General Information (Origin of Request)

☐ User Requirements Document (URD)	
☒ User Detailed Functional Specification (UDFS)	
☐ User Handbook (UHB)	
☐ Other User Functional or Technical Documentation (SYS)	

Request raised by: 4CB	**Institute:** 4CB	**Date raised:** 14.10.2020

Request title: Multiplex Editorial Change Request on UDFS v2.1 October 2020	**Request ref. no:** CSLD-0057-UDFS

Request type: Common	

1. Legal/business importance parameter:	**2. Market implementation efforts parameter – Stakeholder impact:**
3. Operational impact:	**4. Financial impact parameter:**
5. Functional/ Technical impact: na	**6. Interoperability impact:** na
Requestor Category: Eurosystem	**Status:** MIB Approved

Description of requested change:

Changes regarding Central Liquidity Management UDFS v2.1, Common Reference Data Management UDFS v2.1, Enhanced Contingency Solution UDFS v2.1, Real-Time Gross Settlement UDFS v2.1, MyStandards Usage Guidelines and MyStandards Examples.

_____

Reason for change and expected benefits/business motivation:

The changes listed below are related Central Liquidity Management UDFS v2.1, Common Reference Data Management UDFS v2.1, Enhanced Contingency Solution UDFS v2.1, Real-Time Gross Settlement UDFS v2.1, MyStandards Usage Guidelines and MyStandards Examples. Their incorporation into the UDFS v2.2 is required for clarifying the documentation underlying the software. Please note that the changes referring to UDFS v2.1 will also be reflected, when relevant, into the schema documentation published in MyStandards.

_____

Proposed wording for the Change request:

1   EUROSYSTEM UPDATE [SDD-CN-PBR-001 PBI-209743, JIRA CSLD-0616, JIRA CSLDME-

457]: Pacs.009 Underlying Instruction For Creditor Agent Code optional

T2 Actor should use free text instruction without being forced to use a code. In the case T2 Actor receives MT 103 e.g. with code CORT from customer, T2 Actor needs to map the code to pacs.008/pacs.009 COV. As the code CORT is not listed in schema codes of both pacs messages, T2 Actor needs to map code CORT to the free text information element of InstructionForCreditorAgent. Current setup of pacs.009 COV usage guideline forces T2 Actor to provide also a schema code (CHQB, HOLD, PHOB or TELB). This additional code causes processing errors in T2 Actors backends. The same applies if T2 Actor needs to provide free text information only (so completely without code).

"MyStandards will be adapted accordingly. This causes a new technical version and has no impact on examples. UDFS wording is not impacted."

2   EUROSYSTEM UPDATE [SDD-CN-PBR-002 PBI-209744]: page 477 (RTGS UDFS-chapter

12.2.14.3 The message in business context); Camt.029 RTGS schema changes annotations

updates

Currently PTNA code is defined at RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/CxlStsRsnInf/Rsn/Prtry element. Under this path, the code should not be used as the code is already a registered code in external code set used in RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/CxlStsRsnInf/Rsn/Cd and does not represent the scope of the processing in RTGS.

In RTGS the code PTNA was planned to be reported only in RsltnOfInvstgtn/Sts/Conf for RTGS response message (outbound from RTGS to RTGS Actor) and cancelled from RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/CxlStsRsnInf/Rsn/Prtry. This element should exclusively be used by RTGS to report validation errors to sender of camt.056 message. However, due to ISO Payment SEG code PTNA needs to be replaced by code FTNA (Forwarded to the next Agent) and add to RsltnOfInvstgtn/Sts/Conf.For that purpose the multiplicity of RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/CxlStsRsnInf needs to be changed to optional repetitive. Together with this, the code COMP should also be removed with its annotation from RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/CxlStsRsnInf/Rsn/Prtry to avoid redundancy and to support the dedicated use of the element for validation errors detected by RTGS.

Accurate annotation for elements

• RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/CxlStsId,

• RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/OrgnlGrpInf/OrgnlCreDtTm,

• RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/OrgnlInstrId,

• RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/OrgnlClrSysRef

will help the community to understand the element content and therefore process them as they are coming from RTGS or from an external source.

RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.2.14.3 The message in business context, page 477

[…]
Table 240

MESSAGE ITEM	UTILISATION
Assignment	
[…]	
Status	
Status Confirmation /Document/RsltnOfInvstgtn/Sts/Conf	Camt.029 generated by RTGS confirmation codes: • CNCL= Cancellation as per request; • P~~F~~TNA = ~~Passed~~ Forwarded to ~~the~~ next A~~a~~gent; • RJCR = Rejected cancellation request. Inbound camt.029 (to be forwarded): RTGS will not validate confirmation codes.
Cancellation Details	
Cancellation Status Identification /Document/RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/CxlStsId	~~Will~~ Inbound: If provided, it will be ignored and forwarded by RTGS to the business receiver. It should be copied from triggering camt.056 if provided in <CancellationIdentification>. Outbound: Not provided in system-generated camt.029 message.
[…]	
Original Creation Date /Document/RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/OrgnlGrpInf/OrgnlCreDtTm	Inbound: If provided, it will be ~~ignored~~ forwarded by RTGS to the business receiver. It should contain Creation date/time of the underlying payment order or payment. Outbound: Not provided in system-generated camt.029 message.

Original Instruction Identification /Document/RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/OrgnlInstrId	Inbound: If provided, it will be ignored and forwarded by RTGS to the business receiver. It should contain Instruction identification of the underlying payment order or payment. Outbound: Not provided in system-generated camt.029 message.
[…]	
Original Clearing System Reference /Document/RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/OrgnlClrSysRef	Inbound: If provided, it will be ignored and forwarded by RTGS to the business receiver. Should contain the ~~C~~clearing system reference of the underlying payment order or payment. Outbound: Not provided in system-generated camt.029 message.
[…]	
Cancellation Status Reason Information	
[…]	
Reason Code Proprietary /Document/RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/CxlStsRsnInf/Rsn/Prtry	For a camt.029 generated by RTGS for: • ~~CNCL, the code COMP (= Completed) is provided;~~ • ~~PTNA, the code PTNA (= Passed to the next~~ ~~A~~~~agent) is provided;~~ • RJCR, rejection reason code is provided. For further information refer to chapter Index of validation rules and error codes [} **Error! Bookmark not defined.**].
[…]	

[…]
Table 241

MESSAGE ITEM	UTILISATION
[…]	
Status	
Status Confirmation /Document/RsltnOfInvstgtn/Sts/Conf	~~P~~~~F~~TNA
Cancellation Details	
[…]	
~~Reason Code Proprietary~~ ~~/Document/RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/CxlStsRsnInf/Rsn/Prtry~~	~~PTNA~~

[…]
Table 244

MESSAGE ITEM	UTILISATION
[…]	
Cancellation Details	
[…]	
~~Reason Code Proprietary~~ ~~/Document/RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/CxlStsRsnInf/Rsn/Prtry~~	~~COMP~~

[…]
Table 245

MESSAGE ITEM	UTILISATION
[…]	
Cancellation Details	
[…]	
~~Reason Code Proprietary~~ ~~/Document/RsltnOfInvstgtn/CxlDtls/TxInfAndSts/CxlSt sRsnInf/Rsn/Prtry~~	~~COMP~~

[…]

3   EUROSYSTEM UPDATE [SDD-CN-PBR-003 PBI-209745 JIRA CSLD-0616 JIRA CSLDME-457]: page 578 (RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.2.14.3 The message in business context); Pacs.008 Instruction For Creditor Agent and Creditor Account annotation

From business point of view, current setup shows a misalignment between validations performed by backend and the information provided in the usage guideline for both elements. Annotations do not reflect the fact that backend will process ISO validation rule IV00060 and would also report error code X051 to the business sender if inbound message disregards the rule. To meet JIRA ticket 616 claim annotation should be corrected in order to reflect that validation is in place and information in inbound will not be ignored.

RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.4.3.3 The message in business context, page 578

[…]
Table295

Message item	Utilisation
[…]	
Settlement Time Request	
[…]	
Creditor Account /Document/FIToFICstmrCdtTrf/CdtTrfTxInf/CdtrAcct	~~It is ignored~~If provided it must be valid, but not used by RTGS and forwarded within the outbound message.
[…]	
Instruction For Creditor Agent /Document/FIToFICstmrCdtTrf/CdtTrfTxInf/InstrForCdtrAgt	~~It is ignored~~If provided it must be valid, but not used by RTGS and forwarded within the outbound message.
[…]	

[…]

4   EUROSYSTEM UPDATE [SDD-CN-PBR-004 PBI-209746]: page 154 (CRDM UDFS-chapter 3.1.1.1.2 Schema), page 159 (CRDM UDFS-chapter 3.1.1.2.2 Schema), page 161 (CRDM UDFS-chapter 3.1.1.3.2 Schema, page 161), page 165 (CRDM UDFS-chapter 3.1.1.4.2 Schema), page 98 (ECONSII UDFS-chapter 3.3.2.1.6 LiquidityCreditTransfer (camt.050)), page 383 (CLM UDFS-chapter 12.2.12.2 Schema), page 502 (RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.2.19.2 Schema), MyStandards T2-RTGS (RTGS_LiquidityTransfer and T2-CLM - CLM_LiquidityTransfer), MyStandards T2-CoCo (StandingOrder); MyStandards camt.050 DataTypePattern, MyStandards camt.024/camt.069/camt.070/camt.071 DataTypePattern.

When using a Liquidity Transfer message (camt.050) to move liquidity between accounts of the various services within TARGET Services, it is reasonable to expect that any reference value used will be in an acceptable format for both the service being instructed and the services involved in the actual transfer of liquidity. This is particularly true for the End-to-End-Id, which is specifically designed to be used in this cross service manner. Currently, the format/content rules for the camt.050 references (Instruction Id and End-to-End-Id) in RTGS & CLM, T2S and TIPS show small but significant differences in what constitutes a commonly valid reference Id.This change brings these format/content rules into line across these services to provide a seamless liquidity movement environment.

CRDM UDFS-chapter 3.1.1.1.2 Schema, page 154

"Hyperlink to MyStandards UGs for CoCo to be updated."

CRDM UDFS-chapter 3.1.1.2.2 Schema, page 159

"Hyperlink to MyStandards UGs for CoCo to be updated."

CRDM UDFS-chapter 3.1.1.3.2 Schema, page 161

"Hyperlink to MyStandards UGs for CoCo to be updated."

CRDM UDFS-chapter 3.1.1.4.2 Schema, page 165

"Hyperlink to MyStandards UGs for CoCo to be updated."

ECONSII UDFS-chapter 3.3.2.1.6 LiquidityCreditTransfer (camt.050), page 98

"Hyperlink to MyStandards UGs for CLM to be updated."

CLM UDFS-chapter 12.2.12.2 Schema, page 383

"Hyperlink to MyStandards UGs for CLM to be updated."

RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.2.19.2 Schema, page 502

"Hyperlink to MyStandards UGs for RTGS to be updated."

"New DataType names in MyStandards have to be defined!"

MyStandards T2-RTGS - RTGS_LiquidityTransfer and T2-CLM - CLM_LiquidityTransfer

Define a new DataType name in MyStandards: "RestrictedFINXMax35Text-RestrictedSlash"
1. Assign the following pattern to this new DataType name:
[0-9a-zA-Z\-\?:\(\)\.,'\+]([[0-9a-zA-Z\-\?:\(\)\.,'\+]|((/)?[0-9a-zA-Z\-\?:\(\)\.,'\+])*(/)?[0-9a-zA-Z\-\?:\(\)\.,'\+])
2. Apply this new DataType name to fields:
camt.050 - /Document/LqdtyCdtTrf/LqdtyCdtTrf/LqdtyTrfId/InstrId
camt.050 - /Document/LqdtyCdtTrf/LqdtyCdtTrf/LqdtyTrfId/EndToEndId

