(ii) Integrated budgetary framework

The crisis has illustrated how closely euro area countries are interlinked, and how important it is for Member States to subject their fiscal policies to tighter coordination and surveillance. An integrated budgetary framework can be instrumental in ensuring that fiscal and economic policies follow stability objectives.

1 See also Chapter 3.
(iii) Integrated economic policy framework

The crisis has also shown the importance of competitiveness in a monetary union. While respecting Member States’ policy preferences, national commitments to implement structural reforms (e.g. liberalising their product, services and labour markets) should become more binding.

(iv) Democratic legitimacy and accountability

The reinforcement of the EU’s role in financial, budgetary and economic policies must be accompanied by stronger democratic accountability. The interim report highlights that the European Parliament, as the body of representatives directly elected by citizens, should be primarily responsible for stronger accountability. Moreover, there could be closer cooperation between the European Parliament and national parliaments, as already envisaged under the fiscal compact.

The final report by the four presidents proposes a three-stage approach towards a genuine EMU, with the first stage (from end-2012 to 2013) comprising steps to ensure fiscal sustainability and break the link between banks and sovereigns, the second stage (2013-14) focusing on the completion of the integrated financial framework and promoting sound structural policies, and the third stage (post-2014) aiming at improving the resilience of EMU through the creation of a shock-absorption function at the central level.

At its meeting on 14 December 2012, the European Council agreed that it is imperative to break the vicious circle between banks and sovereigns and that moving towards the SSM will also require a single resolution mechanism. The Heads of State or Government asked the President of the European Council, in close cooperation with the President of the European Commission, after a process of consultations with the Member States, to present to the June 2013 European Council possible measures and a time-bound roadmap for the coordination of national reforms, the social dimension of EMU, the feasibility and modalities of mutually agreed contracts for competitiveness and growth, and solidarity mechanisms that can enhance the efforts made by Member States that enter into such contracts. Moreover, any new steps towards strengthening economic governance will need to be accompanied by further steps towards stronger legitimacy and accountability.

The Eurosystem welcomes the progress made in recent months on the establishment of a financial market union. At the same time, given the interdependencies in EMU, it is important to work in parallel on all four building blocks.

**Establishment of a permanent Stability Mechanism for the euro area**

Following the European Council agreement of March 2011 to establish a permanent crisis management mechanism for the euro area, the Treaty establishing the European Stability Mechanism (ESM) entered into force on 27 September 2012. The ESM was inaugurated on 8 October 2012 following ratification by all 17 euro area countries. In addition, the amendment of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union introducing a new Article 136(3) was adopted by the European Council on 25 March 2011 and is scheduled to enter into force in 2013. As reflected in the preamble to the ESM Treaty, access to ESM financial assistance will be conditional, as of 1 March 2013, on Member States having ratified the fiscal compact (see below).

On 30 March 2012 the Eurogroup agreed on three measures to bolster the ESM’s financial capacity: (i) to accelerate the paying-in of the ESM’s capital from five to three years, with two tranches of €16 billion to be paid in in 2012, two in 2013, and the final one in 2014; (ii) to raise the overall
ceiling for combined lending by the ESM and the European Financial Stability Facility (EFSF) from €500 billion to €700 billion; and (iii) to use the ESM as the main instrument to finance programmes following its entry into force, with the EFSF’s unused capacity remaining available until July 2013 to ensure the robustness of the euro area firewalls.

On 29 June 2012 the Heads of State or Government of the euro area took two further decisions related to the ESM. First, they decided that the bank recapitalisation facility provided by the EFSF to Spain would be transferred to the ESM on its entry into force, without the ESM gaining preferred creditor status. Second, they decided that the ESM could, following a regular decision, have the possibility to recapitalise banks directly when an effective single supervisory mechanism involving the ECB was established for banks in the euro area.

STRENGTHENED ECONOMIC GOVERNANCE IN THE EU

As part of the continued efforts to respond to the economic and financial crisis, further reforms to strengthen the EU’s economic governance were adopted in 2012.

The Treaty on Stability, Coordination and Governance in the Economic and Monetary Union (TSCG) was signed by 25 of the 27 EU Member States in March 2012 and entered into force on 1 January 2013. As part of this new Treaty, a fiscal compact envisages the mandatory introduction of a balanced budget rule and an automatically triggered correction mechanism at the national level. Moreover, the fiscal compact strengthens the automaticity of the excessive deficit procedure within the Stability and Growth Pact in the event of a euro area country breaching the deficit criterion. In addition, the TSCG includes provisions to enhance the coordination of economic policies and the governance of the euro area. The TSCG also provides for strengthened cooperation between the European Parliament and national parliaments of the signatory countries on questions related to their budgetary policies and other issues covered by this Treaty. The agreement on the TSCG, and in particular the fiscal compact, constitutes a welcome step towards a stronger rule-based fiscal governance framework. If strictly implemented and rigorously enforced, it should help to prevent unsustainable fiscal policies at the national level.

To further strengthen budgetary and economic surveillance in the euro area, the European Commission proposed two additional regulations in November 2011 (the “two-pack”), which are in the final stage of the legislative process. One of the regulations contains common provisions for monitoring and assessing draft budgetary plans and for intensifying the monitoring of euro area countries subject to an excessive deficit procedure. The other regulation aims to enhance economic and budgetary surveillance of vulnerable euro area countries facing financial tensions or receiving financial assistance.

Overall, the two regulations are a welcome initiative to further reinforce the economic governance framework in the euro area. Nonetheless, the ECB called for a number of provisions to be more ambitious (see Section 6.2 of Chapter 2).

THE EUROPEAN SEMESTER AND THE EUROPE 2020 STRATEGY

In November 2011 the Commission presented its 2012 Annual Growth Survey, which reviews progress towards Europe 2020 targets and sets out the key measures for recovery and growth for the EU as a whole. The 2012 survey stressed the need for Member States to (i) pursue differentiated,
growth-friendly fiscal consolidation, (ii) restore normal lending to the economy, (iii) promote growth and competitiveness, (iv) tackle unemployment and the social consequences of the crisis, and (v) modernise public administration. The Annual Growth Survey for 2013, presented by the European Commission on 28 November 2012, reiterates these priorities.

At its meeting in March 2012 the European Council endorsed the above five priorities for 2012, which Member States incorporated into their stability or convergence programmes and national reform programmes. Following a review of these programmes, including the implementation of the voluntary commitments under the Euro Plus Pact, the ECOFIN Council issued policy recommendations to each Member State in July 2012, ahead of the adoption of national budgets and other economic reforms which takes place in the second half of the year.

The 2012 European Semester saw the first round of implementation of the “six-pack”, a package of six legislative proposals, applicable to all Member States with specific rules for euro area countries (especially regarding financial sanctions), which entered into force in December 2011. The six-pack strengthens both the preventive and corrective arms of the Stability and Growth Pact. It establishes new minimum requirements for national budgetary frameworks, a new macroeconomic imbalance procedure, and a stronger enforcement mechanism through new financial sanctions, under both the Stability and Growth Pact and the macroeconomic imbalance procedure.

In the context of the macroeconomic imbalance procedure – which aims to prevent and correct macroeconomic imbalances – the European Commission published the first Alert Mechanism Report in February 2012. On the basis of ten macroeconomic indicators and economic analysis, the report identified 12 Member States whose macroeconomic situation was scrutinised more closely. In May 2012 the Commission published 12 in-depth reviews examining the origin, nature and severity of possible macroeconomic imbalances in the countries concerned.

In the ECB’s opinion, the 2012 European Semester had mixed results. The new provisions introduced by the six-pack prevented a watering-down of country-specific recommendations given to Member States. At the same time, effectiveness and credibility remain subject to a strict implementation of surveillance. Moreover, there is still a need to more finely differentiate surveillance to match the severity of the challenges. Peer pressure needs to be reinforced, especially for those euro area countries which have the potential to destabilise EMU as a whole through the accumulation of large imbalances. Importantly, the macroeconomic imbalance procedure should be applied decisively, preferably by further increasing the automaticity of the procedure, in order to strengthen the effectiveness and credibility of the new framework.

THE STABILITY AND GROWTH PACT

In 2012 the majority of EU Member States were subject to excessive deficit procedures (EDPs), with the notable exceptions of Bulgaria, Germany, Estonia, Luxembourg, Finland and Sweden. For Germany, the EDP was abrogated by the ECOFIN Council decision of 5 June 2012, well ahead of the 2013 deadline, after Eurostat data revealed that the country’s deficit in 2011 had dropped below 3% of GDP. For Bulgaria, the ECOFIN Council decided on 22 June 2012 to abrogate the EDP.

In the case of Malta, which had a deadline for 2011, the EDP was abrogated on 4 December 2012 on the basis of notified data and the Commission’s autumn 2012 economic forecast, which pointed to a fiscal deficit of 2.6% of GDP in 2012.

For Hungary, it was found that the fiscal effort was insufficient to keep the deficit below 3% of GDP in a sustainable manner. In line with the strengthened Stability and Growth Pact procedures,
the ECOFIN Council decided on 13 March 2012 to suspend part of Hungary’s Cohesion Fund appropriations for 2013 unless the Hungarian authorities took corrective action. However, this sanction was lifted on 22 June 2012, after the Council decided that Hungary had in the meantime taken effective action towards correcting the excessive deficit in a durable manner by the extended EDP deadline of 2012. For Spain, as the economy re-entered a recession, the EDP deadline was extended to 2014, after the ECOFIN Council decided on 10 July 2012 that Spain had taken effective action in line with its initial recommendation. For Portugal, the ECOFIN Council decided on 9 October 2012 to extend the deadline to 2014, against the background of a considerably worsened macroeconomic situation. The deadline for Belgium, Italy, Cyprus, Latvia, Lithuania, Romania and Poland to bring the general government deficit below the 3% threshold was 2012, while for the remaining countries under EDPs, including those subject to an EU-IMF programme, it is 2013 and beyond.

The ECB welcomes the consolidation efforts being undertaken by euro area countries, which are crucial to return to sound fiscal positions. However, despite continued fiscal adjustment, a number of Member States have had difficulty in meeting deadlines under the EDPs. Moreover, for some euro area countries subject to EDPs, the structural adjustment in 2012 was smaller than required. In view of the risks to a timely and sustainable correction of the excessive deficits, the ECB urges strict implementation of the strengthened Stability and Growth Pact procedures.

CONVERGENCE REPORTS
In line with Article 140 of the Treaty, the ECB and the European Commission prepared convergence reports on the progress made by Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Latvia, Lithuania, Hungary, Poland, Romania and Sweden in meeting the conditions to join the euro area. These reports, which were published on 30 May 2012, examined whether a high degree of sustainable convergence (economic convergence) had been achieved in these countries and gauged compliance with the relevant statutory requirements for NCBs (legal convergence). When assessing the sustainability of convergence, the reports took due account of both the EU’s new enhanced economic governance framework and the strength of the institutional environment in each country in various areas including statistics.

2 DEVELOPMENTS IN AND RELATIONS WITH EU ACCEDING AND CANDIDATE COUNTRIES

Further progress was made in the EU enlargement agenda over 2012. Following the closure of the accession negotiations with Croatia in 2011, the Accession Treaty is being ratified by all EU Member States, with accession scheduled for 1 July 2013. Accession negotiations have been open with Turkey since October 2005 and continued with Iceland (opened in July 2010). In addition, on 29 June 2012 the European Council endorsed the decision of the General Affairs Council, taken on 26 June 2012, to open accession negotiations with Montenegro, as the European Commission considered that it sufficiently met the political criteria for membership of the EU and had made further progress towards a functioning market economy. Serbia was granted candidate country status in March 2012, but the Commission has not yet proposed the opening of negotiations. For the remaining candidate countries, the Commission reiterated its recommendation to open accession negotiations with the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, a candidate country since 2005, but no date has yet been set.
As regards ECB relations with EU acceding and candidate countries, members of Hrvatska narodna banka (the Croatian central bank) have been invited to attend, as observers, the meetings of the General Council of the ECB and of the ESCB committees, as preparation for the country’s EU accession. The ECB continued its policy dialogue with the central banks of the EU candidate countries in 2012 through bilateral meetings and within the overall institutional framework for the enlargement process set up by the EU. The ECB organised an annual high-level policy dialogue with the Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey in July 2012 in Frankfurt and also held high-level meetings with the Central Bank of Iceland in August 2012 in Reykjavik. Moreover, close relations with the central banks of candidate countries, as well as those of other countries, have been maintained through technical cooperation programmes (see Section 2 of Chapter 5).
The double office tower reached a height of 175 m in July 2012. On the eastern side of the high-rise, work on the construction of the framework for the glazing of the atrium is under way.
CHAPTER 5

INTERNATIONAL ISSUES

I KEY DEVELOPMENTS IN THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY AND FINANCIAL SYSTEM

SURVEILLANCE OF MACROECONOMIC POLICIES IN THE GLOBAL ECONOMY

Given the high degree of global economic and financial integration, a good understanding of the international environment through monitoring and analysis is essential to policy-making in the euro area. The ECB also plays an important role in the process of international multilateral surveillance of macroeconomic policies and financial stability, which at the central banking level is mainly coordinated by the BIS. Moreover, the ECB participates in working groups and meetings of international organisations such as the IMF and the OECD, as well as fora such as the G20 and G7 finance ministers and central bank governors.

The international economic environment in 2012 was characterised by a weak economic recovery, with growth declining to 1.3% for advanced economies (against 1.6% in 2011) and to 5.3% for emerging and developing economies (against 6.2% in 2011), according to the IMF. The slowdown in global trade and economic activity continued to be accompanied by a narrowing in global imbalances, mostly reflecting weaker domestic demand from external-deficit economies and, to a much lesser extent, internal rebalancing in external-surplus economies, where adjustment through changes in relative prices remained limited. An environment of high oil prices also contributed to buttressing the external surpluses of oil-exporting countries. As in recent years, the euro area’s current account was broadly balanced in 2012. The ECB continued to stress the risks and distortions that would ensue if global imbalances were to remain at unsustainable levels and to express support for a rebalancing of global demand patterns. In terms of structural factors that would help in substantially reducing global imbalances, countries with external deficits should reduce fiscal deficits, complete the structural reform agenda and increase domestic savings. Economies with external surpluses could also contribute substantially by increasing domestic consumption, developing more extensive social safety nets, undertaking financial market reforms and increasing exchange rate flexibility.

The ECB continued to play an active role in the G20 and its various workstreams. Under the Mexican presidency these workstreams focused on (i) improving the foundations for strong, sustainable and balanced growth; (ii) advancing the global financial regulatory agenda and making progress towards financial inclusion; (iii) strengthening the international financial architecture, with a particular focus on IMF quota and governance reform; and (iv) stabilising energy and commodity markets, the volatility of which was identified as a potentially significant source of economic instability. In June 2012 G20 leaders adopted the Los Cabos Growth and Jobs Action Plan, which focuses on policy actions to reduce near-term risks and improve the medium-term foundations for global growth. In addition, G20 members took stock of previous policy commitments and adopted an Accountability Assessment Framework for more effectively monitoring their implementation.

Finally, the euro area itself is subject to international policy surveillance exercises by the IMF and the OECD. In 2012 the IMF conducted its regular review of the monetary, financial and economic policies of the euro area, as a complement to its reviews of the individual euro area countries. For the first time the IMF launched a Financial Sector Assessment Program for the EU, an EU-wide assessment of the soundness and stability of the EU’s financial sector. The OECD’s Economic
Survey of the Euro Area 2012 examined recent economic developments, policies and prospects. This survey also included special chapters on euro area imbalances and euro area governance.

**REFORMS IN INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTIONAL PROCESSES AND PRACTICES**

Following standard practice, the ECB monitored and helped to shape developments in the international monetary system. In 2012 the IMF secured a substantial increase in its resources, IMF surveillance was further developed and given a broader legal foundation, and progress on improving IMF governance continued.

It was decided that the optimal way to augment IMF resources in the short term was through temporary bilateral loans and note purchase agreements with its members. In December 2011 euro area countries had already pledged €150 billion in bilateral loans to the General Resources Account available for lending to the entire IMF membership, and other EU Member States had also indicated their willingness to take part in the process of reinforcing IMF resources. A breakthrough was reached at the Spring Meetings of the IMF and World Bank in 2012, when member countries (including 18 EU Member States) made firm commitments amounting to USD 430 billion. By the time of the Annual Meetings in October, a total of 39 members had made pledges of USD 461 billion and the first batch of loans was signed into effect. To ensure that the IMF’s resources available for lending remained adequate, the reformed and expanded New Arrangements to Borrow were activated in April and October of both 2011 and 2012, for six months each time.

2012 was an important year for strengthening IMF surveillance. In July 2012 the IMF Executive Board adopted a landmark new Integrated Surveillance Decision to take effect on 18 January 2013. This decision provides the first comprehensive framework for not only bilateral but also multilateral surveillance. It encourages members to avoid economic and financial policies that may give rise to domestic instability and to implement policies that are conducive to the effective operation of the international monetary system.

In September 2012 the IMF Executive Board also agreed on a new strategy for the surveillance of the financial sector. Under the new strategy, IMF staff will develop a unified macro-financial framework to explore the interdependencies between the real and the financial sectors and between macroeconomic and macro-prudential policies. Financial surveillance will in this way become a mainstream feature of Article IV consultations.

On the operational side, a Pilot External Sector Report was produced, which provided a multilaterally consistent analysis of the external positions of 28 systemic advanced and emerging countries. The aim was to enhance the methodology and transparency of the Fund’s surveillance of the external sector. In addition, the pilot work on assessing international spillovers from policy decisions in five key economies (China, the euro area, Japan, the United Kingdom and the United States) continued for the second year in 2012, with a comprehensive single report produced in July.

The effectiveness of IMF surveillance and of the IMF’s activities more broadly hinges on the credibility of IMF governance. The implementation of the 2010 quota and governance reform was intensively discussed in 2012. The 2010 reform included the following elements: (i) the Executive Board would consist only of elected members, following the amendment of the IMF’s Articles of Agreement; (ii) the total members’ quotas would be doubled, provided the Board reform took

---

1. The commitment of the 18 EU countries can be broken down as follows: 13 euro area countries pledged €150 billion; the United Kingdom, USD 15 billion; Sweden, at least USD 10 billion; Denmark, €5.3 billion; Poland, €5.27 billion; and the Czech Republic, €1.5 billion.
2. The Integrated Surveillance Decision replaces the 2007 Decision on Bilateral Surveillance over Members’ Policies.
effect; (iii) advanced European countries would reduce their combined Board representation by two chairs; (iv) the quota formula would be reviewed by January 2013; and (v) the next (15th) general review of quotas would be brought forward to January 2014. The planned completion of the first three reforms by the Annual Meetings in 2012 was not possible because of the lack of the necessary majority for an amendment to the Articles of Agreement. The Europeans have taken actions that will lead to a reduction of advanced European country representation in the IMF Executive Board by 1.64 seats.³

In September 2012 the IMF completed its 2011 Review of Conditionality, which analyses the conditionality, design and effects of IMF-supported programmes during the period from 2002 to September 2011. Finally, the IMF adopted an institutional view on the liberalisation and management of capital flows. It acknowledged that the liberalisation of capital flows can have substantial benefits, but also that careful planning, timing and sequencing is required to ensure that such benefits outweigh the costs.

2 COOPERATION WITH COUNTRIES OUTSIDE THE EU

As in previous years, the Eurosystem organised seminars and workshops with non-EU central banks in order to foster policy dialogue. The ECB also actively participated alongside the European Commission in the EU’s macroeconomic dialogue with key emerging market economies (e.g. Brazil, India and Russia) and EU neighbouring countries. In addition, the technical cooperation provided by the Eurosystem remained an important tool for strengthening the institutional capacity of central banks outside the EU, especially in the EU’s neighbouring regions, and for enhancing compliance with European and international standards.

FOSTERING POLICY DIALOGUE

The ECB continued to deepen its relations with the central banks and monetary authorities of various countries. For example, the ECB took part in EU macroeconomic dialogue with Russia.

The Eurosystem held its third high-level seminar with central banks and monetary agencies of the member states of the Gulf Cooperation Council⁴, which was co-organised by the ECB and the Central Bank of the United Arab Emirates and took place on 19 January 2012 in Abu Dhabi. The topics of the seminar were the current economic, financial and fiscal challenges in the euro area, systemic risk analysis and the prevention of financial crises, and the international monetary and financial architecture.

On 26 and 27 March the ECB organised and hosted a workshop on economic and financial developments in Mediterranean countries. The workshop served to prepare the Eurosystem’s seventh high-level seminar with central banks of Mediterranean countries, which was co-organised by the ECB and Bank Al-Maghrib, the central bank of Morocco, and took place in Casablanca on 12 July. Discussions focused on economic and financial developments, monetary policy implementation, and macro-prudential policies and financial stability.

³ This reduction has been made possible by the formation in 2012 of a new constituency including Belgium, Luxembourg and the Netherlands (with the Executive Director seat rotating between Belgium and the Netherlands), alongside a new central and eastern European constituency, in which from 2014 onwards the position of Executive Director will be assigned exclusively to emerging market countries, and by changes in the rotation of members in the Executive Director seat for the Nordic/Baltic and Swiss constituencies.

⁴ Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates.
In addition, the ECB and the Banca d’Italia co-organised the preparatory workshop for the sixth high-level seminar of the Eurosystem and Latin American central banks, which was hosted by the Banca d’Italia on 27 and 28 June in Rome. The seminar itself, which was co-organised by the ECB, the Banco de España and the hosting institution, the Central Bank of Chile, took place on 7 and 8 December in Santiago. Discussions focused on current and past determinants of sovereign distress, policy responses to sovereign crises and global liquidity, capital flows and macro-prudential responses.

TECHNICAL COOPERATION
Since 2003 the ECB, together with the NCBs, has implemented several EU-funded technical cooperation programmes for the benefit of central banks and banking supervisors in several EU neighbouring countries. Moreover, besides EU-funded projects, the ECB has strengthened its long-term cooperation with new countries through memoranda of understanding.

In 2012 the ECB and 21 NCBs continued to support the National Bank of Serbia through an EU-funded technical cooperation programme. This programme, which started in February 2011, covers 11 different areas and aims to strengthen the institutional capacities of the National Bank of Serbia. On the basis of the results, it was agreed to extend this cooperation over 2013.

The ECB and the Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey signed a memorandum of understanding on 4 July 2012, thereby laying the ground for continued cooperation through regular dialogue at the technical and policy levels, technical cooperation and potential staff exchanges. The parties agreed to initially focus on financial stability, research and monetary policy, communication and international relations, markets and statistics.

On 15 October 2012 the Eurosystem commenced a technical cooperation programme with the National Bank of the Republic of Macedonia. The ECB and 11 NCBs are participating in this EU-funded programme. The purpose of the nine-month programme, which covers ten areas of central banking, is to assess the central bank’s current institutional and operational framework against EU central banking standards and, on the basis of the outcome, to recommend ways of strengthening its institutional capacity.

On 30 October 2012 the ECB’s President and the Chairman of the Bank of Russia signed a memorandum of understanding laying the groundwork for continued cooperation between the two institutions. The partnership is to consist in regular dialogue at the technical and policy levels, an information exchange on economic and financial developments, and a joint programme of cooperation activities. The parties agreed to focus on monetary policy, financial stability and banking supervision in the initial cooperation phase. The ECB will implement the programme of cooperation activities together with euro area NCBs.

With regard to the western Balkans and Turkey, a two-year EU-funded programme on strengthening macro and micro-prudential supervision came to an end in January 2012. The programme, which was implemented by the ECB together with 14 partner NCBs and in cooperation with international and European institutions, was for the benefit of the central banks and supervisory authorities of Croatia, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Montenegro, Turkey, Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Serbia and Kosovo (under UN Security Council Resolution 1244/99).

In March 2012 the ECB and the Central Bank of Egypt completed a technical cooperation programme on banking supervision which had started on 1 January 2009. Funded by the EU under
the European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument, the programme assisted the central bank in preparing new regulations, guidelines and reporting systems to implement the core components of the Basel II capital adequacy framework in Egypt.

In 2012 the ECB and the People’s Bank of China continued implementing their memorandum of understanding through meetings of their bilateral working group. The memorandum, which was signed on 5 September 2008, aims to strengthen cooperation between the two institutions and foster information-sharing.
By September 2012 approximately two-thirds of the facade and the main structural works had been completed, so that the final look of the double office tower became easy to visualise. The deconstructivist design of the building means that it looks different from every angle. The height of its structural framework is such that the torsion of the southern and northern facades, i.e. the twisting along the longitudinal axis, is clearly evident.
CHAPTER 6

EXTERNAL COMMUNICATION
AND ACCOUNTABILITY

I ACCOUNTABILITY AND COMMUNICATION POLICY

Central bank independence has established itself over the past few decades as an indispensable element of the monetary policy regimes of both advanced and emerging economies. The decision to grant central banks independence has long been firmly supported by economic theory and empirical evidence, both of which show that such a set-up is conducive to maintaining price stability. At the same time, it is a founding principle of democratic societies that any independent institution bestowed with a public function must be accountable to citizens and their representatives. Accountability is therefore an important counterpart of central bank independence.

