



EUROPEAN CENTRAL BANK

EUROSYSTEM

# TIPS-0046-SYS

## Resend TIPS Reports

---

Maximum retention period &  
other sub-requirements

17 February 2026

target | TIPS  
services



# TIPS-0046-SYS - Recap

TIPS-0046-SYS Resend TIPS Reports → updated version with possibility in the GUI to trigger a resend via A2A

**Aim:** To implement a Resend functionality in the TIPS GUI. This feature would allow users to request a resend of specific reports in cases where they were not received through the standard Straight-Through Processing (STP) process.

The functionality would apply to the following reports:

- camt.053 reports (both full and delta mode)
- camt.052 reports (full mode only)

Reports that are resent should be transmitted over the application-to-application (A2A) channel configured for the receipt of reports

→ **Following the Written Procedure on the draft change request, the majority of members who provided feedback rated its Business Value as “medium”**

→ In any case, this change request will be part of the yearly Business Value exercise to see its value in comparison with other not allocated change requests

# Maximum retention period for triggering the resend of reports

## Maximum retention period - Comments received from the Written Procedure:

- 5 business days / 7 calendar days **VS** 10 business days / 14 calendar days seem to be the preferred maximum retention period for being able to resend the reports
- Two requests to have 30 days; one request to have 3 calendar months

## 4CB feedback:

- There is a cost associated with the storage of reports
- Extending the retention period for reports would have a significant impact on the solution, especially considering the growing size of the Statement of Accounts
- Currently, under the concession contract, NSPs are required to adhere to a 14-calendar-day retention period for TIPS reports

## Proposal:

- **As a starting point, proposal would be to leverage on the same retention period, namely 10 business days / 14 calendar days**
- **Based on experience, the necessity of extending the retention period could be re-evaluated**

# Other sub-requirements

Other requirements received as part of the Written Procedure:

#	Requirement raised	Preliminary feedback
1	Will participants have direct access to this functionality, or must NCBs be involved in the resending process?	<p><b>TIPS DCA</b> and <b>TIPS ASTA owners</b> to be able to trigger the re-send on their own via the TIPS GUI (via their U2A access). However, in case of any GUI access issue, <b>the relevant NCB* &amp; TIPS Operator (4CB)</b> should have the access to this functionality and be able to activate it on their behalf</p> <p>If TIPS-CG members are fine with this proposal, the change request would be amended accordingly to make this clear; 4CB will then be able to assess its feasibility</p>
2	Who can resend these reports? ECB, 4CB, NCB?	See above

→ View of TIPS-CG members on the proposals

\*The re-send functionality will be available to NCBs if they are defined as TIPS Participant Parties.

# Other sub-requirements

Other requirements received as part of the Written Procedure:

#	Requirement raised	Preliminary feedback
3	Who would have access to the TIPS GUI new functionality ?	As a general rule, <b>a new screen will be associated with a dedicated privilege (with a 4-eyes principle)</b> . It is up to the operational side to determine how this privilege should be propagated across the communities. <b>In principle, access should be granted to anyone who has the appropriate business rights to trigger the functionality.</b>
4	If NCB and/or participants have access to the GUI TIPS new functionality, could it be possible to add details of the screen ?	Our understanding is that it should at least display a list of reports within the retention period, <b>from which the requestor can select one* to trigger a resend</b> . These specifics are typically addressed during the Detailed Assessment phase.  CG view: Any specific additional elements to be added in the screen?
5	Are there specific conditions or prerequisites for requesting a resend, or can it be requested at any time by anyone?	<b>No restrictions</b> have been defined in the requirements. Naturally, <b>resending a report will incur NSP transfer costs for the requestor</b> , so usage should be carefully managed by participants to (i) avoid unnecessary expenses and (ii) prevent performance issues. Some technical constraints may be introduced during the Detailed Assessment to protect the system against potential misuse of the functionality from a performance perspective.

\*Participants can trigger the resend for **one** report at a time, even if they need more than one; in any case, this will only be used in exceptional scenarios

# Other sub-requirements

Other requirements received as part of the Written Procedure:

#	Requirement raised	Preliminary feedback
6	Will it be possible to resend TIPS reports within the agreed period also for a closed TIPS DCA?	So far, we acknowledge that no such requirement has been defined. <b>If considered necessary, the CR would be updated accordingly</b>  <b>View of CG members</b>
7	Will the resend trigger be available to NCBs for their own accounts and for PSPs registered under the respective NCB's Parent BIC? In this case, which DN would be used as the receiving DN?	The re-send functionality will be available to NCBs if they are defined as TIPS Participant Parties. In case of GUI access issue, any TIPS DCA or TIPS ASTA owner should be able to request its relevant NCB or TIPS Operator (4CB) to trigger the resend on its behalf. In this case the recipient DN should remain the same as the one configured to receive the report under normal conditions.
8	The solution of this Change Request should make sure that there is a functionality where TIPS/Swift detects if files (camt.053 & camt.052) have been sent through Swift or not.  If files have not been sent, then they should be re-sent via Swift	The objective of this CR is to provide customers with an autonomous way to trigger a report resend; this solution will have to work for both NSPs. The proposed automation is not fully clear and does not appear to be aligned with the current requirements  Clarity to be provided by SECB during the meeting

→ View of TIPS-CG members on the proposals

# Way forward

1. **TIPS-0046-SYS will be part of the yearly Business Value exercise** to see its value in comparison with other not allocated change requests
2. **TIPS-0046-SYS will be updated following the feedback received at the TIPS-CG February meeting** and the written procedure will be closed accordingly
3. Depending on the outcome of the yearly Business Value exercise, **it will be assessed whether 4CB would need to prepare the Detailed Assessment** of this change request

# Thank you for your attention!

 **TIPS@ecb.europa.eu**

[www.ecb.europa.eu/paym](http://www.ecb.europa.eu/paym)

 **ECB: market infrastructure and payments**