

The 2007/09 turmoil: a challenge for the integration of the euro area money market?

By Nuno Cassola, Cornelia Holthausen and Marco Io Duca (ECB)

Frankfurt, 12 April 2010

The views expressed in this paper are our own and do not necessarily coincide with those of the ECB

- This paper studies the impact of the financial market crisis on the euro money market (s)
- Main thesis: asymmetric information has played a large role
- Focus of this paper: effects on cross-border integration (within the euro area) of the money market
- **Preliminary analysis!**

Outline

- I. Motivation of the paper
- 2. Cross-border integration of the money market: theory
- 3. The integration of the euro area money market since 2007
- 4. Conclusions

I. Motivation of the paper

- 2. Cross-border integration of the money market: theory
- 3. The integration of the euro area money market since 2007
- 4. Conclusions

I. Motivation: Euro money market integration

Since 1999: single monetary policy

- Monetary policy decisions should affect all countries in same way
- First step in transmission from monetary policy rate decisions to real economy
- Same interest rate in all countries \rightarrow integration of money market is important \rightarrow liquidity should flow freely from country to country

I. Motivation: Euro money market integration

Early 1999

- Very fast convergence of interest rates
 - Ciampolini and Rhode (2000), Hartmann et al (2000), Euro Money Market Study (2004)
- No comprehensive euro area wide dataset (OTC)
- Reference rates:
 - EONIA (unsecured, overnight)
 - EURIBOR (unsecured, other maturities)
 - EONIA: Stable, low dispersion

I. Motivation

- Data source used in this paper: e-MID
 - electronic trading system for money market transactions
 - Located in Italy
 - Participants both domestic (Italian) and foreign
 - Unsecured transactions (different maturities)
 - Transaction level data
- Literature on euro money market
 - Market structure: Gaspar et al (2008), Perez-Quiros and Mendizabal (2006)
 - Analysis of recent trends in e-MID: Angelini, Nobili, Picillo (2009)

I. Motivation

- We study effect of financial turmoil on integration of euro money market (New issue)
- Important in turmoil: asymmetric information about counterparty exposures (Heider et al 2009)
- Effects of asymmetric information may have been especially relevant in cross-border context
 - see Freixas and Holthausen 2005

I. Motivation of the paper

- 2. Cross-border integration of the money market: theory
- 3. The integration of the euro area money market since 2007
- 4. Conclusions

Freixas and Holthausen (RFS, 2005)

- Two country model
- Each country faces liquidity shock
- There is asymmetric information about banks' solvency across borders
- An integrated interbank market can help to smooth domestic liquidity shocks (stabilize money market interest rates)

Freixas and Holthausen (RFS, 2005)

Asymmetric information across borders may hamper integration of money markets:

- Foreign banks would pay a premium reflecting higher uncertainty about their solvency
- Because of the information premium, a segmented equilibrium exists
- An integrated equilibrium may coexist with an equilibrium with segmentation, if the information premium is not too high

Freixas and Holthausen (RFS, 2005)

As of 2007 (before August) the euro money markets appeared to have been fully integrated (same interest rates). Three cases can be distinguished:

- No problems of asymmetric information. Single money market
- Banks with good rating borrow cross-border. Cross-border interest rates slightly above those prevailing in the liquidity rich country
- Rate convergence due to activity of large money-centre banks (TBTF). Small banks borrow in domestic market. Large banks borrow cross border.

Freixas and Holthausen (RFS, 2005)

As of 2007 (before August) the euro money markets appeared to have been fully integrated (same interest rates). Three cases can be distinguished:

- No problems of asymmetric information. Single money market
- Banks with good rating borrow cross-border. Cross-border interest rates slightly above those prevailing in the liquidity rich country
- Rate convergence due to activity of large money-centre banks (TBTF). Small banks borrow in domestic market. Large banks borrow cross border.

Freixas and Holthausen (RFS, 2005)

As of 2007 (before August) the euro money markets appeared to have been fully integrated (same interest rates). Three cases can be distinguished:

- No problems of asymmetric information. Single money market
- Banks with good rating borrow cross-border. Cross-border interest rates slightly above those prevailing in the liquidity rich country
- Rate convergence due to activity of large money-centre banks (TBTF). Small banks borrow in domestic market. Large banks borrow cross border.