MyStandards T2-CoCo - StandingOrder

1. Define a new DataType name in MyStandards: "RestrictedFINXMax35Text-RestrictedSlash"
2. Assign the following pattern to this new DataType name:
[0-9a-zA-Z\-\?:\(\)\.,'\+]([[0-9a-zA-Z\-\?:\(\)\.,'\+]|((/)?[0-9a-zA-Z\-\?:\(\)\.,'\+])*(/)?[0-9a-zA-Z\-\?:\(\)\.,'\+])
3. Apply this new DataType name to fields:
camt.024 - /Document/ModfyStgOrdr/StgOrdrId/Id
camt.069 - /Document/GetStgOrdr/StgOrdrQryDef/StgOrdrCrit/NewCrit/SchCrit/StgOrdrId
camt.070 - /Document/RtrStgOrdr/RptOrErr/Rpt/StgOrdrId/Id
camt.070 - /Document/RtrStgOrdr/RptOrErr/Rpt/StgOrdrOrErr/StgOrdr/Ref
camt.071 - /Document/DelStgOrdr/StgOrdrDtls/StgOrdr/Id

5   EUROSYSTEM UPDATE [SDD-CN-PBR-005 PBI-210614 ECB JIRA 661]: page 338 (CLM UDFS-chapter 12.1.3.1 Overview and scope of the message), page 339 (CLM UDFS-chapter 12.1.3.3 The message in business context), page 425 (RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.1.3.1 Overview and scope of the message), page 427 (RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.1.3.3 The message in business context); Admi.007 updates

Business sender of message/ file have the need to link notification / rejection messages from RTGS and CLM to the previously sent message / file. Otherwise, it is not possible to identify the erroneous message / file. Validation rule table in the UDFS does not distinguish between technical or business validation errors, i.e. it is confusing to distinct in the message scope and in the annotation of message elements. We will achieve clarity about system behaviour with the amendments in the message scope and in the message element annotations.

CLM UDFS-chapter 12.1.3.1 Overview and scope of the message, page 338

This chapter illustrates the ReceiptAcknowledgement message.

The ReceiptAcknowledgement message is sent by CLM to the business sender of a ~~previous inbound message/file. It is used to inform the sender that their~~ previously sent message/file~~, has been rejected and will not be processed further~~ to inform of a validation error.

The ReceiptAcknowledgement message is sent without a BAH, if inbound message / inbound file were rejected.

The ReceiptAcknowledgement message is sent with a BAH, to inform of a validation error for the ReportQueryRequest message (admi.005) only.

~~CLM generates this message after a negative validation process.~~

The usage of this message can be found in chapter Usage of Messages

CLM UDFS-chapter 12.1.3.3 The message in business context, page 339

[…]

Message item	Utilisation
[…]	
Related Reference /Document/RctAck/Rpt/RltdRef/Ref	~~If message/file is not readable, i.e. technical validation error~~Copy of BizMsgIdr of inbound message / copy of PyldIdr of inbound file. If BizMsgIdr of inbound message / PyldIdr of inbound

	file cannot be identified, this field ~~will~~ contain**s** "NONREF". ~~In the case of a business validation error this field will contain the BAH BizMsgIdr for a single message submission or the BFH PyldIdr for a file submission.~~
[…]	

[…]

RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.1.3.1 Overview and scope of the message, page 425

This chapter illustrates the ReceiptAcknowledgement message.

The ReceiptAcknowledgement message is sent by RTGS to the business sender of a ~~previous inbound message/file. It is used to inform the sender that their~~ previously sent message/file**,** ~~has been rejected and will not be processed further~~ to inform of a validation error.

The ReceiptAcknowledgement message is sent without a BAH, if inbound message / inbound file were rejected.

The ReceiptAcknowledgement message is sent with a BAH, to inform of a validation error for the ReportQueryRequest message (admi.005) only.

~~RTGS generates this message after a negative validation process.~~

The usage of this message can be found in chapter Usage of Messages.

RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.1.3.3 The message in business context, page 427

[…]

Message item	Utilisation
[…]	
Related Reference /Document/RctAck/Rpt/RltdRef/Ref	~~If message/file is not readable, i.e. technical validation error~~Copy of BizMsgIdr of inbound message / copy of PyldIdr of inbound file. If BizMsgIdr of inbound message / PyldIdr of inbound file cannot be identified, this field ~~will~~ contain**s** "NONREF". ~~In the case of a business validation error this field will contain the BAH BizMsgIdr for a single message submission or the BFH PyldIdr for a file submission.~~
[…]	

[…]

6   EUROSYSTEM UPDATE [SDD-CN-PBR-006 PBI-211107]: page 437 (RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.2.5.1 Overview and scope of the message), page 109 (UDFS Addendum Document April 2020 RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.2.5.3 The message in business context); Camt.007 schema alignment

The removal of the annotations for the A2A reordering for pain.998_ASTI done by CB is the result of missing UETR in ASTI and is already reflected in part II of the UDFS. This SDD CN aligns part II and part III of the UDFS.

RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.2.5.1 Overview and scope of the message, page 437

This chapter illustrates the ModifyTransaction message.

The ModifyTransaction message is sent by a business sender to RTGS. It is used to modify a payment order ~~or an AS transfer order~~ on the RTGS Account Holder's RTGS DCA.

The ModifyTransaction ~~may~~ message can only be used for an order which is in an intermediary status i.e. it has not reached a final status.

The ModifyTransaction message is used to modify the relevant priority, queue position or settlement validity time of the order identified in the message.

The message can be sent by the following business sender:

- RTGS Account Holder;
- CB.

~~The reordering of an AS transfer order is only allowed for the responsible CB in AS settlement procedure E.~~

Within RTGS, the ModifyTransaction message has the following usage:

- inbound payment order modification.

The usage of this message can be found in chapter Usage of Messages.

In response to the ModifyTransaction message, ~~RTGS sends~~ a Receipt (camt.025) ~~message, containing either a success status or the respective error code(s) and error description(s), to the business receiver~~ is sent, indicating the success or rejection/failure of the modification.

UDFS Addendum Document April 2020 RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.2.5.3 The message in business context, page 109

Message item	Utilisation
[…]	
~~Instructing Agent /Document/ModfyTx/Mod/PmtId/LngBizId/InstgAgt/FinInstnId/LEI~~	~~Not used in RTGS~~
Instructing Agent /Document/ModfyTx/Mod/PmtId/LngBizId/InstgAgt/FinInstnId/LEI	If provided, this element is ignored by RTGS
[…]	
~~Instructed Agent /Document/ModfyTx/Mod/PmtId/LngBizId/InstdAgt/FinInstnIdLEI~~	~~Not used in RTGS~~
Instructed Agent /Document/ModfyTx/Mod/PmtId/LngBizId/InstdAgt/FinInstnIdLEI	If provided, this element is ignored by RTGS
[…]	

Message documentation on MyStandards

Amend message scope description of camt.007 in order to clarify that camt.007 is not used for reordering of pain.998_ASTI order by CB.

7   EUROSYSTEM UPDATE [SDD-CN-PBR-007 PBI-211190]: page 450 (CLM UDFS-chapter

13.2.1.3 The message in business context); Camt.998 Modify Credit Line Account BIC

Under the CLM data structure, the concept of a party and an account have been separated and both elements can be referenced by a BIC, whereas in the TARGET2 the concept of party and account are synonymous. This message definition in MyStandards was created quite early in the project based upon replicating the message from existing TARGET2 and therefore naturally described this field as the account BIC. However, current implementation work for CLM has determined that this field is required to reflect the party rather than the account. The credit line will be granted to the default MCA of a CLM party only, i.e. CLM needs the CLM account holder BIC to be instructed in camt.998 MCL message. The resulting texts for 'basic definition' and 'CLM usage' indicate different things which this SDD-CN seeks to align.

CLM UDFS-chapter 13.2.1.2 Schema, page 450

"Hyperlink to MyStandards UGs for CLM to be updated."

