Consumption Heterogeneity: Micro Drivers and Macro Implications

Edmund Crawley

Andreas Kuchler

Federal Reserve Board

Danmarks Nationalbank

CBI/ECB Conference on Household Finance and Consumption December 17, 2019

Viewpoints and conclusions stated in this paper are the responsibility of the authors alone and do not necessarily reflect the viewpoints of the Federal Reserve Board or Danmarks Nationalbank.

We estimate the consumption response

to permanent and transitory shocks to income

for different groups of households

						1
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
00000						

Yes, but...

Our **method** addresses bias in previous results

Our data allows sharp focus on household heterogeneity

						-
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
00000						

Time Aggregation Problem Yes, but... Our method addresses bias in previous results

Our data allows sharp focus on household heterogeneity

						=
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
00000						

Yes, but... Yes, but... Our **method** addresses bias in previous results Our **data** allows sharp focus on household heterogeneity Sample size in millions Detailed balance sheet

 Introduction
 Empirical Strategy
 Data
 Liquid Wealth
 Monetary Policy
 Durables
 Conclusion

 0●000
 0000000
 00
 00
 000
 00
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

- 1) Heterogenous agent models have testable micro behavior
- 2) Quantify Macro Implications

Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
00000						

Why Do We Care? (as macroeconomists)

e.g. Consumption smoothing requires liquid wealth

- 1) Heterogenous agent models have testable micro behavior
- 2) Quantify Macro Implications

Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
00000						

Why Do We Care? (as macroeconomists)

e.g. Consumption smoothing requires liquid wealth

3

1) Heterogenous agent models have testable micro behavior

2) Quantify Macro Implications

e.g. Redistribution in Monetary Policy

Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
00000						

What do we find? (Liquid Wealth)

Low Liquid Wealth Households:

- Hand-to-Mouth
- Spend 85 cents out of every marginal dollar, both transitory and permanent

						-
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
00000						

л

What do we find? (Liquid Wealth)

Low Liquid Wealth Households:

- Hand-to-Mouth
- Spend 85 cents out of every marginal dollar, both transitory and permanent

High Liquid Wealth Households:

- Large Response to Transitory Shocks (25 cents per dollar)
- Small Response to Permanent Shocks (60 cents per dollar)

relative to Permanent Income Hypothesis or Buffer-Stock models







						5
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
00000						



MPX: Marginal Propensity to eXpend (includes durables)

						5
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
00000						



Decrease spending a *lot*

Increase spending a *little*

						5
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
00000						



1yr rate $\uparrow 1\%$ Aggregate Spending \downarrow 26 basis points Through this redistribution channel alone

