



| General Information (Origin of Request) ☐ User Requirements (URD) ☐ Other User Functional or Technical Documentation (SYS) | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Request raised by: Bank of Greece | nk of Greece Institute: Ce | | Date raised: 10/07/2014 | | | |
| Request title: Modification of the secur specifications | n file format | Request ref. no: T2S 0464 SYS | | | | |
| Request type: Common | | Urgency: Normal | | | | |
| 1. Legal/business importance parameter: Low | | 2. Market implementation efforts parameter: Low | | | | |
| 3. Operational/Technical risk parameter: Low | | 4. Financial impact parameter: No cost impact | | | | |
| Requestor Category: Central Bank | | Status: Authorised at Steering level | | | | |

Reason for change and expected benefits/business motivation:

Market requests highlighted some changes to be performed in the file format specification of the Securities Valuations bulk loading file in UDFS v2.0 section 2.26.3 "File Format Specifications".

Description of requested change:

To comply with a W3C recommendation, the CRLF character is changed to LF and the regular expression defining the format of each field should be changed.

Submitted annexes / related documents:

Proposed wording for the Change request:

UDFS v2.0 Section 2.26.3 "File Format Specifications"

2.26.3 File Format Specifications

This section describes the specifications of the Valuations Loading file to be provided to T2S with the Securities Valuation data as well as the data provided by T2S in the Response File.

The following is the XML schema used to embed the file into a "store and forward" message:

```
<?xml version="1.0" ?>
<xs:schema xmlns="urn:T2S:SecuritiesValuationBulkFile" xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"</pre>
targetNamespace="urn:T2S:SecuritiesValuationBulkFile"
 elementFormDefault="gualified">
<xs:simpleType name="RestrictedFileType">
 <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
  <xs:pattern value="(.{181,181183,183}\n)+"/>
 </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
<xs:element name="File" type="File"/>
 <xs:complexType name="File">
  <xs:simpleContent>
   <xs:extension base="RestrictedFileType">
    <xs:attribute name="fileId" type="xs:string" default="" />
   </xs:extension>
  </xs:simpleContent>
 </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```

Below is an example usage. The optional fileId attribute is used to identify the input and output files and match them together. In the Response File, T2S will provide the same fileId value input by the T2S Actor in the loading file:

Request: T2S 0464 SYS

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<File fileId = "T2SSVAFile20150630" xmlns="urn:T2S:SecuritiesValuationBulkFile">Record1
Record2
...
Recordn
</File>
```

The Response File sent from T2S to the T2S Actor will contain the File tag along with a variable number of rows depending on the number of errors triggered. Below is the XML schema for the response message:

```
<?xml version="1.0" ?>
<xs:schema xmlns="urn:T2S:SecuritiesValuationBulkFileResponse"</p>
xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
targetNamespace="urn:T2S:SecuritiesValuationBulkFileResponse"
_elementFormDefault="qualified">
<xs:simpleType name="RestrictedFileType">
<xs:restriction base="xs:string">
 <xs:pattern value="(.{181,181}\n)*"/>
</xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
<xs:element name="File" type="File"/>
<xs:complexType name="File">
<xs:simpleContent>
<xs:extension base="RestrictedFileType">
   <xs:attribute name="fileId" type="xs:string" default="" />
  </xs:extension>
 </xs:simpleContent>
</xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```

If no records have been rejected, the embedded <u>response</u> file is empty. <u>Below is an example</u>:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<File fileId = "T2SSVAFile20150630" xmlns="urn:T2S:SecuritiesValuationBulkFileResponse"></File>
```

The values for each record appear in the format defined in the tables below.

| FORMAT TYPE | FORMAT | | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| DATE | The format is « yyyy-mm-dd » with no timezone indication. Example: "2015-06-30" | | | |
| CHAR(n) | String with exactly n characters. | | | |
| NUMERIC(p) | Number with maximum p integer places and no decimal places. | | | |

