Discussion: The Interest of Being Eligible Mesonnier, O'Donnell and Toutain (2017)

Jean-David Sigaux

European Central Bank

Disclaimer: The views expressed do not necessarily reflect those of the ECB

- The paper's starting points
 - Bank loans reduce a lender's liquidity

- The paper's starting points
 - Bank loans reduce a lender's liquidity
 - 2 Liquidity should be priced into bank loans

- The paper's starting points
 - Bank loans reduce a lender's liquidity
 - 2 Liquidity should be priced into bank loans
 - The ability to use an asset as collateral should increase the asset's liquidity

The paper's starting points

- Bank loans reduce a lender's liquidity
- 2 Liquidity should be priced into bank loans
- The ability to use an asset as collateral should increase the asset's liquidity

Question asked in the paper

 Is the rate on a bank loan sensitive to the ability of using the loan as collateral?

The paper's starting points

- Bank loans reduce a lender's liquidity
- 2 Liquidity should be priced into bank loans
- The ability to use an asset as collateral should increase the asset's liquidity

Question asked in the paper

 Is the rate on a bank loan sensitive to the ability of using the loan as collateral?

The paper's answer: Yes it is

 A change in collateral eligibility for "ECB money" decreased rates by 7bps for newly eligible loans

The paper's starting points

- Bank loans reduce a lender's liquidity
- 2 Liquidity should be priced into bank loans
- The ability to use an asset as collateral should increase the asset's liquidity

Question asked in the paper

 Is the rate on a bank loan sensitive to the ability of using the loan as collateral?

• The paper's answer: Yes it is

- A change in collateral eligibility for "ECB money" decreased rates by 7bps for newly eligible loans
- Only for banks that effectively choose to use these loans as collateral

The paper's starting points

- Bank loans reduce a lender's liquidity
- 2 Liquidity should be priced into bank loans
- The ability to use an asset as collateral should increase the asset's liquidity

Question asked in the paper

- Is the rate on a bank loan sensitive to the ability of using the loan as collateral?
- The paper's answer: Yes it is
 - A change in collateral eligibility for "ECB money" decreased rates by 7bps for newly eligible loans
 - Only for banks that effectively choose to use these loans as collateral
- ⇒ Relevant question, very interesting paper



Comments #1: Magnitude of the effects

- How is the pie divided between lenders and borrowers?
 - 7bps is what the borrowers gained: How much did the lender gained?

Comments #1: Magnitude of the effects

- How is the pie divided between lenders and borrowers?
 - 7bps is what the borrowers gained: How much did the lender gained?
- What is the effect on the "control" loans?
 - You found an effect on the newly-eligible loans: What about the other loans?

Comments #1: Magnitude of the effects

- How is the pie divided between lenders and borrowers?
 - 7bps is what the borrowers gained: How much did the lender gained?
- What is the effect on the "control" loans?
 - You found an effect on the newly-eligible loans: What about the other loans?
- Confounding effects: Is part of the effect attributed to LTRO?
 - LTRO might have a different effect for the low than the high borrower types
 - If so, you are over/under-estimating the effect of the ACC

Comments #2: Compare to traditional liquidity (1/2)

Framework

One relevant question that this paper could touch upon: Should the regulator increase traditional liquidity or collateral liquidity?

Comments #2: Compare to traditional liquidity (1/2)

One relevant question that this paper could touch upon: Should the regulator increase traditional liquidity or collateral liquidity?

- Traditional liquidity: Ability to quickly sell (or buy) at "fundamental price"
 - ullet e.g. When selling: You get cash + You are no longer exposed to asset's risk

Framework

Comments #2: Compare to traditional liquidity (1/2)

Framework

One relevant question that this paper could touch upon: Should the regulator increase traditional liquidity or collateral liquidity?

- Traditional liquidity: Ability to quickly sell (or buy) at "fundamental price"
 - ullet e.g. When selling: You get cash + You are no longer exposed to asset's risk
- Collateral liquidity: Ability to quickly get (provide) cash at "fundamental rate"
 - e.g. When selling: You get cash but you are still exposed to the asset's risk

- ⇒ Collateral liquidity is a substitute: Both types of liquidity allow to get cash
- ⇒ Collateral liquidity is an innovation: Allows to separate cash from risk

Comments #2: Compare to traditional liquidity (2/2) Test the following predictions

- 1 Test the extent in which collateral liquidity is a substitute
 - <u>Prediction</u>: Lower securitization volume ⇒ Larger post-ACC change

Comments #2: Compare to traditional liquidity (2/2) Test the following predictions

- 1 Test the extent in which collateral liquidity is a substitute
 - <u>Prediction</u>: Lower securitization volume ⇒ Larger post-ACC change
- Test the extent in which collateral liquidity is an innovation
 - <u>Prediction</u>: Riskier asset ⇒ Larger post-ACC change

If you're lucky, securitization volume is not fully determined by risk

Wrap-up

Very interesting paper with a relevant question

Wrap-up

Very interesting paper with a relevant question

- Strengthen current results: Look into the magnitude of the effects
 - How the pie is shared
 - How the effect goes beyond the newly eligible loans
 - Make sure you do not capture LTRO

Wrap-up

Very interesting paper with a relevant question

- Strengthen current results: Look into the magnitude of the effects
 - How the pie is shared
 - How the effect goes beyond the newly eligible loans
 - Make sure you do not capture LTRO
- @ Generate new results: Test substitutability and innovation of collateral liquidity