roduction	E
~~~	

Data

Liquid Wealth

Monetary Policy

Durables

Conclusion

Identifying Restrictions on

#### Income

and

#### Consumption

In Continuous Time

						0
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	000000					

Identifying Restrictions on



Consumption

In Continuous Time

						0
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	000000					

Identifying Restrictions on



						0
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	000000					

Identifying Restrictions on



Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	●000000					





But first some intuition: Naïvely Regress

Change in Consumption on Change in Income (over N years)

						6
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	000000					









$$\Delta^{N}c_{i} = \alpha^{N} + \beta^{N}\Delta^{N}y_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}$$



#### Identification Restrictions: Income Process

- Permanent Income (random walk)
- Transitory Income (persistence < 2 years)



						0
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	000000					

#### Identification Restrictions: Income Process

- Permanent Income (random walk)
- Transitory Income (persistence < 2 years)



Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	000000					

#### Identification Restrictions: Income Process

- Permanent Income (random walk)
- Transitory Income (persistence < 2 years)



						ŏ
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	000000					

#### Identification Restrictions: Consumption Response

- $\bullet$  Permanent: Moves by fraction  $\phi$  of shock
- Transitory: Persistence < 2 years



						5
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	0000000					

a

### Identification Restrictions: Consumption Response

- $\bullet$  Permanent: Moves by fraction  $\phi$  of shock
- Transitory: Persistence < 2 years



						9
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	0000000					

#### Identification Restrictions: Consumption Response

- Permanent: Moves by fraction  $\phi$  of shock
- Transitory: Persistence < 2 years



						9
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	0000000					

#### Full Identification

We use GMM on the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}(\Delta^{N}\bar{y_{T}}) &= \left(N - \frac{1}{3}\right)\sigma_{p}^{2} + 2\sigma_{\tilde{q}}^{2}\\ \operatorname{Cov}(\Delta^{N}\bar{c_{T}}, \Delta^{N}\bar{y_{T}}) &= \phi\left(N - \frac{1}{3}\right)\sigma_{p}^{2} + 2\psi\sigma_{\tilde{q}}^{2}\end{aligned}$$

with N = 3, 4, 5 (and T = 2007, ..., 2015) to identify:

- $\sigma_p^2$ : Permanent shock variance
- $\sigma_{\tilde{q}}^2$ : (Time aggregated) transitory shock variance
- $\phi$ : MPX out of permanent income shocks
- $\psi$ : MPX out of transitory income shocks

where  $\psi$  is the regression coefficient of 'transitory' consumption on transitory income

Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	0000000					

#### Key to BPP Identification

Transitory shock year t

11

 $\Delta y_{t+1} = \Delta p_{t+1} + \Delta \varepsilon_{t+1} \text{ is a valid instrument for } \varepsilon_t$ 

Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	0000000					

## Key to BPP IdentificationTransitory shock year t $\Delta y_{t+1} = \Delta p_{t+1} + \Delta \varepsilon_{t+1}$ is a valid instrument for $\varepsilon_t$

• Negatively correlated with transitory shocks in year t



Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	0000000					

## Key to BPP IdentificationTransitory shock year t $\Delta y_{t+1} = \Delta p_{t+1} + \Delta \varepsilon_{t+1}$ is a valid instrument for $\varepsilon_t$

• Negatively correlated with transitory shocks in year t



• Uncorrelated with permanent shocks in year t



						11
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	0000000					



#### Fails due to the Time Aggregation Problem

Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	0000000					

### Time Aggregation Problem in BPP (Crawley 2018)



						12
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	000000					

### Time Aggregation Problem in BPP (Crawley 2018)



						12
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	000000					



Observed permanent income growth is *positively* autocorrelated

BPP misinterprets *positive* permanent income shocks as *negative* transitory shocks

⇒ Thinks negative transitory shocks result in consumption *increasing* 

Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	000000					



Observed permanent income growth is *positively* autocorrelated

BPP misinterprets *positive* permanent income shocks as *negative* transitory shocks

⇒ Thinks negative transitory shocks result in consumption *increasing* 

10

If the Permanent Income Hypothesis holds, BPP will estimate the MPC to be -0.6  $\,$ 

						12
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
	000000					

#### Data

What we need:

- Panel Data on Income and Expenditure
- Household Balance Sheets

						15
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
		•0				

#### Data

What we need:

- Panel Data on Income and Expenditure
- Household Balance Sheets

What we have: Registry data for all Danish households

Income

Third party reported After-tax, restrict to heads aged 30-55

#### Balance Sheet

Wealth on 31 Dec Asset category, mortgage tenure

#### Danish Mortgage Market

Durables

#### • Expenditure

No direct measure of spending

Introduction

Data ●0 Liquid Wealth N

Monetary Policy

13 Conclusion Intertemporal budget constraint

Expenditure = Income - Saving

						14
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
		00				

Intertemporal budget constraint

Expenditure = Income - Saving + = Change in Net Worth (adj. for capital gains)

Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
		00				

Intertemporal budget constraint

- Works well for households with simple financial lives
- Problem: Capital gains

Summary Statistics Measurement Error

Houses off balance sheet (exclude transaction years) Exclude business owners

Capital gains based on a diversified index

- Noisy, but perhaps better than surveys (Kuchler et al. 2018)
- Huge sample size advantage: sample covers 7.6 million observations over 2004-2015

#### Results by Liquid Wealth

MPX by Liquid Wealth Quantile



