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Background and objective

- One of the benefits expected by the T2-T2S consolidation is to allow the future RTGS services to be multi-currency.

- The objective of this presentation is to review the requirements drafted for each business domain at the light of this multi-currency feature.

- In addition, the consultation with the market participants showed the need to further analyse whether there would be a business case for the provision of Payment-versus-Payment (PvP) settlement services for pairs of currencies operated on the single platform.
Multi-currency

In the perspective of a non-Euro CB joining the platform

- All cash accounts (MCA and T2S, TIPS and RTGS DCA) need to be denominated in different currencies (list of possible settlement currencies to be managed in the Reference Data)

- For each payment order there would be the need to check the currency of settlement and the account denomination

- Liquidity management needs to be organised taking into account the different currencies. The platform does not offer any conversion feature
Multi-currency

In the perspective of a non-Euro CB joining the platform

- The Business Day needs to be reviewed
  - Which constraints exist: for instance, the change of Business Date cannot be currency related
  - Which flexibility can be allowed: which event can be defined for each currency?

- Central Bank Services need as well to be reviewed
Payment-versus-Payment

Under the condition that two different settlement currencies are available within the future RTGS services, it would be possible to offer Payment-versus-Payment features.

This would mean:

- A simple matching feature, in order to pair the 2 messages sent independently by two participants. No conversion feature is needed (both amounts are sent by the participants).

- The settlement of the 2 legs on an « all or nothing » basis.
Payment-versus-Payment

Is there any business case for such a feature, once non-Euro CBs have joined the platform?

It could be envisaged a two-step approach

- First, it is already included in the URD as an “all or nothing” feature for the settlement of AS (current procedure 5) within the settlement engine
  - For PvP, both legs would need as well to be settled on an “all or nothing” basis

- Second, it could be defined as a future potential change to include matching for the incoming PvP messages