The ECB’s commitment to accountability and transparency is illustrated by its reporting to European citizens and their elected representatives, the European Parliament. The Treaty lays down precise reporting requirements for the ECB, which include the publication of a weekly financial statement, a quarterly report and an annual report. The ECB goes far beyond these statutory obligations in its regular reporting, for instance by publishing a Monthly Bulletin, rather than the required quarterly report. The ECB’s commitment to accountability and transparency is also illustrated by the numerous speeches delivered by the members of the Governing Council, which deal with a broad variety of topics of relevance to the ECB’s tasks. In addition, the President of the ECB appears four times a year before the European Parliament. The ECB also replies to written questions from Members of the European Parliament (MEPs) and publishes these questions and answers on its website.

External communication at the ECB aims to enhance the public’s understanding of the ECB’s policies and decisions and, as such, is an integral part of the ECB’s monetary policy and its other tasks. Two key elements – openness and transparency – guide the ECB’s communication activities. Both contribute to the effectiveness, efficiency and credibility of the ECB’s monetary policy and its other statutory tasks. They also support the ECB’s efforts to give a full account of its actions.

The ECB’s concept of real-time, regular and comprehensive explanations of its monetary policy assessment and decisions, which was introduced in 1999, represents a uniquely open and transparent approach to central bank communication. Monetary policy decisions are explained at a press conference immediately after the Governing Council has taken them. The President delivers a detailed introductory statement at the press conference, explaining the Governing Council’s decisions. The President and Vice-President are then at the media’s disposal to answer questions. Since December 2004 decisions taken by the Governing Council other than those setting interest rates have also been published every month on the websites of the Eurosystem central banks.

ECB legal acts are made available in all the official languages of the EU, as are the Governing Council’s monetary policy decisions, the decisions taken by the Governing Council in addition to decisions setting interest rates, and the consolidated financial statements of the Eurosystem. The ECB’s Annual Report is also made available in full in all official EU languages. The Convergence Report and the quarterly issues of the ECB’s Monthly Bulletin are made available either in full or in summary form in all official EU languages. For the purposes of public

1 With the exception of Irish, for which a derogation is in effect at the EU level.
2 With the exception of Irish (by EU derogation) and Maltese (by agreement with the Central Bank of Malta, following the lifting of the temporary EU derogation in May 2007).
3 See footnote 2.
accountability and transparency, the ECB also publishes other documentation – in addition to the statutory publications – in some or all official languages, in particular press releases announcing staff macroeconomic projections, policy positions and information of relevance to the general public. The preparation, publication and distribution of the national language versions of the ECB’s key publications are undertaken in close collaboration with the NCBs.

2 ACCOUNTABILITY TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

The European Parliament, as the only EU institution whose members are directly elected by the citizens of the EU, plays a key role in holding the ECB to account. Since its establishment, the ECB has maintained a close and fruitful dialogue with the European Parliament.

The President of the ECB continued to report on the ECB’s monetary policy and its other tasks during his quarterly hearings before the European Parliament’s Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs (ECON) in 2012. In addition, at the invitation of ECON, the President attended an in camera hearing for an informal exchange of views on the report “Towards a genuine Economic and Monetary Union” prepared by the President of the European Council, in close cooperation with the Presidents of the European Commission, the Eurogroup and the ECB (see Chapter 4).

Other members of the ECB’s Executive Board also appeared before the European Parliament. The Vice-President presented the ECB’s Annual Report 2011 to ECON. Jörg Asmussen participated in a public exchange of views on the economic and social crisis in Greece with the members of ECON and the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs of the European Parliament. In addition, ECB staff were invited to explain the ECB’s views at the expert level. Ignazio Angeloni, the ECB’s Director General for Financial Stability, participated in an exchange of views organised by ECON on “Banking supervision and resolution: next steps?”.

A wide range of issues were addressed during the various exchanges of views between MEPs and ECB representatives in 2012. The European Parliament expressed support for the ECB and its policies on many issues. MEPs welcomed efforts to ease the acute tensions in financial markets and help euro area countries under stress. Beyond macroeconomic developments, other important issues that were raised by MEPs pertained to the establishment of a single supervisory mechanism for financial supervision, the decision on Outright Monetary Transactions, and the development of a long-term vision for EMU.

THE SINGLE SUPERVISORY MECHANISM

The proposal to establish a single supervisory mechanism (SSM) was a key issue in the exchanges between the ECB and the European Parliament. Discussions focused in particular on the separation of monetary policy and supervisory tasks, the involvement of non-euro area countries, the accountability requirements for the new supervisory tasks, the interaction with national supervisors, the need to ensure compatibility with the Single Market, and the relationship of the SSM with the European Banking Authority. Questions were also raised with regard to the other proposed elements of a financial market union, namely an effective resolution regime for financial institutions and harmonised deposit guarantee schemes.

The President of the ECB emphasised the knowledge and expertise of national supervisors on which the ECB would draw when taking on supervisory tasks. He indicated that non-euro area countries would be welcome to join the SSM and stressed that any new supervisory tasks of the ECB must
be subject to very high standards of accountability. Furthermore, the President emphasised that the SSM should be complemented by a single resolution mechanism.

OUTRIGHT MONETARY TRANSACTIONS
Another important topic in discussions between the ECB and the European Parliament in 2012 was the tackling of the ongoing financial and economic crisis (see also Section 1 of Chapter 2). The European Parliament welcomed the determined effort by the ECB to respond within its mandate to the challenges of the crisis. In this context, the Governing Council decision of 6 September 2012 on Outright Monetary Transactions (OMTs) was a particular focus of the discussions.

In his exchanges with MEPs the President explained that the decision on OMTs had been indispensable to counter the impairment of the monetary policy transmission mechanism and maintain the singleness of the ECB’s monetary policy. He emphasised that OMTs were aimed at the correction of redenomination risk premia, which were not consistent with the irrevocable nature of the euro and hampered the monetary policy transmission mechanism. Given that the underlying macroeconomic imbalances needed to be addressed in order to restore the proper functioning of the monetary policy transmission mechanism, OMTs would only be activated if a given country adhered strictly to the agreed conditionality under a European Stability Mechanism programme. OMTs could also be activated for countries that are already under a full adjustment programme and regaining market access.

THE LONG-TERM VISION FOR EMU
The European Parliament issued an own-initiative resolution on the report entitled “Towards a genuine Economic and Monetary Union”. In his discussion with the Parliament on the issues raised in this report, the President of the ECB emphasised the need for a shared vision regarding the direction of EMU over the next decade. He outlined the importance of a solid long-term anchor to inspire confidence in the irreversibility and integrity of EMU and called for a concrete and time-bound schedule to reach this objective.

3 COMMUNICATION ACTIVITIES
The ECB addresses a variety of audiences – financial experts, the media, academia, parliaments and public institutions, as well as the general public – with varying levels of knowledge regarding finance and economics. Its mandate and decisions are therefore explained through a range of communication tools and activities which are constantly being refined in order to make them as effective as possible, taking into account the different audiences and the relevant communication environments and needs.

In 2012 the ECB’s communication activities continued to focus on explaining the events and consequences of the global financial and economic crisis with special regard to the sovereign debt crisis in Europe and related measures decided on by the Governing Council. The vast majority of public speeches delivered by members of the Executive Board were related to these issues, as were the communication activities of ECB experts in the countries under official adjustment programmes or seeking the assistance of the ECB, in liaison with the European Commission and the IMF. These topics also dominated the questions and requests for information received from the media, the public and visitors to the ECB. The subject of a banking union and the ECB’s assumption of tasks related to the supervision of banks in the euro area featured regularly in the ECB’s communication activities.
The ECB publishes a number of regular and periodic studies and reports. These include the Annual Report, which presents a review of the ECB’s activities in the previous year, and the Monthly Bulletin, which provides regular updates on the ECB’s assessment of economic and monetary developments and detailed information underlying its decisions. The ECB issued 167 press releases in 2012, covering all aspects of the ECB’s policies and activities, as well as additional information related to the implementation of monetary policy. The ECB also provides a wide range of statistical data, primarily by means of the Statistical Data Warehouse and interactive charts on the ECB’s website.

All members of the ECB’s Executive Board contribute directly to enhancing public knowledge and understanding of the Eurosystem’s tasks and policies by giving testimonies before the European Parliament and national parliaments, delivering public speeches and granting interviews to the media. Over the course of 2012 the members of the Executive Board delivered more than 200 speeches to a variety of audiences and granted over 100 interviews to the media. They also had many articles published in journals, magazines and newspapers.

In 2012 the ECB organised 15 seminars for journalists at its premises and participated in a further 4 seminars organised in Brussels or by the European Journalism Centre. They were all aimed at enhancing the knowledge and understanding of the national and international media as regards the ECB’s mandate, tasks and activities. The ECB organised some of these seminars on its own and others in cooperation with the NCBs, the European Commission, the European Journalism Centre and other public authorities and foundations. In addition to the monthly press conference following the meetings of the Governing Council, the ECB organised numerous press briefings aimed at fostering a greater understanding of other ECB policies and actions.

The ECB welcomed approximately 800 groups of visitors to its current and future premises in Frankfurt in 2012. Some 21,000 visitors received first-hand information in the form of presentations given by ECB staff and tours of the site of the new ECB premises. The progress made in the construction of the new premises, culminating in the topping out ceremony on 20 September 2012, resulted in a greater number of communication activities related to this subject in the course of the year.

All documents published by the ECB and other information about its various activities are available on the ECB’s website. In 2012 the website received 25 million visits; 263 million pages were viewed and 67 million documents were downloaded. In 2012 the ECB replied to around 100,000 enquiries from the public requesting information on a number of issues related to the ECB’s activities, policies and decisions.

A number of high-level international conferences and events were organised in 2012, including “Financial integration and stability: towards a more resilient single EU financial market”, organised jointly with the European Commission; “Bank funding – markets, instruments and implications for corporate lending and the real economy”, organised together with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York; and an ECB colloquium in honour of José Manuel González-Páramo on “Monetary policy in unconventional times”.

On 20 June 2012 the President of the ECB and the governors of the respective euro area NCBs presented the Europe-level prizes to the teams that had won the national contests of the Generation €uro Students’ Award 2011/12. This competition, which will be held each year, aims to enhance the economic literacy of teenagers and to give them a better understanding of the role of the central bank in the economy. More than 3,000 students from the euro area, aged between 16 and 19,
entered the competition. The best 528 euro area performers in the first round, which took the form of a multiple-choice test, were invited to participate in the second round. This involved writing an essay predicting the Governing Council’s interest rate decision in March 2012 and giving reasons for it. Altogether, 208 essays were received. In the final round, the best teams were selected to give a presentation about the June 2012 interest rate decision and the underlying reasoning before a jury of central bank experts. The following year’s competition was launched on 1 November 2012. By mid-December more than 750 teams – or around 2,500 students – had entered the competition, with additional registrations following in January 2013 in some countries.

The ECB has also expanded its presence in social media. It has a Twitter account, which gained some 30,000 followers in the course of 2012, bringing the total number of followers to 42,000. This tool is used to highlight publications and speeches; in the course of 2012 more than 600 tweets were sent, which were re-tweeted by followers more than 8,000 times. The ECB also maintains a YouTube account for the publication of videos of the monthly press conferences as well as other films. A Facebook account was created for the Generation €uro Students’ Award, facilitating direct contact with the target audience of the competition. In addition, to mark the tenth anniversary of the introduction of the euro banknotes and coins on 1 January 2012, the ECB organised an EU-wide “Euro Run” internet competition for children aged 9 to 12, which lasted for three months and aimed to increase pupils’ awareness of the euro banknotes and coins.

2012 was also an important year in terms of the development of the communication campaign related to the introduction of the second series of euro banknotes. The aim of the campaign, which was prepared during 2012 and launched in 2013, is to ensure that euro area citizens are aware of the new banknotes and their features. To this end, a communication strategy was developed for the whole euro area. The first major event was on 8 November 2012, when the President of the ECB announced the introduction of the new “Europa series” of euro banknotes at the monthly press conference. The first denomination in the new series – the €5 banknote – was unveiled on 10 January 2013 during the opening of an exhibition entitled “The new face of the euro” at the Archaeological Museum in Frankfurt.

The ECB also developed a dedicated website which will serve as a hub for all banknote-related activities, as well as the Euro Cash Academy, a web-based module and smart phone app aimed at making the euro banknotes and their security features more familiar to the public and cashiers.

On 28 and 29 April 2012 the ECB organised visitor days, opening its doors to the public as well as ECB staff and their families and welcoming approximately 1,300 visitors. The programme included guided tours of the building, presentations, workshops on the role and function of the ECB and the euro banknotes and coins, educational games and several exhibitions, including one on the euro banknotes and coins.

As in previous years, in May 2012 the ECB had an information stand at the open day event on the premises of the European Parliament in Strasbourg.

The EUROPEAN CULTURAL DAYS of the ECB took place from 17 October until 14 November 2012 and were dedicated to France. The four-week programme, organised in close cooperation with the Banque de France, showcased some of France’s most exciting and innovative cultural talents. With over 20 events ranging from chanson, classical music, jazz and dance to literature, film, fine arts, culinary arts, design and architecture, rounded off with a new French circus production, the EUROPEAN CULTURAL DAYS 2012 provided visitors from the Frankfurt area
and beyond with a unique and varied insight into France’s cultural life. Through its EUROPEAN CULTURAL DAYS initiative, which was launched in 2003, the ECB aims to raise awareness of the EU’s cultural diversity and foster mutual understanding among Europeans.
On 20 September 2012 the ECB held a topping out ceremony to celebrate the completion of the main structural works for its new premises in the Ostend. Such a ceremony is a German tradition and is held by the owner of a newly built house, primarily in order to celebrate the achievements of the planners, construction companies and their workers, who thus account for the majority of the guests. Members of the Executive Board, the Governing Council and the General Council of the ECB placed the flags of all 27 EU Member States and the flag of the EU in the topping out wreath. The ceremony concluded with the raising of the topping out wreath and a traditional topping out toast by the construction foreman for the high-rise.
CHAPTER 7

INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND ORGANISATION

I DECISION-MAKING BODIES AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE OF THE ECB

I.1 THE EUROSYSTEM AND THE EUROPEAN SYSTEM OF CENTRAL BANKS

The Eurosystem is the central banking system of the euro area. It comprises the ECB and the NCBs of the EU Member States whose currency is the euro (17 since 1 January 2011). The ESCB is composed of the ECB and the NCBs of all 27 EU Member States, i.e. it also includes the NCBs of the Member States which have not yet adopted the euro.

The ECB is the core of the Eurosystem and the ESCB and ensures that the operations which form part of the tasks of the ESCB are carried out either through its own activities or via the NCBs, to which the ECB shall have recourse to the extent deemed possible and appropriate. The ECB is an EU institution and has legal personality under public international law.

Each NCB has legal personality according to the law of its respective country. The euro area NCBs, which form an integral part of the Eurosystem, carry out the tasks conferred upon the
The Eurosystem and the ESCB are governed by the decision-making bodies of the ECB: the Governing Council and the Executive Board. The General Council is constituted as a third decision-making body of the ECB, for as long as there are EU Member States which have not yet adopted the euro. The functioning of the decision-making bodies is governed by the Treaty, the Statute of the ESCB and the relevant Rules of Procedure. The functioning of the decision-making bodies is governed by the Treaty, the Statute of the ESCB and the relevant Rules of Procedure. Decision-making within the Eurosystem and the ESCB is centralised. However, the ECB and the euro area NCBs jointly contribute, strategically and operationally, to attaining the common goals of the Eurosystem, with due respect to the principle of decentralisation in accordance with the Statute of the ESCB.

### 1.2 THE GOVERNING COUNCIL

The Governing Council comprises the members of the Executive Board of the ECB and the governors of the NCBs of the Member States which have adopted the euro. Its main responsibilities, as laid down in the Treaty, are:

- to adopt the guidelines and take the decisions necessary to ensure the performance of the tasks entrusted to the Eurosystem;

- to formulate the monetary policy of the euro area, including, as appropriate, decisions relating to intermediate monetary objectives, key interest rates and the supply of reserves in the Eurosystem, and to establish the necessary guidelines for their implementation.

The Governing Council meets, as a rule, twice a month at the ECB’s premises in Frankfurt am Main, Germany. It conducts, inter alia, an in-depth assessment of monetary and economic developments and takes related decisions specifically at its first meeting of the month, while the second meeting usually focuses on issues related to other tasks and responsibilities of the ECB and the Eurosystem. In 2012 two meetings were held outside Frankfurt, one hosted by the Banco de España in Barcelona and the other hosted by Banka Slovenije in Brdo pri Kranju. In addition to these meetings, the Governing Council may also hold meetings by means of teleconference or take decisions by written procedure.

When taking decisions on monetary policy and on other tasks of the ECB and the Eurosystem, the members of the Governing Council do not act as national representatives, but in a fully independent personal capacity. This is reflected by the principle of “one member, one vote” applied within the Governing Council. In 2008 the Governing Council decided to continue its existing voting regime – as provided for by Article 10.2 of the Statute of the ESCB – and to introduce a rotation system only when the number of governors in the Governing Council exceeds 18.
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1.3 THE EXECUTIVE BOARD

The Executive Board comprises the President and the Vice-President of the ECB and four other members appointed by the European Council, acting by qualified majority, after consultation of the European Parliament and of the ECB. The main responsibilities of the Executive Board, which as a rule meets once a week, are:

– to prepare the meetings of the Governing Council;

– to implement the monetary policy of the euro area in accordance with the guidelines and decisions laid down by the Governing Council and, in doing so, to give the necessary instructions to the euro area NCBs;

– to manage the current business of the ECB;

– to exercise certain powers delegated to it by the Governing Council, including some of a regulatory nature.

The Executive Board is assisted by a Management Committee in matters relating to the ECB’s management, business planning and annual budget process. The Management Committee is composed of one Executive Board member, who acts as Chairperson, and a number of senior managers.
1.4 THE GENERAL COUNCIL

The General Council is composed of the President and the Vice-President of the ECB and the governors of the NCBs of all 27 EU Member States. Since December 2011 the Governor of Hrvatska narodna banka, the Croatian central bank, has been invited to attend the meetings of the General Council in an observer capacity. The General Council mainly carries out those tasks taken over from the European Monetary Institute which still have to be performed because not all the Member States have adopted the euro. These tasks include strengthening cooperation between the NCBs, supporting the coordination of the monetary policies of the Member States with the aim of ensuring price stability, and monitoring the functioning of ERM II. Moreover, it reports – in the form of the ECB’s Convergence Report – to the EU Council on the progress made by Member States which have not yet adopted the euro in fulfilling their obligations regarding the achievement of EMU. It also contributes to the advisory functions of the ECB. In 2012 the General Council had its four quarterly meetings.
THE GENERAL COUNCIL
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Middle row (left to right): Carlos Costa, Luc Coene, Jozef Makúch, Erkki Liikanen, Ewald Nowotny, Mugur Constantin Isărescu, Relja Martić* (alternate observer), Gaston Reinesch

Back row (left to right): Lars Rohde, Ivan Iskrov, Ilmārs Rimšēvičs, Ardo Hansson, Klaas Knot, Jens Weidmann, Patrick Honohan, Vitas Vasiliauskas, Miroslav Singer

Note: Marek Belka, Josef Bonnici, Panicos O. Demetriades, Mervyn King, Luis M. Linde and George A. Provopoulos were not available at the time the photograph was taken.

*Relja Martić is Deputy Governor of Hrvatska narodna banka.
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1.5 EUROSYSTEM/ESCB COMMITTEES, THE BUDGET COMMITTEE, THE HUMAN RESOURCES CONFERENCE AND THE EUROSYSTEM IT STEERING COMMITTEE

The Eurosystem/ESCB committees have continued to play an important role in assisting the ECB’s decision-making bodies in the performance of their tasks. At the request of both the Governing Council and the Executive Board, the committees have provided expertise in their fields of competence and have facilitated the decision-making process. Membership of the committees is usually restricted to staff of the Eurosystem central banks. However, the NCBs of the Member States which have not yet adopted the euro take part in the meetings of a committee whenever it deals with matters that fall within the field of competence of the General Council. Where appropriate, other competent bodies may also be invited. Since the signing of the Accession Treaty in December 2011, and in line with the decision to invite governors of central banks of acceding countries to attend the meetings of the General Council, experts from Hrvatska narodna banka, the Croatian central bank, have been invited to the meetings of ESCB committees in an observer capacity whenever they deal with matters that fall within the field of competence of the General Council. As at 31 December 2012 14 Eurosystem/ESCB committees had been established under Article 9.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the ECB.

On 18 April 2012 the Committee on Cost Methodology was changed into the Committee on Controlling with a view to further enhancing the financial controlling support provided during the preparation and conduct of Eurosystem projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounting and Monetary Income Committee (AMICO)</th>
<th>International Relations Committee (IRC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Werner Studener</td>
<td>Wolfgang Duchatzek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banknote Committee (BANCO)</td>
<td>Legal Committee (LEGCO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ton Roos</td>
<td>Antonio Sáinz de Vicuña</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee on Controlling (COMCO)</td>
<td>Market Operations Committee (MOC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentti Hakkarainen</td>
<td>Ulrich Bindseil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurosystem/ESCB Communications Committee (ECCO)</td>
<td>Monetary Policy Committee (MPC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christine Grazil</td>
<td>Wolfgang Schill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Stability Committee (FSC)</td>
<td>Payment and Settlement Systems Committee (PSSC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignazio Angeloni</td>
<td>Daniela Russo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology Committee (ITC)</td>
<td>Risk Management Committee (RMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koenraad de Geest</td>
<td>Carlos Bernadell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Auditors Committee (IAC)</td>
<td>Statistics Committee (STC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klaus Gressenbauer</td>
<td>Aurel Schubert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Committee (BUCOM)</td>
<td>Human Resources Conference (HRC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>José Luis Malo de Molina</td>
<td>Steven Keuning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurosystem IT Steering Committee (FISC)</td>
<td>Yves Mersch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 As at 1 January 2013.
Three further committees exist. The Budget Committee, which was established under Article 15 of the Rules of Procedure, assists the Governing Council in matters related to the ECB’s budget. The Human Resources Conference was established in 2005 under Article 9a of the Rules of Procedure as a forum for the exchange of experience, expertise and information among Eurosystem/ESCB central banks in the field of human resources management. The Eurosystem IT Steering Committee was established in 2007 by the Governing Council, with a mandate to steer continuous improvement in the use of IT within the Eurosystem.

1.6 CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

In addition to the decision-making bodies, the corporate governance of the ECB encompasses a number of external and internal control layers, two codes of conduct, an Ethics Framework and rules concerning public access to ECB documents.

EXTERNAL CONTROL LAYERS

The Statute of the ESCB provides for two control layers, namely the external auditor, which is appointed to audit the annual accounts of the ECB (Article 27.1 of the Statute of the ESCB), and the European Court of Auditors, which examines the operational efficiency of the management of the ECB (Article 27.2). The annual report of the European Court of Auditors, together with the ECB’s reply, is published on the ECB’s website and in the Official Journal of the European Union. In order to reinforce public assurance as to the independence of the ECB’s external auditor, the principle of audit firm rotation is applied. Good practices for the selection and mandate of external auditors, published on the ECB’s website, provide high-level guidance for each Eurosystem central bank when selecting external auditors and determining their mandate. The good practices also enable the Governing Council to formulate its recommendations to the EU Council on the basis of harmonised, consistent and transparent selection criteria. On 14 June 2012 the Governing Council approved a revised version of the good practices.

INTERNAL CONTROL LAYERS

The internal control structure of the ECB is based on an approach in which each organisational unit (section, division, directorate or directorate general) has primary responsibility for managing its own risks, as well as for ensuring the effectiveness and efficiency of its operations. Each organisational unit implements operational control procedures within its area of responsibility in accordance with the risk tolerance set in advance by the Executive Board.

Within the ECB, budgetary responsibility and accountability lies first with the individual business areas, which are also responsible for the first level of control. The Budget, Controlling and Organisation Division is responsible for planning, coordinating, steering and executing all related centralised processes as well as for preparing the relevant documentation. It also serves as a second level of control and reports to the Executive Board on a regular basis, alerting it to issues where necessary.

In accordance with its mandate, the Budget Committee assesses the ECB budget planning and monitoring reports submitted by the Executive Board and reports on them to the Governing Council. It assists the Governing Council in the evaluation of the Executive Board’s annual budget

2 Following the conclusion of a tender procedure and in line with the agreed practice of rotating audit firms, PricewaterhouseCoopers Aktiengesellschaft Wirtschaftsprüfungsgesellschaft was appointed as the ECB’s external auditor for the financial years 2008-12.
proposal before the latter is adopted, and assesses and reports to the Governing Council on budget monitoring reports.

As far as the operational risks of the ECB are concerned, the Operational Risk Committee, an internal ECB committee, is responsible for stimulating and overseeing the development, implementation and maintenance of operational risk management by the business areas who own the risks. It also supports the Executive Board in the execution of its role in overseeing the management of the operational risks of the ECB.

In 2012 the ECB continued, together with the NCBs, to implement the operational risk management framework and updated the related operational risk assessments for Eurosystem tasks and processes. The ECB continued to regularly test and review the business continuity arrangements for its critical operations. Furthermore, in cooperation with the NCBs, the ECB conducted a business impact analysis to identify the time criticality of Eurosystem functions.

The ECB’s Risk Management Office is responsible for the risk management framework applicable to all of the ECB’s financial market operations and for monitoring, assessing and proposing improvements to the Eurosystem’s operational framework for monetary policy and foreign exchange policy from a risk management perspective.

