

COMMENTS ON
*Incomplete Information Processing: A Solution
to the Forward Discount Puzzle*

BY P. BACCHETTA AND E. VAN WINCOOP

Kenneth Kasa¹

¹Department of Economics
Simon Fraser University

November 6, 2006

CONTRIBUTIONS

This paper makes contributions on 2 fronts:

- ① Solves a dynamic portfolio problem with heterogeneous agents, transactions costs, and an endogenous time-varying investment opportunity set. Not easy!

CONTRIBUTIONS

This paper makes contributions on 2 fronts:

- 1 Solves a dynamic portfolio problem with heterogeneous agents, transactions costs, and an endogenous time-varying investment opportunity set. Not easy!
- 2 Develops a new and interesting theory of the forward discount puzzle.

EXPLANATIONS OF THE FORWARD DISCOUNT PUZZLE

1 Time-Varying Risk Premia

EXPLANATIONS OF THE FORWARD DISCOUNT PUZZLE

- 1 Time-Varying Risk Premia
- 2 Non-rational Expectations. Frankel & Froot (1989).

EXPLANATIONS OF THE FORWARD DISCOUNT PUZZLE

- 1 Time-Varying Risk Premia
- 2 Non-rational Expectations. Frankel & Froot (1989).
- 3 Noise traders. Mark & Wu (1998).

EXPLANATIONS OF THE FORWARD DISCOUNT PUZZLE

- 1 Time-Varying Risk Premia
- 2 Non-rational Expectations. Frankel & Froot (1989).
- 3 Noise traders. Mark & Wu (1998).
- 4 Peso Problems. Lewis (1989), Krasker (1980).

EXPLANATIONS OF THE FORWARD DISCOUNT PUZZLE

- 1 Time-Varying Risk Premia
- 2 Non-rational Expectations. Frankel & Froot (1989).
- 3 Noise traders. Mark & Wu (1998).
- 4 Peso Problems. Lewis (1989), Krasker (1980).
- 5 Transactions Costs. Sarno, Valente & Leon (2006).

EXPLANATIONS OF THE FORWARD DISCOUNT PUZZLE

- 1 Time-Varying Risk Premia
- 2 Non-rational Expectations. Frankel & Froot (1989).
- 3 Noise traders. Mark & Wu (1998).
- 4 Peso Problems. Lewis (1989), Krasker (1980).
- 5 Transactions Costs. Sarno, Valente & Leon (2006).
- 6 Endogenous Monetary Policy. McCallum (1994).

EXPLANATIONS OF THE FORWARD DISCOUNT PUZZLE

- 1 Time-Varying Risk Premia
- 2 Non-rational Expectations. Frankel & Froot (1989).
- 3 Noise traders. Mark & Wu (1998).
- 4 Peso Problems. Lewis (1989), Krasker (1980).
- 5 Transactions Costs. Sarno, Valente & Leon (2006).
- 6 Endogenous Monetary Policy. McCallum (1994).
- 7 Model Uncertainty. Gourinchas & Tornell (2004).

EXPLANATIONS OF THE FORWARD DISCOUNT PUZZLE

- 1 Time-Varying Risk Premia
- 2 Non-rational Expectations. Frankel & Froot (1989).
- 3 Noise traders. Mark & Wu (1998).
- 4 Peso Problems. Lewis (1989), Krasker (1980).
- 5 Transactions Costs. Sarno, Valente & Leon (2006).
- 6 Endogenous Monetary Policy. McCallum (1994).
- 7 Model Uncertainty. Gourinchas & Tornell (2004).
- 8 Parameter Uncertainty + Perpetual Learning. Chakraborty & Evans (2006).

INGREDIENTS

- 1 Exogenous noise traders, calibrated to produce a volatile and near random walk exchange rate process.
- 2 Exogenous forward discount process

So what is endogenous?

$$\text{cov}_t(\Delta s_{t+k}, f d_t)$$

FINDINGS

To fully account for the puzzle you need to *combine*

- 1 Risk Aversion ($\gamma = 10$).
- 2 Transaction Costs ($\tau = 0.27\%$ of wealth)
- 3 Incomplete Information (only use current interest rates)

COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

- ① Transactions costs likely understated
 - Investors must precommit
 - High risk aversion

COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

- 1 Transactions costs likely understated
 - Investors must precommit
 - High risk aversion
- 2 Implications for Trading Volume? Order flow correlations. Evans & Lyons (2002).

COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

- 1 Transactions costs likely understated
 - Investors must precommit
 - High risk aversion
- 2 Implications for Trading Volume? Order flow correlations. Evans & Lyons (2002).
- 3 Cite Evans & Lyons (*JIMF*, 2005), “Do Currency Markets Absorb News Quickly?”

COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

- 1 Transactions costs likely understated
 - Investors must precommit
 - High risk aversion
- 2 Implications for Trading Volume? Order flow correlations. Evans & Lyons (2002).
- 3 Cite Evans & Lyons (*JIMF*, 2005), “Do Currency Markets Absorb News Quickly?”
- 4 Multiple Equilibria?

COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

- 1 Transactions costs likely understated
 - Investors must precommit
 - High risk aversion
- 2 Implications for Trading Volume? Order flow correlations. Evans & Lyons (2002).
- 3 Cite Evans & Lyons (*JIMF*, 2005), “Do Currency Markets Absorb News Quickly?”
- 4 Multiple Equilibria?
- 5 Connections to information processing literature are loose at best. This literature does not really support the idea of completely omitting variables. Maybe a better motivation would be the notion of a *Restricted Perceptions Equilibrium* (Evans & Honkapohja (2001)), or model *complexity* (Cho and Kasa (2006)).

AN ALTERNATIVE: HIGHER-ORDER BELIEF DYNAMICS

“Asset Prices in a Time Series Model with *Perpetually* Disparately Informed, Competitive Traders” (Kasa, Walker, & Whiteman (2005))

$$\begin{aligned} s_t &= \int_0^1 E_t^i s_{t+1} di - (i_t - i_t^*) \\ &= \int_0^1 E_t^i s_{t+1} di - f_t \end{aligned}$$

$$f_t = a_1(L)\varepsilon_{1t} + a_2(L)\varepsilon_{2t} + a_3(L)\varepsilon_{3t}$$

Suppose \exists 3 trader types. Type- i observes $(s_t, f_t, \varepsilon_{it})$.

Theorem: If there exists a unique $|\lambda| < 1$ such that

$$\lambda = 2 + a_i(1)/a_i(\lambda) \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

then \exists a nonrevealing REE with pricing functions

$$\pi_i(L) = \pi_i^s(L) - \frac{a_i(\lambda)(1 + \lambda)}{1 - \lambda L}$$

where $\pi_i^s(L)$ are the standard symmetric information RE pricing functions