

# TIPS & ACH – 2 IP models

Impact of co-existence

Ludy Limburg

In cooperation with

- The Dutch Liquidity managers group
- DNB

Amsterdam • April 2017



# TIPS & ACH – Impact of Co-existence

## Background:

- With TIPS the Eurosystem is introducing a new and different model for the processing of Instant Payments in Europe.
- TIPS is providing Instant Payment settlement in central bank money.
- You could also say that TIPS is combining Clearing and Settlement in the same process – this is mainly a definition question, but clear is that TIPS supports exactly the same Instant Payment product as per SEPAinst
- ACH IP solutions make use of the ASI6 model and hence the IP payments are processed in Commercial bank money.

## Questions:



- How would co-existence of TIPS and ACH solutions work?
- How is interoperability, reach and liquidity impacted by TIPS and ACH's solutions?
- What is the impact of co-existence of these models?

## Goal of these slides:

- Bring clarity in the models and differences
- Bring clarity in the interoperability and reachability aspects between TIPS and ACH
- Give an overview of some co-existence models possible & bring clarity in the impact of these.

# TIPS model vs ACH Model

## Interoperability, Reachability and Liquidity




# TIPS model & ACH Model

## Co-existence model – ACH acting as service provider, instructing TIPS on behalf of PSP


Explanation:

- ING only connects to 1 ACH
- ING sends IP instruction to ACH X (1)
- ACH X examines the reachability of the IP instruction against the receiver bank
  - If within ACH X (Bank A):
    - a reservation is made on ING account (2)
    - Instruction forwarded to receiver bank A (3)
    - Etc for steps 4-7
  - If within TIPS (Bank B):
    - IP instruction is forwarded to TIPS (1B).
    - Reservation is made within TIPS (2B)
    - Instruction routed by TIPS to receiver bank B (3A)
    - Etc for steps, 4B, 5B, 6B, 7A and 7.



# TIPS model & ACH Model

Co-existence model – ACH acting as service provider, instructing TIPS on behalf of PSP - IMPACT




# TIPS model & ACH Model

## TIPS acting as ACH Connector instead of ACH interoperability?


Explanation:

- ING only connects to 1 ACH
- ING sends IP instruction to ACH X (1)
- ACH X examines the reachability of the IP instruction against the receiver bank
  - If within ACH X (Bank A):
    - a reservation is made on ING Balance within ACH X (2)
    - Instruction forwarded to receiver bank A (3)
    - Etc for steps 4-7
  - If within ACH Y (Bank Z):
    - IP instruction is forwarded to TIPS (1B).
    - Reservation is made within TIPS (2B)
    - Instruction routed by TIPS to ACH Y (3A)
    - ACH Y forwards to Bank Z (3)
    - Etc for steps, 4B, 5B, 6B, 7A and 7.




# TIPS model & ACH Model

TIPS acting as ACH Connector instead of ACH interoperability: Raising more questions than providing answers.



# TIPS model & ACH Model


Is Co-Existence model a Migration path towards..... TIPS?






# TIPS model & ACH Model

Is Co-Existence model a Migration path towards..... TIPS?




# TIPS model & ACH Model

Is Co-Existence model a Migration path towards..... Full interoperable ACH model?




# TIPS model & ACH Model

Is Co-Existence model a Migration path towards..... Full interoperable ACH model?



# TIPS model & ACH Model

Is Co-Existence model a Migration path towards..... Integrated TIPS-ACH Model?



# TIPS model & ACH Model

Is Co-Existence model a Migration path towards..... Integrated TIPS-ACH Model?