#### MPX by Net Wealth

ln+			0.12
	POL	ru (	
$\sim \sim$	0	$\sim$	

Liquid Wealth •0

Monetary Policy

Durables

#### MPX Results are Robust to Misspecification



#### 1.0 Least Liquid 0.8 0.6 $\beta^N$ Most Liquid 0.4 Relatively more Relatively more 0.2 transitory variance permanent variance 0.0 2 8 10 6 N, Years of Growth

16

**Regressing Consumption Growth on Income Growth** 

#### MPX by Net Wealth

Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
			00			

#### Monetary Policy: Interest Rate Exposure Channel







						17
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
				000		

#### Monetary Policy: Interest Rate Exposure Channel



						17
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
				•00		

#### MPX by Unhedged Interest Rate Exposure



Instance descert? a se	Emploited Company	Dut	L Succession Advantation	Manada Dallar	Dunchlas	C
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid vvealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
				000		

#### MPX by Unhedged Interest Rate Exposure



Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
				000		

#### MPX by Unhedged Interest Rate Exposure



 Introduction
 Empirical Strategy
 Data
 Liquid Wealth
 Monetary Policy
 Durables
 Conclusion

 00000
 00
 00
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0</td

#### Monetary Policy: Interest Rate Exposure Channel



Introduction

Liquid Wealth

000

Monetary Policy

Durables

19 Conclusion

We have data on value of household cars

• Construct expenditure excluding car purchases and sales

$$C_T^{nocar} = C_T - \Delta CarValue$$

• Construct proxy for non durable consumption (Cars  $\approx 42.1\%$  durable expenditure)

$$C_{\mathcal{T}}^{\text{nondurable}} = C_{\mathcal{T}} - \frac{1}{0.421} \Delta \text{CarValue}$$

						20
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
					•0	

~~

#### Durables



#### MPX by Liquid Wealth Quantile

						21
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
					00	

#### Durables



MPX by Liquid Wealth Quantile

						21
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
					00	

#### Durables



#### MPX by Liquid Wealth Quantile

						21
Introduction	Empirical Strategy	Data	Liquid Wealth	Monetary Policy	Durables	Conclusion
					00	

~ 1

## Conclusion

New Method to Estimate Consumption Behavior

- Corrects for Bias in BPP
- Estimates align with natural experiment literature
- Potential to use on a wide variety of datasets and applications

Applied to Danish Registry Data

- Sample Size  $\implies$  Sharp Focus on Heterogeneity
- High MPC from transitory shocks, Low MPC from Permanent shocks
- Quantify Monetary Policy Transmission Channels

Thank you!

#### Evidence of Consumption Decay Within 2 Years

#### From Fagereng, Holm, and Natvik (2016)



#### From Gelman (2016)



Notes: 1,445,560 observations from 48,059 individuals. The vertical bars on each coefficient represent 95% confidence intervals using heteroslociasticity robust errors clustered at the individual level.

#### MPX by Net Wealth



Permanent and Transitory Variance by Net Wealth Quantile



MPX by Net Wealth Quantile

Back

Appendix o●00000 Total URE sums to zero - this is not true for our household sample

	MPX	URE	$\mathcal{E}_R$ component
Estimation Sample	See Distribution	-61	-0.29
Young	0.5	-15	-0.06
Old	0.5	6	0.02
Pension Funds	0.1	37	0.03
Government	0.0	-23	0.00
Non-financial Corp.	0.1	-13	-0.01
Financial Sector	0.1	61	0.05
Rest of World	0.0	9	0.00
Total		0	-0.26

Notes: URE numbers are in billions of 2015 USD.



-

## Summary Statistics

	Estimation Sample			Population (Age 30-55)		
	Mean	Median	Std Dev	Mean	Median	Std Dev
After Tax Income	59,261	57,804	28,819	58,312	53,304	68,799
Consumption	52,680	48,344	28,581	54,022	46,373	38,126
Liquid Assets	18,438	6,856	33,016	23,331	6,578	81,473
Net Worth	74,937	19,115	157,295	85,799	12,952	564,404
Homeowner	0.57	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.50
Car Owner	0.66	1.00	0.47	0.55	1.00	0.50
Higher Education	0.31	0.00	0.46	0.33	0.00	0.47
Age	43.5	44.0	7.1	42.5	42.0	7.3
URE	-28,052	-12,627	108,382	-47,589	-19,374	243,604
NNP	-109,685	-65,810	156,523	-158,321	-85,207	542,498
No. Household-year obs		7,664,360			18,050,340	

Notes: Values are 2015 USD. Age refers to the age in 2008 of the main income earner in the household. For the purposes of calculation of consumption in the population, top and bottom 1% in terms of consumption have been excluded. URE and NNP can only be calculated in the period 2009-2015 due to mortgage information being insufficiently detailed in the previous years.

#### Back

#### Data: When is Measurement Error a Problem?

We have the same issues as the regression:

$$\Delta c_i = \alpha + \beta \Delta y_i + \varepsilon_i$$

That is measurement error in:

 $\Delta y_i$  leads to attenuation bias  $\Delta c_i$  should be uncorrelated with  $\Delta y_i$ 

#### Data: When is Measurement Error a Problem?

We have the same issues as the regression:

$$\Delta c_i = \alpha + \beta \Delta y_i + \varepsilon_i$$

That is measurement error in:

High quality income data

 $\Delta y_i$  leads to attenuation bias  $\nearrow$ 

 $\Delta c_i$  should be uncorrelated with  $\Delta y_i$ 

### Data: When is Measurement Error a Problem?

We have the same issues as the regression:

$$\Delta c_i = \alpha + \beta \Delta y_i + \varepsilon_i$$

High quality income data

That is measurement error in:

 $\Delta y_i$  leads to attenuation bias  $\checkmark$ 

 $\Delta c_i$  should be uncorrelated with  $\Delta y_i$ 

When might this fail?

- Off balance sheet saving
- Returns correlated with *changes* in income (e.g. stock compensation)
- When insurance is provided by friends and family



### Danish Mortgage Market



Back

## All Five Transmission Channels



$\mathcal{M}$	0.52
$\mathcal{E}_{Y}$	-0.03
$\mathcal{E}_P$	-0.75
$\mathcal{E}_R$	-0.26
${\mathcal S}$	0.49

## All Five Transmission Channels



$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & 0.52 \\ \mathcal{E}_{Y} & -0.03 \\ \mathcal{E}_{P} & -0.75 \\ \mathcal{E}_{R} & 0.26 \\ \mathcal{S} & 0.49 \end{array}$$

Compare  $\mathcal{E}_R$  to  $\sigma S$ :

 $\sigma \approx$  0.1 Best, Cloyne, Ilzetzki, and Kleven (2018)

$$\sigma S \approx 0.05$$