The Risk Management Committee, comprising participants from Eurosystem central banks with expertise in the field of risk management, assists the decision-making bodies in ensuring an appropriate level of protection for the Eurosystem by managing and controlling the financial risks originating from its market operations, in the context of both the Eurosystem’s monetary policy operations and the ECB’s foreign reserve portfolio. With regard to these activities, the Risk Management Committee contributes to, among other things, the monitoring, measuring and reporting of financial risks and the definition and review of the associated methodologies and frameworks.

Independently from the internal control structure and risk monitoring of the ECB, audit missions are performed by the Directorate Internal Audit under the direct responsibility of the Executive Board. In accordance with the mandate defined in the ECB Audit Charter, the ECB’s internal auditors provide independent and objective assurance and consulting services, bringing a systematic approach to evaluating and improving the effectiveness of risk management, control and governance processes. The ECB’s internal audit activities conform with the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing of the Institute of Internal Auditors.

A Eurosystem/ESCB committee, the Internal Auditors Committee, which is composed of the heads of internal audit at the ECB and the NCBs, is responsible for coordinating the auditing of Eurosystem/ESCB joint projects and operational systems.

An ECB Audit Committee further enhances the corporate governance of the ECB and the Eurosystem as a whole. It is composed of three Governing Council members, with Erkki Liikanen (Governor of Suomen Pankki – Finlands Bank) as Chairman.

3 The Risk Management Office, established as an independent business area of the ECB in July 2011, became the Directorate Risk Management on 1 January 2013.
4 This charter is published on the ECB’s website to foster the transparency of audit arrangements in place at the ECB.
CODES OF CONDUCT

Two codes of conduct are applicable to the members of the ECB’s decision-making bodies. The first code of conduct gives guidance to, and sets ethical standards for, the members of the Governing Council and their alternates when exercising their functions as members of the Governing Council. It reflects their responsibility to safeguard the integrity and reputation of the Eurosystem and to maintain the effectiveness of its operations. An Ethics Adviser has been appointed by the Governing Council to provide guidance to its members on aspects of professional conduct. The second code is the Supplementary Code of Ethics Criteria for the members of the Executive Board. It complements the other code of conduct by further detailing the ethical regime applicable to members of the Executive Board.

The Ethics Framework for members of staff of the ECB provides guidance and sets ethics conventions, standards and benchmarks. All staff are expected to maintain high standards of professional ethical behaviour in the performance of their duties, as well as in their relations with NCBs, public authorities, market participants, media representatives and the public in general. An Ethics Officer appointed by the Executive Board ensures a consistent interpretation of the rules.

ANTI-FRAUD MEASURES

In 1999 the European Parliament and the EU Council adopted a Regulation to step up the fight against fraud, corruption and any other illegal activity detrimental to the Communities’ financial interests. The Regulation provides, inter alia, for the internal investigation of suspected fraud by the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) within the EU institutions, bodies, offices and agencies. The OLAF Regulation foresees that each of the latter adopt decisions in order for OLAF to be able to carry out its investigations within each of them. In 2004 the Governing Council adopted a Decision concerning the terms and conditions for investigations by OLAF of the ECB, which entered into force on 1 July 2004.

ANTI-MONEY LAUNDERING/COUNTER-TERRORIST FINANCING PROGRAMME

In 2007 the ECB established its internal anti-money laundering (AML) and counter-terrorist financing (CTF) schemes. The design of the AML/CTF provisions is in line with the Recommendations of the Financial Action Task Force (FATF), to the extent that they are applicable to the ECB’s operations. A compliance function within the ECB identifies, analyses and addresses the risks associated with money laundering and terrorist financing for all relevant activities of the ECB. In particular, ensuring compliance with applicable AML/CTF legislation is part of the process of assessing and monitoring the eligibility of the ECB’s counterparties. In this context, particular attention is paid to restrictive measures adopted by the EU and public statements issued by the FATF. An internal reporting system complements the ECB’s AML/CTF framework to ensure that all relevant information is systematically collected and duly communicated to the Executive Board.

6 See the Supplementary Code of Ethics Criteria for the members of the Executive Board of the ECB, OJ C 104, 23.4.2010, p. 8, and the ECB’s website.
7 See Part 0 of the ECB Staff Rules containing the Ethics Framework, OJ C 104, 23.4.2010, p. 3, and the ECB’s website.
9 Decision ECB/2004/11 of 3 June 2004 concerning the terms and conditions for European Anti-Fraud Office investigations of the European Central Bank, in relation to the prevention of fraud, corruption and any other illegal activities detrimental to the European Communities’ financial interests and amending the Conditions of Employment for Staff of the European Central Bank, OJ L 230, 30.6.2004, p. 56.
The ECB’s Decision on public access to ECB documents, adopted in 2004, is in line with the objectives and standards applied by other EU institutions and bodies with regard to public access to their documents. It enhances transparency, while at the same time taking into account the independence of the ECB and of the NCBs and ensuring the confidentiality of certain matters specific to the performance of the ECB’s tasks. In 2011 the ECB amended its Decision on public access to ECB documents in order to take into account the new ESRB-related activities of the ECB. In 2012 the number of public access requests remained limited.

11 In line with the ECB’s commitment to openness and transparency, the “Archives” section of the ECB’s website provides access to historical documentation.
The Organisation Chart of the ECB
(as at 1 January 2013)

Directorate General Administration
Werner Studener
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- New ECB Premises (Project Office)
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Directorate General Banknotes
Jan Roes
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Directorate General Communications and Language Services
Christine Graeff
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- Language Services
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Counsel to the Executive Board
Christian Thimann

ECB Representation in Washington, D.C.
Georges Pineau

Directorate General Economics
Wolfgang Schilt
Deputies: Hans-Joachim Klückers, N.N.
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- Fiscal Policies
- Directorate Economic Developments

Directorate General Human Resources
Hans-Joachim Klückers
Divisions:
- Euro Area Macroeconomic Developments
- EU Countries

Directorate General Monetary Policy
N.N.
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- Capital Markets and Financial Structure
- Monetary Policy Stance
- Monetary Policy Strategy

ESRB Secretariat
Francesco Mazaricchio
Deputy: Andrea Macchiarelli

Directorate General Financial Stability
Ignazio Angeloni
Deputy: John Foll
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- Financial Services Policy
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- Financial Stability Surveillance

Directorate General HR, Budget and Organisation
Steven Keuning
Deputy: Peter Rempferdt
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- Budget, Controlling and Organisation
- HR Policies and Staff Relations
- Recruitment and Compensation

Directorate General Information Systems
Ronnie De Geest
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- Exceptional Domain Applications
- Infrastructure and Operations
- Security and Architecture

Directorate General Internal Audit
Klaus Greisnagler
Divisions:
- Audit Missions
- Audit Services

Directorate General International and European Relations
Frank Moss
Deputy: Gilles Nollet
Divisions:
- EU Institutions and Fora (includes the ECB Representative Office in Brussels)
- External Developments
- International Policy Analysis
- International Relations and Cooperation

Directorate General Legal Services
Antonio Sánz de Yacubni
Deputy: Chiara Zilio
Divisions:
- Financial Law
- Institutional Law
- Legislation

Directorate General Market Operations
Ulrich Baudouin
Deputy: Roberto Schiavi
Divisions:
- Bond Markets and International Operations
- Financial Operations Services
- Market Operations Analysis
- Market Operations Systems
- Money Market and Liquidity

Directorate General Payments and Market Infrastructure
Daniela Russo
Deputy: Pierre Prent
Divisions:
- Market Integration
- Oversight
- TARGET and Collateral

T2S Programme
Jean-Michel Godeffroy
Division:
- T2S Programme Office

Directorate General Research
Frank Smets
Deputy: Philipp Hartmann
Divisions:
- Financial Research
- Monetary Policy Research

Directorate General Risk Management
Carlos Bernadell
Divisions:
- Risk Analysis
- Risk Strategy

Directorate General Secretariat
Pierre van der Haegen
Deputy: Roman Schremmer
Divisions:
- Information Management Services
- Secretariat

Directorate General Statistics
Aurel Schubert
Deputy: Werner Bier
Divisions:
- External Statistics
- Macroeconomic Statistics
- Monetary and Financial Statistics
- Statistical Information Services
- Statistics Development/Coordination

---

1 Reports to the President of the ECB in his capacity as Chair of the ESRB.
2 Includes the data protection function.
3 Secretary to the Executive Board, the Governing Council and the General Council.
2 ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENTS

2.1 HUMAN RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

In 2012 the ECB continued to develop and implement human resources management policies with a focus on four areas: working culture, recruitment, professional development and employment conditions.

WORKING CULTURE

In 2012 developments related to the working culture of the ECB continued to focus on diversity, professional ethics and performance management. The ECB introduced a pilot mentoring programme to support the personal and professional development of a group of staff members, giving particular attention to female mentees. Meanwhile, the share of female applicants who were selected for junior managerial and advisory positions considerably exceeded that of male applicants. With regard to professional ethics, the rules concerning private financial activities, and the monitoring thereof, were further reviewed in order to maintain an effective regulatory ethics framework.

RECRUITMENT

On 31 December 2012 the actual full-time equivalent number of staff holding employment contracts with the ECB was 1,638 (1,609 on 31 December 2011). A total of 73 new fixed-term contracts (limited in nature or convertible to permanent contracts) were offered in 2012. By contrast, 34 members of staff employed on a fixed-term or permanent basis left the ECB in 2012 (38 in 2011), following resignation or retirement. Furthermore, to cover for absences of less than one year, 120 short-term contracts were issued during 2012 (in addition to a number of contract extensions), while 114 short-term contracts expired in the course of the year.

The ECB continued to offer short-term contracts to staff from NCBs and international organisations, thus fostering an ESCB-wide team spirit and cooperation with international organisations. On 31 December 2012 142 employees from NCBs and international organisations were working at the ECB on various assignments, 7% less than at the end of 2011.

In September 2012 the ECB welcomed the seventh intake of participants in its Graduate Programme. These participants have various academic backgrounds and are assigned to two different business areas for consecutive periods of one year each.

As in previous years, traineeship opportunities were offered throughout the year to students and graduates with backgrounds in economics, statistics, business administration, law or translation. On 31 December 2012 122 trainees were hosted by the ECB (13% more than in 2011). The ECB also awarded five fellowships as part of the Wim Duisenberg Research Fellowship Programme, which is open to leading economists, and five fellowships to young researchers under its Lamfalussy Fellowship Programme.

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Mobility continues to be an important tool for the professional development of ECB staff, while also serving to increase synergies across business areas. In the course of 2012 225 staff members, including 59 managers and advisers, moved internally to other positions, on either a temporary or a longer-term basis.

13 In addition to contracts based on full-time equivalent positions, this figure includes short-term contracts awarded to staff seconded from NCBs and international organisations and contracts awarded to Graduate Programme participants.
The ECB, like all ESCB central banks, actively participates in the external mobility schemes promoted by the Human Resources Conference, which enables the ECB to second staff to the 27 NCBs in the EU or to relevant international organisations (e.g. the IMF and the BIS) for periods of two to twelve months. A total of 15 members of staff were seconded under this scheme in 2012 (new cases). The total number of staff members on unpaid leave at the end of December 2012 was 56 (compared with 55 in 2011). The ECB also decided to launch a pilot programme on career transition in January 2013, so as to support staff members who have served the ECB in the same or similar positions for a prolonged period and who are interested in pursuing their career outside the ECB.

The ECB continued to promote the acquisition and development of relevant skills and the further enhancement of the required competencies of management and staff. In addition to a range of in-house training opportunities, management and staff continued to follow external training courses, including those offered under the ESCB training programme.

In 2012 19 area heads, 11 deputy directors general and 50 heads of division participated in a multi-source feedback exercise, in which their staff, peers and external counterparts completed a questionnaire on their managerial and leadership skills. Overall, the feedback was very positive. As a follow-up, these managers devised individual action plans to further enhance their performance.

THE HUMAN RESOURCES CONFERENCE
The activities of the Human Resources Conference covered various aspects of human resources management in 2012, including the organisation of a broad range of ESCB training activities as well as intra-ESCB mobility. The ESCB Training and Development website, a platform for sharing information on training and mobility opportunities with all ESCB staff, was launched in April 2012. In 2012 there were 46 sessions of ESCB training activities, hosted by 23 central banks.

EMPLOYMENT CONDITIONS
The ECB’s employment conditions have been fine-tuned so as to continue offering an attractive package to staff, also in view of their generally high workload, and to balance the needs of staff with those of the organisation.

At the end of 2012 258 staff members were working part time, 34% more than in 2011. The number of staff on unpaid parental leave as at 31 December 2012 was 25 (compared with 33 in 2011). Building on the results of a pilot phase, the teleworking policy was introduced on 1 February 2012. In 2012, on average, around 363 staff members teleworked each month. In 2012 as a whole, 975 staff members teleworked at least once.

2.2 STAFF RELATIONS AND SOCIAL DIALOGUE
The ECB fully recognises the importance of a constructive dialogue with its staff. In 2012 the ECB consulted and exchanged information with the elected Staff Committee and the recognised trade union IPSO\(^\text{14}\) on changes related to remuneration, employment contracts, staff development, performance management, working conditions, health and safety conditions and pensions. In this context, 217 letters were exchanged. In addition, the ECB continued to have a frequent dialogue through regular meetings with the Staff Committee and IPSO on employment and social matters.

\(^{14}\) IPSO stands for “International and European Public Services Organisation”.
2.3 ESCB SOCIAL DIALOGUE

The ESCB Social Dialogue is a consultative forum involving the ECB, employee representatives from the central banks of the ESCB and representatives from European trade union federations. Its purpose is to provide information and foster exchanges of views on issues that may have a major impact on employment conditions at the central banks of the ESCB. This information is provided in a biannual newsletter and at meetings held in Frankfurt.

In 2012 the first ESCB Social Dialogue meeting took place in February and focused on the employment-related effects of the financial crisis, ESCB/Eurosystem training and mobility and financial stability. Issues relating to banknote production and circulation and payment systems were also discussed.

A special ad hoc meeting on the financial crisis and its impact on the ESCB and its staff took place in June.

The second regular ESCB Social Dialogue meeting was held in November and focused on the main developments in the areas of banknotes and banking supervision. Other issues discussed were ESCB/Eurosystem training and mobility and IT projects.

The ad hoc working group on banknote production and circulation continued to address technical issues, complementing those discussed in the plenary meeting of the ESCB Social Dialogue. The working group on the ESCB Social Dialogue met in April and October 2012 and, among other things, identified possible items to be included in the agenda of the ESCB Social Dialogue meetings.

2.4 THE EUROSYSTEM PROCUREMENT COORDINATION OFFICE

The objective of the Eurosystem Procurement Coordination Office (EPCO) is to ensure the efficient coordination of joint procurements of goods and services by those ESCB central banks that have committed to participating in EPCO’s activities, as well as to further improve the best procurement practices of these central banks. The network of central bank procurement experts, coordinated by EPCO, met six times in 2012.

The fourth EPCO Procurement Plan (Update 2012) was implemented over the course of the year. The joint procedures on air transport for ESCB meetings and global hotel agreements were renewed. Several joint procurement procedures were finalised and implemented during 2012, in areas including information technology, consultancy services, software packages, rating agency and market data services, and audit activities. In addition, EPCO progressed on nine other joint procurement activities and studies to identify potential joint procurement in areas related to banknote packaging items, office products, insurance services and information technology, as well as – for services not covered by the procedures already concluded – to rating agency and market data services. An evaluation of further opportunities for joint procurement was included in the next EPCO Procurement Plan (Update 2013), which was approved by the Governing Council in December 2012.

15 The Standing Committee of European Central Bank Unions (SCECBU), Union Network International – Europa (UNI-Europa Finance) and the European Federation of Public Service Unions (EPSU).
16 In 2012, in addition to the Eurosystem central banks, the Magyar Nemzeti Bank and Banca Naţională a României participated in EPCO activities.
EPCO prepared a compilation of best public procurement practices at the ESCB central banks.

The Governing Council decided to extend EPCO’s initial mandate (2008-12) and its hosting by the Banque central du Luxembourg until the end of June 2014, by which time a decision is expected to have been taken on EPCO’s future operational model and host central bank.

2.5 NEW ECB PREMISES

On 20 September 2012 the ECB held the topping out ceremony for its new premises. The double office tower had then almost reached its final height of 185 m and the main structural works had been completed. The steel works for the technical areas and the antenna on top of the building will be finished in spring 2013.

The mounting of the facade panels on the double office tower commenced in February 2012 and the installation of the facades and the closing-off of the connecting atrium are due to be completed in spring 2013. The facades of the Grossmarkthalle (the former wholesale market hall) were successfully restored in 2012 and the steel structures were erected for the conference area, designed as a separate house-in-house element within the spacious Grossmarkthalle, as well as for the entrance building, which will house the press centre. The installation of the technical infrastructure and the fit-out works began in spring 2012 and proceeded according to plan. A delay of approximately six months caused by the complexity of the requisite restoration works on the original fabric of the Grossmarkthalle has been incorporated into the existing time schedule.

Up to the end of 2012 the ECB had spent approximately €600 million in construction and other costs, including the purchase of the site. In 2005 the building costs were estimated at around €500 million and the overall investment costs at €850 million, both at constant 2005 prices, i.e. excluding price indexation, inflation, and unforeseen risks beyond a certain order of magnitude. The current prognosis, estimated in mid-2012, includes all project costs, past and future indexation costs and general construction cost developments until the ECB moves into its new premises in 2014. Price rises for construction materials and construction activities from 2005 until the completion of the project in 2014 are expected to lead to a €200 million increase in the overall investment cost.

The ECB monitors the construction progress, its costs and price developments very closely and implements adjustments and amendments where necessary. The Grossmarkthalle presented a number of challenges that were not detected in the initial examination conducted prior to the acquisition: the foundations turned out to be insufficient and required additional support; the roof coverage was found to be contaminated and therefore could not be disposed of as envisaged; and parts of the old concrete construction had insufficient steel support.

All these factors are likely to account for additional costs of about €300 million to €350 million by the time the project is completed in 2014, including the above-mentioned €200 million related to indexation costs, on top of the estimated overall investment costs of €850 million based on constant 2005 prices.

The ECB’s relocation to its new headquarters is planned for 2014.
2.6 ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

The ECB remains committed to making prudent use of natural resources in order to preserve the quality of the environment and to protect human health. It has established an environmental policy and continuously improves its environmental performance, in particular by minimising its ecological footprint and carbon emissions. To systematically pursue environmental sustainability, the ECB has adopted an Environmental Management System that complies with the international standard EN ISO 14001 and Regulation (EC) No 1221/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the voluntary participation by organisations in a Community eco-management and audit scheme. In 2012 the ECB successfully passed its second environmental surveillance audit.

The ECB’s main impact on the environment and about 75% of its carbon footprint arise from the use of electricity and of energy for heating and cooling. Its carbon emissions have been reduced considerably since 2009, mainly through organisational and technical measures. A comparison of data for 2010 and 2011 reveals a 24% reduction in carbon emissions resulting from electricity, heating and cooling, business travel and paper consumption.

In addition, measures continued to be put in place in the context of the environmental programme implemented by the ECB. A pilot phase for the implementation of a sustainable procurement guideline was launched in 2012. Moreover, the number of personal office printers was reduced and energy-efficient multi-functional on-floor devices were installed in all ECB premises.

The ECB is convinced that every staff member can contribute to minimising the ECB’s ecological footprint. In 2012 the ECB’s environmental representatives organised staff training sessions, regular meetings and workshops. The TARGET2-Securities (T2S) Programme Office has become a pilot business area for an awareness-raising and behaviour change communication campaign. Furthermore, a car-free day was organised on 21 September 2012, successfully encouraging almost 200 employees to travel to work by other means of transport.

Special attention is also being paid to the establishment of state-of-the-art sustainability features in the operation and maintenance plans for the ECB’s new premises.

2.7 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SERVICE MANAGEMENT

Alongside its regular portfolio of IT projects, the Directorate General Information Systems (DG/IS) supported the ECB’s cooperation with the European Financial Stability Facility and the European Stability Mechanism (see Chapter 4). Additional work was undertaken to enhance support for the management of the ECB’s foreign reserve assets, own funds, monetary policy operations and collateral. At the same time, substantial efforts were put into preparations for moving the IT infrastructure and end users’ IT equipment to the new ECB premises, including the provision of new business applications for the management of the new building.

Work also continued on increasing the efficiency of IT services management for the ESCB, in particular with regard to identity and access management, while major efforts were made in replacing the storage solution in order to improve the resilience of the IT infrastructure and business applications.

Finally, as part of the continual improvement of internal processes in DG/IS, the ISO/IEC 27001 certification, covering IT security, was obtained.
Now that the main structural works for the office floors of the high-rise have been completed, the ECB is one step closer to moving to its new neighbourhood, the Ostend.
ANNUAL ACCOUNTS

MANAGEMENT REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDING 31 DECEMBER 2012

1 NATURE OF THE BUSINESS

The ECB’s activities in 2012 are described in detail in the relevant chapters of the Annual Report.

2 OBJECTIVES AND TASKS

The ECB’s objectives and tasks are described in the Statute of the ESCB (Articles 2 and 3). An overview of performance against these objectives is included in the President’s foreword to the Annual Report.

3 KEY RESOURCES, RISKS AND PROCESSES

GOVERNANCE OF THE ECB

The Executive Board, the Governing Council and the General Council are the decision-making bodies of the ECB.

The ECB’s governance framework includes several layers of internal and external controls. The Statute of the ESCB provides for two layers of external controls, namely the external auditors appointed to audit the annual accounts of the ECB (Article 27.1), and the European Court of Auditors, which examines the operational efficiency of the management of the ECB (Article 27.2). In order to reinforce public assurance as to the independence of the ECB’s external auditors, the principle of audit firm rotation every five years is applied.

In the context of the internal control structure of the ECB, an operational risk management framework is in place, whereby each organisational unit is responsible for managing its own operational risks and implementing its own controls, as well as for the effectiveness and efficiency of its operations. The Operational Risk Committee (ORC) supports the Executive Board in their oversight role regarding the management of the ECB’s operational risks. The Risk Management Office1 is responsible for the risk management framework applicable to all of the ECB’s financial market operations and for monitoring, assessing and proposing improvements to the Eurosystem’s operational framework for monetary policy and foreign exchange policy from a risk management perspective.

Within the ECB’s internal control structure, responsibility and accountability for budget matters lies primarily with the individual business areas. The Budget, Controlling and Organisation Division (BCO) of the Directorate General Human Resources, Budget and Organisation develops the framework for and prepares and monitors strategic planning in respect of the ECB’s resources, as well as the related operational budget, in cooperation with the business areas. BCO also provides planning and resource controlling, cost-benefit analysis and investment analysis for ECB and ESCB projects. Spending against agreed budgets is monitored regularly by the Executive Board, taking into account the advice of the BCO, and by the Governing Council with the assistance of the Budget

---

1 The Risk Management Office became the Directorate Risk Management on 1 January 2013.
Committee (BUCOM), which is composed of ECB and euro area NCB experts. In accordance with Article 15 of the ECB’s Rules of Procedure, BUCOM supports the Governing Council by providing a detailed evaluation of the ECB’s annual budget proposals and of requests for supplementary budget funding by the Executive Board, prior to their submission to the Governing Council for approval.

In addition, independent audit missions are performed by the Directorate Internal Audit under the direct responsibility of the Executive Board. Moreover, in order to further strengthen the ECB’s corporate governance, the ECB Audit Committee provides assistance to the Governing Council as regards its responsibilities in respect of the integrity of financial information, the oversight of internal controls and the performance of the ECB’s and the Eurosystem’s audit functions.

A comprehensive ethics framework for the staff of the ECB provides guidance and sets ethics conventions, standards and benchmarks. All staff are expected to maintain high standards of professional ethical behaviour in the performance of their duties, as well as in their relations with NCBs, public authorities, market participants, media representatives and the general public. Two additional codes of conduct deal exclusively with the ethical regime applicable to the members of the ECB’s decision-making bodies. The Ethics Officer appointed by the Executive Board ensures that the rules applicable to Executive Board members and staff are interpreted consistently. An Ethics Adviser has been appointed by the Governing Council to provide guidance to its members on aspects of professional conduct.

Further information relating to the governance of the ECB is provided in Section 1 of Chapter 7 of the Annual Report.

**MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE BOARD**

The members of the Executive Board are appointed from among persons of recognised standing and professional experience in monetary or banking matters by the European Council, upon a recommendation from the EU Council after it has consulted the European Parliament and the Governing Council.

The terms and conditions of members’ employment are determined by the Governing Council, based on a proposal from a committee comprising three members appointed by the Governing Council and three members appointed by the EU Council.

Salaries, allowances and other benefits of the members of the Executive Board are set out in note 30, “Staff costs”, of the Annual Accounts.

**EMPLOYEES**

The ECB fully recognises the importance of a constructive dialogue with its staff. In 2012 the ECB continued to develop and implement human resources management policies in four areas: working culture, recruitment, professional development and employment conditions. Developments related to the working culture of the ECB continued to focus on diversity, professional ethics and performance management. The ECB introduced a pilot mentoring programme to support the personal and professional development of its staff, focusing in particular on female staff members.
Mobility and staff development measures remained the main tools for professional development. Moreover, the ECB continued to support staff in the areas of childcare and the reconciliation of work and family commitments.

