The ECB’s policy in the COVID-19 crisis – a medium-term perspective
Large uncertainty about economic recovery path

Eurosistem staff projections

Real GDP (index: 2019Q4 = 100)
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Source: ECB.

HICP inflation (year-on year)
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Furlough schemes preventing stronger rise in unemployment

Sources: Eurostat, ECB staff calculations and country sources. Notes: The unemployment rate refers to April 2020. The extended unemployment rate includes the number of employees which have been notified under temporary lay-offs and short-time work schemes up to May. This is an upper limit of the number of persons who will eventually participate in such schemes. The final numbers will be lower. Last observation: May 2020.
Stronger portfolio rebalancing effects unleashed by negative rates

“Footprint” of policy rate cuts across maturity: standard rate cut vs. DFR cut in negative territory

Source: Rostagno, Altavilla, Carboni, Lemke, Motto, Saint-Guilhem and Yiangou (2019). Notes: Term structure refers to OIS. The changes are normalized to a 10 bps decline of the OIS rate at the maturity where the measure exerts the maximum impact, namely 1-year for the standard rate cut and 5-years for the DFR cut.
Declining systemic stress in financial markets but still at elevated level

**CISS indicator**

Source: ECB Working Paper No. 1426. CISS stands for Composite Indicator of Systemic Stress (0=No Stress, 1=High Stress). It aggregates stress symptoms across money, bond, equity and foreign exchange markets and is computed from time-varying correlations among individual asset returns.

Last observation: 08/06/2020
Asset purchases more effective in periods of market stress

Impact of asset purchases during low and high market stress: the US experience

Source: Motto, Rast and Ristiniemi (2020), mimeo.
Notes: Local projections on monthly sample (2008-2019). Low stress events refer to periods when the VIX is below 25. IRFs scaled to a 50bp decline in 10-year yield on impact. Markers denote point estimates, error bars 68% significance bands.
PEPP: highly effective and mitigating fragmentation

GDP-weighted average sovereign yield elasticities

Estimated impact of PEPP envelope on 10-year sovereign yields across the four largest EA countries

Sources: Refinitiv, ECB calculations. Notes: PEPP estimates derived from an event study based on a two-day window after 18 March. PSPP estimates based on Eser et al. (2019). Elasticities refer to the change in (GDP-weighted) yields of the Big4 EA countries in response to €500bn of sovereign bond purchases in the euro area. No reinvestment assumed.

Sources: Refinitiv, ECB calculations. Notes: The bigger impact corresponds to the 2-day reaction of yields to the PEPP announcement in March 2020. The smaller impact (upper part of the boxes) is based on the model by Eser et al. (2019) which uses PEPP-implied projected duration extension to estimate the impact on GDP-weighted Big4 sovereign yields. This average yield impact is then distributed to country level by assuming the same relative impacts as for the event approach.
Sources: ECB calculations. Notes: The figure shows the compression of a free-float measure (based on the ratio of bond holdings of price-sensitive investors to total bond supply, both in 10-year equivalents, as in Eser et al. 2019) induced over time by the successive vintages of the APP and the PEPP.

Dispersion in inflation expectations: financial markets vs. households

Option implied risk-neutral distribution of average inflation over the next 5 years (percentages)

- Below 0%
- Between 0% and 1.0%
- Between 1.0% and 1.5%
- Between 1.5% and 2.0%
- Between 2.0% and 2.5%
- Above 2.5%

Share of euro area households that expect inflation to increase more rapidly over the next 12 months

Sources: Bloomberg, Refinitiv, ECB calculations.
Notes: Probabilities implied by five-year zero-coupon inflation options, smoothed over five business days. Risk-neutral probabilities may differ significantly from physical, or true, probabilities. Latest observation: 8 June 2020

Source: European Commission/Haver Analytics.
Notes: Comparison relative to inflation over the past 12 months. Last observation: May 2020.
Stabilising rates on non-financial commercial paper, longer tenors supported by Eurosystem purchases

### Commercial paper rates and Euribor

- **3M financial CP issuance rate**
- **3M non-financial CP issuance rate**
- **3M Euribor**

### Commercial paper: outstanding amounts

- **0-6 months**
- **6 - 12 months**

**Source**: NEU Banque de France
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