- I. Motivation of the paper
- 2. Cross-border integration of the money market: theory
- 3. The integration of the euro area money market since 2007
- 4. Conclusions

3. Integration of the money market since 2007

After August 2007 / September 2008 we have the following testable hypotheses:

HI. Turmoil had no impact

H2. Switch to segmented market

- a. Market breakdown
- b. Two-tier system

3. Integration of the money market since 2007

Data source: e-MID

- Electronic trading platform for unsecured money market transactions operating in Italy
- Some facts:
 - Ca I7% of total turnover in EUR unsecured money market (ECB, 2004)
 - Turnover in O/N segment: ~ EUR 20 billion (2007)
 - Share had declined since start of turmoil

3. Integration of the money market since 2007

Methodology

- Compare volumes of Italian (domestic trades) and non-Italian banks (cross-border trades)
- Study spreads between
 - Interest rates charged for domestic trades
 - Interest rates charged for cross-border trades
- The combination of both will allow us to draw inferences on the structure of the money market

Share of cross-border volumes



In e-MID, unsecured segment

EUROPEAN CENTRAL BANK

Share of cross-border volumes

- Turmoil had different impact on money market volumes for domestic and crossborder trades
- 'Full integration equilibrium' (many banks trade cross-border) broke down
- Did a segmented market emerge?
 - Study interest rates!

Spread paid on cross-border transactions



Average spread (bp) paid by foreign banks when borrowing in the e-MID market

EUROPEAN CENTRAL BANK

Spread paid on cross-border transactions

- Evolution of spreads:
 - 2002-2003: foreign banks paid a small premium
 (~l bp)
 - 2004-2006: premium disappeared (~ 0 bp)
 - 2007 (first phase of turmoil): foreign banks get a discount (- I bp)
 - 2008 (post-Lehman): foreign banks pay large premium (+ 14 bp)
 - 2009 : foreign banks continue paying premium (
 2-3 bp)

Three stages of money market integration

2003-early 2007

• Full money market integration

Late 2007 (early turmoil phase):

- Sharp decline in cross-border volumes; lower than average interest rates
- Fewer, better known (less risky) banks trade
- Two tier system (can achieve integration)

Post-Lehman

- Further decline in cross-border volumes, and
- Strong rise in foreign premia.
- Two-tier system breaks down; segmented markets

Presentation

- I. Motivation of the paper
- 2. Cross-border integration of the money market: Theory
- 3. The integration of the euro area money market since 2007
- 4. Conclusions

4. Conclusions

Financial turmoil impacted on integration of euro money market through increase in (cross-border) asymmetric information

- After August 2007:
 - Lower volume in cross-country borrowing
 - Trading activity of many banks is replaced by two-tier structure
- After September 2008:
 - Further decline in cross-border volumes
 - Two-tier structure breaks down

4. Conclusions

Caveats:

- Are e-MID data representative for euro area?
 - Possibly: integration achieved in other parts of money market
- Missing information: cross-country panel of interest rates
 - Do interest rates diverge across countries?

THANK YOU!

Annex: Spreads

Period	Coefficient	Spread	Period	Coefficient	Spread
2002Q1	-1.38 ***	0.67	2006Q1	-2.59 ***	-0.53
2002Q2	-1.48 ***	0.57	2006Q2	-2.14 ***	-0.09
2002Q3	-1.59 ***	0.47	2006Q3	-2.25 ***	-0.20
2002Q4	-0.39	1.67	2006Q4	-1.73 ***	0.33
2003Q1	-1.96 ***	0.09	2007Q1	-1.92 ***	0.13
2003Q2	-1.39 ***	0.67	2007Q2	-1.82 ***	0.24
2003Q3	-1.97 ***	0.08	2007Q3	-3.90 ***	-1.85
2003Q4	-1.80 ***	0.26	2007Q4	-2.45 ***	-0.40
2004Q1	-2.06 ***	-0.01	2008Q1	-2.82 ***	-0.77
2004Q2	-2.08 ***	-0.02	2008Q2	-0.64	1.41
2004Q3	-2.09 ***	-0.03	2008Q3	-2.54 ***	-0.48
2004Q4	-1.94 ***	0.11	2008Q4	11.96 ***	14.01
2005Q1	-2.36 ***	-0.31	2009Q1	-2.83 ***	-0.78
2005Q2	-1.81 ***	0.24	2009Q2	-0.15	1.91
2005Q3	-2.25 ***	-0.19	2009Q3	-0.26	1.80
2005Q4	-2.09 ***	-0.04	2009Q4	2.05 *** (consta	2.05

Annex: EONIA vs. e-MID



Turnover in overnight segment

Annex: EONIA vs. e-MID

- Empirical evidence:
 - Volumes in the EONIA panel increased
 - Volumes in the e-MID declined
- **Provide evidence of:**
 - Borrowers switching from an electronic dealership market (transparent) to an overthe-counter bilateral market which is more opaque
 - Evidence of the emergence of asymmetric information in the money market