The average number of staff (full-time equivalents) holding contracts with the ECB\(^2\) rose from 1,601 in 2011 to 1,615 in 2012. At the end of 2012 1,638 staff were employed. For further information, see note 30, “Staff costs”, of the Annual Accounts and Section 2 of Chapter 7 of the Annual Report which also describes developments in the area of human resources management in more detail.

**PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT**

The ECB holds two types of investment portfolio, namely the foreign reserves investment portfolio, denominated in US dollars and Japanese yen, and an internal own funds investment portfolio denominated in euro. In addition, the funds relating to the ECB’s pension plan are invested in an externally managed portfolio. The ECB also holds its share of securities for monetary policy purposes, acquired in the context of the Securities Markets Programme and the two covered bond purchase programmes. The various purposes and objectives of the ECB’s investment and monetary policy portfolios, as well as the associated risk management practices, are described in detail in Section 1 of Chapter 2 of the Annual Report.

The ECB is exposed to financial risks arising from its investment portfolios and its holdings of securities purchased for monetary policy purposes. They encompass credit, market and liquidity risks. The ECB closely monitors and measures such risks on an ongoing basis and, where appropriate, mitigates them through the implementation of risk management frameworks, which include eligibility criteria for assets and counterparties and a system of exposure limits. These frameworks take into account the objectives and purposes of the various holdings of securities, as well as the risk preferences specified by the ECB’s decision-making bodies.

**PRODUCTION OF THE ECB’S FINANCIAL ACCOUNTS**

Pursuant to Article 26.2 of the Statute of the ESCB, the Annual Accounts of the ECB are drawn up by the Executive Board, in accordance with the principles established by the Governing Council.\(^3\)

The Financial Reporting and Policy Division of the Directorate General Administration is responsible for producing the Annual Accounts in cooperation with other business areas and for ensuring that all related documentation is submitted in a timely manner to the auditors and thereafter to the decision-making bodies.

The Directorate Internal Audit provides independent and objective assurance and consulting services designed to improve the ECB’s operations. In this context, financial reporting processes and the ECB’s Annual Accounts may be subject to internal audits. Internal audit reports, which may include audit recommendations addressed to the business areas concerned, are submitted to the Executive Board.

The ECB’s Assets and Liabilities Committee, which is composed of representatives from the ECB’s market operations, financial reporting, risk management, budget and audit functions, systematically

---

1 See the notes on accounting policies.
2 Staff on unpaid leave are excluded. This number includes staff with permanent, fixed or short-term contracts and the participants in the ECB’s Graduate Programme. Staff on maternity or long-term sick leave are also included.
monitors and assesses all factors that may have a bearing on the ECB’s Balance Sheet and Profit and Loss Account. It reviews and comments on the Annual Accounts and the related documentation before they are submitted to the Executive Board for endorsement.

Furthermore, the Annual Accounts of the ECB are audited by independent external auditors recommended by the Governing Council and approved by the EU Council. The external auditors have full power to examine all books and accounts of the ECB and obtain full information about its transactions. The responsibility of the external auditors is to express an opinion as to whether the Annual Accounts give a true and fair view of the financial position of the ECB and of the results of its operations, in accordance with the principles established by the Governing Council. In this regard, the external auditors consider the adequacy of internal controls applied to the preparation and presentation of the Annual Accounts and evaluate the appropriateness of the accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by the Executive Board.

After the Executive Board has authorised their issuance, the Annual Accounts, together with the external auditors’ opinion and all relevant documentation, are submitted to the ECB’s Audit Committee for review prior to their submission to the Governing Council for approval.

The Executive Board has decided that from 2013 the ECB’s Annual Accounts will be approved at the second Governing Council meeting in February of each year and published immediately thereafter.

4 FINANCIAL RESOURCES

CAPITAL

In December 2010 the ECB decided to increase its subscribed capital by €5 billion, from €5.8 billion to €10.8 billion. This decision resulted from an assessment of the adequacy of its statutory capital, which was launched in 2009. The capital increase was deemed appropriate in view of increased volatility in foreign exchange rates, interest rates and gold prices, as well as in view of the ECB’s exposure to credit risk. In order to smooth the transfer of capital to the ECB, the Governing Council decided that the euro area NCBs should pay their additional capital contributions in three equal annual instalments due in December 2010, 2011 and 2012.

On 27 December 2012 the NCBs of the euro area paid an amount of €1,166 million as the final instalment of their contributions to the increase in the ECB’s subscribed capital. Consequently, the ECB’s paid-up capital amounted to €7,650 million on 31 December 2012. Detailed information on this change is provided in note 17, “Capital and reserves”, of the Annual Accounts.

PROVISION FOR FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATE, INTEREST RATE, CREDIT AND GOLD PRICE RISKS

Since most of the ECB’s assets and liabilities are periodically revalued at current market exchange rates and security prices, the ECB’s profitability is strongly affected by exchange rate exposures and, to a lesser extent, interest rate exposures. These exposures stem mainly from its holdings of gold and foreign reserve assets denominated in US dollars and Japanese yen, which are predominantly invested in interest-bearing instruments. The ECB’s investment portfolios and its holdings of securities purchased for monetary policy purposes entail a further exposure to credit risk.
In view of its large exposure to these risks and the size of its revaluation accounts, the ECB maintains a provision for foreign exchange rate, interest rate, credit and gold price risks. The size of and continuing requirement for this provision is reviewed annually, taking a range of factors into account, including in particular the level of holdings of risk-bearing assets, the extent of materialised risk exposures in the current financial year, projected results for the coming year, and a risk assessment involving calculations of Values at Risk (VaR) on risk-bearing assets, which is applied consistently over time. The risk provision, together with any amounts held in the ECB’s general reserve fund, may not exceed the value of the capital paid up by the euro area NCBs.

As at 31 December 2011 the provision for foreign exchange rate, interest rate, credit and gold price risks amounted to €6,363 million. After taking the results of its risk assessment into account, the Governing Council decided to increase the size of the risk provision to €7,529 million as at 31 December 2012. This amount equates to the current maximum allowed ceiling.

5 Financial Result for 2012

In 2012 the net income of the ECB prior to the transfer of €1,166 million to the risk provision was €2,164 million, compared with €1,894 million in 2011. The net profit, amounting to €998 million after this transfer, was distributed to the euro area NCBs.

In 2012 net interest income amounted to €2,289 million, compared with €1,999 million in 2011. The increase in net interest income was mainly due to (a) the lower net interest expense arising from TARGET2 balances; (b) the additional interest income generated on the securities purchased under the Securities Markets Programme and the second covered bond purchase programme; and (c) the lower interest expense arising from the euro area NCBs’ claims in respect of the foreign reserve assets transferred by them to the ECB. The effects of these developments were partially offset by a decrease in interest income on the ECB’s share of the total euro banknotes in circulation.

Net realised gains arising from financial operations decreased from €472 million in 2011 to €319 million in 2012, owing to lower net exchange rate gains that were only partially offset by higher net realised price gains on sales of securities. The exchange rate gains in 2011 mainly arose from Japanese yen outflows in the context of the ECB’s participation in the concerted international intervention in the foreign exchange markets in March 2011.

In 2012 the overall increase in the market value of securities held in the ECB’s own funds portfolio resulted in substantially lower write-downs in that year, amounting to €4 million (2011: €157 million).

As at the end of 2011 unrealised foreign exchange gains, mainly arising on the US dollar portfolio and the Japanese yen portfolio, amounted to €7,976 million, and unrealised gold price gains amounted to €15,718 million. In 2012 the appreciation of the euro vis-à-vis the Japanese yen and the US dollar resulted in a decrease in unrealised foreign exchange gains, which amounted to €6,053 million, while the rise in the price of gold during 2012 led to unrealised gold price gains amounting to €16,434 million. In line with the Eurosystem’s accounting policies, these gains were recorded in revaluation accounts.
No impairment losses were recorded at the year-end. Regarding the ECB’s holdings of Greek government bonds purchased under the Securities Markets Programme, the Governing Council identified two impairment indicators in particular, which occurred in the course of 2012. On the basis of the information available as at 31 December 2012, the Governing Council considered that the occurrence of these indicators did not warrant an impairment of the ECB’s holdings, since there was no evidence of changes in the estimated future cash flows.

Total administrative expenses of the ECB, including depreciation, amounted to €461 million in 2012, compared with €442 million in 2011. The vast majority of the costs incurred in connection with the construction of the ECB’s new premises have been capitalised and are excluded from this item.
## Balance Sheet as at 31 December 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Note Number</th>
<th>2012 €</th>
<th>2011 €</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold and gold receivables</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20,359,049,520</td>
<td>19,643,678,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Claims on non-euro area residents denominated in foreign currency</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables from the IMF</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>653,250,711</td>
<td>664,189,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balances with banks and security investments, external loans and other external assets</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>40,669,958,425</td>
<td>40,763,380,487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41,323,209,136</td>
<td>41,427,569,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Claims on euro area residents denominated in foreign currency</strong></td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2,838,176,026</td>
<td>4,827,713,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Claims on non-euro area residents denominated in euro</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balances with banks, security investments and loans</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,456,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other claims on euro area credit institutions denominated in euro</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>204,931,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Securities of euro area residents denominated in euro</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities held for monetary policy purposes</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>22,055,516,689</td>
<td>22,819,128,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intra-Eurosystem claims</strong></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims related to the allocation of euro banknotes within the Eurosystem</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>73,007,429,075</td>
<td>71,090,081,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other claims within the Eurosystem (net)</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>24,673,515,571</td>
<td>49,393,103,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>97,680,944,646</td>
<td>120,483,185,364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other assets</strong></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangible and intangible fixed assets</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>638,474,832</td>
<td>441,349,493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other financial assets</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>19,099,638,796</td>
<td>16,040,825,454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-balance-sheet instruments revaluation differences</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>207,025,391</td>
<td>264,245,011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals and prepaid expenses</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1,660,056,235</td>
<td>1,861,875,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundry</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1,423,836,885</td>
<td>1,400,781,867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23,029,032,139</td>
<td>20,009,077,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>207,285,933,156</td>
<td>230,871,284,674</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Liabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liabilities</th>
<th>Note Number</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Banknotes in circulation</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>73,007,429,075</td>
<td>71,090,081,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other liabilities to euro area credit institutions denominated in euro</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>204,926,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities to other euro area residents denominated in euro</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other liabilities</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>1,024,000,000</td>
<td>1,056,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities to non-euro area residents denominated in euro</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>50,887,527,294</td>
<td>77,116,620,293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities to non-euro area residents denominated in foreign currency</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits, balances and other liabilities</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>406,665,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-Eurosystem liabilities</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities equivalent to the transfer of foreign reserves</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>40,307,572,893</td>
<td>40,307,572,893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other liabilities</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals and income collected in advance</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>975,648,659</td>
<td>1,251,205,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundry</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>781,819,158</td>
<td>623,759,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,343,420,879</td>
<td>2,744,126,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7,595,452,415</td>
<td>6,407,941,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revaluation accounts</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23,472,041,296</td>
<td>24,324,930,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital and reserves</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>7,650,458,669</td>
<td>6,484,283,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit for the year</td>
<td></td>
<td>998,030,635</td>
<td>728,136,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td>207,285,933,156</td>
<td>230,871,284,674</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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# Profit and Loss Account for the Year Ending 31 December 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOTE NUMBER</th>
<th>2012 €</th>
<th>2011 €</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest income on foreign reserve assets</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>228,883,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income arising from the allocation of euro banknotes within the Eurosystem</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>633,084,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other interest income</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>10,917,006,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>(306,925,375)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other interest expense</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>(9,182,641,280)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net interest income</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2,289,407,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realised gains/losses arising from financial operations</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>318,835,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write-downs on financial assets and positions</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>(4,180,784)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer to/from provisions for foreign exchange rate, interest rate, credit and gold price risks</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>(1,166,175,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net result of financial operations, write-downs and risk provisions</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>(851,519,946)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net expense from fees and commissions</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>(2,127,108)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from equity shares and participating interests</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1,188,176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21,938,157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total net income</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1,458,886,879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff costs</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(219,350,856)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative expenses</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>(220,422,011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation of tangible and intangible fixed assets</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>(12,918,830)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banknote production services</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>(8,164,547)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit for the year</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>998,030,635</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Frankfurt am Main, 13 February 2013*

EUROPEAN CENTRAL BANK

Mario Draghi

President
ACCOUNTING POLICIES

FORM AND PRESENTATION OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements of the ECB have been designed to present fairly the financial position of the ECB and the results of its operations. They have been drawn up in accordance with the following accounting policies, which the Governing Council of the ECB considers to be appropriate to the nature of central bank activity.

ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

The following accounting principles have been applied: economic reality and transparency, prudence, recognition of post-balance-sheet events, materiality, going concern, the accruals principle, consistency and comparability.

RECOGNITION OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

An asset or liability is only recognised in the Balance Sheet when it is probable that any associated future economic benefit will flow to or from the ECB, substantially all of the associated risks and rewards have been transferred to the ECB, and the cost or value of the asset or the amount of the obligation can be measured reliably.

BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The accounts have been prepared on a historical cost basis, modified to include the market valuation of marketable securities (other than those classified as held-to-maturity), gold and all other on-balance-sheet and off-balance-sheet assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currency. Transactions in financial assets and liabilities are reflected in the accounts on the basis of the date on which they were settled.

With the exception of spot transactions in securities, transactions in financial instruments denominated in foreign currency are recorded in off-balance-sheet accounts on the trade date. At the settlement date the off-balance-sheet entries are reversed and transactions are booked on-balance-sheet. Purchases and sales of foreign currency affect the net foreign currency position on the trade date, and realised results arising from sales are also calculated on that date. Accrued interest, premiums and discounts related to financial instruments denominated in foreign currency are calculated and recorded daily, and the foreign currency position is also affected daily by these accruals.

GOLD AND FOREIGN CURRENCY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currency are converted into euro at the exchange rate prevailing on the balance sheet date. Income and expenses are converted at the exchange rate prevailing on the recording date. The revaluation of foreign exchange assets and liabilities, including on-balance-sheet and off-balance-sheet instruments, is performed on a currency-by-currency basis.

Revaluation to the market price for assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currency is treated separately from the exchange rate revaluation.

2 These policies are consistent with the provisions of Article 26.4 of the Statute of the ESCB, which require a harmonised approach to the rules governing the accounting and financial reporting of Eurosystem operations.
Gold is valued at the market price prevailing at the year-end. No distinction is made between the price and currency revaluation differences for gold. Instead, a single gold valuation is accounted for on the basis of the price in euro per fine ounce of gold, which, for the year ending 31 December 2012, was derived from the exchange rate of the euro against the US dollar on 31 December 2012.

The special drawing right (SDR) is defined in terms of a basket of currencies. To revalue the ECB’s holdings of SDRs, the value of the SDR was calculated as the weighted sum of the exchange rates of four major currencies (the US dollar, euro, Japanese yen and pound sterling) converted into euro as at 31 December 2012.

**SECURITIES**

 Marketable securities (other than those classified as held-to-maturity) and similar assets are valued either at the mid-market prices or on the basis of the relevant yield curve prevailing on the balance sheet date, on a security-by-security basis. For the year ending 31 December 2012, mid-market prices on 28 December 2012 were used.

Marketable securities classified as held-to-maturity and illiquid equity shares are all valued at cost subject to impairment.

**INCOME RECOGNITION**

Income and expenses are recognised in the period in which they are earned or incurred. Realised gains and losses arising from the sale of foreign exchange, gold and securities are taken to the Profit and Loss Account. Such realised gains and losses are calculated by reference to the average cost of the respective asset.

Unrealised gains are not recognised as income but are transferred directly to a revaluation account.

Unrealised losses are taken to the Profit and Loss Account if, at the year-end, they exceed previous revaluation gains registered in the corresponding revaluation account. Such unrealised losses on any one security or currency or on gold are not netted against unrealised gains on other securities or currencies or gold. In the event of such unrealised loss on any item taken to the Profit and Loss Account, the average cost of that item is reduced to the year-end exchange rate or market price.

Impairment losses are taken to the Profit and Loss Account and are not reversed in subsequent years unless the impairment decreases and the decrease can be related to an observable event that occurred after the impairment was first recorded.

Premiums or discounts arising on purchased securities, including those classified as held-to-maturity, are calculated and presented as part of interest income and are amortised over the remaining life of the securities.

**REVERSE TRANSACTIONS**

Reverse transactions are operations whereby the ECB buys or sells assets under a repurchase agreement or conducts credit operations against collateral.

---

3 A minimum threshold of €100,000 applies for administrative accruals and provisions.
Under a repurchase agreement, securities are sold for cash with a simultaneous agreement to repurchase them from the counterparty at an agreed price on a set future date. Repurchase agreements are recorded as collateralised deposits on the liability side of the Balance Sheet. Securities sold under such an agreement remain on the Balance Sheet of the ECB.

Under a reverse repurchase agreement, securities are bought for cash with a simultaneous agreement to sell them back to the counterparty at an agreed price on a set future date. Reverse repurchase agreements are recorded as collateralised loans on the asset side of the Balance Sheet but are not included in the ECB’s security holdings.

Reverse transactions (including security lending transactions) conducted under an automated security lending programme are recorded on the Balance Sheet only where collateral is provided in the form of cash placed on an account of the ECB. In 2012 the ECB did not receive any collateral in the form of cash in connection with such transactions.

**OFF-BALANCE-SHEET INSTRUMENTS**

Currency instruments, namely foreign exchange forward transactions, forward legs of foreign exchange swaps and other currency instruments involving an exchange of one currency for another at a future date, are included in the net foreign currency position for the purpose of calculating foreign exchange gains and losses.

Interest rate instruments are revalued on an item-by-item basis. Daily changes in the variation margin of open interest rate futures contracts are recorded in the Profit and Loss Account. The valuation of forward transactions in securities and of interest rate swaps is based on generally accepted valuation methods using observable market prices and rates, as well as discount factors from the settlement dates to the valuation date.

**POST-BALANCE-SHEET EVENTS**

The values of assets and liabilities are adjusted for events that occur between the annual balance sheet date and the date on which the Executive Board authorises the submission of the ECB’s Annual Accounts to the Governing Council for approval, if such events materially affect the condition of assets and liabilities at the balance sheet date.

Important post-balance-sheet events that do not affect the condition of assets and liabilities at the balance sheet date are disclosed in the notes.

**INTRA-ESCB BALANCES/INTRA-EUROSYSTEM BALANCES**

Intra-ESCB balances result primarily from cross-border payments in the EU that are settled in central bank money in euro. These transactions are for the most part initiated by private entities (i.e. credit institutions, corporations and individuals). They are settled in TARGET2 – the Trans-European Automated Real-time Gross settlement Express Transfer system and give rise to bilateral balances in the TARGET2 accounts of EU central banks. These bilateral balances are netted out and then assigned to the ECB on a daily basis, leaving each NCB with a single net bilateral position vis-à-vis the ECB only. This position in the books of the ECB represents the net claim or liability of each NCB against the rest of the ESCB. Intra-Eurosystem balances of euro area NCBs vis-à-vis the ECB arising from TARGET2, as well as other intra-Eurosystem balances denominated in euro
(e.g. interim profit distributions to NCBs), are presented on the Balance Sheet of the ECB as a single net asset or liability position and disclosed under “Other claims within the Eurosystem (net)” or “Other liabilities within the Eurosystem (net)”. Intra-ESCB balances of non-euro area NCBs vis-à-vis the ECB, arising from their participation in TARGET2, are disclosed under “Liabilities to non-euro area residents denominated in euro”.

Intra-Eurosystem balances arising from the allocation of euro banknotes within the Eurosystem are included as a single net asset under “Claims related to the allocation of euro banknotes within the Eurosystem” (see “Banknotes in circulation” in the notes on accounting policies).

Intra-Eurosystem balances arising from the transfer of foreign reserve assets to the ECB by NCBs joining the Eurosystem are denominated in euro and reported under “Liabilities equivalent to the transfer of foreign reserves”.

**TREATMENT OF FIXED ASSETS**

Fixed assets, including intangible assets, but with the exception of land and works of art, are valued at cost less depreciation. Depreciation is calculated on a straight-line basis over the expected useful life of the asset, beginning in the quarter after the asset is available for use. The useful lives applied for the main asset classes are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Class</th>
<th>Useful Life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computers, related hardware and software,</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and motor vehicles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical equipment</td>
<td>4 or 10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture and plant in building</td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The length of the depreciation period for capitalised building and refurbishment expenditure relating to the ECB’s existing rented premises has been adjusted to ensure that these assets are fully depreciated before the ECB moves to its new premises. Land and works of art are valued at cost.

Fixed assets costing less than €10,000 are written off in the year of acquisition.

Fixed assets that comply with the capitalisation criteria but are still under construction or development are recorded under the heading “Assets under construction”. The related costs are transferred to the relevant fixed asset headings once the assets are available for use. For the depreciation of the ECB’s new premises, costs will be assigned to the appropriate asset components which will be depreciated in accordance with their estimated useful lives.

**THE ECB’S PENSION PLAN, OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS AND OTHER LONG-TERM BENEFITS**

The ECB operates a defined benefit plan for its staff. This is funded by assets held in a long-term employee benefit fund.

The compulsory contributions made by the ECB and the staff are 18% and 6% of basic salary respectively. Staff can make additional contributions on a voluntary basis in a defined contribution pillar that can be used to provide additional benefits.5

---

4 As at 31 December 2012 the non-euro area NCBs participating in TARGET2 were Българска народна банка (Bulgarian National Bank), Danmarks Nationalbank, Latvijas Banka, Lietuvos bankas, Narodowy Bank Polski and Banca Naţională a României.

5 The funds accumulated by a staff member through voluntary contributions can be used at retirement to purchase an additional pension. This pension is included in the defined benefit obligation from that point on.
Balance Sheet
The liability recognised in the Balance Sheet in respect of the defined benefit plan is the present value of the defined benefit obligation at the balance sheet date, less the fair value of plan assets used to fund the obligation, adjusted for unrecognised actuarial gains or losses.

The defined benefit obligation is calculated annually by independent actuaries using the projected unit credit method. The present value of the defined benefit obligation is calculated by discounting the estimated future cash flows using a rate which is determined by reference to market yields at the balance sheet date on high quality euro-denominated corporate bonds that have similar terms of maturity to the term of the pension obligation.

Actuarial gains and losses can arise from experience adjustments (where actual outcomes are different from the actuarial assumptions previously made) and changes in actuarial assumptions.

Profit and Loss Account
The net amount charged to the Profit and Loss Account comprises:

(a) the current service cost of the defined benefits accruing for the year;
(b) interest at the discount rate on the defined benefit obligation;
(c) the expected return on plan assets held against the defined benefit obligation;
(d) any actuarial gains and losses arising from post-employment benefits, using a “10% corridor” approach; and
(e) any actuarial gains and losses arising from other long-term benefits, in their entirety.

“10% corridor” approach
Net cumulative unrecognised actuarial gains and losses on post-employment benefits which exceed the greater of (a) 10% of the present value of the defined benefit obligation and (b) 10% of the fair value of plan assets held against the defined benefit obligation, are amortised over the expected average remaining working lives of the participating employees.

Unfunded benefits
Unfunded arrangements are in place for the post-employment and other long-term benefits of members of the Executive Board of the ECB. For staff, unfunded arrangements are in place for post-employment benefits other than pensions and for other long-term benefits. The expected costs of these benefits are accrued over the Executive Board/staff members’ terms of office/employment using an accounting approach similar to that of defined benefit pension plans. Actuarial gains and losses are recognised in the manner outlined under the heading “Profit and Loss Account”.

These amounts are valued annually by independent actuaries to establish the appropriate liability in the financial statements.
BANKNOTES IN CIRCULATION

The ECB and the euro area NCBs, which together comprise the Eurosystem, issue euro banknotes. The total value of euro banknotes in circulation is allocated to the Eurosystem central banks on the last working day of each month in accordance with the banknote allocation key.

The ECB has been allocated a share of 8% of the total value of euro banknotes in circulation, which is disclosed in the Balance Sheet under the liability item “Banknotes in circulation”. The ECB’s share of the total euro banknote issue is backed by claims on the NCBs. These claims, which bear interest, are disclosed under the sub-item “Intra-Eurosystem claims: claims related to the allocation of euro banknotes within the Eurosystem” (see “Intra-ESCB balances/intra-Eurosystem balances” in the notes on accounting policies). Interest income on these claims is included in the Profit and Loss Account under the item “Interest income arising from the allocation of euro banknotes within the Eurosystem”.

INTERIM PROFIT DISTRIBUTION

The ECB’s income on euro banknotes in circulation and income arising from securities purchased under the Securities Markets Programme is due to the euro area NCBs in the financial year in which it accrues. Unless otherwise decided by the Governing Council, the ECB distributes this income in January of the following year by means of an interim profit distribution. It is distributed in full unless the ECB’s net profit for the year is less than its income earned on euro banknotes in circulation and securities purchased under the Securities Markets Programme, and subject to any decisions by the Governing Council to make transfers to the provision for foreign exchange rate, interest rate, credit and gold price risks. The Governing Council may also decide to charge costs incurred by the ECB in connection with the issue and handling of euro banknotes against income earned on euro banknotes in circulation.

OTHER ISSUES

Taking account of the ECB’s role as a central bank, the Executive Board considers that the publication of a cash-flow statement would not provide the readers of the financial statements with any additional relevant information.