CLM UDFS-chapter 13.2.1.3 The message in business context, page 450

[…]

Message item	Utilisation
[…]	
Account Owner /Document/PrtryMsg/PrtryData/Data/T2PrtryData/Crdt LnId/AcctOwnr	~~BIC of the CLM Account Holder~~"BIC of the party owning the default MCA account" Credit Line is granted to the default MCA of a CLM Account Holder
[…]	

[…]

8   EUROSYSTEM UPDATE [SDD-CN-PBR-008 PBI-211191]: page 416 (CLM UDFS-chapter 12.3.1.2 Schema), page 417 (CLM UDFS-chapter 12.3.1.3 The message in business context), page 540 (RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.3.1.2 Schema), page 540 (RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.3.1.3 The message in business context), page 101 (ECONSII UDFS-chapter 3.3.2.2.1 Business Application Header (head.001)), MyStandards T2-RTGS (RTGS_Business Application Header), MyStandards T2-CLM (CLM_Business Application Header), page 218 (RTGS UHB-chapter 5.1.13 Financial Institution Credit Transfer – New Screen) ; BAH Clarifications, MyStandards head.001

The BAH is used with each message sent into RTGS and CLM and provides business-level information required to ensure that the message is processed properly. In all cases below, the clarifications are designed to remove any possible mis-interpretation thereby providing absolutely clear guidance.

1. The business sender and business receiver (not the actual technical sender and receiver) need to be populated with BIC codes in slightly differently ways depending upon the message type or the scenario/case in which it is being used. The implementation work has identified explicit needs for Credit Line Modifications, Minimum Reserve related orders, Reservation Modification orders, SBTI orders related to AS processing and Limit Modifications across CLM and RTGS. The correction of some cases from 'account-BIC' to 'account owner BIC' is also addressed.

2. The <CopyDuplicate> and <Related> elements allow the sender to indicate that the message might already have been previously sent, and may indicate some of the details of that previous message. Neither CLM nor RTGS use this information since inbound message duplication is detected in other ways. In cases where the inbound message is forwarded to the next party in a chain, clarity is given on if/when this duplication information is passed forward as well.

CLM UDFS-chapter 12.3.1.2 Schema, page 416

"Hyperlink to MyStandards UGs for CLM to be updated."

CLM UDFS-chapter 12.3.1.3 The message in business context, page 417

Table 182

Message item	Utilisation
[…]	
Related /Document/AppHdr/Rltd	~~If provided it is ignored by CLM~~. Inbound: If provided in inbound payment order message or inbound camt.056 it is ignored by CLM. The block <Related> is not mapped into any response or notification.
[…]	

RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.3.1.2 Schema, page 540

"Hyperlink to MyStandards UGs for RTGS to be updated."

RTGS UDFS-chapter 12.3.1.3 The message in business context, page 540

Table 275

Message item	Utilisation
[…]	
Related /Document/AppHdr/Rltd	~~If provided it is ignored by RTGS and forwarded within the outbound message~~. Inbound: If provided in inbound payment order message or inbound camt.056 or inbound camt.029 it is ignored by RTGS and provided within the forwarded outbound payment message or forwarded outbound camt.056 or forwarded outbound camt.029. The block <Related> is not mapped into any response or notification.
[…]	

Table 275

Message item	Utilisation
[…]	
Copy Duplicate /Document/AppHdr/CpyDplct	In case a business sender is sending a duplicate of an business payload the code "DUPL" is used. CODU and COPY not used. The value is ignored by RTGS and ~~not~~ forwarded to the business receiver.
[…]	

ECONSII UDFS-chapter 3.3.2.2.1 BusinessApplicationHeader (head.001), page 101

"Hyperlink to MyStandards UGs for ECONSII to be updated."

MyStandards T2-RTGS - RTGS_BusinessApplicationHeader

1. BAH 'From' field:
Inbound messages
    a)LTOs (incl. overnight deposit and setting up orders) sent by the CB acting on behalf
      "Party BIC of responsible CB of account …"
      Should read
      "Party BIC of responsible CB of owner of account …"
    b)New explicit cases:
    c)"credit line modification orders (by CB only): Party BIC of responsible CB of party given in 'Account Owner' element in the payload;"

d) "minimum reserve related orders (by CB only): Party BIC of responsible CB of party given in 'Counterparty Identification' element in the payload;"

e) "reservation modification orders sent by the party itself: Party BIC of owner of account given in 'Account Identification' element in the payload;"

f) "reservation modification orders sent by the CB acting on behalf: Party BIC of responsible CB of owner of account given in 'Account Identification' element in the payload;"

g) Alter implied default case wording:

h) Change "queries all other inbound messages sent by the party itself or by the co-manager: Party BIC of sending party."

to read "queries: Party BIC of sending party."

i) Remove the line starting "all other inbound messages……"

j) Remove the line starting "inbound messages specific for …."

