

A natural experiment of premature monetary policy normalization and of the neo-Fisherian view

Lars E.O. Svensson

Stockholm School of Economics, CEPR, and NBER

Web: Larseosvensson.se

Revised slides from a presentation at
the ECB Conference on Monetary Policy: Bridging Science and Practice,
October 29-30, 2018, Frankfurt





Can monetary policy still deliver?

- Can monetary policy still deliver inflation on target and full employment?
- A natural experiment: Riksbank policy-rate hikes 2010-2011, from 0.25% to 2%
- What happens to inflation and unemployment when the central bank (for no good reason) raises the policy rate by 175 bp?
- Also a natural experiment of **premature policy normalization**
- And a natural experiment of the **neo-Fisherian view**:
Does inflation really increase after a policy-rate increase?

175 bp for no good reason?





Fed and Riksbank forecasts in June 2010



- Riksbank and Fed forecasts quite similar
- Policies very different
 - Fed: Keep policy rate between 0 and 0.25%; use forward guidance; prepare and initiate QE2
 - Riksbank: Raise policy rate from 0.25% to 2%
- Both policies cannot be right
 - Karolina Ekholm and I dissented against the majority's hikes

Source: Svensson, Lars E.O. (2011), "Practical Monetary Policy: Examples from Sweden and the United," *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, Fall 2011, 289-332.





The Swedish experience: Rate hikes 2010-2011



“The Future of Monetary Policy and Macroprudential Policy,” paper prepared for the “The Future of Central Banking: An ECB Colloquium Held in Honour of Vitor Constancio,” Frankfurt, May 16-17, 2018. www.larseosvensson.se







The Swedish experience: Rate hikes 2010-2011



“The Future of Monetary Policy and Macroprudential Policy,” paper prepared for the “The Future of Central Banking: An ECB Colloquium Held in Honour of Vitor Constancio,” Frankfurt, May 16-17, 2018. www.larseosvensson.se







The Swedish experience: **Turnaround** (after I had left)



“The Future of Monetary Policy and Macprudential Policy,” paper prepared for the “The Future of Central Banking: An ECB Colloquium Held in Honour of Vitor Constancio,” Frankfurt, May 16-17, 2018. www.larseosvensson.se

The Swedish experience: **Turnaround**



The Swedish experience


- Monetary policy in Sweden works like clockwork and according to the textbook
- What contributes to powerful monetary policy in Sweden? (Small, very open economy; flexible exchange rates; flexible inflation targeting)
 1. Strong **exchange-rate** channel
 2. Strong **household cash-flow** channel

The Swedish experience: The exchange-rate channel

- Small very open economy
 - Strong **exchange-rate channel**
 - Affects activity of export and import-competing goods industry
 - Affects prices of imported final goods, intermediate inputs and raw materials

The Swedish experience: The household cash-flow channel

Swedish household interest payments
to disposable income



- High household debt, variable mortgage rates
 - Strong **household cash-flow channel** (also the DK, NO, UK, ...)
 - Lower policy rate and mortgage rates reduce interest payments and improve indebted households' cash-flows
 - High household debt and variable mortgage rates provide insurance against recessions: An automatic stabilizer
(with **flexible** exchange rates, **not** with **fixed** exchange rate)

Conclusions

- Premature normalization *of the policy rate* not good
- “Policy normalization” should involve the normalization of the *target variables* (inflation, employment, output), not of the *policy rate*
 - Normalizing the target variables may require a non-normal and low policy rate, due to a low neutral policy rate
- The Swedish experience provides no support for the neo-Fisherian view
- With high household debt and variable mortgage rates, the household cash-flow channel of monetary policy is strong, makes monetary policy more effective, and provides some insurance against recessions