In accordance with Article 27 of the Statute of the ESCB, and on the basis of a recommendation of the Governing Council, the EU Council has approved the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers Aktiengesellschaft Wirtschaftsprüfungsgesellschaft as the external auditors of the ECB for a five-year period up to the end of the financial year 2012.

---

7 “Banknote allocation key” means the percentages that result from taking into account the ECB’s share in the total euro banknote issue and applying the subscribed capital key to the NCBs’ share in that total.
8 Decision ECB/2010/23 of 25 November 2010 on the allocation of monetary income of the national central banks of Member States whose currency is the euro (recast), OJ L 35, 9.2.2011, p. 17, as amended.
NOTES ON THE BALANCE SHEET

I GOLD AND GOLD RECEIVABLES

As at 31 December 2012 the ECB held 16,142,871 ounces\(^{10}\) of fine gold (2011: 16,142,871 ounces). No transactions in gold took place in 2012. The increase in the euro equivalent value of the ECB’s holdings of fine gold was due to the rise in the price of gold during 2012 (see “Gold and foreign currency assets and liabilities” in the notes on accounting policies and note 16, “Revaluation accounts”).

2 CLAIMS ON NON-EURO AREA AND EURO AREA RESIDENTS DENOMINATED IN FOREIGN CURRENCY

2.1 RECEIVABLES FROM THE IMF

This asset represents the ECB’s holdings of SDRs as at 31 December 2012. It arises as the result of a two-way SDR buying and selling arrangement with the International Monetary Fund (IMF), whereby the IMF is authorised to arrange sales or purchases of SDRs against euro, on behalf of the ECB, within minimum and maximum holding levels. For accounting purposes, SDRs are treated as a foreign currency (see “Gold and foreign currency assets and liabilities” in the notes on accounting policies).

2.2 BALANCES WITH BANKS AND SECURITY INVESTMENTS, EXTERNAL LOANS AND OTHER EXTERNAL ASSETS; AND CLAIMS ON EURO AREA RESIDENTS DENOMINATED IN FOREIGN CURRENCY

These two items consist of balances with banks and loans denominated in foreign currency, and investments in securities denominated in US dollars and Japanese yen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claims on non-euro area residents</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current accounts</td>
<td>1,503,909,190</td>
<td>967,861,820</td>
<td>536,047,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money market deposits</td>
<td>345,932,462</td>
<td>598,657,080</td>
<td>(252,724,618)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse repurchase agreements</td>
<td>56,844,020</td>
<td>623,065,152</td>
<td>(566,221,132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security investments</td>
<td>38,763,272,753</td>
<td>38,573,796,435</td>
<td>189,476,318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40,669,958,425</td>
<td>40,763,380,487</td>
<td>(93,422,062)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claims on euro area residents</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current accounts</td>
<td>1,189,425</td>
<td>1,439,838</td>
<td>(250,413)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money market deposits</td>
<td>2,836,986,601</td>
<td>4,826,273,769</td>
<td>(1,989,287,168)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,838,176,026</td>
<td>4,827,713,607</td>
<td>(1,989,537,581)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The decrease in these items in 2012 was mainly due to the depreciation of both the Japanese yen and the US dollar against the euro.

\(^{10}\) This corresponds to 502.1 tonnes.
The ECB’s net foreign currency holdings of US dollars and Japanese yen, as at 31 December 2012, were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>2012 Currency in millions</th>
<th>2011 Currency in millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US dollars</td>
<td>45,235</td>
<td>44,614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese yen</td>
<td>1,046,552</td>
<td>1,041,238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 CLAIMS ON NON-EURO AREA RESIDENTS DENOMINATED IN EURO

3.1 BALANCES WITH BANKS, SECURITY INVESTMENTS AND LOANS

As at 31 December 2011 this item consisted of a claim on a non-euro area central bank in connection with an agreement on repurchase transactions established with the ECB. Under this agreement the non-euro area central bank can borrow euro against eligible collateral in order to support its domestic liquidity-providing operations. No related claims remained outstanding as at 31 December 2012.

4 OTHER CLAIMS ON EURO AREA CREDIT INSTITUTIONS DENOMINATED IN EURO

As at 31 December 2011 this item consisted mainly of claims that arose under reverse repurchase transactions, conducted in the context of covered bond lending operations (see note 9, “Other liabilities to euro area credit institutions denominated in euro”). No related claims remained outstanding as at 31 December 2012.

As at 31 December 2012 this item consisted of a current account with a euro area resident.

5 SECURITIES OF EURO AREA RESIDENTS DENOMINATED IN EURO

5.1 SECURITIES HELD FOR MONETARY POLICY PURPOSES

As at 31 December 2012 this item consisted of securities acquired by the ECB within the scope of the two covered bond purchase programmes and the Securities Markets Programme.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>2012 €</th>
<th>2011 €</th>
<th>Change €</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First covered bond purchase programme</td>
<td>4,426,521,354</td>
<td>4,814,370,827</td>
<td>(387,849,473)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second covered bond purchase programme</td>
<td>1,504,280,207</td>
<td>212,604,879</td>
<td>1,291,675,328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities Markets Programme</td>
<td>16,124,715,128</td>
<td>17,792,153,062</td>
<td>(1,667,437,934)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>22,055,516,689</td>
<td>22,819,128,768</td>
<td>(763,612,079)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11 These holdings comprise assets minus liabilities denominated in the given foreign currency that are subject to foreign currency revaluation. They are included under the headings “Claims on non-euro area residents denominated in foreign currency”, “Claims on euro area residents denominated in foreign currency”, “Accruals and prepaid expenses”, “Liabilities to non-euro area residents denominated in foreign currency”, “Off-balance-sheet instruments revaluation differences” (liabilities side) and “Accruals and income collected in advance” and take into account foreign exchange forward and swap transactions included in off-balance-sheet items. Price gains on financial instruments denominated in foreign currency arising as a result of revaluations are not included.

12 As announced in the ECB’s press releases of 4 June 2009 and 6 October 2011.

13 As announced in the ECB’s press release of 10 May 2010 on measures to address severe tensions in financial markets.
The purchases under the first covered bond purchase programme were fully implemented by the end of June 2010. The net decrease in this item in 2012 was mainly due to redemptions.

Under the second covered bond purchase programme, established in October 2011, the ECB and the NCBs purchased euro-denominated covered bonds issued in the euro area with the objective of easing funding conditions for credit institutions and enterprises, as well as encouraging credit institutions to maintain and expand lending to their clients. The net increase in this item in 2012 was due to purchases in that year in the period until the programme ended on 31 October 2012.

Under the Securities Markets Programme, established in May 2010, the ECB and the NCBs were able to purchase euro area public and private debt securities in order to address the malfunctioning of certain segments of the euro area debt securities markets and restore the proper functioning of the monetary policy transmission mechanism. The net decrease in this item in 2012 was due to redemptions that more than offset the impact of purchases and of the amortisation of net discounts in that year. The Governing Council decided on 6 September 2012 to end the Securities Markets Programme.14

Part of the ECB’s holdings under the Securities Markets Programme comprises debt securities issued by the Hellenic Republic. In February 2012 the Eurosystem central banks exchanged their holdings of Greek government bonds purchased under the Securities Markets Programme for new securities issued by the Hellenic Republic. The newly acquired securities have the same characteristics as those purchased under the Securities Markets Programme in terms of their nominal values, coupon rates, interest payment dates and redemption dates, and were not included on the list of eligible securities that were subject to restructuring in the context of the private sector involvement (PSI) initiative. Consequently, the ECB did not incur any realised losses on its holdings of Greek government bonds.

Securities purchased under the Securities Markets Programme and the covered bond purchase programmes are classified as held-to-maturity securities and are valued on an amortised cost basis subject to impairment (see “Securities” in the notes on accounting policies). Annual impairment tests are conducted on the basis of the information available and estimated recoverable amounts as at the year-end.

In the context of the impairment test conducted as at the end of 2012 on securities purchased under the Securities Markets Programme, the Governing Council identified two impairment indicators in particular, relating to the holdings of Greek government bonds, which occurred in the course of 2012. The first was the restructuring in March 2012 of part of the debt issued by the Hellenic Republic in the context of the PSI initiative and the second was the debt buy-back operation carried out by the Greek government in December 2012. The Governing Council considered that the occurrence of these impairment indicators did not warrant an impairment of the ECB’s holdings since, on the basis of the information available as at 31 December 2012, there was no evidence of changes in the estimated future cash flows. No impairment losses were therefore recorded at the year-end on the ECB’s holdings of Greek government bonds under the Securities Markets Programme. Furthermore, no impairment losses were recorded in respect of the other securities purchased under the Securities Markets Programme.

14 As announced in the ECB’s press release of 6 September 2012 on the technical features of Outright Monetary Transactions.
With regard to the impairment test conducted on securities purchased under the two covered bond purchase programmes, the Governing Council considered the fact that a number of issuers underwent restructuring in 2012. However, these restructuring operations have not affected the estimated future cash flows expected to be received by the ECB and therefore no impairment losses were recorded in respect of these holdings.

The Governing Council assesses on a regular basis the financial risks associated with the securities held under the Securities Markets Programme and the two covered bond purchase programmes.

6 INTRA-EUROSYSTEM CLAIMS

6.1 CLAIMS RELATED TO THE ALLOCATION OF EURO BANKNOTES WITHIN THE EUROSYSTEM

This item consists of the claims of the ECB vis-à-vis the euro area NCBs relating to the allocation of euro banknotes within the Eurosystem (see “Banknotes in circulation” in the notes on accounting policies). The remuneration of these claims is calculated daily at the latest available marginal interest rate used by the Eurosystem in its tenders for main refinancing operations (see note 24.2, “Interest income arising from the allocation of euro banknotes within the Eurosystem”).

6.2 OTHER CLAIMS WITHIN THE EUROSYSTEM (NET)

In 2012 this item consisted mainly of the TARGET2 balances of the euro area NCBs vis-à-vis the ECB (see “Intra-ESCB balances/intra-Eurosystem balances” in the notes on accounting policies). The net decrease in this position resulted mainly from a reduction in the outstanding amounts related to back-to-back swap transactions conducted with NCBs in connection with US dollar liquidity-providing operations. The impact of this reduction was partially offset by amounts paid from euro area to non-euro area residents that were settled in TARGET2 (see note 11, “Liabilities to non-euro area residents denominated in euro”).

The remuneration of TARGET2 positions, with the exception of balances arising from back-to-back swap transactions in connection with US dollar liquidity-providing operations, is calculated daily at the latest available marginal interest rate used by the Eurosystem in its tenders for main refinancing operations.

This item also included the amount due to euro area NCBs in respect of the ECB’s interim profit distribution (see “Interim profit distribution” in the notes on accounting policies).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012 €</th>
<th>2011 €</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Due from euro area NCBs in respect of TARGET2</td>
<td>981,081,428,771</td>
<td>842,032,488,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to euro area NCBs in respect of TARGET2</td>
<td>(955,833,285,908)</td>
<td>(791,987,384,417)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to euro area NCBs in respect of the ECB’s interim profit distribution</td>
<td>(574,627,292)</td>
<td>(652,000,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other claims within the Eurosystem (net)</td>
<td>24,673,515,571</td>
<td>49,393,103,654</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7 OTHER ASSETS

7.1 TANGIBLE AND INTANGIBLE FIXED ASSETS

These assets comprised the following items on 31 December 2012:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012 €</th>
<th>2011 €</th>
<th>Change €</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land and buildings</td>
<td>170,824,151</td>
<td>168,916,034</td>
<td>1,908,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer hardware and software</td>
<td>64,633,290</td>
<td>187,324,734</td>
<td>(122,691,444)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment, furniture, plant in building and motor vehicles</td>
<td>13,926,711</td>
<td>30,891,846</td>
<td>(16,965,135)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets under construction</td>
<td>529,636,881</td>
<td>339,020,767</td>
<td>190,616,114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other fixed assets</td>
<td>7,508,349</td>
<td>1,656,957</td>
<td>5,851,392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost</td>
<td>786,529,382</td>
<td>727,810,338</td>
<td>58,719,044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                      |                 |                 |                |
| Accumulated depreciation |             |                 |                |
| Land and buildings   | (82,957,070)   | (79,214,734)    | (3,742,336)    |
| Computer hardware and software | (51,687,755) | (177,313,517) | 125,625,762    |
| Equipment, furniture, plant in building and motor vehicles | (13,274,149) | (29,730,082) | 16,455,933     |
| Other fixed assets   | (133,576)      | (202,512)       | 66,936         |
| Total accumulated depreciation | (148,054,550) | (286,460,845) | 138,406,295    |

Net book value 638,474,832 441,349,493 197,125,339

The increase in the category “Assets under construction” was due to activities related to the ECB’s new premises in 2012.

The decrease in both the cost and the accumulated depreciation in the categories “Computer hardware and software” and “Equipment, furniture, plant in building and motor vehicles” reflected mainly the derecognition of obsolete items that were no longer in use at the end of 2012.

The increase in the category “Other fixed assets” was primarily due to a licence fee paid in 2012 to acquire the intellectual property rights and know-how for technical features relating to the second series of euro banknotes. The derecognition in 2012 of other fixed assets that were obsolete and no longer in use also had an impact on both the cost and the accumulated depreciation in respect of this category.

7.2 OTHER FINANCIAL ASSETS

This item consists of the investment of the ECB’s own funds15 held as a direct counterpart to the capital and reserves of the ECB, as well as other financial assets which include 3,211 shares in the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) at the acquisition cost of €41.8 million.

---

15 Repurchase agreements conducted in the context of the management of the own funds portfolio are reported under “Sundry” on the liabilities side (see note 14.3, “Sundry”).
The components of this item are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current accounts in euro</td>
<td>5,193,816</td>
<td>4,934,974</td>
<td>258,842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities denominated in euro</td>
<td>16,349,560,714</td>
<td>13,285,988,281</td>
<td>3,063,572,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse repurchase agreements in euro</td>
<td>2,702,963,941</td>
<td>2,707,978,069</td>
<td>(5,014,128)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other financial assets</td>
<td>41,920,325</td>
<td>41,924,130</td>
<td>(3,805)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>19,099,638,796</strong></td>
<td><strong>16,040,825,454</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,058,813,342</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The net increase in this item was due mainly to the investment in the own funds portfolio of (a) amounts received from the euro area NCBs in 2012 in respect of the third instalment of their contributions to the increase in the ECB’s subscribed capital in 2010 (see note 17, “Capital and reserves”); and (b) the counterpart of the amount transferred to the ECB’s provision for foreign exchange rate, interest rate, credit and gold price risks in 2011.

### 7.3 Off-Balance-Sheet Instruments Revaluation Differences

This item is composed mainly of valuation changes in swap and forward transactions in foreign currency that were outstanding on 31 December 2012 (see note 21, “Foreign exchange swap and forward transactions”). These valuation changes are the result of the conversion of such transactions into their euro equivalents at the exchange rates prevailing on the balance sheet date, compared with the euro values resulting from the conversion of the transactions at the average cost of the respective foreign currency on that date (see “Off-balance-sheet instruments” and “Gold and foreign currency assets and liabilities” in the notes on accounting policies).

Valuation gains on outstanding interest rate swap transactions are also included in this item (see note 20, “Interest rate swaps”).

### 7.4 Accruals and Prepaid Expenses

In 2012 this item included accrued coupon interest on securities, including outstanding interest paid at acquisition, amounting to €792.7 million (2011: €816.8 million) (see note 2.2, “Balances with banks and security investments, external loans and other external assets; and Claims on euro area residents denominated in foreign currency”, note 5, “Securities of euro area residents denominated in euro”, and note 7.2, “Other financial assets”).

It also included accrued interest receivable on the TARGET2 balances due from euro area NCBs for the final month of 2012, amounting to €650.4 million (2011: €752.6 million), and accrued interest receivable on the ECB’s claims related to the allocation of euro banknotes within the Eurosystem for the final quarter of the year (see “Banknotes in circulation” in the notes on accounting policies), amounting to €136.7 million (2011: €230.6 million).

Other accrued income, including accrued interest income on other financial assets, and miscellaneous prepayments are also reported under this item.
7.5 Sundry

This item consisted mainly of positive balances related to swap and forward transactions in foreign currency that were outstanding on 31 December 2012 (see note 21, “Foreign exchange swap and forward transactions”). These balances arise from the conversion of such transactions into their euro equivalents at the respective currency’s average cost on the balance sheet date, compared with the euro values at which the transactions were initially recorded (see “Off-balance-sheet instruments” in the notes on accounting policies).

This item also included the accrued amounts of the ECB’s interim profit distribution (see “Interim profit distribution” in the notes on accounting policies and note 6.2, “Other claims within the Eurosystem (net”).

A claim against the German Federal Ministry of Finance in respect of recoverable value added tax and other indirect taxes paid is also included under this heading. Such taxes are refundable under the terms of Article 3 of the Protocol on the privileges and immunities of the European Union, which applies to the ECB by virtue of Article 39 of the Statute of the ESCB.

8 Banknotes in Circulation

This item consists of the ECB’s share (8%) of the total euro banknotes in circulation (see “Banknotes in circulation” in the notes on accounting policies).

9 Other Liabilities to Euro Area Credit Institutions Denominated in Euro

As at 31 December 2011 this item consisted of liabilities that arose under repurchase agreements conducted in the context of the covered bond lending operations. These lending operations are implemented through matched repurchase transactions, whereby amounts received under the repurchase agreements are fully and simultaneously reinvested with the same counterparty under a reverse repurchase agreement (see note 4, “Other claims on euro area credit institutions denominated in euro”). No liabilities were outstanding as at 31 December 2012.

10 Liabilities to Other Euro Area Residents Denominated in Euro

10.1 Other Liabilities

This item comprises deposits by members of the Euro Banking Association (EBA) which are used in order to provide the ECB with collateral in respect of the EBA’s payments settled through the TARGET2 system.

11 Liabilities to Non-Euro Area Residents Denominated in Euro

As at 31 December 2012 this item included an amount of €44.0 billion (2011: €13.0 billion), consisting of balances held with the ECB by non-euro area NCBs and other central banks that arise from, or are the counterpart of, transactions processed via the TARGET2 system.
The remainder of this item comprised an amount of €6.8 billion (2011: €64.2 billion) arising from the temporary reciprocal currency arrangement with the Federal Reserve. Under this arrangement, US dollars are provided by the Federal Reserve to the ECB by means of a temporary swap line, with the aim of offering short-term US dollar funding to Eurosystem counterparties. The ECB simultaneously enters into back-to-back swap transactions with euro area NCBs, which use the resulting funds to conduct US dollar liquidity-providing operations with Eurosystem counterparties in the form of reverse transactions. The back-to-back swap transactions result in intra-Eurosystem balances between the ECB and the NCBs. The swap transactions conducted with the Federal Reserve and the euro area NCBs also result in forward claims and liabilities that are recorded in off-balance-sheet accounts (see note 21, “Foreign exchange swap and forward transactions”).

12 LIABILITIES TO NON-EURO AREA RESIDENTS DENOMINATED IN FOREIGN CURRENCY

12.1 Deposits, balances and other liabilities

In 2011 this item consisted of liabilities that arose under repurchase agreements conducted with non-euro area residents in connection with the management of the foreign currency reserves of the ECB. No balances were outstanding as at 31 December 2012.

13 INTRA-EUROSYSTEM LIABILITIES

13.1 Liabilities equivalent to the transfer of foreign reserves

These represent the liabilities to the euro area NCBs that arose from the transfer of foreign reserve assets to the ECB when they joined the Eurosystem. No changes occurred in 2012.

The remuneration of these liabilities is calculated daily at the latest available marginal interest rate used by the Eurosystem in its tenders for main refinancing operations, adjusted to reflect a zero return on the gold component (see note 24.3, “Remuneration of NCBs’ claims in respect of foreign reserves transferred”).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Name</th>
<th>Since 1 January 2011 €</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nationale Bank van België/Banque Nationale de Belgique</td>
<td>1,397,303,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deutsche Bundesbank</td>
<td>10,909,120,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eesti Pank</td>
<td>103,115,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Bank of Ireland</td>
<td>639,835,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank of Greece</td>
<td>1,131,910,591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banco de España</td>
<td>4,783,645,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banque de France</td>
<td>8,192,338,995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banca d’Italia</td>
<td>7,198,856,881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Bank of Cyprus</td>
<td>78,863,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banque centrale du Luxembourg</td>
<td>100,638,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Bank of Malta</td>
<td>36,407,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Nederlandsche Bank</td>
<td>2,297,463,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oesterreichische Nationalbank</td>
<td>1,118,545,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banco de Portugal</td>
<td>1,008,344,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banka Slovenije</td>
<td>189,410,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Národná banka Slovenska</td>
<td>399,443,638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suomen Pankki – Finlands Bank</td>
<td>722,328,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40,307,572,893</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14 OTHER LIABILITIES

14.1 OFF-BALANCE-SHEET INSTRUMENTS REVALUATION DIFFERENCES

This item is composed mainly of valuation changes in swap and forward transactions in foreign currency that were outstanding on 31 December 2012 (see note 21, “Foreign exchange swap and forward transactions”). These valuation changes are the result of the conversion of such transactions into their euro equivalents at the exchange rates prevailing on the balance sheet date, compared with the euro values resulting from the conversion of the transactions at the average cost of the respective foreign currency on that date (see “Off-balance-sheet instruments” and “Gold and foreign currency assets and liabilities” in the notes on accounting policies).

Valuation losses on outstanding interest rate swaps are also included in this item (see note 20, “Interest rate swaps”).

14.2 ACCRUALS AND INCOME COLLECTED IN ADVANCE

As at 31 December 2012 the two main items under this heading were accrued interest payable on TARGET2 balances due to NCBs for the final month of 2012, amounting to €641.1 million (2011: €770.1 million), and accrued interest payable to the NCBs for the whole of 2012 in respect of their claims relating to foreign reserves transferred to the ECB (see note 13, “Intra-Eurosystem liabilities”), amounting to €306.9 million (2011: €434.0 million). Accruals on financial instruments and other accruals are also reported in this item.

Also included under this heading is a contribution to the ECB from the City of Frankfurt of €15.3 million for the preservation of the Grossmarkthalle, which is a listed building, in connection with the construction of the ECB’s new premises. This amount will be netted against the cost of the building once it is available for use (see note 7.1, “Tangible and intangible fixed assets”).

14.3 SUNDRY

This item included outstanding repurchase transactions of €360.1 million (2011: €360.0 million) conducted in connection with the management of the ECB’s own funds (see note 7.2, “Other financial assets”).

It also included negative balances related to swap and forward transactions in foreign currency that were outstanding on 31 December 2012 (see note 21, “Foreign exchange swap and forward transactions”). These balances arise from the conversion of such transactions into their euro equivalents at the respective currency’s average cost on the balance sheet date, compared with the euro values at which the transactions were initially recorded (see “Off-balance-sheet instruments” in the notes on accounting policies).

In addition, it included the ECB’s net liability in respect of the post-employment and other long-term benefits of its staff and the members of the Executive Board, amounting to €109.8 million, as described below (see “The ECB’s pension plan, other post-employment benefits and other long-term benefits” in the notes on accounting policies).
THE ECB’S PENSION PLAN, OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS AND OTHER LONG-TERM BENEFITS

The amounts recognised in the Balance Sheet in respect of post-employment and other long-term employee benefits were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012 Staff € millions</th>
<th>2012 Executive Board € millions</th>
<th>2012 Total € millions</th>
<th>2011 Staff € millions</th>
<th>2011 Executive Board € millions</th>
<th>2011 Total € millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present value of obligation</td>
<td>761.3</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>779.1</td>
<td>549.1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>567.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value of plan assets</td>
<td>(522.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(522.7)</td>
<td>(418.4)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(418.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrecognised actuarial gains/(losses)</td>
<td>(144.5)</td>
<td>(2.1)</td>
<td>(146.6)</td>
<td>(35.6)</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
<td>(36.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liability recognised in the Balance Sheet</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>109.8</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>112.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2012 the present value of the obligation vis-à-vis staff of €761.3 million included unfunded benefits amounting to €109.1 million (2011: €86.6 million) relating to post-employment benefits other than pensions and to other long-term benefits. Unfunded arrangements are also in place for the post-employment and other long-term benefits of members of the Executive Board.

The amounts recognised in the Profit and Loss Account in 2012 were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012 Staff € millions</th>
<th>2012 Executive Board € millions</th>
<th>2012 Total € millions</th>
<th>2011 Staff € millions</th>
<th>2011 Executive Board € millions</th>
<th>2011 Total € millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current service cost</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on obligation</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected return on plan assets</td>
<td>(21.6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(21.6)</td>
<td>(20.1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(20.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net actuarial (gains)/losses recognised in the year</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>(0.6)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total included in “Staff costs”</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes in the present value of the obligation in 2012 were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012 Staff € millions</th>
<th>2012 Executive Board € millions</th>
<th>2012 Total € millions</th>
<th>2011 Staff € millions</th>
<th>2011 Executive Board € millions</th>
<th>2011 Total € millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opening obligation</td>
<td>549.1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>567.0</td>
<td>539.6</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>555.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service cost</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on obligation</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions paid by plan participants</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other net changes in liabilities representing plan participants/ contributions1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>(1.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits paid</td>
<td>(6.3)</td>
<td>(4.0)</td>
<td>(10.3)</td>
<td>(5.4)</td>
<td>(0.8)</td>
<td>(6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial (gains)/losses</td>
<td>139.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>141.2</td>
<td>(54.8)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>(54.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing obligation</td>
<td>761.3</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>779.1</td>
<td>549.1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>567.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Amounts included under this heading represent the return on the funds arising from the voluntary contributions of plan participants.