Request: T2S 0464 SYS

The input Securities Valuation Loading File follows the format below:

| FLAT FILE COLUMN | COLUMN NAME | FORMAT | DESCRIPTION | RULES | | | | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| FIRST ROW | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Tag of start of file | CHAR (60) | " " or " <file>"</file> | The optional attribute fileId is a file reference that can be assigned by the sender. It is optional and it can be used to link the file returned by T2S and the file originally sent to T2S. The length of value assigned to fileId is from 0 to 54 characters. | | | | |
| Rows from #2 on | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Parent BIC | CHAR(11) | Parent BIC of the NCB providing the valuations | It must be known in advance by T2S system and included in the configuration table (see above). | | | | |
| 2 | BIC | CHAR(11) | BIC of the NCB providing the valuations | It must be known in advance by T2S system and included in the configuration table (see above). | | | | |
| 3 | Valuation Date | DATE | Date of the valuation | | | | | |
| 4 | ISIN | CHAR(12) | ISIN code of the securities | | | | | |
| 5 | Currency | CHAR (3) | ISO Currency code for the valuations | | | | | |
| 6 | Price coefficient | NUMERIC (31) | Price as coefficient – without any decimal point / comma | Example: If the price is 123,45 must be: 00000012345 (number of leading zeroes is 26) | | | | |
| 7 | Number of decimal digits for price as coefficient | NUMERIC (4) | Price as coefficient (i.e. without currency) – number of fractional digits | Example: If the price is 123,45 must be: 0002 | | | | |
| 8 | Price as amount | NUMERIC (31) | Price as amount (i.e. with currency) – without any decimal point / comma | Example as above | | | | |
| 9 | Number of decimal digits for price as amount | NUMERIC (4) | Price as coefficient (i.e. with currency) – number of fractional digits | Example as above | | | | |
| 10 | Status | CHAR (4) | Status of the row | It must be blank in the input file This information is updated by T2S in the file provided back to the sending NCB | | | | |
| 11 | Error description | CHAR(60) | Description of the error (if any) detected when the row was processed by SDMG | It must be blank in the input file This information is updated by T2S in the file provided back to the sending NCB | | | | |
| 12 | Record separator | CHAR(1 2) | Fixed value: CR LF | CR = Carriage Return (x'0D') LF = Line Feed (x'0A') | | | | |
| | Last row | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Tag of end of file | CHAR (7) | "" | | | | | |

The format of the Response File is based on the format of the input file that has been submitted to T2S. It includes only rows which have not been accepted by T2S (whose status is REJT, rejected). The submitted data is returned unchanged but is supplemented with an error description explaining the reason why the row was not processed.

Request: T2S 0464 SYS

High level description of Impact:

UDFS section 2.26 to be aligned.

Outcome/Decisions:

- * CRG meeting of 16 July 2014: The CRG decided to split the initial Change Request T2S 0465 SYS into two: one CR will include the editorial updates (T2S 0465 SYS Editorial changes due to implementation of the securities valuation bulk process for Payment Banks), and one CR will include the functional updates on the flat file format specification (T2S 0464 SYS Modification of the securities valuation file format specifications). There will be a written procedure on the new CRs in the course of July 2014
- * Written procedure with CRG from 21 to 28 July 2014: There was no conclusive outcome during the written procedure and the CR will be discussed in the next CRG meeting.
- * CRG meeting of 8 September 2014: The CRG decided to put the Change Request on hold.
- * Written procedure with CRG from 9 to 15 September 2014: All the responses except for one recommended the approval of the Change Request as it is currently worded.
- * CRG Telco of 24 September 2014: The CRG recommended the approval of the Change Request.
- * Advisory Group's advice on 2 October 2014: Following a written procedure, the AG was in favour of the Change Request.
- * CSG resolution on 2 October 2014: Following a written procedure, the CSG adopted the resolution to approve the Change Request.