2. Duplication elements:
   i   Block <Related>

Annotation

"If provided it is ignored by CLM."

Should read

"Inbound: If provided in inbound payment order message or inbound camt.056 it is ignored by CLM. The block <Related> is not mapped into any response or notification."

MyStandards T2-CLM - CLM_BusinessApplicationHeader

1. BAH From field:

Inbound messages

   i. Payment orders sent by the CB acting on behalf
      "Party BIC of responsible CB of account …"
      Should read
      "Party BIC of responsible CB of owner of account …"

   ii. Payment modification orders with element "Processing validity time" sent by the CB acting on behalf
      "Party BIC of responsible CB of account …"
      Should read
      "Party BIC of responsible CB of owner of account …"

   iii. Payment modification orders with element "Priority" sent by the CB acting on behalf
      "Party BIC of responsible CB of account …"
      Should read
      "Party BIC of responsible CB of owner of account …"

   iv. Payment revocation and recall orders sent by the CB acting on behalf
      "Party BIC of responsible CB of account …"
      Should read
      "Party BIC of responsible CB of owner of account …"

   v. Liquidity transfer orders sent by the CB acting on behalf
      "Party BIC of responsible CB of account …"
      Should read
      "Party BIC of responsible CB of owner of account …"

   vi. New explicit cases:
      a) "SBTI sent by the party itself: Party BIC of owner of account given in 'Instructing Agent' element in the payload;"
      b) " SBTI sent by the CB acting on behalf: Party BIC of responsible CB of owner of account given in 'Instructing Agent' element in the payload;"
      c) "reservation and limit modification orders sent by the party itself: Party BIC of owner of account given in 'Account Identification' element in the payload;"
      d) "reservation and limit modification orders sent by the CB acting on behalf: Party BIC of responsible CB of owner of account given in 'Account Identification' element in the payload;"

   vii. Alter implied default case wording:

      a) Change "queries all other inbound messages sent by the party itself: Party BIC of sending party."
      to read "queries: Party BIC of sending party."
      b) Remove the line starting "all other inbound messages……"

2. Duplication elements:
   i   Field <CopyDuplicate>

Annotation

"Inbound: Ignored and not forwarded in the outbound message."
Should read
"Inbound: Ignored and forwarded in the outbound message."
   ii Block <Related>
Annotation
"If provided it is ignored by RTGS and forwarded within the outbound message."
Should read
"Inbound: If provided in inbound payment order message or inbound camt.056 or inbound camt.029 it is ignored by RTGS and provided within the forwarded outbound payment message or forwarded outbound camt.056 or forwarded outbound camt.029. The block <Related> is not mapped into any response or notification."

9   EUROSYSTEM UPDATE [SDD-CN-PBR-009 PBI-211192]: page 486 (CLM UDFS-chapter 13.4.1.2 Schema, Message documentation on MyStandards (PaymentStatusReport (pacs.002)); Pacs.002 data type correction

T2 actor needs accurate information about data types, character set and length. All data types within TARGET should be aligned between CLM and RTGS. Furthermore, in order to avoid issues in the transfer chain, the T2 and HVPS+ should be aligned on the data type used. Failing on correct the data type on the schema will cause that the actor will use incorrect characters on the transfer order, which will prevent the order to be processed.

CLM UDFS-chapter 13.4.1.2 Schema, page 486

"Hyperlink to MyStandards UGs for CLM to be updated."

Message documentation on MyStandards, PaymentStatusReport (pacs.002)

New data type TARGET_RestrictedFINXMax35Text would cause a new technical version on MyStandards.
No samples impacted, even though they use the element. The characters used are able on the new data type.