Actuarial gains for 2011 on the defined benefit obligation relating to staff amounted to €54.8 million. This amount included the effects of (a) lower than expected growth in the value of the minimum guaranteed benefits; (b) a downward revision of expected credited returns on the fund units with an underlying
capital guarantee which are used for calculating the future pensions and consequently the current value of the defined benefit obligation; and (c) lower projected growth in medical plan premiums.

The actuarial losses of €139.6 million on the defined benefit obligation relating to staff for 2012 arose primarily owing to the decrease in the discount rate from 5.00% to 3.50%. The resulting increase in the closing obligation was only partially offset by the impact of a reduction in the expected future increase in the guaranteed benefits, which are based on unit price developments, as well as the impact of a reduction in the assumed future increase in pensions from 1.65% to 1.40%.

Benefits paid in 2012 include the settlement of pension rights of some members of the Executive Board who left the ECB.

Changes in 2012 in the fair value of plan assets relating to staff, including those arising from voluntary contributions paid by plan participants, were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012 € millions</th>
<th>2011 € millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opening fair value of plan assets</td>
<td>418.4</td>
<td>391.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected return</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial gains/(losses)</td>
<td>30.0 (25.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions paid by employer</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions paid by plan participants</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits paid</td>
<td>(6.3) (5.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other net changes in assets</td>
<td>8.9 (1.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>representing plan participants’ contributions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing fair value of plan assets</td>
<td>522.7</td>
<td>418.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In line with the Conditions of Employment for Staff of the European Central Bank, a long-term valuation of the ECB’s pension plan was carried out by the ECB’s actuaries as at 31 December 2011. Following this valuation, and acting on actuarial advice, the Governing Council approved on 2 August 2012 an annual supplementary contribution of €10.3 million, to be paid for a period of twelve years starting in 2012. This decision will be reviewed in 2014. The increase in contributions paid by the ECB in 2012 mainly reflected the payment of the supplementary contribution due in that year.

Actuarial gains on plan assets in 2012 reflected the higher than expected returns on the fund units in that year.

In preparing the valuations referred to in this note, the actuaries have used assumptions which the Executive Board has accepted for the purposes of accounting and disclosure. The principal assumptions used for the purposes of calculating the benefits scheme liability are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012 %</th>
<th>2011 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discount rate</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected return on plan assets¹</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General future salary increases²</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future pension increases³</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ These assumptions were used for calculating both the ECB’s defined benefit obligation, which is funded by assets with an underlying capital guarantee, and the expected return on plan assets for the forthcoming year.
² In addition, allowance is made for prospective individual salary increases of up to 1.8% per annum, depending on the age of the plan participants.
³ According to the ECB’s pension plan rules, pensions will be increased annually. If general salary adjustments for ECB employees were below price inflation, any increase in pensions will be in line with the general salary adjustments. If the general salary adjustments exceed price inflation, they will be applied to determine the increase in pensions, provided that the financial position of the ECB’s pension plan permits such an increase.
15 PROVISIONS

This item consists of a provision for foreign exchange rate, interest rate, credit and gold price risks as well as other miscellaneous provisions.

The provision for foreign exchange rate, interest rate, credit and gold price risks will be used to the extent deemed necessary by the Governing Council to offset future realised and unrealised losses, in particular valuation losses not covered by the revaluation accounts. The size of and continuing requirement for this provision is reviewed annually, based on the ECB’s assessment of its exposure to the above risks. This assessment takes a range of factors into account, including in particular the level of holdings of risk-bearing assets, the extent of materialised risk exposures in the current financial year, projected results for the coming year, and a risk assessment involving calculations of Values at Risk (VaR) on risk-bearing assets, which is applied consistently over time. The provision, together with any amount held in the general reserve fund, may not exceed the value of the ECB’s capital paid up by the euro area NCBs.

As at 31 December 2011 the provision for foreign exchange rate, interest rate, credit and gold price risks amounted to €6,363,107,289. Taking the results of its assessment into account, the Governing Council decided to transfer, as at 31 December 2012, an amount of €1,166,175,000 to the provision. This transfer reduced the ECB’s net profit for 2012 to €998,030,635 and increased the size of the provision to €7,529,282,289. Following the increase in the ECB’s paid-up capital in 2012 (see note 17, “Capital and reserves”), this amount corresponds to the value of the ECB’s capital paid up by the euro area NCBs as at 31 December 2012.

16 REVALUATION ACCOUNTS

These accounts represent revaluation balances arising from unrealised gains on assets, liabilities and off-balance-sheet instruments (see “Income recognition”, “Gold and foreign currency assets and liabilities”, “Securities” and “Off-balance-sheet instruments” in the notes on accounting policies).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>16,433,862,811</td>
<td>15,718,491,496</td>
<td>715,371,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency</td>
<td>6,053,396,675</td>
<td>7,975,683,173</td>
<td>(1,922,286,498)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities and other instruments</td>
<td>984,781,810</td>
<td>630,756,103</td>
<td>354,025,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23,472,041,296</td>
<td>24,324,930,772</td>
<td>(852,889,476)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The foreign exchange rates used for the year-end revaluation were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exchange rates</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US dollars per euro</td>
<td>1.3194</td>
<td>1.2939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese yen per euro</td>
<td>113.61</td>
<td>100.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro per SDR</td>
<td>1.1657</td>
<td>1.1867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro per fine ounce of gold</td>
<td>1,261.179</td>
<td>1,216.864</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**17 CAPITAL AND RESERVES**

**17.1 CAPITAL**

With effect from 29 December 2010 the ECB increased its subscribed capital by €5 billion to €10,760,652,403.16. In addition, the Governing Council decided that the euro area NCBs would pay their additional capital contributions resulting from this increase in three equal annual installments. The first and second installments were paid on 29 December 2010 and 28 December 2011 respectively. The final instalment, amounting to €1,166,175,000, was paid on 27 December 2012.

The ECB’s capital key and subscribed capital remained unchanged in 2012. Owing to the payment of the final instalment of the capital contributions by the NCBs of the euro area, the paid-up capital of the ECB increased to €7,650,458,669, as shown in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National Bank</th>
<th>Capital key</th>
<th>Subscribed capital</th>
<th>Paid-up capital 2012</th>
<th>Paid-up capital 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Bank</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banque Nationale de Belgique</td>
<td>2.4256</td>
<td>261,010,385</td>
<td>261,010,385</td>
<td>220,583,718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deutsche Bundesbank</td>
<td>18.9373</td>
<td>2,037,777,027</td>
<td>2,037,777,027</td>
<td>1,722,155,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eesti Pank</td>
<td>0.1790</td>
<td>19,261,568</td>
<td>19,261,568</td>
<td>16,278,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Bank of Ireland</td>
<td>1.1107</td>
<td>119,518,568</td>
<td>119,518,568</td>
<td>101,006,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank of Greece</td>
<td>1.9649</td>
<td>211,436,059</td>
<td>211,436,059</td>
<td>178,687,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banco de España</td>
<td>8.3040</td>
<td>893,564,576</td>
<td>893,564,576</td>
<td>755,164,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banque de France</td>
<td>14.2212</td>
<td>1,530,293,899</td>
<td>1,530,293,899</td>
<td>1,293,273,899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banca d’Italia</td>
<td>12.4966</td>
<td>1,344,715,688</td>
<td>1,344,715,688</td>
<td>1,136,439,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Bank of Cyprus</td>
<td>0.1369</td>
<td>14,731,333</td>
<td>14,731,333</td>
<td>12,449,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banque centrale du Luxembourg</td>
<td>0.1747</td>
<td>18,798,860</td>
<td>18,798,860</td>
<td>15,887,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Bank of Malta</td>
<td>0.0632</td>
<td>6,800,732</td>
<td>6,800,732</td>
<td>5,747,399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Nederlandsche Bank</td>
<td>3.9882</td>
<td>429,156,339</td>
<td>429,156,339</td>
<td>362,868,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oesterreichische Nationalbank</td>
<td>1.9417</td>
<td>208,939,588</td>
<td>208,939,588</td>
<td>176,577,921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banco de Portugal</td>
<td>1.7504</td>
<td>188,354,460</td>
<td>188,354,460</td>
<td>159,181,126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banka Slovenije</td>
<td>0.3288</td>
<td>35,381,025</td>
<td>35,381,025</td>
<td>29,901,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Národná banka Slovenska</td>
<td>0.6934</td>
<td>74,614,364</td>
<td>74,614,364</td>
<td>63,057,697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suomen Pankki – Finlands Bank</td>
<td>1.2539</td>
<td>134,927,820</td>
<td>134,927,820</td>
<td>114,029,487</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subtotal for euro area NCBs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%</th>
<th>€</th>
<th>€</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>69.9705</td>
<td>7,529,282,289</td>
<td>7,529,282,289</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%</th>
<th>€</th>
<th>€</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5,305,014</td>
<td>6,363,107,289</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National Bank</th>
<th>Capital key</th>
<th>Subscribed capital</th>
<th>Paid-up capital 2012</th>
<th>Paid-up capital 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Национална банка (Bulgarian National Bank)</td>
<td>0.8686</td>
<td>93,467,027</td>
<td>3,505,014</td>
<td>3,505,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Česká národní banka</td>
<td>1.4472</td>
<td>155,728,162</td>
<td>5,839,806</td>
<td>5,839,806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danmarks Nationalbank</td>
<td>1.4835</td>
<td>159,634,278</td>
<td>5,986,285</td>
<td>5,986,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvijas Banka</td>
<td>0.2837</td>
<td>30,527,971</td>
<td>1,144,799</td>
<td>1,144,799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lietuvos bankas</td>
<td>0.4256</td>
<td>45,797,337</td>
<td>1,717,400</td>
<td>1,717,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magyar Nemzeti Bank</td>
<td>1.3856</td>
<td>149,099,600</td>
<td>5,591,235</td>
<td>5,591,235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narodowy Bank Polski</td>
<td>4.8954</td>
<td>526,776,978</td>
<td>19,754,137</td>
<td>19,754,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banca Naţională a României</td>
<td>2.4645</td>
<td>265,196,278</td>
<td>9,944,860</td>
<td>9,944,860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

17 Decision ECB/2010/27 of 13 December 2010 on the paying-up of the increase of the European Central Bank’s capital by the national central banks of Member States whose currency is the euro, OJ L 11, 15.1.2011, p. 54 and Decision ECB/2010/34 of 31 December 2010 on the paying-up of capital, transfer of foreign reserve assets and contributions by Eesti Pank to the European Central Bank’s reserves and provisions, OJ L 11, 15.1.2011, p. 58.
18 Individual amounts are shown rounded to the nearest euro. Consequently, totals and subtotals in the tables of this section may not add up due to rounding.
The non-euro area NCBs are required to pay up 3.75% of their share in the ECB’s subscribed capital as a contribution to the operational costs of the ECB. This contribution amounted to €121,176,379 at the end of 2012. The non-euro area NCBs are not entitled to receive any share of the distributable profits of the ECB, nor are they liable to fund any loss of the ECB.

**OFF-BALANCE-SHEET INSTRUMENTS**

**18 AUTOMATED SECURITY LENDING PROGRAMME**

As part of the management of the ECB’s own funds, the ECB has an automated security lending programme agreement in place, whereby an appointed agent enters into security lending transactions on behalf of the ECB with a number of counterparties, designated by the ECB as eligible counterparties. Under this agreement, reverse transactions with a value of €1.3 billion (2011: €1.2 billion) were outstanding as at 31 December 2012.

**19 INTEREST RATE FUTURES**

As at 31 December 2012 the following foreign currency transactions, presented at year-end market rates, were outstanding:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foreign currency interest rate futures</th>
<th>2012 Contract value €</th>
<th>2011 Contract value €</th>
<th>Change €</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchases</td>
<td>2,460,891,314</td>
<td>1,651,132,236</td>
<td>809,759,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>6,245,269,283</td>
<td>1,728,229,838</td>
<td>4,517,039,445</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These transactions were conducted in the context of the management of the ECB’s foreign reserves.

**20 INTEREST RATE SWAPS**

Interest rate swap transactions with a contract value of €355.1 million (2011: €225.7 million), presented at year-end market rates, were outstanding as at 31 December 2012. These transactions were conducted in the context of the management of the ECB’s foreign reserves.
21 FOREIGN EXCHANGE SWAP AND FORWARD TRANSACTIONS

MANAGEMENT OF THE FOREIGN RESERVES

Foreign exchange swap and forward transactions were conducted in 2012 in the context of the management of the ECB’s foreign reserves. The following forward claims and liabilities resulting from these transactions, presented at year-end market rates, remained outstanding as at 31 December 2012:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foreign exchange swap and forward transactions</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Claims</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,110,145,191</td>
<td>2,304,007,744</td>
<td>(193,862,553)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,947,015,270</td>
<td>2,309,882,385</td>
<td>(362,867,115)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LIQUIDITY-PROVIDING OPERATIONS

US dollar-denominated forward claims on NCBs and liabilities to the Federal Reserve, which arose in connection with the provision of US dollar liquidity to Eurosystem counterparties (see note 11, “Liabilities to non-euro area residents denominated in euro”), were outstanding on 31 December 2012.

22 ADMINISTRATION OF BORROWING AND LENDING OPERATIONS

The ECB is responsible for the administration of the borrowing and lending operations of the EU under the medium-term financial assistance mechanism. In 2012 the ECB processed payments related to loans granted by the EU to Latvia, Hungary and Romania under this scheme.

In the context of the loan facility agreement between the Member States whose currency is the euro 19 and Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau,20 as lenders, the Hellenic Republic, as the borrower, and the Bank of Greece, as the agent of the borrower, the ECB is responsible for processing all related payments on behalf of the lenders and the borrower.

Furthermore, the ECB has an operational role in the administration of loans under the European Financial Stabilisation Mechanism (EFSM) and the European Financial Stability Facility (EFSF). In 2012 the ECB processed payments in relation to loans granted to Ireland and Portugal under the EFSM scheme and loans granted to Ireland, Portugal and Greece under the EFSF scheme.

Moreover, the ECB administers payments in relation to the authorised capital stock and stability support operations of the newly established European Stability Mechanism (ESM).21 In 2012 the ECB processed payments from the Member States whose currency is the euro, in respect of the ESM’s authorised capital stock.

---

19 Other than the Hellenic Republic and the Federal Republic of Germany.
20 Acting in the public interest, subject to the instructions of and with the benefit of the guarantee of the Federal Republic of Germany.
23 PENDING LAWSUITS

An action for damages was brought against the ECB before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities (CFI)\textsuperscript{22} by Document Security Systems Inc. (DSSI), alleging that the ECB had infringed a DSSI patent\textsuperscript{23} in the production of euro banknotes. The CFI dismissed DSSI’s action for damages against the ECB.\textsuperscript{24} The ECB is still pursuing actions to revoke the patent in one particular national jurisdiction and has already succeeded in revoking it in all other relevant jurisdictions. Furthermore, the ECB firmly maintains that it has in no way infringed the patent, and will consequently also enter a defence against any infringement action brought by DSSI before any competent national court.

As a result of the CFI’s dismissal of DSSI’s action for damages against the ECB, as well as the ECB’s successful actions to date in certain national jurisdictions to revoke national portions of DSSI’s patent, the ECB remains confident that the possibility of payments to DSSI is remote. The ECB is actively monitoring all developments in the continuing litigation.

\textsuperscript{22} Following the entry into force of the Treaty of Lisbon on 1 December 2009, the name of the Court of First Instance was changed to the General Court.

\textsuperscript{23} DSSI’s European Patent No 0455 750 B1.

\textsuperscript{24} Order of the Court of First Instance of 5 September 2007, Case T-295/05. Available at www.curia.europa.eu.
NOTES ON THE PROFIT AND LOSS ACCOUNT

24 NET INTEREST INCOME

24.1 INTEREST INCOME ON FOREIGN RESERVE ASSETS

This item includes interest income, net of interest expense, in respect of the ECB’s net foreign reserve assets, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012 €</th>
<th>2011 €</th>
<th>Change €</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest income on current accounts</td>
<td>726,972</td>
<td>2,088,888</td>
<td>(1,361,916)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income on money market deposits</td>
<td>16,294,022</td>
<td>18,279,491</td>
<td>(2,985,469)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income on reverse repurchase agreements</td>
<td>1,881,260</td>
<td>1,479,020</td>
<td>402,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net interest income on securities</td>
<td>197,474,767</td>
<td>254,699,342</td>
<td>(57,224,575)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net interest income on interest rate swaps</td>
<td>2,096,989</td>
<td>6,284,214</td>
<td>(4,187,225)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net interest income on foreign exchange swap and forward transactions</td>
<td>10,581,922</td>
<td>7,686,740</td>
<td>2,895,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total interest income on foreign reserve assets</strong></td>
<td>229,055,932</td>
<td>290,517,695</td>
<td>(61,461,763)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense on current accounts</td>
<td>(24,240)</td>
<td>(6,126)</td>
<td>(18,114)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net interest expense on repurchase agreements</td>
<td>(147,992)</td>
<td>(291,278)</td>
<td>143,286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interest income on foreign reserve assets (net)</strong></td>
<td>228,883,700</td>
<td>290,220,291</td>
<td>(61,336,591)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overall decrease in net interest income in 2012 was due mainly to lower interest income generated on the US dollar portfolio.

24.2 INTEREST INCOME ARISING FROM THE ALLOCATION OF EURO BANKNOTES WITHIN THE EUROSYSTEM

This item consists of the interest income relating to the ECB’s share of the total euro banknote issue (see “Banknotes in circulation” in the notes on accounting policies and note 6.1, “Claims related to the allocation of euro banknotes within the Eurosystem”). The decrease in income in 2012 mainly reflected the fact that the average main refinancing rate was lower than in 2011.

24.3 REMUNERATION OF NCBS’ CLAIMS IN RESPECT OF FOREIGN RESERVES TRANSFERRED

Remuneration paid to euro area NCBs on their claims on the ECB in respect of the foreign reserve assets transferred under Article 30.1 of the Statute of the ESCB (see note 13, “Intra-Eurosystem liabilities”) is disclosed under this heading. The decrease in this remuneration in 2012 reflected the fact that the average main refinancing rate was lower than in 2011.

24.4 OTHER INTEREST INCOME; AND OTHER INTEREST EXPENSE

In 2012 these items included interest income of €8.8 billion (2011: €6.6 billion) and expenses of €8.9 billion (2011: €6.9 billion) arising from TARGET2 balances (see note 6.2, “Other claims within the Eurosystem (net)”, and note 11, “Liabilities to non-euro area residents denominated in euro”).

They also included net income of €1,107.7 million (2011: €1,002.8 million) on the securities purchased by the ECB under the Securities Markets Programme, and €209.4 million (2011: €165.7 million) on those purchased under the covered bond purchase programmes, including net income on the related security lending transactions. Interest income and interest expense in respect of other assets and liabilities denominated in euro, as well as interest income and interest expense arising from US dollar liquidity-providing operations, are also shown under these headings.
## 25 Realised Gains/Losses Arising from Financial Operations

Net realised gains arising from financial operations in 2012 were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net realised price gains</td>
<td>317,311,647</td>
<td>260,059,727</td>
<td>57,251,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net realised exchange rate and gold price gains</td>
<td>1,524,191</td>
<td>212,159,502</td>
<td>(210,635,311)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net realised gains arising from financial operations</td>
<td>318,835,838</td>
<td>472,219,229</td>
<td>(153,383,391)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net realised price gains included realised gains on securities, interest rate futures and interest rate swaps.

In 2011 net realised exchange rate and gold price gains arose mainly as a result of Japanese yen outflows in the context of the ECB’s participation in the concerted international intervention in the foreign exchange markets on 18 March 2011.

## 26 Write-Downs on Financial Assets and Positions

Write-downs on financial assets and positions in 2012 were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unrealised price losses on securities</td>
<td>(1,737,805)</td>
<td>(155,240,641)</td>
<td>153,502,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealised price losses on interest rate swaps</td>
<td>(2,442,218)</td>
<td>(2,216,642)</td>
<td>(225,576)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealised exchange rate losses</td>
<td>(761)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(761)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total write-downs</td>
<td>(4,180,784)</td>
<td>(157,457,283)</td>
<td>153,276,499</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2012 the overall increase in the market value of the securities held in the ECB’s own funds portfolio resulted in substantially lower write-downs compared with 2011.

## 27 Net Expense from Fees and Commissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income from fees and commissions</td>
<td>90,314</td>
<td>77,858</td>
<td>12,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses relating to fees and commissions</td>
<td>(2,217,422)</td>
<td>(2,058,638)</td>
<td>(158,784)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net expense from fees and commissions</td>
<td>(2,127,108)</td>
<td>(1,980,780)</td>
<td>(146,328)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2012 income under this heading consisted of penalties imposed on credit institutions for non-compliance with the minimum reserve requirements. Expenses relate to fees payable on current accounts and in connection with interest rate futures transactions (see note 19, “Interest rate futures”).
28 INCOME FROM EQUITY SHARES AND PARTICIPATING INTERESTS

Dividends received on shares which the ECB holds in the BIS (see note 7.2, “Other financial assets”) are shown under this heading.

29 OTHER INCOME

Other miscellaneous income during 2012 arose mainly from the accrued contributions of the euro area NCBs to the costs incurred by the ECB in connection with a major market infrastructure project.

30 STAFF COSTS

Salaries, allowances, staff insurance and other miscellaneous costs of €184.6 million (2011: €173.1 million) are included under this heading. Also included in this item is an amount of €34.8 million (2011: €43.0 million) recognised in connection with the ECB’s pension plan, other post-employment benefits and other long-term benefits (see note 14.3, “Sundry”). Staff costs of €1.3 million (2011: €1.3 million) incurred in connection with the construction of the ECB’s new premises have been capitalised and are excluded from this item.

Salaries and allowances, including the emoluments of holders of senior management positions, are modelled in essence on, and are comparable with, the remuneration scheme of the European Union.

Members of the Executive Board receive a basic salary and additional allowances for residence and representation. In the case of the President, an official residence owned by the ECB is provided in lieu of a residence allowance. Subject to the Conditions of Employment for Staff of the European Central Bank, members of the Executive Board are entitled to household, child and education allowances, depending on their individual circumstances. Basic salaries are subject to a tax for the benefit of the European Union as well as to deductions in respect of contributions to the pension, medical and accident insurance schemes. Allowances are non-taxable and non-pensionable.

Basic salaries paid to members of the Executive Board in 2012 were as follows:25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>2012 €</th>
<th>2011 €</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jean-Claude Trichet (President until October 2011)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>309,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mario Draghi (President since November 2011)</td>
<td>374,124</td>
<td>61,858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitor Constâncio (Vice-President)</td>
<td>320,688</td>
<td>318,132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gertrude Tampel-Gugerell (Board Member until May 2011)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>110,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>José Manuel González-Páramo (Board Member until May 2012)</td>
<td>111,345</td>
<td>265,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorenzo Bini Smaghi (Board Member until December 2011)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>265,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jürgen Stark (Board Member until December 2011)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>265,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Praet (Board Member since June 2011)</td>
<td>267,228</td>
<td>154,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jörg Asmussen (Board Member since January 2012)</td>
<td>267,228</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benoit Coeuré (Board Member since January 2012)</td>
<td>267,228</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,607,841</td>
<td>1,749,696</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25 Mr Yves Mersch took office on 15 December 2012. The remuneration that accrued to him up to the end of 2012 was paid in January 2013 and is recorded in the staff costs for the financial year 2013.
The total allowances paid to the members of the Executive Board and the ECB’s contributions to the medical and accident insurance schemes on their behalf amounted to €509,842 (2011: €646,154).

In addition, the benefits on appointment or termination of service paid to Executive Board members joining or leaving the ECB amounted to €133,437 (2011: €159,594). They are reported under “Administrative expenses” in the Profit and Loss Account.

Transitional payments are made to former members of the Executive Board for a limited period after the end of their terms of office. In 2012 these payments, related family allowances and the ECB’s contributions to the medical and accident insurance schemes of former members amounted to €1,183,285 (2011: €479,665). Pension payments, including related allowances, to former members of the Executive Board or their dependents and contributions to the medical and accident insurance schemes amounted to €324,830 (2011: €321,929). Upon leaving the ECB, two Board Members, Mr Lorenzo Bini Smaghi and Mr José Manuel González-Páramo, decided to forego their rights to future monthly pension payments and instead opted to receive the corresponding lump sums, amounting to €2,461,469, in accordance with the conditions of employment. The total payments to former members of the Executive Board are reported as “Benefits paid” and reduce the ECB’s defined benefit obligation in respect of the post-employment benefits for the Executive Board (see note 14.3, “Sundry”).

At the end of 2012 the actual full-time equivalent number of staff holding contracts with the ECB was 1,638, including 158 with managerial positions. The change in the number of staff during 2012 was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff Change Description</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total staff as at 1 January</td>
<td>1,609</td>
<td>1,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newcomers/change of contractual status</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resignations/end of contract</td>
<td>(341)</td>
<td>(299)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net increase/(decrease) due to changes in part-time working</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>patterns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total staff as at 31 December</td>
<td>1,638</td>
<td>1,609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of staff employed</td>
<td>1,615</td>
<td>1,601</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

31 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

These cover all other current expenses relating to the renting and maintenance of premises, goods and equipment of a non-capital nature, professional fees and other services and supplies, together with staff-related expenses including recruitment, relocation, installation, training and resettlement expenses.

32 BANKNOTE PRODUCTION SERVICES

This expense arises owing to the cross-border transportation of euro banknotes between banknote printing works and NCBs, for the delivery of new banknotes, and between NCBs, for the compensation of shortages with surplus stocks. These costs are borne centrally by the ECB.

26 Staff on unpaid leave are excluded. This number includes staff with permanent, fixed or short-term contracts and the participants in the ECB’s Graduate Programme. Staff on maternity or long-term sick leave are also included.
Independent auditor’s report

We have audited the accompanying annual accounts of the European Central Bank, which comprise the balance sheet as at 31 December 2012, the profit and loss account for the year then ended, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory notes (the "Annual Accounts").

The responsibility of the European Central Bank’s Executive Board for the Annual Accounts

The Executive Board is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these Annual Accounts in accordance with the principles established by the Governing Council, which are set out in Decision ECB/2010/21 on the annual accounts of the European Central Bank, as amended, and for such internal control as the Executive Board determines is necessary to enable the preparation of the Annual Accounts that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor’s responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these Annual Accounts based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing. Those standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Annual Accounts are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the Annual Accounts. The procedures selected depend on the auditor’s judgement, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Annual Accounts, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity’s preparation and fair presentation of the Annual Accounts in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by the Executive Board, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the Annual Accounts.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the Annual Accounts give a true and fair view of the financial position of the European Central Bank as of 31 December 2012, and of the results of its operations for the year then ended in accordance with the principles established by the Governing Council, which are set out in Decision ECB/2010/21 on the annual accounts of the European Central Bank, as amended.

Yours sincerely,

PricewaterhouseCoopers
Aktiengesellschaft
Wirtschaftsprüfungsgesellschaft

[i.e.s.]

PricewaterhouseCoopers
Aktiengesellschaft
Wirtschaftsprüfungsgesellschaft
Friedrich-Ebert-Anlage 35-37
60327 Frankfurt am Main
Postanschrift:
60660 Frankfurt am Main
www.pwc.de
Tel.: +49 69 9585-3601
Fax: +49 69 9585-913023
muriel.atton@de.pwc.com
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NOTE ON PROFIT DISTRIBUTION/ ALLOCATION OF LOSSES

This note is not part of the financial statements of the ECB for the year 2012.

Pursuant to Article 33 of the Statute of the ESCB, the net profit of the ECB shall be transferred in the following order:

(a) an amount to be determined by the Governing Council, which may not exceed 20% of the net profit, shall be transferred to the general reserve fund, subject to a limit equal to 100% of the capital; and

(b) the remaining net profit shall be distributed to the shareholders of the ECB in proportion to their paid-up shares.

In the event of a loss incurred by the ECB, the shortfall may be offset against the general reserve fund of the ECB and, if necessary, following a decision by the Governing Council, against the monetary income of the relevant financial year in proportion and up to the amounts allocated to the NCBs in accordance with Article 32.5 of the Statute of the ESCB.\(^1\)

After the transfer to the risk provision, the ECB’s net profit for 2012 was €998.0 million. Following a decision by the Governing Council, an interim profit distribution, amounting to €574.6 million, was made to the euro area NCBs on 31 January 2013. The Governing Council also decided to make no transfer to the general reserve fund and to distribute the remaining profit for 2012, amounting to €423.4 million, to the euro area NCBs. Profit is distributed to the NCBs in proportion to their paid-up shares.

Non-euro area NCBs are not entitled to receive any share of the ECB’s profit, nor are they liable to fund any loss of the ECB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Profit for the year</td>
<td>998,030,635</td>
<td>728,136,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim profit distribution</td>
<td>(574,627,292)</td>
<td>(652,000,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit for the year after the interim profit distribution</td>
<td>423,403,343</td>
<td>76,136,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of the remaining profit to NCBs</td>
<td>(423,403,343)</td>
<td>(76,136,234)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Under Article 32.5 of the Statute of the ESCB, the sum of the NCBs’ monetary income shall be allocated to the NCBs in proportion to their paid-up shares in the capital of the ECB.
## CONсолIDATED BALANCE SHEET OF THE EUROsYSTEM AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2012

(EUR MILLIONS)\(^1\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSETS</th>
<th>31 DECEMBER 2012</th>
<th>31 DECEMBER 2011(^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Gold and gold receivables</td>
<td>438,686</td>
<td>423,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Claims on non-euro area residents denominated in foreign currency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Receivables from the IMF</td>
<td>86,980</td>
<td>85,655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Balances with banks and security investments, external loans and other external assets</td>
<td>163,791</td>
<td>158,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Claims on euro area residents denominated in foreign currency</td>
<td>32,727</td>
<td>98,226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Claims on non-euro area residents denominated in euro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Balances with banks, security investments and loans</td>
<td>19,069</td>
<td>25,355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Claims arising from the credit facility under ERM II</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Lending to euro area credit institutions related to monetary policy operations denominated in euro</td>
<td>1,126,019</td>
<td>863,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1 Main refinancing operations</td>
<td>89,661</td>
<td>144,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2 Longer-term refinancing operations</td>
<td>1,035,771</td>
<td>703,894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3 Fine-tuning reverse operations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4 Structural reverse operations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5 Marginal lending facility</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>14,823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6 Credits related to margin calls</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Other claims on euro area credit institutions denominated in euro</td>
<td>202,764</td>
<td>176,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Securities of euro area residents denominated in euro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1 Securities held for monetary policy purposes</td>
<td>277,153</td>
<td>273,854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2 Other securities</td>
<td>308,979</td>
<td>344,910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 General government debt denominated in euro</td>
<td>29,961</td>
<td>33,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Other assets</td>
<td>276,582</td>
<td>248,860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total assets**                                                                 | **2,962,712** | **2,733,270** |

---

1 Totals/subtotals may not add up due to rounding.

2 Comparative data as at 31 December 2011 have been restated to include reclassifications which took place in the week ending 20 April 2012 in order to harmonise under asset item 6 the disclosure of the emergency liquidity assistance (ELA) provided by Eurosystem central banks to domestic credit institutions (see also the press release accompanying the weekly consolidated financial statement of the Eurosystem as at 20 April 2012).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIABILITIES</th>
<th>31 DECEMBER 2012</th>
<th>31 DECEMBER 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Banknotes in circulation</td>
<td>912,592</td>
<td>888,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Liabilities to euro area credit institutions related to monetary policy operations denominated in euro</td>
<td>925,386</td>
<td>849,477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Current accounts (covering the minimum reserve system)</td>
<td>447,112</td>
<td>223,539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Deposit facility</td>
<td>280,219</td>
<td>413,882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 Fixed-term deposits</td>
<td>197,559</td>
<td>211,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 Fine-tuning reverse operations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 Deposits related to margin calls</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>1,056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Other liabilities to euro area credit institutions denominated in euro</td>
<td>6,688</td>
<td>2,423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Debt certificates issued</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Liabilities to other euro area residents denominated in euro</td>
<td>135,655</td>
<td>79,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1 General government</td>
<td>95,341</td>
<td>65,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2 Other liabilities</td>
<td>40,314</td>
<td>14,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Liabilities to non-euro area residents denominated in euro</td>
<td>184,484</td>
<td>156,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Liabilities to euro area residents denominated in foreign currency</td>
<td>3,629</td>
<td>4,546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Liabilities to non-euro area residents denominated in foreign currency</td>
<td>6,226</td>
<td>9,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1 Deposits, balances and other liabilities</td>
<td>6,226</td>
<td>9,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2 Liabilities arising from the credit facility under ERM II</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Counterpart of special drawing rights allocated by the IMF</td>
<td>54,952</td>
<td>55,942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Other liabilities</td>
<td>237,605</td>
<td>209,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Revaluation accounts</td>
<td>407,373</td>
<td>394,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Capital and reserves</td>
<td>88,122</td>
<td>82,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities</td>
<td>2,962,712</td>
<td>2,733,270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The two relatively narrow towers of the high-rise are braced by the interchange platforms and diagonal steel trusses in the atrium. The various platforms and steel structures within the atrium were completed in November and December 2012.

Spring 2013 will see the completion of the facade and closing-off of the atrium, as well as the completion of the steel structures for the technical areas and antenna at the top of the double office tower.

COOP HIMMELB(L)AU’s vision has become reality over the last two years of intensive construction works. The vision of the architect, Wolf Prix, and his team was to create a new and unique landmark combining “old and new”, and his vision has now come to fruition for the ECB.
ANNEXES

I LEGAL INSTRUMENTS ADOPTED BY THE ECB

The following tables list the legal instruments that were adopted by the ECB in 2012 and published in the “Legal framework” section of the ECB’s website.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECB/2012/2</td>
<td>Decision of the European Central Bank of 27 February 2012 repealing Decision ECB/2010/3 on temporary measures relating to the eligibility of marketable debt instruments issued or guaranteed by the Greek Government (OJ L 59, 1.3.2012, p. 36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECB/2012/3</td>
<td>Decision of the European Central Bank of 5 March 2012 on the eligibility of marketable debt instruments issued or fully guaranteed by the Hellenic Republic in the context of the Hellenic Republic’s debt exchange offer (OJ L 77, 16.3.2012, p. 19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECB/2012/24</td>
<td>Regulation of the European Central Bank of 17 October 2012 concerning statistics on holdings of securities (OJ L 305, 1.11.2012, p. 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECB/2012/34</td>
<td>Decision of the European Central Bank of 19 December 2012 on temporary changes to the rules relating to the eligibility of foreign currency denominated collateral (OJ L 14, 18.1.2013, p. 22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(b) ECB opinions following a consultation by a European institution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Originator and subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/5</td>
<td>Council – A proposal for a directive on the access to the activity of credit institutions and the prudential supervision of credit institutions and investment firms and a proposal for a regulation on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms (OJ C 105, 11.4.2012, p. 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/18</td>
<td>Council – Strengthened economic governance of the euro area (OJ C 141, 17.5.2012, p. 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/56</td>
<td>European Council – A Council recommendation on the appointment of a member of the Executive Board of the European Central Bank (OJ C 218, 24.7.2012, p. 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Originator and subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/77</td>
<td>Commission – A proposal for a Commission regulation amending Regulation (EC) No 2214/96 concerning harmonised indices of consumer prices (HICP): transmission and dissemination of sub-indices of the HICP, as regards establishing harmonised indices of consumer prices at constant tax rates and a proposal for a Commission regulation laying down detailed rules for the implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 2494/95 concerning harmonised indices of consumer prices, as regards establishing owner-occupied housing price indices (not yet published in the Official Journal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/99</td>
<td>Council – A proposal for a directive establishing a framework for recovery and resolution of credit institutions and investment firms (OJ C 39, 12.2.2013, p. 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(c) ECB opinions following a consultation by a Member State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Originator and subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/1</td>
<td>Cyprus – The salaries of civil servants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/2</td>
<td>Germany – Measures for the stabilisation of the financial market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/3</td>
<td>Luxembourg – Dematerialised securities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/4</td>
<td>Italy – A guarantee scheme for the liabilities of Italian banks and the exchange of lira banknotes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/6</td>
<td>Ireland – Pension reforms in the public sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/7</td>
<td>Romania – The minimum reserves regime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/8</td>
<td>Greece – The system for monitoring transactions in book-entry securities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/9</td>
<td>Italy – The management of liquidity in the Treasury accounts at the Banca d’Italia and the selection of counterparties for related operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/11</td>
<td>Spain – The reorganisation of the Spanish financial sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/12</td>
<td>Greece – The terms of securities issued or guaranteed by the Greek State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/13</td>
<td>Spain – State guarantees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/14</td>
<td>Greece – The recapitalisation and resolution of credit institutions in Greece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/15</td>
<td>Greece – The protection of the euro against counterfeiting and the authentication of euro coins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/16</td>
<td>Latvia – Minimum reserve requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/19</td>
<td>Latvia – The appointment of the members of the Governing Council of Latvijas Banka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/20</td>
<td>Italy – Italy’s participation in International Monetary Fund programmes in response to the financial crisis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/22</td>
<td>Slovenia – The deposit guarantee scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/23</td>
<td>Portugal – Implementing measures relating to recapitalisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/25</td>
<td>Greece – The recapitalisation and resolution of credit institutions in Greece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/26</td>
<td>Hungary – Amendments to the Law on the Magyar Nemzeti Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/27</td>
<td>Hungary – Foreign currency mortgages and residential property loan agreements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/28</td>
<td>Belgium – Belgian covered bonds and measures to facilitate the mobilisation of credit claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/29</td>
<td>Bulgaria – The state fund for guaranteeing the stability of the state pension system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/30</td>
<td>Denmark – The deposit guarantee fund and the bank resolution regime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/31</td>
<td>Greece – The Statute of the Bank of Greece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Originator and subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/33</td>
<td>Spain – Limitations on cash payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/34</td>
<td>Germany – The protection against counterfeiting and the quality of cash circulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/35</td>
<td>Belgium – Supervision-related operating costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/36</td>
<td>Lithuania – Restrictions of settlements in cash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/37</td>
<td>Denmark – Limitations on cash payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/38</td>
<td>Italy – The oversight of retail payment services and systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/39</td>
<td>Greece – The recapitalisation and resolution framework for credit institutions in Greece</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/40</td>
<td>Greece – Debt arrangements for over-indebted individuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/41</td>
<td>Poland – The introduction of a legal framework for the operation of central counterparties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/43</td>
<td>Hungary – The Law on the Magyar Nemzeti Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/44</td>
<td>Czech Republic – The Law on Česká národní bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/45</td>
<td>Hungary – The increase of Hungary's quota with the International Monetary Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/46</td>
<td>Spain – The reorganisation and sale of real estate assets in the financial sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/47</td>
<td>Slovenia – Prevention of late payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/48</td>
<td>Slovenia – Bank recapitalisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/49</td>
<td>Hungary – The Magyar Nemzeti Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/50</td>
<td>Cyprus – The recapitalisation of the Cyprus Popular Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/52</td>
<td>Ireland – New measures strengthening supervision and enforcement in financial regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/53</td>
<td>Slovakia – The bank levy and contributions to the deposit guarantee scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/54</td>
<td>Sweden – Certain old banknotes ceasing to be legal tender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/55</td>
<td>Germany – The strengthening of financial supervision and the establishment of a financial stability committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/57</td>
<td>Slovenia – The Slovenia Sovereign Holding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/58</td>
<td>Poland – A stabilisation fund for banks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/59</td>
<td>Hungary – The financial transaction tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/60</td>
<td>Spain – State guarantees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/61</td>
<td>Italy – The reform of supervision of insurance and retirement provision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Originator and subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/63</td>
<td>Lithuania – The stabilising budgetary policy and the creation of an anti-crisis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>treasury reserves account at Lietuvos bankas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/64</td>
<td>Italy – Recapitalisation of the Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/65</td>
<td>Austria – The increase of Austria’s quota with the International Monetary Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/66</td>
<td>Germany – Foreign trade reporting requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/67</td>
<td>Austria – Reporting requirements for cross-border service transactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/68</td>
<td>Ireland – Credit unions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/69</td>
<td>Luxembourg – A capital increase of the Banque centrale du Luxembourg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/70</td>
<td>Ireland – Measures relating to personal insolvency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/71</td>
<td>Slovenia – Strengthening bank stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/72</td>
<td>Poland – A consolidated legal framework for payment services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/73</td>
<td>Latvia – Preparations and legal amendments required for the introduction of the euro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/74</td>
<td>Ireland – New credit register measures in Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/75</td>
<td>Spain – European financial assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/76</td>
<td>Ireland – The freedom of information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/78</td>
<td>Romania – Open market operations and standing facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/79</td>
<td>Bulgaria – Fiscal rules and the servicing of bank accounts and payments of budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/80</td>
<td>Latvia – Amendments to the Law on Latvijas Banka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/81</td>
<td>Belgium – Profit distribution between the Nationale Bank van België/Banque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nationale de Belgique and the Belgian state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/82</td>
<td>Germany – The checking of cash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/83</td>
<td>Slovakia – Restrictions on cash payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/85</td>
<td>Cyprus – Government guarantees for credit institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/86</td>
<td>Portugal – The Banco de Portugal’s staff remuneration and the budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/87</td>
<td>Latvia – The spelling of the single currency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/88</td>
<td>Germany – The stabilisation of the financial market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/89</td>
<td>Spain – Euro banknotes and coins and amendments to the Banco de España’s Statute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/90</td>
<td>Greece – Amendments to the framework for the recapitalisation of credit institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Originator and subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/91</td>
<td>Poland – A stabilisation fund for banks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/92</td>
<td>Germany – Covered bonds (Pfandbriefe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/93</td>
<td>Slovenia – A tax on financial services and a tax on the total value of a bank’s balance sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/94</td>
<td>Hungary – Amendments to the Law on the financial transaction tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/97</td>
<td>Latvia – The introduction of the euro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/98</td>
<td>Ireland – The extension of the Irish state guarantee of eligible liabilities of credit institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/100</td>
<td>Belgium – A State guarantee covering certain commitments of subsidiaries of Dexia SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/101</td>
<td>Slovenia – The Law on banking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/102</td>
<td>Romania – Certain conditions on granting loans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/104</td>
<td>Denmark – Financial legislation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/105</td>
<td>Lithuania – The implementation of the Treaty on Stability, Coordination and Governance in the Economic and Monetary Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/106</td>
<td>France – Banking and financial stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/107</td>
<td>Germany – High-frequency trading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/108</td>
<td>Spain – Asset management companies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/109</td>
<td>Italy – The creation of capital buffers for the Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/110</td>
<td>Lithuania – The reserve requirements for credit institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON/2012/111</td>
<td>Ireland – New credit register measures in Ireland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 CHRONOLOGY OF MONETARY POLICY MEASURES OF THE EUROSYSTEM

12 JANUARY, 9 FEBRUARY, 8 MARCH, 4 APRIL AND 3 MAY 2012

The Governing Council of the ECB decides that the interest rate on the main refinancing operations and the interest rates on the marginal lending facility and the deposit facility will remain unchanged at 1.00%, 1.75% and 0.25% respectively.

6 JUNE 2012

The Governing Council of the ECB decides that the interest rate on the main refinancing operations and the interest rates on the marginal lending facility and the deposit facility will remain unchanged at 1.00%, 1.75% and 0.25% respectively.

It also decides on the details as regards the tender procedures and modalities to be applied in its refinancing operations up to 15 January 2013, notably to continue its fixed rate tender procedures with full allotment.

In addition, the Governing Council decides to conduct the three-month longer-term refinancing operations to be allotted until the end of 2012 as fixed rate tender procedures with full allotment.

5 JULY 2012

The Governing Council of the ECB decides to decrease the interest rate on the main refinancing operations by 25 basis points to 0.75%, starting from the operation to be settled on 11 July 2012. In addition, it decides to decrease the interest rates on both the marginal lending facility and the deposit facility by 25 basis points, to 1.50% and 0.00% respectively, both with effect from 11 July 2012.

2 AUGUST 2012

The Governing Council of the ECB decides that the interest rate on the main refinancing operations and the interest rates on the marginal lending facility and the deposit facility will remain unchanged at 0.75%, 1.50% and 0.00% respectively.

It also decides on measures to address the severe malfunctioning in the price formation process in the bond markets of euro area countries. In particular, the Governing Council, within its mandate to maintain price stability over the medium term and in observance of its independence in determining monetary policy, decides that it may undertake outright open market operations of a size adequate to reach its objective.

6 SEPTEMBER 2012

The Governing Council of the ECB decides that the interest rate on the main refinancing operations and the interest rates on the marginal lending facility and the deposit facility will remain unchanged at 0.75%, 1.50% and 0.00% respectively.
It also decides on the modalities for undertaking Outright Monetary Transactions (OMTs) in secondary markets for sovereign bonds in the euro area. Furthermore, following this decision on OMTs, the Governing Council announces the immediate termination of the Securities Markets Programme (SMP). It decides that the liquidity injected through the SMP will continue to be absorbed as in the past, and that the existing securities in the SMP portfolio will be held to maturity.

4 OCTOBER AND 8 NOVEMBER 2012

The Governing Council of the ECB decides that the interest rate on the main refinancing operations and the interest rates on the marginal lending facility and the deposit facility will remain unchanged at 0.75%, 1.50% and 0.00% respectively.

6 DECEMBER 2012

The Governing Council of the ECB decides that the interest rate on the main refinancing operations and the interest rates on the marginal lending facility and the deposit facility will remain unchanged at 0.75%, 1.50% and 0.00% respectively.

It also decides on the details as regards the tender procedures and modalities to be applied in its refinancing operations up to 9 July 2013, notably to continue its fixed rate tender procedures with full allotment.

10 JANUARY, 7 FEBRUARY AND 7 MARCH 2013

The Governing Council of the ECB decides that the interest rate on the main refinancing operations and the interest rates on the marginal lending facility and the deposit facility will remain unchanged at 0.75%, 1.50% and 0.00% respectively.
3 OVERVIEW OF THE ECB’S COMMUNICATION RELATED TO THE PROVISION OF LIQUIDITY

For more details on the liquidity-providing operations conducted by the Eurosystem in 2012, see the “Open market operations” section of the ECB’s website.

PROVISION OF LIQUIDITY IN EURO

9 FEBRUARY 2012
The ECB approves, for the seven NCBs that put forward relevant proposals, specific national eligibility criteria and risk control measures for the temporary acceptance of additional credit claims as collateral in Eurosystem credit operations.

28 FEBRUARY 2012
The ECB announces the temporary suspension of the eligibility of marketable debt instruments issued or fully guaranteed by the Hellenic Republic for use as collateral in Eurosystem monetary policy operations as a result of the launch of the private sector involvement offer.

8 MARCH 2012
The ECB announces that marketable debt instruments issued or fully guaranteed by the Hellenic Republic will again be accepted as collateral in Eurosystem credit operations, without applying the minimum credit rating threshold for collateral eligibility.

6 JUNE 2012
The ECB announces details of refinancing operations with settlement in the period from 11 July to 15 January 2013.

22 JUNE 2012
The ECB takes further measures to increase collateral availability for counterparties.

6 JULY 2012
The ECB announces the implementation of loan-level data reporting requirements for asset-backed securities.

20 JULY 2012
The ECB announces the suspension of the eligibility of marketable debt instruments issued or fully guaranteed by the Hellenic Republic for use as collateral in Eurosystem monetary policy operations.

6 SEPTEMBER 2012
The ECB announces the technical features of Outright Monetary Transactions and the termination of the Securities Markets Programme.

The ECB decides on additional measures to preserve collateral availability for counterparties in order to maintain their access to the Eurosystem’s liquidity-providing operations.

31 OCTOBER 2012
The ECB announces that the second covered bond purchase programme has ended as scheduled.

1 Dates refer to the date of publication of the announcement.
**27 November 2012**
The ECB announces the rescheduling of loan-level data reporting requirements for asset-backed securities as announced on 6 July 2012.

**6 December 2012**
The ECB announces details of refinancing operations with settlement in the period from 16 January to 9 July 2013.

The ECB announces that it will continue conducting its main refinancing operations as fixed rate tender procedures with full allotment for as long as necessary, and at least until the end of the sixth maintenance period of 2013 on 9 July 2013.

The ECB announces that the three-month longer-term refinancing operations to be allotted between 30 January and 26 June 2013 will be conducted as fixed rate tender procedures with full allotment. The rates in these three-month operations will be fixed at the average rate of the main refinancing operations over the life of the respective longer-term refinancing operation.

**19 December 2012**
The ECB announces that marketable debt instruments issued or fully guaranteed by the Hellenic Republic and fulfilling all other eligibility criteria will again constitute eligible collateral for the purposes of Eurosystem credit operations, subject to special haircuts.

**PROVISION OF LIQUIDITY IN OTHER CURRENCIES AND AGREEMENTS WITH OTHER CENTRAL BANKS**

**12 September 2012**
The ECB announces the prolongation of its swap facility agreement with the Bank of England until 30 September 2013.

**13 December 2012**
The ECB announces jointly with the Bank of Canada, the Bank of England, the Federal Reserve and the Swiss National Bank the extension until 1 February 2014 of the existing temporary US dollar liquidity swap arrangements.

The ECB announces jointly with the Bank of Canada, the Bank of England, the Federal Reserve and the Swiss National Bank the extension until 1 February 2014 of the network of temporary bilateral liquidity swap arrangements.
4 PUBLICATIONS PRODUCED BY THE ECB

The ECB produces a number of publications which provide information about its core activities: monetary policy, statistics, payment and securities settlement systems, financial stability and supervision, international and European cooperation, and legal matters. These include the following:

STATUTORY PUBLICATIONS

– Annual Report
– Convergence Report
– Monthly Bulletin

RESEARCH PAPERS

– Legal Working Paper Series
– Occasional Paper Series
– Research Bulletin
– Working Paper Series

OTHER/TASK-RELATED PUBLICATIONS

– Enhancing monetary analysis
– Financial integration in Europe
– Financial Stability Review
– Statistics Pocket Book
– The European Central Bank: history, role and functions
– The international role of the euro
– The implementation of monetary policy in the euro area ("General Documentation")
– The monetary policy of the ECB
– The payment system

The ECB also publishes brochures and information materials on a variety of topics, such as the euro banknotes and coins, as well as seminar and conference proceedings.

For a complete list of documents (in PDF format) published by the ECB and the European Monetary Institute, the ECB’s forerunner from 1994 to 1998, please visit the ECB’s website at http://www.ecb.europa.eu/pub/. Language codes indicate the languages in which each publication is available.

Unless otherwise indicated, hard copies can be obtained or subscribed to free of charge, stock permitting, by contacting info@ecb.europa.eu
5 GLOSSARY

This glossary contains selected terms that are used in the Annual Report. A more comprehensive and detailed glossary can be found on the ECB’s website.

Balance of payments (b.o.p.): a statistical statement that summarises, for a specific period of time, the economic transactions of an economy with the rest of the world. The transactions considered are: those involving goods, services and income; those involving financial claims on, and liabilities to, the rest of the world; and those (such as debt forgiveness) that are classified as transfers.

Banking union: one of the building blocks for completing Economic and Monetary Union, which consists of an integrated financial framework with a single rulebook, a single supervisory mechanism, common deposit protection and a single bank resolution mechanism.

Central counterparty (CCP): an entity that interposes itself, in one or more markets, between the counterparties to the contracts traded, becoming the buyer to every seller and the seller to every buyer and thereby guaranteeing the performance of open contracts.

Central government: the government as defined in the European System of Accounts 1995, but excluding regional and local governments (see also general government).

Central securities depository (CSD): an entity that: (i) enables securities transactions to be processed and settled by book entry, (ii) provides custodial services (e.g. the administration of corporate actions and redemptions), and (iii) plays an active role in ensuring the integrity of securities issues. Securities can be held in a physical (but immobilised) form or in a dematerialised form (whereby they exist only as electronic records).

Collateral: assets pledged or otherwise transferred (e.g. by credit institutions to central banks) as a guarantee for the repayment of loans, as well as assets sold (e.g. by credit institutions to central banks) under repurchase agreements.

Correspondent central banking model (CCBM): a mechanism established by the European System of Central Banks with the aim of enabling counterparties to use eligible collateral in a cross-border context. In the CCBM, NCBs act as custodians for one another. This means that each NCB has a securities account in its securities administration for each of the other NCBs and the ECB.

Counterparty: the opposite party in a financial transaction (e.g. any party transacting with a central bank).

Covered bond purchase programme (CBPP): an ECB programme, based on the decision of the Governing Council of 7 May 2009 to purchase euro-denominated covered bonds issued in the euro area, in support of a specific financial market segment that is important for the funding of banks and was particularly affected by the financial crisis. The purchases under the programme were for a nominal value of €60 billion, and they were fully implemented by 30 June 2010. On 6 October 2011 the Governing Council decided to launch a second covered bond purchase programme, the CBPP2. This programme allowed the Eurosystem to purchase euro-denominated covered bonds issued in the euro area for an intended nominal value of €40 billion. The purchases were conducted in both the primary and secondary markets from November 2011 until the end of October 2012, with the purchases totalling a nominal amount of €16.418 billion.
Credit institution: an undertaking the business of which is to receive deposits or other repayable funds from the public and to grant credit for its own account.

Credit risk: the risk that a counterparty will not settle the full value of an obligation – neither when it becomes due, nor at any time thereafter. Credit risk includes replacement cost risk and principal risk. It also includes the risk of the settlement bank failing.

Debt (general government): the total gross debt (currency, deposits, loans and debt securities) at nominal value outstanding at the end of the year and consolidated between and within the sectors of general government.

Debt security: a promise on the part of the issuer (i.e. the borrower) to make one or more payment(s) to the holder (the lender) on a specified future date or dates. Such securities usually carry a specific rate of interest (the coupon) and/or are sold at a discount to the amount that will be repaid at maturity.

Debt-to-GDP ratio (general government): the ratio of debt to gross domestic product at current market prices. It is the subject of one of the fiscal criteria laid down in Article 126(2) of the Treaty to define the existence of an excessive deficit.

Deficit (general government): the general government’s net borrowing, i.e. the difference between total government revenue and total government expenditure.

Deficit-debt adjustment (general government): the difference between the general government budget balance (deficit or surplus) and the change in debt.

Deficit ratio (general government): the ratio of the deficit to gross domestic product at current market prices. It is the subject of one of the fiscal criteria laid down in Article 126(2) of the Treaty to define the existence of an excessive deficit (see also excessive deficit procedure). It is also referred to as the budget deficit ratio or the fiscal deficit ratio.

Deposit facility: a standing facility of the Eurosystem which counterparties may use to make overnight deposits at an NCB. Such deposits are remunerated at a pre-specified interest rate (see also key ECB interest rates).

Direct investment: cross-border investment for the purpose of obtaining a lasting interest in an enterprise resident in another economy (assumed, in practice, for ownership of at least 10% of the ordinary shares or voting power). Included are equity capital, reinvested earnings and other capital associated with inter-company operations.

ECOFIN Council: the term often used to refer to the EU Council meeting in the composition of the ministers of economics and finance.

Economic analysis: one pillar of the ECB’s framework for conducting a comprehensive analysis of the risks to price stability, which forms the basis for the Governing Council’s monetary policy decisions. The economic analysis focuses mainly on the assessment of current economic and financial developments and the implied short to medium-term risks to price stability from the perspective of the interplay between supply and demand in goods, services and factor markets.
at those horizons. Due attention is paid to the need to identify the nature of shocks affecting the economy, their effects on cost and pricing behaviour, and the short to medium-term prospects for their propagation in the economy (see also monetary analysis).

**Economic and Financial Committee (EFC):** a committee which contributes to the preparation of the work of the [ECOFIN Council](https://www.consilium.europa.eu) and the European Commission. Its tasks include reviewing the economic and financial situation of both the Member States and the EU, and contributing to budgetary surveillance.

**Economic and Monetary Union (EMU):** the process that led to the single currency, the euro, and the single monetary policy in the euro area, as well as to the coordination of the economic policies of the EU Member States. This process, as laid down in the Treaty, took place in three stages. Stage Three, the final stage, started on 1 January 1999 with the transfer of monetary competence to the ECB and the introduction of the euro. The cash changeover on 1 January 2002 completed the process of setting up EMU.

**Effective exchange rate (EER) of the euro (nominal/real):** a weighted average of the bilateral euro exchange rates against the currencies of the euro area’s main trading partners. The ECB publishes nominal EER indices for the euro against two groups of trading partners: the EER-20 (comprising the ten non-euro area EU Member States and ten trading partners outside the EU) and the EER-40 (composed of the EER-20 and 20 additional countries). The weights used reflect the share of each partner country in the euro area’s trade in manufactured goods and account for competition in third markets. Real EERs are nominal EERs deflated by a weighted average of foreign, relative to domestic, prices or costs. They are thus measures of price and cost competitiveness.

**EONIA (euro overnight index average):** a measure of the effective interest rate prevailing in the euro interbank overnight market. It is calculated as a weighted average of the interest rates on unsecured overnight lending transactions denominated in euro, as reported by a panel of contributing banks.

**Equities:** securities representing ownership of a stake in a corporation. They comprise shares traded on stock exchanges (quoted shares), unquoted shares and other forms of equity. Equities usually produce income in the form of dividends.

**ERM II (exchange rate mechanism II):** the exchange rate mechanism which provides the framework for exchange rate policy cooperation between the euro area countries and the non-euro area EU Member States. ERM II is a multilateral arrangement with fixed, but adjustable, central rates and a standard fluctuation band of ±15%. Decisions concerning central rates and, possibly, narrower fluctuation bands are taken by mutual agreement between the EU Member State concerned, the euro area countries, the ECB and the other EU Member States participating in the mechanism. All participants in ERM II, including the ECB, have the right to initiate a confidential procedure aimed at changing the central rates (realignment).

**EU Council (Council of the European Union):** the institution of the EU made up of representatives of the governments of the EU Member States, normally the ministers responsible for the matters under consideration, and the relevant European Commissioner (see also ECOFIN Council).

**EURIBOR (euro interbank offered rate):** the rate at which a prime bank is willing to lend funds in euro to another prime bank, as reported by a panel of contributing banks, computed daily for interbank deposits with different maturities of up to 12 months.
**Euro area:** the area formed by the EU Member States whose currency is the euro and in which a single monetary policy is conducted under the responsibility of the *Governing Council* of the ECB. The euro area currently comprises Belgium, Germany, Estonia, Ireland, Greece, Spain, France, Italy, Cyprus, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Austria, Portugal, Slovenia, Slovakia and Finland.

**Eurogroup:** an informal gathering of the ministers of economics and finance of the EU Member States whose currency is the euro. Its status is recognised under Article 137 of the *Treaty* and in Protocol No 14. The European Commission and the ECB are regularly invited to take part in its meetings.

**Europe 2020 strategy:** the EU’s strategy for employment and smart, sustainable and inclusive growth. It was adopted by the *European Council* in June 2010. Building on the earlier Lisbon strategy, it is intended to provide a coherent framework for EU Member States to implement structural reforms aimed at raising potential growth and for mobilising EU policies and instruments.

**European Council:** the institution of the EU consisting of the Heads of State or Government of the EU Member States and, as non-voting members, the President of the European Commission and the European Council’s own President. It provides the EU with the necessary impetus for its development and defines the general political directions and priorities thereof. It does not have a legislative function.

**European Financial Stabilisation Mechanism (EFSM):** an EU facility, based on Article 122(2) of the *Treaty*, that allows the European Commission to raise up to €60 billion on behalf of the EU for lending to EU Member States experiencing, or being threatened with, exceptional circumstances beyond their control. EFSM lending is subject to strong conditionality in the context of joint EU-IMF programmes.

**European Financial Stability Facility (EFSF):** a limited liability company established by the *euro area* countries, on an intergovernmental basis, for the purpose of providing loans to euro area countries in financial difficulties. Such financial assistance is subject to strong conditionality in the context of joint EU-IMF programmes. The EFSF has an effective lending capacity of €440 billion, and its loans are financed through the issuance of *debt securities*, guaranteed by euro area countries on a pro rata basis.

**European Monetary Institute (EMI):** a temporary institution established at the start of Stage Two of *Economic and Monetary Union* on 1 January 1994. It went into liquidation following the establishment of the ECB on 1 June 1998.

**European Stability Mechanism (ESM):** an intergovernmental organisation established by the *euro area* countries on the basis of the Treaty establishing the European Stability Mechanism. It is a permanent crisis management mechanism for the euro area which issues debt instruments in order to finance loans and other forms of financial assistance to euro area countries. The ESM entered into force on 8 October 2012. It has an effective lending capacity of €500 billion and will replace both the *European Financial Stability Facility* and the *European Financial Stabilisation Mechanism*. ESM lending is subject to strict conditionality.
European System of Accounts 1995 (ESA 95): a comprehensive and integrated system of macroeconomic accounts based on a set of internationally agreed statistical concepts, definitions, classifications and accounting rules aimed at achieving a harmonised quantitative description of the economies of the EU Member States. The ESA 95 is the EU’s version of the world System of National Accounts 1993 (SNA 93).

European System of Central Banks (ESCB): composed of the ECB and the NCBs of all 27 EU Member States, i.e. it includes, in addition to the members of the Eurosystem, the NCBs of those Member States whose currency is not the euro. The ESCB is governed by the Governing Council and the Executive Board of the ECB, and, as a third decision-making body of the ECB, by the General Council.

European System of Financial Supervision (ESFS): the group of institutions in charge of ensuring the supervision of the EU’s financial system. It comprises the European Systemic Risk Board, the three European Supervisory Authorities, the Joint Committee of the European Supervisory Authorities, and the national supervisory authorities of the EU Member States.

European Systemic Risk Board (ESRB): an independent EU body responsible for the macro-prudential oversight of the financial system within the EU. It contributes to the prevention or mitigation of systemic risks to financial stability that arise from developments within the financial system, taking into account macroeconomic developments, so as to avoid periods of widespread financial distress.

Eurosystem: the central banking system of the euro area. It comprises the ECB and the NCBs of the EU Member States whose currency is the euro.

Eurosystem credit assessment framework (ECAF): procedures, rules and techniques which ensure that the Eurosystem requirement of high credit standards for all eligible assets is met.

Excessive deficit procedure: the provision set out in Article 126 of the Treaty and specified in Protocol (No 12) on the excessive deficit procedure requires EU Member States to maintain budgetary discipline, defines the criteria for a budgetary position to be considered an excessive deficit and regulates steps to be taken following the observation that the requirements for the budgetary balance or government debt have not been fulfilled. Article 126 is supplemented by Council Regulation (EC) No 1467/97 of 7 July 1997 on speeding up and clarifying the implementation of the excessive deficit procedure, which is one element of the Stability and Growth Pact.

Executive Board: one of the decision-making bodies of the ECB. It comprises the President and the Vice-President of the ECB and four other members appointed by the European Council, acting by a qualified majority, on a recommendation from the EU Council, after it has consulted the European Parliament and the ECB.

Financial stability: the condition in which the financial system – comprising financial intermediaries, markets and market infrastructures – is capable of withstanding shocks and the unravelling of financial imbalances, thereby mitigating the likelihood of disruptions in the financial intermediation process which are severe enough to significantly impair the allocation of savings to profitable investment opportunities.
Fine-tuning operation: an open market operation executed by the Eurosystem in order to deal with unexpected liquidity fluctuations in the market. The frequency and maturity of fine-tuning operations are not standardised.

GDP deflator: gross domestic product (GDP) expressed in current prices (nominal GDP) divided by the volume of GDP (real GDP). It is also known as the implicit price deflator of GDP.

General Council: one of the decision-making bodies of the ECB. It comprises the President and the Vice-President of the ECB and the governors of all the NCBs of the European System of Central Banks.

General government: a sector defined in the European System of Accounts 1995 as comprising resident entities that are engaged primarily in the production of non-market goods and services intended for individual and collective consumption and/or in the redistribution of national income and wealth. Included are central, regional and local government authorities, as well as social security funds. Excluded are government-owned entities that conduct commercial operations, such as public enterprises.

Governing Council: the supreme decision-making body of the ECB. It comprises all the members of the Executive Board of the ECB and the governors of the NCBs of the EU Member States whose currency is the euro.

Gross domestic product (GDP): a measure of economic activity, namely the value of an economy’s total output of goods and services, less intermediate consumption, plus net taxes on products and imports, in a specified period. GDP can be broken down by output, expenditure or income components. The main expenditure aggregates that make up GDP are household final consumption, government final consumption, gross fixed capital formation, changes in inventories, and imports and exports of goods and services (including intra-euro area trade).

Harmonised Index of Consumer Prices (HICP): a measure of the development of consumer prices that is compiled by Eurostat and harmonised for all EU Member States.

Implied volatility: the expected volatility (i.e. standard deviation) in the rates of change of the price of an asset (e.g. a share or a bond). It can be derived from the asset’s price, its maturity date and the exercise price of its options, as well as from a riskless rate of return, using an option pricing model such as the Black-Scholes model.

Key ECB interest rates: the interest rates set by the Governing Council. They are the rates on the main refinancing operations, the marginal lending facility and the deposit facility.

Longer-term refinancing operation: a credit operation with a maturity of more than one week that is executed by the Eurosystem in the form of reverse transactions. The regular monthly operations have a maturity of three months. During the financial market turmoil that started in August 2007, supplementary operations with maturities ranging from one maintenance period to three years were conducted, the frequency of which varied.

M1: a narrow monetary aggregate that comprises currency in circulation plus overnight deposits held with MFIs and central government (e.g. at the post office or treasury).
**M2**: an intermediate monetary aggregate that comprises **M1** plus deposits redeemable at a period of notice of up to and including three months (i.e. short-term savings deposits) and deposits with an agreed maturity of up to and including two years (i.e. short-term time deposits) held with **MFIs** and central government.

**M3**: a broad monetary aggregate that comprises **M2** plus marketable instruments, in particular **repurchase agreements**, **money market** fund shares/units, and **debt securities** with a maturity of up to and including two years issued by **MFIs**.

**Main refinancing operation**: a regular **open market operation** executed by the **Eurosystem** in the form of **reverse transactions**. Such operations are carried out through a weekly standard tender procedure and normally have a maturity of one week.

**Maintenance period**: the period over which **credit institutions’** compliance with **reserve requirements** is calculated. The maintenance period begins on the settlement day of the first **main refinancing operation** following the meeting of the **Governing Council** at which the monthly assessment of the monetary policy stance is pre-scheduled. The ECB publishes a calendar of the reserve maintenance periods at least three months before the start of the year.

**Marginal lending facility**: a **standing facility** of the **Eurosystem** which counterparties may use to receive overnight credit from an **NCB** at a pre-specified interest rate against eligible assets (see also **key ECB interest rates**).

**Market risk**: the risk of losses (in both on and off-balance-sheet positions) arising from movements in market prices.

**MFIs (monetary financial institutions)**: financial institutions which together form the **money-issuing sector of the euro area**. These include the **Eurosystem**, resident **credit institutions** (as defined in EU law) and all other resident financial institutions whose business is to receive deposits and/or close substitutes for deposits from entities other than MFIs and, for their own account (at least in economic terms), to grant credit and/or invest in securities. The latter group consists predominantly of **money market** funds, i.e. funds that invest in short-term and low-risk instruments, usually with a maturity of one year or less.

**Minimum bid rate**: the lower limit to the interest rates at which counterparties may submit bids in the variable rate tenders.

**Monetary analysis**: one pillar of the ECB’s framework for conducting a comprehensive analysis of the risks to **price stability**, which forms the basis for the **Governing Council’**s monetary policy decisions. The monetary analysis helps to assess medium to long-term trends in inflation, in view of the close relationship between money and prices over extended horizons. The monetary analysis takes into account developments in a wide range of monetary indicators, including **M3**, its components and counterparts, notably credit, and various measures of excess liquidity (see also **economic analysis**).

**Monetary income**: income accruing to the **NCBs** in the performance of the **Eurosystem**’s monetary policy function, derived from assets earmarked in accordance with guidelines established by the **Governing Council** and held against banknotes in circulation and deposit liabilities to **credit institutions**.
**Money market:** the market in which short-term funds are raised, invested and traded, using instruments which generally have an original maturity of up to and including one year.

**Non-standard measures:** temporary measures taken by the Governing Council to support the effectiveness of interest rate decisions and their transmission to the wider euro area economy in the context of a dysfunctional situation in some financial market segments and the financial system more broadly.

**OFIs (other financial intermediaries):** corporations or quasi-corporations (other than insurance corporations or pension funds) that are engaged mainly in financial intermediation by incurring liabilities in forms other than currency, deposits and/or close substitutes for deposits from institutional entities other than MFIs. OFIs include in particular corporations engaged primarily in long-term financing (such as financial leasing), securitised asset holdings, other financial holdings, securities and derivatives dealing (on their own account), venture capital and development capital.

**Open market operation:** an operation executed on the initiative of the central bank in the financial market. With regard to their aims, regularity and procedures, Eurosystem open market operations can be divided into four categories: main refinancing operations; longer-term refinancing operations; fine-tuning operations; and structural operations. As for the instruments used, reverse transactions are the main open market instrument of the Eurosystem and can be employed in all four categories of operation. In addition, the issuance of debt certificates and outright transactions are available for structural operations, while outright transactions, foreign exchange swaps and the collection of fixed-term deposits are available for the conduct of fine-tuning operations.

**Outright Monetary Transactions (OMTs):** transactions that aim to safeguard an appropriate monetary policy transmission and the singleness of the monetary policy in the euro area through purchases of euro area government bonds in the secondary market based on strict and effective conditionality.

**Over-the-counter (OTC) trading:** a method of trading that does not involve a regulated market. In over-the-counter markets, such as those for OTC derivatives, participants trade directly with each other, typically through telephone or computer links.

**Price stability:** the maintenance of price stability is the primary objective of the Eurosystem. The Governing Council defines price stability as a year-on-year increase in the Harmonised Index of Consumer Prices for the euro area of below 2%. The Governing Council has also made it clear that, in the pursuit of price stability, it aims to maintain inflation rates below, but close to, 2% over the medium term.

**Projections:** the results of exercises conducted four times a year to project possible future macroeconomic developments in the euro area. Eurosystem staff projections are published in June and December, whereas ECB staff projections are published in March and September. They form part of the economic analysis pillar of the monetary policy strategy of the ECB and are thus one of several inputs into the Governing Council’s assessment of the risks to price stability.

**Real-time gross settlement (RTGS) system:** a settlement system in which processing and settlement take place on a transaction-by-transaction basis in real time (see also TARGET).
Reference value for M3 growth: the annual growth rate of M3 over the medium term that is consistent with the maintenance of price stability. At present, the reference value for annual M3 growth is 4½%.

Repurchase agreement: the process of borrowing money by combining the sale of an asset (usually a fixed income security) with the subsequent repurchase of that same asset on a specified date for a slightly higher specified price (which reflects the borrowing rate).

Reserve base: the sum of the eligible balance sheet items (in particular liabilities) that constitute the basis for calculating the reserve requirement of a credit institution.

Reserve requirement: the minimum amount of reserves a credit institution is required to hold with the Eurosystem over a predefined maintenance period. Compliance with the requirement is determined on the basis of the average of the daily balances in the reserve accounts over the maintenance period.

Reverse transaction: an operation whereby the central bank buys or sells assets under a repurchase agreement or conducts credit operations against collateral.

Securities Markets Programme (SMP): a programme for conducting interventions in the euro area public and private debt securities markets to ensure depth and liquidity in dysfunctional market segments with a view to restoring an appropriate monetary policy transmission mechanism. The SMP was terminated when the technical features of the Outright Monetary Transactions were announced on 6 September 2012.

Securities settlement system (SSS): a system which allows the transfer of securities, either free of payment or against payment (delivery versus payment).

Securitisation: the pooling of financial assets, such as residential mortgage loans, and their subsequent sale to a special-purpose vehicle, which then issues fixed income securities for sale to investors. The principal and interest of these securities depend on the cash flows produced by the pool of underlying financial assets.

Settlement risk: the risk that settlement in a transfer system will not take place as expected, usually owing to a party defaulting on one or more settlement obligations. This risk includes, in particular, operational risks, credit risks and liquidity risks.

Single supervisory mechanism (SSM): a mechanism composed of the ECB and national competent authorities for the exercise of the supervisory tasks to be conferred upon the ECB. The ECB will be responsible for the effective and consistent functioning of this mechanism, which will form part of the banking union.

Stability and Growth Pact: intended to serve as a means of safeguarding sound government finances in the EU Member States in order to strengthen the conditions for price stability and for strong, sustainable growth conducive to employment creation. To this end, the Pact prescribes that Member States specify medium-term budgetary objectives. It also contains concrete specifications on the excessive deficit procedure. The Pact consists of the Resolution of the Amsterdam European Council of 17 June 1997 on the Stability and Growth Pact and three Council Regulations, namely (i) Regulation (EC) No 1466/97 of 7 July 1997 on the strengthening of the
surveillance of budgetary positions and the surveillance and coordination of economic policies, (ii) Regulation (EC) No 1467/97 of 7 July 1997 on speeding up and clarifying the implementation of the excessive deficit procedure, and (iii) Regulation (EU) No 1173/2011 of 16 November 2011 on the effective enforcement of budgetary surveillance in the euro area. The Stability and Growth Pact is complemented by the ECOFIN Council’s report entitled “Improving the implementation of the Stability and Growth Pact”, which was endorsed by the Brussels European Council of 22 and 23 March 2005. It is also complemented by a Code of Conduct entitled “Specifications on the implementation of the Stability and Growth Pact and Guidelines on the format and content of stability and convergence programmes”, which was endorsed by the ECOFIN Council on 11 October 2005.

Standing facility: a central bank credit facility available to counterparties at their own initiative. The Eurosystem offers two overnight standing facilities: the marginal lending facility and the deposit facility.

Straight-through processing (STP): the automated end-to-end processing of trades/payment transfers – including, where relevant, the automated completion of confirmation, matching and generation of orders, clearing and settlement.

Systemic risk: the risk that the inability of one participant to meet its obligations in a system will cause other participants to be unable to meet their obligations when they become due, potentially with spillover effects (e.g. significant liquidity or credit problems) threatening the stability of or confidence in the financial system. That inability to meet obligations can be caused by operational or financial problems.

TARGET (Trans-European Automated Real-time Gross settlement Express Transfer system): the Eurosystem’s real-time gross settlement system for the euro. The first-generation TARGET system was replaced by TARGET2 in May 2008.

TARGET2: the second-generation TARGET system. It settles payments in euro in central bank money and functions on the basis of a single shared IT platform, to which all payment orders are submitted for processing.

TARGET2-Securities (T2S): the Eurosystem’s single technical platform enabling central securities depositories and NCBs to provide core, borderless and neutral securities settlement services in central bank money in Europe.

Treaties: unless stated otherwise, all references in this report to the “Treaties” refer to both the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union and the Treaty on European Union.

Treaty: unless stated otherwise, all references in this report to the “Treaty” refer to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and the references to article numbers reflect the numbering in effect since 1 December 2009.

Treaty of Lisbon (Lisbon Treaty): amends the EU’s two core treaties: the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community. The latter has been renamed the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. The Treaty of Lisbon was signed in Lisbon on 13 December 2007 and entered into force on 1 December 2009.